

Non-linear Sylow branching coefficients for symmetric groups

Eugenio Giannelli¹ · Giada Volpato¹

Received: 28 April 2021 / Accepted: 10 December 2022 / Published online: 11 January 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We study the restriction to Sylow subgroups of irreducible characters of symmetric groups. In particular, we give a precise description of the degrees of the irreducible constituents in terms of the shape of the partition that labels a given irreducible character. Our main result is a wide generalization of [Giannelli and Navarro (Proc Am Math Soc 146(5):1963–1976, 2018), Theorem 3.1].

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to investigate the structure of the restriction to Sylow subgroups of irreducible characters of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . Let p be a prime number and let P_n be a fixed Sylow p-subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n . The main question studied in this paper is the following. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which and how many irreducible characters of \mathfrak{S}_n admit a constituent of degree p^k in their restriction to P_n ? More formally, we let $\operatorname{Irr}_k(P_n)$ denote the set consisting of all the irreducible characters of P_n of degree p^k , and we focus our attention on the subset Ω_n^k of $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ defined as follows:

 $\Omega_n^k = \{ \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n) \mid [\chi_{P_n}, \phi] \neq 0, \text{ for some } \phi \in \operatorname{Irr}_k(P_n) \}.$

In [6, Theorem 3.1] it is proved that the restriction to P_n of any irreducible character of \mathfrak{S}_n admits a linear constituent. In other words, $\Omega_n^0 = \operatorname{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$. This result was improved (for odd primes) in [5] where, for every linear character ϕ of P_n , the authors classify those irreducible characters χ of \mathfrak{S}_n such that ϕ appears as an irreducible constituent of χ_{P_n} .

In this article we largely extend in a new direction the result obtained in [6] mentioned above. More precisely, for any odd prime number p, we are able to describe the set Ω_n^k , for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Surprisingly enough, these sets possess quite a regular structure. In order to describe it we recall that irreducible characters of \mathfrak{S}_n are naturally in bijection with $\mathcal{P}(n)$, the set of partitions of n. With this in mind, we find it useful to think of Ω_n^k as a subset of

 Eugenio Giannelli eugenio.giannelli@unifi.it
 Giada Volpato giada.volpato@unifi.it

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica U. Dini, Viale Morgagni 67/a, Firenze, Italy

 $\mathcal{P}(n)$ instead of $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of partitions whose Young diagram fits into a $t \times t$ grid (i.e. having first row and first column of size at most t).

The first main result of the article is **Theorem 5.1**, where we show that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a certain $T_n^k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(T_n^k)$. In other words, Theorem 5.1 shows that the set of partitions of *n* whose corresponding irreducible characters admit a constituent of degree p^k on restriction to a Sylow *p*-subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n coincide with the set of partitions of *n* whose size depend on both *n* and *t*. As mentioned above, this statement highlights the nice and well-behaved combinatorial structure of the sets Ω_n^k .

The description given by Theorem 5.1 is sharpened in **Theorem** 5.3, where we explicitly compute the value of T_n^k for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We avoid the precise description of these values here, as it requires the introduction of some technical definitions. Nevertheless, we refer the reader to Tables 1 and 2 for several specific and concrete instances of our second main result.

An intriguing consequence of Theorem 5.3 is that $\Omega_n^j \subseteq \Omega_n^k$, for all $k \leq j$. This means that whenever χ_{P_n} admits an irreducible constituent of degree p^j , then it also admits constituents of degree p^k , for all $0 \leq k \leq j$.

We conclude our article by studying the second part of the question we proposed above. Namely, we give an estimate for how many characters of \mathfrak{S}_n are contained in Ω_n^k . In **Corollary 5.5** we show that the restriction to P_n of almost all irreducible characters of \mathfrak{S}_n admits an irreducible constituent of degree p^k , for all admissible $k \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely, we prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\Omega_n|}{|\mathcal{P}(n)|} = 1,$$

where Ω_n is the intersection of all of the sets Ω_n^k , where k runs among all those natural numbers such that p^k is the degree of an irreducible character of P_n .

Remark 1.1 As mentioned above, this article treats the case of odd primes. When p = 2, linear constituents of the restriction to Sylow 2-subgroups of odd degree characters of \mathfrak{S}_n were studied in [8], mainly in connection with the McKay Conjecture [11]. Despite this, the object of our study seems to be particularly difficult when p = 2. For instance, we immediately notice in this case that the set $\Omega_4^1 = \{(3, 1), (2, 1, 1)\}$ and therefore is not of the form $\mathcal{B}_4(T)$, for any $T \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. This shows that the main theorems of the present article do not hold for the prime 2. Even if this irregularity might disappear for larger natural numbers, more serious obstacles arise in this setting. For example, Lemma 3.3 below asserts that the restriction to P_n of every non-linear irreducible character of \mathfrak{S}_n cannot admit a unique irreducible constituent of a certain degree. This is a crucial ingredient in the proofs of our main results. Unfortunately, this is plainly false when the prime is 2. For instance, in [3] it is shown that if $\lambda = (2^n - x, 1^x)$ then $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{2^n}}$ admits a unique constituent of degree 1. Things can go even worse: if $\lambda = (2^n - 1, 1)$ then it is not difficult to see that $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{2^n}}$ admits a unique constituent of degree 2^k , for all $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$.

2 Notation and background

Throughout this article, p denotes an odd prime. Given integers $n \le m$, we denote by [n, m] the set $\{n, n + 1, ..., m\}$. If n < m then [m, n] is regarded as the empty set. We let C(n) be the set of compositions of n, i.e. the set consisting of all the finite sequences $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_z)$ such that a_i is a non-negative integer for all $i \in [1, z]$ and such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_z = n$.

Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_z) \in C(n)$, we sometimes denote by $l(\lambda)$ the number of non-zero parts of λ . As already mentioned in the introduction, P_n denotes a Sylow *p*-subgroup of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . As usual, given a finite group *G*, we denote by Ir(G) the set of irreducible complex characters of *G*, and by Lin(G) the subset of linear characters of *G*. Finally, $cd(G) = \{\chi(1) \mid \chi \in Irr(G)\}$ is the set of irreducible character degrees.

2.1 Wreath products

Here we fix the notation for characters of wreath products. For more details see [9, Chapter 4]. Let *G* be a finite group and let *H* be a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n . We denote by $G^{\times n}$ the direct product of *n* copies of *G*. The natural action of \mathfrak{S}_n on the direct factors of $G^{\times n}$ induces an action of \mathfrak{S}_n (and therefore of $H \leq \mathfrak{S}_n$) via automorphisms of $G^{\times n}$, giving the wreath product $G \wr H := G^{\times n} \rtimes H$. We refer to $G^{\times n}$ as the base group of the wreath product $G \wr H$. We denote the elements of $G \wr H$ by $(g_1, \ldots, g_n; h)$ for $g_i \in G$ and $h \in H$. Let *V* be a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module and suppose it affords the character ϕ . We let $V^{\otimes n} := V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ (*n* copies) be the corresponding $\mathbb{C}G^{\times n}$ -module. The left action of $G \wr H$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ defined by linearly extending

$$(g_1,\ldots,g_n;h): v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n\mapsto g_1v_{h^{-1}(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes g_nv_{h^{-1}(n)}$$

turns $V^{\otimes n}$ into a $\mathbb{C}(G \wr H)$ -module, which we denote by $\tilde{V}^{\otimes n}$. We denote by $\tilde{\phi}$ the character afforded by the $\mathbb{C}(G \wr H)$ -module $\tilde{V}^{\otimes n}$. For any character ψ of H, we let ψ also denote its inflation to $G \wr H$ and let $\mathcal{X}(\phi; \psi) := \tilde{\phi} \cdot \psi$ be the character of $G \wr H$ obtained as the product of $\tilde{\phi}$ and ψ . Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and let $\phi^{\times n} := \phi \times \cdots \times \phi$ be the corresponding irreducible character of $G^{\times n}$. Observe that $\tilde{\phi} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \wr H)$ is an extension of $\phi^{\times n}$. Given $K \leq G$, we denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(G|\psi)$ the set of characters $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that ψ is an irreducible constituent of the restriction χ_K . Hence, by Gallagher's Theorem [7, Corollary 6.17] we have

$$\operatorname{Irr}(G \wr H | \phi^{\times n}) = \{ \mathcal{X}(\phi; \psi) | \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H) \}.$$

If $H = C_p$ is a cyclic group of prime order p, every $\psi \in Irr(G \wr C_p)$ is either of the form

(i) $\psi = \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p \uparrow_{G^{\times p}}^{G \wr C_p}$, where $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ are not all equal; or (ii) $\psi = \mathcal{X}(\phi; \theta)$ for some $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_p)$.

