



## Correction to: Infinitesimal Lyapunov functions for singular flows

Vitor Araujo<sup>1</sup> · Luciana Salgado<sup>2</sup>

Published online: 2 November 2021  
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

**Correction to: Math. Z. (2013) 275:863–897**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-013-1163-8>

- On p. 874: In the statement of Lemma 2.2, which reads “Let  $V$  be a real finite dimensional vector space endowed with a *non-positive definite* and non-degenerate quadratic form”, should read “Let  $V$  be a real finite dimensional vector space endowed with a *indefinite* non-degenerate quadratic form”.
- On p. 875 at item (2) of Theorem 2.7, the last line should be replaced by:

In particular, we get  $\partial_t \log |\mathcal{J}(A_t(x)v)| \geq \delta(X_t(x))$ ,  $x \in V$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $v \in E_x$  and  $\mathcal{J}(v) > 0$ ; or  $\partial_t \log |\mathcal{J}(A_t(x)v)| \leq \delta(X_t(x))$ ,  $x \in V$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $v \in E_x$  and  $\mathcal{J}(v) < 0$ .

- On p. 876: although not used anywhere in the article, item (5) of Theorem 2.7 is false. The proof presented in p. 878 has a wrong sign in the calculation. The corrected calculation gives only  $\partial_t \left( \frac{|\mathcal{J}(A_t(x)w)|}{|\mathcal{J}(A_t(x)v)|} \right) |_{t=0} \geq 0$  for the  $\mathcal{J}$ -separated cocycle  $A_t(x)$  and strictly positive if  $A_t(x)$  is a strictly  $\mathcal{J}$ -separated cocycle.
- On p. 884: items (1) and (2) in the statement of Theorem 2.23 in [1] need to be corrected, since [1, Example 5 with index 2] shows that hyperbolic behavior of the subbundles cannot be expressed as necessary and sufficient conditions using the sign of the function  $\delta$ . Item (3) of [1, Thm. 2.7] is correct. We reformulate the statement of items (1) and (2) as follows.

**Theorem 0.1** [1, Theorem 2.23] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a compact invariant set for  $X_t$  admitting a dominated splitting  $E_\Gamma = F_- \oplus F_+$  for a linear multiplicative cocycle  $A_t(x)$  over  $\Gamma$  with values*

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-013-1163-8>.

---

✉ Vitor Araujo  
vitor.d.araujo@ufba.br  
Luciana Salgado  
lsalgado@im.ufrj.br

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Av. Adhemar de Barros, S/N, Ondina, 40170-110 Salvador, BA, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Avenida Athos da Silveira Ramos 149, CT Bloco C, Cidade Universitária, P.O. Box 68530, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-909, Brazil

in  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a  $C^1$  field of indefinite quadratic forms such that  $A_t(x)$  is strictly  $\mathcal{J}$ -separated admitting a function  $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as given in Theorem 2.7. Then

- (1) If  $\Delta_s^t(x) \xrightarrow{(t-s) \rightarrow +\infty} -\infty$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ , then  $F_-$  is a uniformly contracted subbundle.
- (2) If  $\Delta_s^t(x) \xrightarrow{(t-s) \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ , then  $F_+$  is a uniformly expanding subbundle.

Since the short proof of these items uses the corrected expressions in item (2) of Theorem 2.7, we present it below.

**Proof** If  $\Delta_0^t(x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} -\infty$ , then from item (2) of [1, Thm 2.7] we get  $\frac{\mathcal{J}(A_t(x)v)}{\mathcal{J}(v)} \leq e^{\Delta_0^t(x)} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $v \in F_-(x)$ . So  $F_-$  is uniformly contracted, by [1, Lemmas 2.18 & 2.24].

If  $\Delta_s^t(x) \xrightarrow{(t-s) \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty$ , then analogously  $\frac{\mathcal{J}(A_t(x)v)}{\mathcal{J}(v)} \geq e^{\Delta_0^t(x)} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $v \in F_+(x)$ . So  $F_+$  is uniformly contracted, again by [1, Lemmas 2.18 & 2.24].  $\square$

## Reference

1. Araujo, V., Salgado, L.: Infinitesimal Lyapunov functions for singular flows. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **275**, 863–897 (2013)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.