



Topological stable rank of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$

Amol Sasane¹

Received: 2 October 2018 / Accepted: 8 October 2019 / Published online: 15 November 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

The set $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ of all compactly supported distributions, with the operations of addition, convolution, multiplication by complex scalars, and with the strong dual topology is a topological algebra. In this article, it is shown that the topological stable rank of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is 2.

Keywords K -theory · Compactly supported distributions

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 46F10; Secondary 19B10 · 19K99 · 46H05

1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to show that the topological stable rank (a notion from topological K -theory, recalled below) of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is 2, where $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is the classical topological algebra of compactly supported distributions, with the strong dual topology $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$, pointwise vector space operations, and convolution taken as multiplication.

We recall some key notation and facts about $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ in Sect. 2 below, including its strong dual topology $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$, and in Sect. 3, we will recall the notion of topological stable rank of a topological algebra.

We will prove our main result, stated below, in Sects. 4 and 5.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ be the algebra of all compactly supported distributions on \mathbb{R} , with*

- *pointwise addition, and pointwise multiplication by complex scalars,*
- *convolution taken as the multiplication in the algebra, and*
- *the strong dual topology $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$.*

Then the topological stable rank of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to 2.

2 The topological algebra $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$

For background on topological vector spaces and distributions, we refer to [2,6,7,11–13,16].

✉ Amol Sasane
A.J.Sasane@lse.ac.uk

¹ Department of Mathematics, London School of Economics, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, UK

Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that are infinitely many times differentiable. We equip $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets for the function and its derivatives. This is defined by the following family of seminorms: for a compact subset K of \mathbb{R} , and $M \in \{0, 1, 2, 3 \dots\} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$p_{K,M}(\varphi) = \sup_{0 \leq m \leq M} \sup_{x \in K} |\varphi^{(m)}(x)| \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ is

- metrizable,
- a Fréchet space, and
- a Montel space;

see e.g. [7, Example 3, p.239].

By a topological algebra, we mean the following:

Definition 2.1 (*Topological algebra*) A complex algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *topological algebra* if it is equipped with a topology \mathcal{T} making the following maps continuous, with the product topologies on the domains:

- $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \ni (a, b) \mapsto a + b \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A} \ni (\lambda, a) \mapsto \lambda \cdot a \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \ni (a, b) \mapsto ab \in \mathcal{A}$

We equip the dual space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ with the strong dual topology $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$, defined by the seminorms

$$p_B(T) = \sup_{\varphi \in B} |\langle T, \varphi \rangle|,$$

for bounded subsets B of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, being the strong dual of the Montel space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, is a Montel space too [12, 5.9, p. 147]. This has the consequence that a sequence in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is convergent in the $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ topology if and only if it is convergent in the weak dual/weak-* topology $\sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ of pointwise convergence on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$; see e.g. [16, Corollary 1, p. 358].

As usual, let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of all compactly supported functions from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of all distributions. The vector space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ can be identified with the subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of all distributions having compact support. If $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ is also equipped with its strong dual topology, then one has a continuous injection $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. For $T, S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, we define their convolution $T * S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\langle T * S, \varphi \rangle = \left\langle T, \left[x \mapsto \langle S, \varphi(x + \cdot) \rangle \right] \right\rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The map $*$: $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is (jointly) continuous; see for instance [13, Chapter VI, §3, Theorem IV, p. 157].

Thus $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, endowed with the strong dual topology, forms a topological algebra with pointwise vector space operations, and with convolution taken as multiplication. The multiplicative identity element is δ_0 , the Dirac delta distribution supported at 0. In general, we will denote by δ_a the Dirac delta distribution supported at $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

We also recall that the Fourier–Laplace transform of a compactly supported distribution $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is an entire function, given by

$$\widehat{T}(z) = \left\langle T, \left(x \mapsto e^{-2\pi i x z} \right) \right\rangle \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}),$$

see e.g. [16, Proposition 29.1, p. 307].

3 Topological stable rank

An analogue of the Bass stable rank (useful in algebraic K -theory) for topological rings, called the topological stable rank, was introduced in the seminal article [10].

Definition 3.1 (*Unimodular tuple, Topological stable rank*)

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative unital topological algebra with multiplicative identity element denoted by 1, endowed with a topology \mathcal{T} .

We define $\mathcal{A}^n := \mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}$ (n times), endowed with the product topology.

- (Unimodular n -tuple) Let $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. We call an n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ *unimodular* if there exists an n -tuple $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ such that the Aryabhata-Bézout equation $a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_nb_n = 1$ is satisfied. The set of all unimodular n -tuples is denoted by $U_n(\mathcal{A})$. Note that $U_1(\mathcal{A})$ is the group of invertible elements of \mathcal{A} . An element from $U_2(\mathcal{A})$ is referred to as a *coprime* pair. It can be seen that if $U_n(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in \mathcal{A}^n , then $U_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in \mathcal{A}^{n+1} .
- (Topological stable rank) If there exists a least natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $U_n(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in \mathcal{A}^n , then that n is called the *topological stable rank* of \mathcal{A} , denoted by $\text{tsr } \mathcal{A}$. If no such n exists, then $\text{tsr } \mathcal{A}$ is said to be infinite.