We remark that in case (i) we have that $Irr(G \ge C_p | \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p) = \{\psi\}.$

2.2 Sylow subgroups of \mathfrak{S}_n

We record some facts about Sylow subgroups of symmetric group and we refer to [9, Chapter 4] or to [12] for more details.

We let P_n denote a Sylow *p*-subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n . Clearly P_1 is the trivial group while $P_p \cong C_p$ is cyclic of order *p*. If $i \ge 2$, then $P_{p^i} = (P_{p^{i-1}})^{\times p} \rtimes P_p = P_{p^{i-1}} \wr P_p \cong P_p \wr \cdots \wr P_p$ (*i*-fold wreath product). Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i p^{n_i}$ be the *p*-adic expansion of *n*. Then $P_n \cong P_{p^{n_1}} \times P_{p^{n_2}}^{\times a_1} \times \cdots \times P_{p^{n_t}}^{\times a_t}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the normalizer of a Sylow *p*-subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{p^n} is $N_{\mathfrak{S}_{p^n}}(P_{p^n}) = P_{p^n} \rtimes H$,

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the normalizer of a Sylow *p*-subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{p^n} is $N_{\mathfrak{S}_{p^n}}(P_{p^n}) = P_{p^n} \rtimes H$, where $H \cong (C_{p-1})^{\times n}$. More generally, if $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i p^{n_i}$, $n_t > \cdots > n_1 \ge 0$, then $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(P_n) = N_1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_1} \times \cdots \times N_t \wr \mathfrak{S}_{a_t}$, where $N_i := N_{\mathfrak{S}_{p^n}}(P_{p^n})$ for every $i \in [1, t]$. We refer the reader to [4, Sect. 2] for more details about the structure of the normaliser of a Sylow

p-subgroup. The following fact is certainly well known. We state it here as we will need it in the following section of the article.

Lemma 2.1 Let p be an odd prime, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let H be a complement of P_{p^n} in $N_{\mathfrak{S}_{p^n}}(P_{p^n})$. There are no non trivial elements of P_{p^n} that are centralized by H.

Proof This follows directly from the discussion in [4, Sect. 2.2].

We remark that Lemma 2.1 is equivalent to say that $C_{\mathfrak{S}_{p^n}}(P_{p^n}) = Z(P_{p^n})$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.3 The Littlewood–Richardson coefficients

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Irr(\mathfrak{S}_n) is naturally in bijection with $\mathcal{P}(n)$, the set of all partitions of n. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, the corresponding irreducible character is denoted by χ^{λ} . Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m < n. Given $\chi^{\mu} \times \chi^{\nu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-m})$, the decomposition into irreducible constituents of the induction

$$\left(\chi^{\mu} \times \chi^{\nu}\right)^{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu, \nu) \chi^{\lambda}$$

is described by the Littlewood-Richardson rule (see [2, Chapter 5] or [10, Chapter 16]). Here the natural numbers $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu, \nu)$ are called *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients*. Given $(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \in \mathcal{C}(n), \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $\mu_j \in \mathcal{P}(n_j)$ for all $j \in [1, k]$, we let $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)$ be the multiplicity of χ^{λ} as an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_k})_Y^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. Here *Y* denotes the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{n_1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_k}$ of \mathfrak{S}_n . The following lemma describes the behavior of the first parts of the partitions involved in a non-zero Littlewood-Richardson coefficient. This will be used several times in the following sections.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k) \neq 0$ then $\lambda_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^k (\mu_j)_1$.

Proof When k = 2, the statement is a straightforward consequence of the combinatorial description of the Littlewood–Richardson coefficient $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \mu_2)$, as given in [2, Sect. 5.2]. The lemma is then proved by iteration.

As in [5], we define $\mathcal{B}_n(t)$ as the set of those partitions of *n* whose Young diagram fits inside a $t \times t$ square grid, i.e. for $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\mathcal{B}_n(t) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) | \lambda_1 \le t, \ l(\lambda) \le t \right\}.$$

Moreover, for $(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \in C(n)$ and $A_j \subseteq \mathcal{P}(n_j)$ for all $j \in [1, k]$, we let

 $A_1 \star A_2 \star \cdots \star A_k := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) | \mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) > 0, \text{ for some } \mu_1 \in A_1, \dots, \mu_k \in A_k\}.$

It is easy to check that \star is both commutative and associative. The following lemma was first proved in [5, Proposition 3.3].

Lemma 2.3 Let $n, n', t, t' \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{n}{2} < t \leq n$ and $\frac{n'}{2} < t' \leq n'$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}_n(t)\star\mathcal{B}_{n'}(t')=\mathcal{B}_{n+n'}(t+t').$$

3 Preliminary results

In this section we start collecting some results on restriction of characters to Sylow *p*-subgroups. These will be used to prove our main theorems in the second part of the paper.

Unless otherwise stated, from now on p will always denote a fixed odd prime number. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ be its p-adic expansion, where $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \cdots \ge n_t \ge 0$. We define the integer α_n as follows. For powers of p we set $\alpha_1 = \alpha_p = 0$ and $\alpha_{p^k} = (p^{k-1} - 1)/(p - 1)$, for $k \ge 2$. For general $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$, we set $\alpha_n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{p^{n_i}}$. As shown in Lemma 3.2 below, p^{α_n} is the greatest degree of an irreducible character of P_n . It is interesting to note that $\alpha_n = \nu(\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor!)$, where $\nu(n)$ denotes the highest power of p dividing n. We omit the proof of this statement and we refer the reader to [13] for the complete calculations.

As mentioned in the Introduction, we let $\operatorname{Irr}_k(P_n) = \{\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_n) \mid \theta(1) = p^k\}$. This notation will be kept throughout the article. In the following lemma we give a lower bound for the size of the set $\operatorname{Irr}_k(P_n)$. This is certainly far from being attained (in general), but it will be sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 3.1 Let $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^k \in cd(P_{p^t})$. Then $|Irr_k(P_{p^t})| \ge p$.

Proof We proceed by induction on t. If t = 1 then we know that the statement holds as $\operatorname{Irr}(P_p) = \operatorname{Irr}_0(P_p)$ has size p. The elements of $\operatorname{Irr}(P_p)$ are denoted by $\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{p-1}$, where we conventionally set ϕ_0 to be the trivial character. Let $t \ge 2$, and let $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^t})$. If $\psi = \mathcal{X}(\theta; \phi_i)$ for some $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^{t-1}})$ and $i \in [0, p-1]$, then $\mathcal{X}(\theta; \phi_j) \in \operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^t})$ for all $j \in [0, p-1]$. Hence $|\operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^t})| \ge p$. Otherwise $\psi = (\theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_p)^{P_{p^t}}$ where $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{t-1}})$ are not all equal. If there exists $x \in [1, p]$ such that $\theta_1(1) \neq \theta_x(1)$ then we define $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_p \in \operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^t})$ as follows. For any $j \in [1, p]$ we let

$$\eta_i = (\tau_i \times \theta_2 \times \cdots \times \theta_p)^{P_p t}$$

where $\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_p$ are *p* distinct irreducible characters of $P_{p^{t-1}}$ of degree $\theta_1(1)$. These exist by inductive hypothesis. On the other hand, if $\theta_1(1) = \theta_x(1)$ for all $x \in [1, p]$ then we let

$$\eta_1 = (\tau_2 \times \cdots \times \tau_2 \times \tau_1)^{P_{p^t}}$$
, and $\eta_j = (\tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_1 \times \tau_j)^{P_{p^t}}$, for all $j \in [2, p]$.

As before, here we chose $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_p$ to be *p* distinct irreducible characters of $P_{p^{t-1}}$ of degree $\theta_1(1)$. These exist by inductive hypothesis. In both cases η_1, \ldots, η_p are *p* distinct elements of $\operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^t})$. Hence $|\operatorname{Irr}_k(P_{p^t})| \ge p$.

The next lemma shows that P_n has irreducible characters of each degree 1, p, p^2 , ..., p^{α_n} .

Lemma 3.2 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $cd(P_n) = \{p^k \mid k \in [0, \alpha_n]\}.$

Proof Let us first suppose that $n = p^t$ is a power of p and proceed by induction on t. The case t = 1 is trivial, since P_p is cyclic and $\alpha_p = 0$. If $t \ge 2$, notice that $\alpha_{p^t} = 1 + p\alpha_{p^{t-1}}$. Let $k \in [0, \alpha_{p^t} - 1]$, and let $q \le \alpha_{p^{t-1}}$ and $r \in [0, p - 1]$ be such that k = qp + r. If r = 0, by inductive hypothesis there exists $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{t-1}})$ such that $\phi(1) = p^q$. Hence for any $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_p)$, $\mathcal{X}(\phi; \psi) \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^t})$ has degree p^k . If r > 0, then $q < \alpha_{p^{t-1}}$. By inductive hypothesis, there exist $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{t-1}})$ such that $\phi_i(1) = p^{q+1}$ for every $i \in [1, r]$, and $\phi_j(1) = p^q$ for every $j \in [r+1, p]$. Hence $(\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_r \times \phi_{r+1} \times \cdots \times \phi_p)^{P_p t} \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^t})$ has degree p^k . Finally, let $k = \alpha_{p^t}$. By inductive hypothesis and by Lemma 3.1, there exist

 $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{t-1}})$ not all equal and such that $\phi_i(1) = p^{\alpha_{p^{t-1}}}$ for all $i \in [1, p]$. Hence $(\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p)^{P_{p^t}} \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^t})$ has degree p^k . This concludes the proof in the case $n = p^t$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

The case where *n* is not a power of *p* follows easily. Indeed, if $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ is the *p*-adic expansion of *n* then $P_n \cong P_{p^{n_1}} \times P_{p^{n_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{p^{n_t}}$.

Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. As we mentioned in the introduction, it is convenient to think of the set Ω_n^k as a subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$. More precisely, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, we will sometimes write $\lambda \in \Omega_n^k$ instead of $\chi^{\lambda} \in \Omega_n^k$.

The following is an important ingredient when proving statements by induction. For an odd prime p let χ be a non-linear character of \mathfrak{S}_n and suppose that χ_{P_n} has an irreducible constituent of degree p^k . Then it has at least two distinct irreducible constituents.

Lemma 3.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \ge p$ and let $\lambda \in \Omega_n^k \setminus \{(n), (1^n)\}$ for some $k \in [0, \alpha_n]$. Then there are at least two distinct irreducible constituents of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_n}$ of degree p^k .

Proof Let us first suppose that $n = p^t$, and let us set $P = P_{p^t}$ and $N = N_{\mathfrak{S}_{p^t}}(P_{p^t})$. We observe that the only *N*-invariant irreducible character of *P* is the trivial one. To show this, we let $\operatorname{Irr}_K(P)$ denote the set of *K*-invariant irreducible characters of *P*, for any $K \leq N$. Let *H* be a p'-complement of *P* in *N*. Clearly $\operatorname{Irr}_N(P) = \operatorname{Irr}_H(P)$. On the other hand the set $C_P(H) = \{x \in P \mid x^h = x, \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ consists of the only identity element, by Lemma 2.1. Using the Glauberman correspondence [7, Theorem 13.1], we get that $|\operatorname{Irr}_H(P)| = |\operatorname{Irr}(C_P(H))| = 1$. It follows that $\operatorname{Irr}_N(P) = \{1_P\}$, as claimed.

Since $\lambda \notin \{(n), (1^n)\}$, by [5, Lemma 4.3] we know that $(\chi^{\lambda})_P$ necessarily admits a nontrivial linear constituent (direct computations show that this holds also in the case $(p, n, \lambda) =$ (3, 9, (3, 3, 3)), which is not covered by the lemma). It follows that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda \in \Omega_n^k$, we can find a non-trivial $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}_k(P)$ such that θ is a constituent of $(\chi^{\lambda})_P$. Since χ^{λ} is *N*-invariant we deduce that every *N*-conjugate of θ is a constituent of χ^{λ} . The statement follows. Recalling the structure of P_n described in Sect. 2.2, we observe that the case where *n* is not a prime power is an easy consequence of the prime power case.

Definition 3.4 Let G be a finite group and let H be a p-subgroup of G. Given a character θ of G, we let $cd(\theta_H)$ be the set of degrees of the irreducible constituents of θ_H . Moreover, we let $\partial_H(\theta)$ be the non-negative integer defined as follows:

$$\partial_H(\theta) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^k \in \mathrm{cd}(\theta_H)\}.$$

Proposition 3.5 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Y = (\mathfrak{S}_{p^{n-1}})^{\times p} \leq \mathfrak{S}_{p^n}$ be such that $B \leq Y$, where $B = (P_{p^{n-1}})^{\times p}$ is the base group of P_{p^n} . Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$. Then

$$\partial_{P_{p^n}}(\chi^{\lambda}) = 1 + \max\{\partial_B(\chi^{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\nu_p}) \mid \chi^{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\nu_p} \in \operatorname{Irr}(Y) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_p) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof Let $M = 1 + \max\{\partial_B(\chi^{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\nu_p}) | \chi^{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\nu_p} \in \operatorname{Irr}(Y) \text{ and } \mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_p) \neq 0\}$. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n-1})$ be such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_p) \neq 0$ and $M = 1 + \partial_B(\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p})$. Since $\lambda \notin \{(n), (1^n)\}$, we can assume that μ_1, \dots, μ_p are not all in $\{(p^{n-1}), (1^{p^{n-1}})\}$. Moreover, let ϕ be an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p})_B$ such that $\phi(1) = p^{M-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, we can take $\phi = \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p$ with $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{n-1}})$ not all equal. Hence $\phi^{P_{p^n}} \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^n})$, it has degree p^M and $[(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}}, \phi^{P_{p^n}}] \neq 0$. Thus $p^M \in \operatorname{cd}((\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}})$.

Now suppose for a contradiction that there exists an integer N > M such that $p^N \in cd((\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}})$. Then there exists $\varphi \in Irr(P_{p^n})$ such that $\left[(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}}, \varphi\right] \neq 0$ and $\varphi(1) = p^N$. Let $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p$ be an irreducible constituent of φ_B . Hence there exist $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n-1})$ such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p) \neq 0$ and $\left[\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p, (\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p})_B\right] \neq 0$. We have that

$$M > \partial_B(\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p}) \ge N - 1,$$

since the degree of $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p$ is either p^N or p^{N-1} . Hence M < N < M + 1, which is a contradiction.

Proposition 3.6 Let *n* be a natural number and let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ be the *p*-adic expansion of *n*, where $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \cdots \ge n_t \ge 0$. Let $Y = \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_1}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_t}}$ be such that $P_n \le Y \le \mathfrak{S}_n$, and let λ be a partition of *n*. Then

$$\partial_{P_n}(\chi^{\lambda}) = \max\{\partial_{P_n}(\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_t}) \mid \chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_t} \in \operatorname{Irr}(Y) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_t) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof Since $P_n = P_{p^{n_1}} \times P_{p^{n_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{p^{n_t}} \leq Y$, the statement follows.

Lemma 3.7 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, let $k \in [2, \alpha_{p^n}]$ and let $(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in \mathcal{C}(k-1)$ be such that $a_i \in [0, \alpha_{p^{n-1}}]$, for all $i \in [1, p]$. Then

$$\Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{a_1} \star \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{a_2} \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{a_p} \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^k.$$

Proof To ease the notation we let $q = p^{n-1}$. If $\lambda \in \Omega_q^{a_1} \star \Omega_q^{a_2} \star \cdots \star \Omega_q^{a_p}$, by definition there exists an irreducible constituent $\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p}$ of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{(\mathfrak{S}_q)^{\times p}}$ such that $\mu_i \in \Omega_q^{a_i}$ for all $i \in [1, p]$. Hence for every $i \in [1, p]$ there exists an irreducible constituent ϕ_i of $(\chi^{\mu_i})_{P_q}$ such that $\phi_i(1) = p^{a_i}$. Since $k \ge 2$, there exists $j \in [1, p]$ such that $a_j \ge 1$. Hence $\mu_j \notin \{(q), (1^q)\}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3 we can assume that ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_p are not all equal. It follows that $(\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p)^{P_{p^n}}$ is an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}}$ of degree equal to p^k . Hence $\lambda \in \Omega_{p^n}^k$.

Lemma 3.8 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ be its *p*-adic expansion, where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq 0$. Let $k \in [1, \alpha_n]$ and let $(a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in C(k)$ be such that $a_i \in [0, \alpha_{p^{n_i}}]$, for all $i \in [1, t]$. Then

$$\Omega_{p^{n_1}}^{a_1} \star \Omega_{p^{n_2}}^{a_2} \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n_t}}^{a_t} \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^k.$$

Proof Recall that $P_n \cong P_{p^{n_1}} \times P_{p^{n_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{p^{n_t}}$ and let $\lambda \in \Omega_{p^{n_1}}^{a_1} \star \Omega_{p^{n_2}}^{a_2} \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n_t}}^{a_t}$. By definition, for every $i \in [1, t]$ there exists $\phi_i \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{n_i}})$ with $\phi_i(1) = p^{a_i}$, such that $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_t$ is an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_n}$ of degree p^k . Hence $\lambda \in \Omega_{p^n}^k$.

4 The prime power case

The aim of this section is to completely describe the sets $\Omega_{p^n}^k$ for all odd primes p, all natural numbers n and all $k \in [0, \alpha_{p^n}]$. We remind the reader that from [6, Theorem 3.1], we know that $\Omega_{p^n}^0 = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently, every irreducible character of \mathfrak{S}_{p^n} admits a linear constituent on restriction to a Sylow p-subgroup. This result will be used frequently,

with no further reference. We start by analysing the cases where $k \in \{1, 2\}$. In the next lemma we show that for j = 1, 2, every non-linear character of \mathfrak{S}_{p^n} affords an irreducible constituent of degree p^j on restriction to a Sylow *p*-subgroup P_{p^n} , as long as P_{p^n} has an irreducible character of degree p^j .

Lemma 4.1 Let p be an odd prime, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [1, \alpha_{p^n}] \cap \{1, 2\}$. Then $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$.

Proof Let k = 1. Then necessarily $n \ge 2$. We first observe that clearly $\Omega_{p^n}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$. 1). On the other hand, if $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$, then there exist $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1} - 1)$ and $\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_p \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n-1})$ such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p) \ne 0$. Using Lemma 3.3 we deduce that $(\chi^{\mu_1})_{P_{p^{n-1}}}$ admits two distinct linear constituents. Therefore, there exists $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p \in \text{Lin}(P_{p^{n-1}})$ not all equal and such that ϕ_i is a constituent of $(\chi^{\mu_i})_{P_{p^{n-1}}}$, for all $i \in [1, p]$. It follows that $(\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p)^{P_{p^n}}$ is an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}}$ of degree p. We conclude that $\lambda \in \Omega_{p^n}^1$ and hence that $\Omega_{p^n}^1 = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$.

Let k = 2. Then necessarily $n \ge 3$. It is clear that $\Omega_{p^n}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$. On the other hand, if $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$, then there exist $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1} - 1)$ and $\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_p \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n-1})$ such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p) \ne 0$. We can now argue exactly as above to deduce that $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{p^n}}$ admits an irreducible constituent θ of the form $\theta = (\psi \times \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_{p-1})^{P_{p^n}}$, where $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}_1(P_{p^{n-1}})$ and $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{p-1} \in \operatorname{Lin}(P_{p^{n-1}})$. Hence $\theta(1) = p^2, \lambda \in \Omega_{p^n}^2$ and therefore we have that $\Omega_{p^n}^2 = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$.

Lemma 4.1 is a special case of the following more general result.

Theorem 4.2 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $k \in [0, \alpha_{p^n}]$. Then there exists $t_n^k \in [\frac{p^n+1}{2}, p^n]$ such that $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(t_n^k)$. Moreover, if $k \in [0, \alpha_{p^n} - 1]$, then $t_n^{k+1} \in \{t_n^k - 1, t_n^k\}$.

Proof We proceed by induction on *n*. If n = 1, then $\alpha_p = 0$ and $\Omega_p^0 = \mathcal{P}(p)$. If $n \ge 2$, we assume that the statement holds for n-1. If k = 0 then by [6, Theorem 3.1], $\Omega_{p^n}^0 = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n)$, and $t_n^0 = p^n$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.1 we know that $t_n^1 = p^n - 1 = t_n^0 - 1$, as required. The case k = 1 is completely treated by Lemma 4.1. In fact, we know that $\Omega_{p^n}^1 = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$ and that $t_n^2 = p^n - 1 = t_n^1$, as required. We can now suppose that $k \ge 2$. We define

$$\mathcal{L}(k-1) = \left\{ (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{C}(k-1) \mid j_i \in [0, \alpha_{p^{n-1}}] \text{ for all } i \in [1, p] \right\}.$$

Moreover, we set

$$M = \max\left\{t_{n-1}^{j_1} + \dots + t_{n-1}^{j_p} | (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)\right\}$$

Notice that for any $j \in [0, \alpha_{p^{n-1}}]$, the value t_{n-1}^j is well-defined by induction as the integer such that $\Omega_{p^{n-1}}^j = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(t_{n-1}^j)$. We claim that $M = t_n^k$. In other words, we want to prove that $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(M)$. Let $(j_1, \ldots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)$ be such that $M = t_{n-1}^{j_1} + \cdots + t_{n-1}^{j_p}$. By inductive hypothesis and by Lemmas 2.3 and 3.7, we have that

$$\mathcal{B}_{p^n}(M) = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(t_{n-1}^{j_1}) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(t_{n-1}^{j_p}) = \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{j_1} \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{j_p} \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^k.$$

For the opposite inclusion, suppose for a contradiction that $\lambda \in \Omega_{p^n}^k \setminus \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(M)$. Since p is odd, we have that $\Omega_{p^n}^k$ is closed under conjugation of partitions. Hence, we can assume

that $\lambda_1 \geq M + 1$. Since $\lambda \in \Omega_{p^n}^k$, there exists an irreducible constituent θ of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_{n^n}}$ with $\theta(1) = p^k.$ • If $\theta = (\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_p)^{P_{p^n}}$ with $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{p^{n-1}})$ not all equal, then there exists $(j_1, \ldots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)$ such that $\phi_i(1) = p^{j_i}$ for all $i \in [1, p]$. Then, for every $i \in [1, p]$ there exists an irreducible constituent χ^{μ_i} of $(\phi_i)^{\mathfrak{S}_{p^{n-1}}}$ such that

 $\left[\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p}, (\chi^{\lambda})_{(\mathfrak{S}_{p^{n-1}})^{\times p}}\right] \neq 0$. Hence using the inductive hypothesis, we have that $\mu_i \in \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{j_i} = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(t_{n-1}^{j_i})$, for all $i \in [1, p]$. Hence

$$M \ge t_{n-1}^{j_1} + \dots + t_{n-1}^{j_p} \ge \lambda_1 \ge M + 1,$$

where the first inequality holds by definition of M and the second one by Lemma 2.2. This is a contradiction.

• On the other hand, if $\theta = \mathcal{X}(\phi; \psi)$ for some $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_{n^{n-1}})$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(P_p)$, then, $\phi(1) = p^{\frac{k}{p}}$ and there exist $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p \in \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{\frac{k}{p}}$ such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p) \neq 0$. Hence, using the inductive hypothesis we have that

$$\lambda \in \left(\Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{\frac{k}{p}}\right)^{\star p} = \left(\mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(t_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}})\right)^{\star p}.$$

Here we denoted by $A^{\star p}$ the *p*-fold \star -product $A^{\star}\cdots \star A$. By inductive hypothesis we also know that $t_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}} \in \left\{ t_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}-1} - 1, t_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}-1} \right\}$. Using Lemma 2.2 we obtain that

$$M + 1 \le \lambda_1 \le pt_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}} \le (p-1)t_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}} + t_{n-1}^{\frac{k}{p}-1} \le M$$

This is a contradiction. Notice that the last inequality above follows from the definition of *M*, as $(\frac{k}{p}, \ldots, \frac{k}{p}, \frac{k}{p} - 1) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)$. For $k \in [2, \alpha_{p^n} - 1]$, what we have proved so far is summarised here.

$$\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(T), \text{ with } T = \max\left\{t_{n-1}^{j_1} + \dots + t_{n-1}^{j_p} | (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)\right\}$$
$$\Omega_{p^n}^{k+1} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(V), \text{ with } V = \max\left\{t_{n-1}^{h_1} + \dots + t_{n-1}^{h_p} | (h_1, \dots, h_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k)\right\}.$$

Let $(j_1, \ldots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)$ be such that $T = t_{n-1}^{j_1} + \cdots + t_{n-1}^{j_p}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $j_1 < \alpha_{p^{n-1}}$. Then $(j_1 + 1, j_2, \ldots, j_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k)$. By inductive hypothesis we know that $t_{n-1}^{j_1+1} \in \left\{ t_{n-1}^{j_1} - 1, t_{n-1}^{j_1} \right\}$. Hence

$$V \ge t_{n-1}^{j_1+1} + t_{n-1}^{j_2} + \dots + t_{n-1}^{j_p} \in \{T-1, T\}.$$
(1)

On the other hand, let $(h_1, \ldots, h_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k)$ be such that $V = t_{n-1}^{h_1} + \cdots + t_{n-1}^{h_p}$. Since $k \ge 2$, without loss of generality we can assume that $h_1 > 0$. Then $(h_1 - 1, h_2, \dots, h_p) \in \mathcal{L}(k-1)$. Thus, as above:

$$V = t_{n-1}^{h_1} + \dots + t_{n-1}^{h_p} \le T,$$
(2)

since $t_{n-1}^{h_1} \in \left\{ t_{n-1}^{h_1-1} - 1, t_{n-1}^{h_1-1} \right\}$. Inequalities (1) and (2) imply that $V \in \{T - 1, T\}$.

We refer the reader to the second part of Example 4.8 for a description of the key steps of the proof of Theorem 4.2 in a small concrete instance. The following definitions may seem artificial but are crucial for determining the exact value of t_n^k for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Springer

Definition 4.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and let $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$. We define the integers m_x and $\ell(n, x)$ as follows:

$$m_x = \min\{m \mid x \le p^{m-2}\}, \text{ and } \ell(n, x) = n - m_x + 1.$$

Notice that $\sum_{x=1}^{p^{n-2}} \ell(n, x) = \alpha_{p^n}$ (this is proved in Lemma 4.4 below). For $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$ we let

$$A_{x} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{x-1} \ell(n, j) + 1, \sum_{j=1}^{x} \ell(n, j)\right].$$

We observe that $\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_{p^{n-2}}\}$ is a partition of $[1, \alpha_{p^n}]$ and that $|A_x| = \ell(n, x)$ for all $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$. We refer the reader to Example 4.8 for a description of these objects in a specific setting.

For the convenience of the reader we give a more informal explanation of Definition 4.3 above. For fixed $n \ge 2$, we define an increasing sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{p^{n-2}} = \alpha_{p^n}$ as follows. First $a_1 = n - 1$. Then $a_i - a_{i-1} = n - 2$, for $i = 2, \ldots, p$. Next $a_i - a_{i-1} = n - 3$, for i = p + 1, p + 2, \ldots, p^2 . Continue in this manner, we find that $a_i - a_{i-1} = 1$, for $i = p^{n-3} + 1$, \ldots, p^{n-2} . Now set $A_i := (a_{i-1}, a_i]$, for $i = 1, \ldots, p^{n-2}$. Then $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{p^{n-2}}\}$ is clearly a partition of $[1, p^{n-2}]$.

Lemma 4.4 With the notation introduced in Definition 4.3, we have that $\sum_{x=1}^{p^{n-2}} \ell(n, x) = \alpha_{p^n}$.

Proof If n = 2, then $\ell(2, 1) = 1 = \alpha_{p^2}$. Let $n \ge 3$ and $i \in [0, n-3]$, then for every $x \in [p^i + 1, p^{i+1}], m_x = i + 3$ and $\ell(n, x) = n - i - 2$. Hence

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^{n-2}} \ell(n,x) = \ell(n,1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \sum_{x=p^i+1}^{p^{i+1}} \ell(n,x) = (n-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} p^i (p-1)(n-i-2)$$
$$= (n-1) - (n-2) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} p^i [(n-i-1) - (n-i-2)]\right)$$
$$+ p^{n-2} [n - (n-3) - 2]$$
$$= 1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} p^i\right) + p^{n-2} = \alpha_{p^n}.$$

The following technical lemma will be useful to prove Theorem 4.6.

Lemma 4.5 Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \ge 2$ and p be an odd prime. If x = pa + r, for some $r \in [0, p - 1]$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{a} \ell(n-1, j) + r \cdot \ell(n-1, a+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{x} \ell(n, j) - 1.$$

Deringer

Proof Notice that $\ell(n, 1) = n - 1$ and if $y \in [2, p], \ell(n, y) = n - 2$. Thus

$$\sum_{y=1}^{p} \ell(n, y) = p\ell(n-1, 1) + 1.$$

Moreover, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\sum_{y=jp+1}^{jp+p} \ell(n, y) = p\ell(n-1, j+1).$$

This follows by observing that $\ell(n, y) = \ell(n - 1, j + 1)$, for all $y \in [jp + 1, jp + p]$. Using these facts, we deduce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{x} \ell(n, j) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \ell(n, j) + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \sum_{y=jp+1}^{jp+p} \ell(n, y) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \ell(n, ap+i)$$
$$= 1 + p\ell(n-1, 1) + p \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \ell(n-1, j+1) + r\ell(n-1, a+1).$$

The main result of this section shows that if p^k is a character degree of P_{p^n} , then the partitions of p^n whose corresponding irreducible character admit a constituent of degree p^k on restriction to P_{p^n} are precisely those which fit inside a square of length $p^n - x$, where $k \in A_x$ determines x.

Theorem 4.6 Let $n \ge 2$, $k \in [1, \alpha_{p^n}]$ and let $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$ be such that $k \in A_x$. Then $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$.

Proof We proceed by induction on n: if n = 2 then $\alpha_{p^2} = 1$ and necessarily k = 1 as $A_1 = \{1\}$. By Lemma 4.1, we have that $\Omega_{p^2}^1 = \mathcal{B}_{p^2}(p^2 - 1)$, as required. If $n \ge 3$, we proceed by induction on the parameter $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$. For x = 1, we want to show that for every $k \in A_1 = [1, \ell(n, 1)]$ we have that $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$. Using Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.1, we know that

$$\Omega_{p^n}^{\ell(n,1)} \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^k \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^1 = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n-1).$$

Hence, it is enough to show that $\Omega_{p^n}^{\ell(n,1)} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - 1)$. Since $\ell(n, 1) = \ell(n - 1, 1) + 1$, we use Lemma 3.7, the inductive hypothesis on *n* and [6, Theorem 3.1], to deduce that

$$\Omega_{p^n}^{\ell(n,1)} \supseteq \Omega_{p^n}^{\ell(n-1,1)} \star \left(\Omega_{p^n}^0\right)^{\star p-1} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n-1) \star \left(\mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n)\right)^{\star p-1}.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we conclude that $\mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n-1) \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^{\ell(n,1)}$ and therefore that $\mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n-1) = \Omega_{p^n}^{\ell(n,1)}$.

Let us now suppose that $x \ge 2$ and that $k \in A_x$. To ease the notation, for any $y \in [1, p^{n-2}]$ we let $f_n(y) = \sum_{j=1}^y \ell(n, j)$. With this notation we have that $A_x = [f_n(x-1) + 1, f_n(x)]$. Using Theorem 4.2 and arguing exactly as above, we observe that in order to show that $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$, it is enough to prove that

(1)
$$\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$$
 and that (2) $\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x)} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$.

Springer

To prove (1), we start by observing that by inductive hypothesis we know that the statement holds for any $j \in A_{x-1}$. In particular we have that $\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - (x-1))$. By Theorem 4.2 it follows that $\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(T)$, for some $T \in \{p^n - x, p^n - (x-1)\}$. It is therefore enough to show that $\lambda = (p^n - (x-1), x-1) \notin \Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)+1}$. Let $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n-1})$ be such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.2 for every $i \in [1, p]$, there exists $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\mu_i)_1 = p^{n-1} - a_i$ and such that $\sum_{j=1}^p a_j \leq x - 1$. In particular, for every $i \in [1, p]$ we have that

$$\mu_i \in \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1}-a_i) \smallsetminus \mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1}-(a_i+1)) = \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{f_{n-1}(a_i)} \smallsetminus \Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{f_{n-1}(a_i)+1},$$

where the equality is guaranteed by the inductive hypothesis on n.

Let $B = (P_{p^{n-1}})^{\times p}$ be the base group of P_{p^n} and let $Y = (\mathfrak{S}_{p^{n-1}})^{\times p} \leq \mathfrak{S}_{p^n}$ be such that $B \leq Y$. Let $\eta = \chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_p} \in \operatorname{Irr}(Y)$ and let x - 1 = ap + r, for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [0, p-1]$. We observe that

$$\partial_B(\eta) = \sum_{j=1}^p f_{n-1}(a_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^{a_j} \ell(n-1,i)$$

$$\leq p \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^a \ell(n-1,j)\right) + r \cdot \ell(n-1,a+1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{x-1} \ell(n,j) - 1 = f_n(x-1) - 1.$$

Here, the inequality follows immediately by observing that $\ell(n-1, s) \ge \ell(n-1, s+1)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the third equality holds by Lemma 4.5. Using Proposition 3.5, we deduce that $\partial_{P_{p^n}}(\chi^{\lambda}) \le f_n(x-1)$. It follows that $\lambda \notin \Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)+1}$, as desired. To prove (2), we recall that by (1) above we have that $\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$. Hence,

To prove (2), we recall that by (1) above we have that $\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$. Hence, Theorem 4.2 implies that $\Omega_{p^n}^{f_n(x)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - x)$. On the other hand, writing x = ap + r for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [0, p - 1]$, and using Lemma 4.5, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{p^{n}}^{f_{n}(x)} &= \Omega_{p^{n}}^{1+p \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{a} \ell(n-1,j)\right) + r \cdot \ell(n-1,a+1)} \\ &\supseteq \left(\Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{f_{n-1}(a+1)}\right)^{\star r} \star \left(\Omega_{p^{n-1}}^{f_{n-1}(a)}\right)^{\star p-r} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1} - (a+1))\right)^{\star r} \star \left(\mathcal{B}_{p^{n-1}}(p^{n-1} - a)\right)^{\star p-r} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{p^{n}}(p^{n} - x). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first inclusion follows from Lemma 3.7. The second equality holds by inductive hypothesis. Finally, the last equality is given by Lemma 2.3. The proof is complete.

In the following corollary we collect a number of facts useful to have a better understanding of the structure of the sets $\Omega_{p^n}^k$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $k \in [0, \alpha_{p^n}]$.

Corollary 4.7 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $1 \le k < t \le \alpha_{p^n}$. The following hold.

(i) $\mathcal{B}_{p^n}(p^n - p^{n-2}) = \Omega_{p^n}^{\alpha_{p^n}} \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^t \subseteq \Omega_{p^n}^k$. (ii) $\Omega_{p^n}^k = \Omega_{p^n}^t$ if, and only if, there exists $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$ such that $k, t \in A_x$. (iii) Given $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$ we have that $|\{k \in [1, \alpha_{p^n}] \mid \Omega_{p^n}^k = \mathcal{B}(p^n - x)\}| = \ell(n, x)$. **Proof** Recalling that $|A_x| = \ell(n, x)$ for every $x \in [1, p^{n-2}]$, (i), (ii) and (iii) follow immediately by Theorem 4.6.

We find particularly surprising that a partition of p^n whose character admits an irreducible constituent of degree p^k on restriction to P_{p^n} also admits a constituent of degree p^j , for any $j \in \{0, 1, ..., k-1\}$. Moreover, the partitions whose character admit a constituent of maximal possible degree $p^{\alpha_{p^n}}$ are precisely those which fit inside a square of side $p^n - p^{n-2}$.

Example 4.8 Let p = 3 and fix n = 4. Following the notation introduced in Definition 4.3, we have $3^{4-2} = 9$ and $\ell(4, 1) = 3$, $\ell(4, 2) = \ell(4, 3) = 2$, $\ell(4, 4) = \cdots = \ell(4, 9) = 1$. Hence

$$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, A_2 = \{4, 5\}, A_3 = \{6, 7\}, A_4 = \{8\}, A_5 = \{9\}, \dots, A_9 = \{13\}$$

Observe that $\{A_1, \ldots, A_9\}$ is a partition of $[1, \alpha_{3^4}] = [1, 13]$, as required. Using Theorem 4.6, we have a complete description of $\Omega_{3^4}^k$, for all $k \in [1, 13]$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{split} \Omega^1_{3^4} &= \Omega^2_{3^4} = \Omega^3_{3^4} = \mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-1), \ \Omega^4_{3^4} = \Omega^5_{3^4} = \mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-2), \ \Omega^6_{3^4} = \Omega^7_{3^4} = \mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-3), \\ \Omega^8_{3^4} &= \mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-4), \ \Omega^9_{3^4} = \mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-5), \dots, \Omega^{13}_{3^4} = \mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-9). \end{split}$$

These sets are recorded in the fourth column of Table 1.

We use the second part of this example to illustrate a key step of the proof of Theorem 4.2. Let n = k = 4. We wish to compute t_4^4 . Following the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we have that

$$\mathcal{L}(3) = \{ (j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \mathcal{C}(3) \mid j_i \in [0, \alpha_{3^3}] = [0, 4], \text{ for all } i \in [1, 3] \} \\ = \{ (3, 0, 0), (2, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1) \}.$$

Working by induction we can assume that we know the values t_3^j for every $j \in [0, 4]$. This can be comfortably read off the third column of Table 1. We set

 $M = \max\left\{t_3^3 + t_3^0 + t_3^0, t_3^2 + t_3^1 + t_3^0, t_3^1 + t_3^1 + t_3^1\right\} = \max\left\{3^4 - 2, 3^4 - 2, 3^4 - 3\right\} = 3^4 - 2.$ We conclude that $t_4^4 = M = 3^4 - 2.$

5 Arbitrary natural numbers

The aim of this section is to complete our investigation by extending Theorem 4.6 to any arbitrary natural number. In order to do this, we first extend Theorem 4.2. We recall that p is a fixed odd prime.

Theorem 5.1 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $k \in [0, \alpha_n]$. There exists $T_n^k \in [1, n]$ such that $\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(T_n^k)$. Moreover, $T_n^{k+1} \in \{T_n^k - 1, T_n^k\}$, for all $k \in [0, \alpha_n - 1]$.

Proof We proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If n = 1, then necessarily k = 0 and $\Omega_1^0 = \mathcal{B}_1(1)$. If $n \ge 2$, let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ be the *p*-adic expansion of *n*, with $n_1 \ge \cdots \ge n_t \ge 0$. By Theorem 4.2, for every $i \in [1, t]$ and every $d_i \in [0, \alpha_{p^{n_i}}]$, there exists $t_{n_i}^{d_i} \in [\frac{p^{n_i}}{2} + 1, p^{n_i}]$ such that $\Omega_{p^{n_i}}^{d_i} = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_i}}(t_{n_i}^{d_i})$. Similarly to the procedure used to prove Theorem 4.2, we define

$$\mathcal{J}(k) = \{ (j_1, \dots, j_t) \in \mathcal{C}(k) \mid j_i \in [0, \alpha_{p^n_i}] \text{ for all } i \in [1, t] \}.$$

Deringer

41

Table 1 Let $p = 3$. According to Theorem 4.6, the structure of $\Omega_{p^n}^k$ is recorded in the entry corresponding to row k and column n	$\Omega_{p^n}^k$	n = 1	n = 2	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 4
	k = 0	$\mathcal{B}_3(3)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^2}(3^2)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^3}(3^3)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4)$
	k = 1	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^2}(3^2-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^3}(3^3-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-1)$
	k = 2	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^3}(3^3-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-1)$
	k = 3	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^3}(3^3-2)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-1)$
	k = 4	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^3}(3^3-3)$	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-2)$
	k = 5	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-2)$
	k = 6	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-3)$
	k = 7	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-3)$
	k = 8	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-4)$
	k = 9	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-5)$
	k = 10	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-6)$
	k = 11	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-7)$
	k = 12	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-8)$
	k = 13	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_{3^4}(3^4-9)$
	k = 14	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

Moreover, we set

$$M = \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{t} t_{n_i}^{d_i} \middle| (d_1, \dots, d_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k)\right\}.$$

We claim that $\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(M)$.

Let $(d_1, \ldots, d_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ be such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{d_i}$. Then using Lemma 2.3, Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.7 we have that

$$\mathcal{B}_n(M) = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_1}}\left(t_{n_1}^{d_1}\right) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_t}}\left(t_{n_t}^{d_t}\right) = \Omega_{p^{n_1}}^{d_1} \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n_t}}^{d_t} \subseteq \Omega_n^k.$$

Suppose now for a contradiction that $\lambda \in \Omega_n^k \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(M)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\lambda_1 \ge M + 1$. Let $\phi = \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_l$ be an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\lambda})_{P_n}$ with $\phi_i(1) = p^{d_i}$ for every $i \in [1, t]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t d_i = k$. Observe that $(d_1, \ldots, d_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k)$. For every $i \in [1, t]$, let $\mu_i \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n_i})$ be such that $[(\chi^{\mu_i})_{P_p n_i}, \phi_i] \ne 0$ and such that $\chi^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu_t}$ is an irreducible constituent of $(\chi^{\lambda})_Y$. Here $Y = \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_t}} \le \mathfrak{S}_n$ is chosen so that $P_n \le Y$. Thus by Theorem 4.6, $\mu_i \in \Omega_{p^{n_i}}^{d_i} = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_i}}(t_{n_i}^{d_i})$ for every $i \in [1, t]$. Hence,

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_1}}\left(t_{n_1}^{d_1}\right) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_t}}\left(t_{n_t}^{d_t}\right) = \mathcal{B}_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{d_i}\right).$$

By Lemma 2.2 and our assumptions, we have that

$$M+1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{d_i} \leq M,$$

which is a contradiction.

🖄 Springer

In summary, for $k \in [0, \alpha_n - 1]$ the following holds:

$$\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(M), \text{ where } M = \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{d_i} | (d_1, \dots, d_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k)\right\}, \text{ and}$$
$$\Omega_n^{k+1} = \mathcal{B}_n(T), \text{ where } T = \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{f_i} | (f_1, \dots, f_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k+1)\right\}.$$

Let $(d_1, \ldots, d_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ be such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{d_i}$. Since $k \leq \alpha_n - 1$, there exists $i \in [1, t]$ such that $d_i \leq \alpha_{p^{n_i}} - 1$. Hence $(d_1, \ldots, d_{i-1}, d_i + 1, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k+1)$ and $t_{n_i}^{d_i+1} \in \{t_{n_i}^{d_i} - 1, t_{n_i}^{d_i}\}$, by Theorem 4.2. Thus,

$$M-1 = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{t} t_{n_i}^{d_i} \le t_{n_1}^{d_1} + \dots + t_{n_{i-1}}^{d_{i-1}} + t_{n_i}^{d_i+1} + t_{n_{i+1}}^{d_{i+1}} + \dots + t_{n_t}^{d_t} \le T.$$

On the other hand, let $(f_1, \ldots, f_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k+1)$ be such that $T = \sum_{i=1}^t t_{n_i}^{f_i}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $f_1 \ge 1$. Then $(f_1 - 1, f_2, \ldots, f_t) \in \mathcal{J}(k)$ and by Theorem 4.2, $t_{n_1}^{f_1} \in \{t_{n_1}^{f_1-1} - 1, t_{n_1}^{f_1-1}\}$. Hence

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{t} t_{n_i}^{f_i} \le t_{n_1}^{f_1 - 1} + t_{n_2}^{f_2} + \dots + t_{n_t}^{f_t} \le M.$$

It follows that T = M or T = M - 1. This concludes the proof.

Theorem 5.1 shows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [0, \alpha_n]$ there exists an integer, denoted by T_n^k , such that $\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(T_n^k)$. In order to prove our main result, i.e. to precisely compute the value T_n^k for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [0, \alpha_n]$, we start by fixing some notation that will be kept throughout this section. We remark that for $n < p^2$ we have that P_n is abelian and that $\Omega_n^0 = \mathcal{P}(n)$. For this reason we focus on the case $n \ge p^2$.

Notation 5.2 Let $n \ge p^2$ be a natural number and let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ be the *p*-adic expansion of *n*, where $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \cdots \ge n_t \ge 0$. Let $\mathcal{R} := \{(i, y) \mid i \in [1, t], \text{ and } y \in [1, p^{n_i - 2}]\}$. We define a total order \triangleright on \mathcal{R} as follows. Given (i, y) and (j, z) in \mathcal{R} we say that $(i, y) \triangleright (j, z)$ if and only if one of the following hold:

- (i) $\ell(n_i, y) > \ell(n_j, z)$, or
- (ii) $\ell(n_i, y) = \ell(n_j, z)$ and i < j, or
- (iii) $\ell(n_i, y) = \ell(n_j, z)$ and i = j and y < z.

Let $N := \lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \rfloor$ and notice that $N = |\mathcal{R}|$. Let $\phi : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow [1, N]$ be the bijection mapping $(i, y) \mapsto x$ if and only if the pair (i, y) is the *x*-th greatest element in the totally ordered set $(\mathcal{R}, \triangleright)$. We use this bijection to relabel the integers $\ell(n_i, y)$, for all $(i, y) \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, we let $\ell(x) := \ell(n_i, y)$ if $\phi((i, y)) = x$. Recalling Definition 4.3, we observe that the definition of \triangleright implies that $\ell(1) \ge \ell(2) \ge \cdots \ge \ell(N)$.

Finally, for any $\alpha \in [1, N]$ we let $F_n(\alpha) = \sum_{a=1}^{\alpha} \ell(a)$ and $A_{\alpha} = [\{F_n(\alpha - 1) + 1, F_n(\alpha)\}]$. We observe that $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$ is a partition of $[1, \alpha_n]$ (this follows easily from Lemma 4.4). We refer the reader to Example 5.6 for an explicit description of these objects in a concrete case.

Theorem 5.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>p^2}$ and $k \in [1, \alpha_n]$. Let $x \in [1, N]$ be such that $k \in A_x$. Then

$$\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(n-x).$$

Proof As in Notation 5.2, let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ be the *p*-adic expansion of *n*, where $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \cdots \ge n_t \ge 0$. We proceed by induction on *x*. If x = 1 then $k \in A_1 = [1, \ell(1)] = [1, \ell(n_1, 1)]$, because $\phi((n_1, 1)) = 1$. By Theorem 4.6 we know that $\Omega_{p^{n_1}}^k = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_1}}(p^{n_1} - 1)$. Moreover, $\Omega_{p^m}^0 = \mathcal{B}_{p^m}(p^m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by [6, Theorem 3.1]. Thus, using first Lemma 3.8 and then Lemma 2.3, we deduce that

$$\Omega_n^k \supseteq \Omega_{p^{n_1}}^k \star \Omega_{p^{n_2}}^0 \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n_t}}^0 = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_1}}(p^{n_1}-1) \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_2}}(p^{n_2}) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_t}}(p^{n_t}) = \mathcal{B}_n(n-1).$$

Since $(n) \notin \Omega_n^k$, we conclude that $\Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$, as desired. Let us now set $x \ge 2$ and assume that the statement holds for any $s \in A_{x-1} = [F_n(x-1) + 1, F_n(x)]$. From Theorem 5.1 we know that

$$\Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)+1} \subseteq \Omega_n^k \subseteq \Omega_n^{F_n(x)},$$

hence it is enough to show that:

(1)
$$\Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_n(n-x)$$
, and that (2) $\Omega_n^{F_n(x)} = \mathcal{B}_n(n-x)$.

Here $F_n(y) = \sum_{j=1}^y \ell(j)$, exactly as explained in Notation 5.2.

To prove (1), we first notice that $\Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)} = \mathcal{B}_n(n-(x-1))$ by inductive hypothesis. Hence, Theorem 5.1 implies that $\Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_n(T)$, for some $T \in \{n-x, n-(x-1)\}$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $\lambda = (n - (x - 1), x - 1) \notin \Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)+1}$. Let $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t\}$ be the partition of [1, x - 1] defined by

$$G_i = \{y \in [1, x - 1] \mid \phi^{-1}(y) = (i, z), \text{ for some } z \in [1, p^{n_i - 2}]\}, \text{ for all } i \in [1, t].$$

To ease the notation we let $g_i = |G_i|$ for all $i \in [1, t]$, and we remark that $g_1 + g_2 + \cdots + g_t = x - 1$.

Let $Y = \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_1}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{p^{n_t}}$ be a Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n containing P_n . For every $i \in [1, t]$ let $\mu^i \in \mathcal{P}(p^{n_i})$ be such that $\mathcal{LR}(\lambda; \mu^1, \ldots, \mu^t) \neq 0$. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that there exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(\mu^{i})_{1} = p^{n_{i}} - (g_{i} + a_{i})$$
 for all $i \in [1, t]$, and such that $\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i} \le 0$.

In particular, using Theorem 4.6 we have that for every $i \in [1, t]$,

$$\mu^{i} \in \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_{i}}}(p^{n_{i}} - (g_{i} + a_{i})) \smallsetminus \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_{i}}}(p^{n_{i}} - (g_{i} + a_{i} + 1)) = \Omega_{p^{n_{i}}}^{f_{n_{i}}(g_{i} + a_{i})} \smallsetminus \Omega_{p^{n_{i}}}^{f_{n_{i}}(g_{i} + a_{i}) + 1}.$$

Recycling the notation used in the proof of Theorem 4.6, here $f_m(a) := \sum_{j=1}^{a} \ell(m, j)$. It follows that

$$\partial_{P_p^{n_i}}(\chi^{\mu^i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{g_i + a_i} \ell(n_i, j) = \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in G_i} \ell(y) + \sum_{j=g_i+1}^{g_i + a_i} \ell(n_i, j) & \text{if } a_i \ge 0, \\ \\ \sum_{y \in G_i} \ell(y) - \sum_{j=g_i+a_i}^{g_i} \ell(n_i, j) & \text{if } a_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Deringer

Hence, letting $\chi = \chi^{\mu^1} \times \chi^{\mu^2} \times \cdots \times \chi^{\mu^t}$, we have that

$$\partial_{P_n}(\chi) = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{y \in G_i} \ell(y) + E - F, \text{ where } E = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\a_i > 0}}^t \sum_{j=g_i+1}^{g_i+a_i} \ell(n_i, j), \text{ and}$$
$$F = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\a_i < 0}}^t \sum_{j=g_i+a_i}^{g_i} \ell(n_i, j).$$

We claim that $E - F \le 0$. To see this, we notice that the definition of the set G_i implies that $\phi((i, y)) > x - 1$ for all $y \ge g_i + 1$. On the other hand, for the same reasons, we have that $\phi((j, z)) \le x - 1$ for all $z \le g_j$. Therefore every summand $\ell(n_i, y)$ appearing in E is smaller than or equal to any summand $\ell(n_i, z)$ appearing in F. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i \leq 0$ we have that E - F < 0, as desired. Using Proposition 3.6 we conclude that

$$\partial_{P_n}(\chi^{\lambda}) \le \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{y \in G_i} \ell(y) = \sum_{y=1}^{x-1} \ell(y) = F_n(x-1) < F_n(x-1) + 1.$$

Hence $\lambda \notin \Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)+1}$ and therefore $\Omega_n^{F_n(x-1)+1} = \mathcal{B}_n(n-x)$ as required.

To prove (2) we observe that the equality (1) shown above implies that $\Omega_n^{F_n(x)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n(n-x)$, by Theorem 5.1. To show that the opposite inclusion holds we use an idea that is similar to the one used to prove (1). In particular, we let $\{H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t\}$ be the partition of [1, x]defined by

$$H_i = \{y \in [1, x] \mid \phi^{-1}(y) = (i, z), \text{ for some } z \in [1, p^{n_i - 2}]\}, \text{ for all } i \in [1, t].$$

To ease the notation we let $h_i = |H_i|$ for all $i \in [1, t]$, and we remark that $h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_t =$ x. We also introduce the following notation. For each $i \in [1, t]$, we let

$$\Gamma_i := \sum_{y \in H_i} \ell(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{h_i} \ell(n_i, j) = f_{n_i}(h_i).$$

We observe that $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_t) \in \mathcal{C}(F_n(x))$ and that $\Gamma_i \in [0, \alpha_{p^{n_i}}]$, for all $i \in [1, t]$. We can now use Lemma 3.8, Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 2.3 (in this order) to deduce that

$$\Omega_n^{F_n(x)} \supseteq \Omega_{p^{n_1}}^{\Gamma_1} \star \Omega_{p^{n_2}}^{\Gamma_2} \star \cdots \star \Omega_{p^{n_t}}^{\Gamma_t} = \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_1}}(p^{n_1} - h_1) \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_2}}(p^{n_2} - h_2) \star \cdots \star \mathcal{B}_{p^{n_t}}(p^{n_t} - h_t)$$
$$= \mathcal{B}_n(n-x).$$

We obtain that $\Omega_n^{F_n(x)} = \mathcal{B}_n(n-x)$, and the proof is concluded.

As we have done for the prime power case in Corollary 4.7, we record some facts to understand better the set Ω_n^k for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [0, \alpha_n]$. Keeping the notation introduced in 5.2, we recall that $N = \lfloor \frac{n}{n^2} \rfloor$.

Corollary 5.4 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p^{n_i}$ its *p*-adic expansion, where $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \cdots \ge n_t \ge 0$. Let $1 \le k < t \le \alpha_n$. The following hold.

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_n(n-N) = \Omega_n^{\alpha_n} \subseteq \Omega_n^t \subseteq \Omega_n^k$.
- (ii) $\Omega_n^k = \Omega_n^t$ if, and only if, there exists $x \in [1, N]$ such that $k, t \in A_x$. (iii) Given $x \in [1, N]$ we have that $|\{k \in [1, \alpha_n] | \Omega_n^k = \mathcal{B}_n(n-x)\}| = \ell(x)$.

Proof Since $|A_x| = \ell(x)$ for every $x \in [1, N]$, (i), (ii) and (iii) hold by Theorem 5.3.

Ω_n^k	$n = 3 + 3^3$	$n = 2 \cdot 3 + 3^3$	$n = 3^2 + 3^3$	$n = 3^3 + 3^3$	$n = 3^3 + 3^4$
k = 0	$\mathcal{B}_n(n)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n)$
k = 1	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$
k = 2	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$
k = 3	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$
k = 4	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$
k = 5	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-4)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$
k = 6	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-4)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$
k = 7	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-5)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$
k = 8	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-6)$	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-4)$
k = 9	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-4)$
k = 10	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-5)$
k = 11	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-6)$
k = 12	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-7)$
k = 13	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-8)$
k = 14	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-9)$
k = 15	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-10)$
k = 16	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-11)$
k = 17	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\mathcal{B}_n(n-12)$
k = 18	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

Table 2 Let p = 3. According to Theorem 5.3, the structure of Ω_n^k is recorded in the entry corresponding to row k and column n

A second consequence of Theorem 5.3 is the following asymptotic result. This basically says that when *n* is arbitrarily large, almost all irreducible characters of \mathfrak{S}_n admit constituents of every possible degree on restriction to a Sylow *p*-subgroup.

Corollary 5.5 Let $\Omega_n = \bigcap_k \Omega_n^k$, where k runs over $[0, \alpha_n]$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\Omega_n|}{|\mathcal{P}(n)|} = 1.$$

Proof A result of Erdős and Lehner [1, (1.4)] guarantees that given f(n) a function that diverges as *n* tends to infinity, then for all but $o(|\mathcal{P}(n)|)$ partitions λ of *n*, the quantities λ_1 and $l(\lambda)$ lie between $\sqrt{n} \cdot (\frac{\log n}{d} \pm f(n))$ where *d* is a constant. By Theorem 5.3, we observe that $\Omega_n = \Omega_n^{\alpha_n} = \mathcal{B}(n-N)$, where $N = \lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \rfloor$. Since $n - N \ge n/2$, the statement follows.

Example 5.6 Let p = 3 and $n = 3^3 + 3^2 + 3$. Following Notation 5.2, we have $n_1 = 3$, $n_2 = 2$ and $n_3 = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{R} = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1)\}$, since $[1, 3^{n_3-2}] = \emptyset$. Observe that $|\mathcal{R}| = 4 = \lfloor \frac{n}{3^2} \rfloor$. Using Definition 4.3, we can see that $\ell(3, 1) = 2$, $\ell(3, 2) = \ell(3, 3) = 1$ and $\ell(2, 1) = 1$. Hence, the definition of the total order \triangleright on \mathcal{R} implies that $(1, 1) \triangleright (1, 2) \triangleright (1, 3) \triangleright (2, 1)$. Thus $\ell(1) = 2$, $\ell(2) = \ell(3) = \ell(4) = 1$ and

$$A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{3\}, A_3 = \{4\}, A_4 = \{5\}.$$

Notice that $\{A_1, \ldots, A_4\}$ is a partition of $[1, \alpha_n] = [1, 5]$, as required. Moreover by Theorem 5.3 we have $\Omega_n^1 = \Omega_n^2 = \mathcal{B}_n(n-1)$, $\Omega_n^3 = \mathcal{B}_n(n-2)$, $\Omega_n^4 = \mathcal{B}_n(n-3)$, $\Omega_n^5 = \mathcal{B}_n(n-4)$.

Using the notation of Theorem 5.1, the above computation gives that $T_n^2 = n-1$. Following the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can compute T_n^2 in a different way. We have

$$\mathcal{J}(2) = \{ (j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \mathcal{C}(2) | j_1 \in [0, 4], \ j_2 \in [0, 1], \ j_3 \in \{0\} \} = \{ (2, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0) \}.$$

Hence $M = \max \{t_3^2 + t_2^0 + t_1^0, t_3^1 + t_2^1 + t_1^0\} = \max \{n - 1, n - 2\} = n - 1$. Thus $T_n^2 = M = n - 1$, as expected. Notice that $n_3 = 1$ does not contribute at all to the computations. In fact in \mathcal{R} there are no elements of the form (3, y), $y \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, by looking at the third column of Table 2, we can see that $T_n^k = T_{n-3}^k - 3$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A second example of this fact can be found by observing that the first two columns of Table 2 are equal.

Acknowledgements We thank Stacey Law for carefully reading an earlier version of this article and for providing us with many helpful comments and suggestions. We are also grateful to the referees for several useful comments that improved our article.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Firenze within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Erdős, P., Lehner, J.: The distribution of the number of summands in the partitions of a positive integer. Duke Math. J. 8, 335–345 (1941)
- Fulton, W.: Young tableaux, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1997), With applications to representation theory and geometry
- 3. Giannelli, E.: Characters of odd degree of symmetric groups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (1) 96, 1-14 (2017)
- Giannelli, E.: McKay bijections for symmetric and alternating groups. Algebra Number Theory 15(7), 1809–1835 (2021)
- Giannelli, E., Law, S.: Sylow branching coefficients for symmetric groups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 103, 697–728 (2021)
- Giannelli, E., Navarro, G.: Restricting irreducible characters to Sylow *p*-subgroups. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 146(5), 1963–1976 (2018)
- 7. Isaacs, I.M.: Character Theory of Finite Groups. Dover, New York (1976)
- Isaacs, I.M., Navarro, G., Olsson, J.B., Tiep, P.H.: Character restriction and multiplicities in symmetric groups. J. Algebra 478, 271–282 (2017)
- James, G., Kerber, A.: The representation theory of the symmetric group, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 16, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., (1981)
- James, G.: The representation theory of the symmetric groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 682. Springer, Berlin (1978)
- 11. Navarro, G.: Character Theory and the McKay Conjecture. Cambridge University Press (2018)
- 12. Olsson, J.B.: McKay numbers and heights of characters. Math. Scand. 38(1), 25-42 (1976)
- Volpato, G.: Representations of Symmetric groups and Sylow subgroups, PhD Thesis, Università degli Studi di Firenze

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.