While the notion of topological stable rank was introduced in the context of *Banach* algebras, the above extends this notion in a natural manner to topological algebras. The topological stable rank of many concrete Banach algebras has been determined previously in several works (e.g. [5,14,15]). In this article, we determine the topological stable rank of the classical topological algebra $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ from Schwartz’s distribution theory.

4 $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) \geq 2$

The idea is that if $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ were 1, then we could approximate any T from $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ by compactly supported distributions whose Fourier transform would be zero-free, and by an application of Hurwitz Theorem, \widehat{T} would need to be zero-free too, which gives a contradiction, since we can easily choose T at the outset to not allow this.

Proposition 4.1 $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) \geq 2$.

Proof Suppose on the contrary that $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) = 1$. Let

$$T = \frac{\delta_{-1} - \delta_1}{2i} \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

By our assumption, $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. But then the set $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is also sequentially dense: This is a consequence of the fact that a subset F of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is closed in $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ if and only if it is sequentially closed. (See [9, Satz 3.5, p.231], which says that E' , with the $\beta(E', E)$ -topology, is sequential whenever E is Fréchet–Montel. A locally convex space F is *sequential* if any subset of F is closed if and only if it is sequentially closed. If F has this property, then the closure of any subset equals its sequential closure, and therefore being dense is the same as being sequentially dense. In our case, $E = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet–Montel, and so $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is sequential. In fact, in the remark following [9, Satz 3.5], the case of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is mentioned as a corollary.)

Thus there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $T_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} T$ in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. But since each T_n is invertible in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$T_n * S_n = \delta_0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the Fourier–Laplace transform, we obtain

$$\widehat{T}_n(z) \cdot \widehat{S}_n(z) = 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, the entire functions \widehat{T}_n are all zero-free.

But as $T_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} T$ in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, we now show that $(\widehat{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to \widehat{T} uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The pointwise convergence of $(\widehat{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to \widehat{T} is clear by taking the test function $x \mapsto e^{-2\pi i x z}$:

$$\widehat{T}_n(z) = \langle T_n, e^{-2\pi i z \cdot} \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, e^{-2\pi i z \cdot} \rangle = \widehat{T}(z).$$

Now for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, we know that the sequence $(\langle T_n, \varphi \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\langle T, \varphi \rangle$, and in particular, the set

$$\Gamma(\varphi) := \{\langle T_n, \varphi \rangle : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is bounded, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$. By the Banach–Steinhaus Theorem for Fréchet spaces (see for example [11, Theorem 2.6, p.45]), applied in our case to the Fréchet space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, we conclude that

$$\Gamma = \{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is equicontinuous. Thus for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighbourhood V of 0 in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $T_n(V) \subset B(0, \epsilon) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \epsilon\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From here it follows that there exist $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $R > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|\langle T_n, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \left(1 + \sup_{0 \leq m \leq M} \sup_{|x| \leq R} |\varphi^{(m)}(x)| \right).$$

By taking $\varphi = (x \mapsto e^{-2\pi i x z})$ in the above, we obtain

$$|\widehat{T}_n(z)| \leq C'(1 + |z|)^{M'} e^{R'|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also, by the Payley–Wiener–Schwartz Theorem [2, Theorem 4.12, p. 139] for $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$|\widehat{T}(z)| \leq C''(1 + |z|)^{M''} e^{R''|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It now follows that for some constants C_*, M_*, R_* that

$$|\widehat{T}_n(z) - \widehat{T}(z)| \leq C_*(1 + |z|)^{M_*} e^{R_*|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

But this means that the pointwise convergent sequence $(\widehat{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of entire functions is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} (that is, the sequence constitutes a normal family). Then it follows from Montel’s Theorem (see e.g. [17, Exercise 9.4, p. 157]) that $(\widehat{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to \widehat{T} uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

But now by Hurwitz Theorem (see e.g. [17, Exercise 5.6, p.85]), and considering, say, the compact set $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$, we conclude that \widehat{T} must be either be identically zero on K or that it must be zero-free in K . But \widehat{T} is neither:

$$\widehat{T}(z) = \frac{e^{2\pi iz} - e^{-2\pi iz}}{2i} = \sin(2\pi z),$$

a contradiction. Hence $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) \geq 2$. □

An alternative Proof of Proposition 4.1, suggested by Peter Wagner, is as follows. The theorem of supports ([6, Theorem 4.3.3]) implies that $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ equals the set of nonzero multiples of δ_a for arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and this set is not dense in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. We give the details below. First, one can show the following structure result for $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proposition 4.2 $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) = \{c\delta_a : a \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proof It is clear that $\{c\delta_a : a \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ since

$$(c\delta_a) * (c^{-1}\delta_{-a}) = \delta_0.$$

Now suppose that $T \in U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$. Then there exists an $S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that $T * S = \delta_0$. By the Theorem on Supports [6, Theorem 4.3.3, p. 107], we have

$$\text{c.h. supp}(T * S) = \text{c.h. supp}(T) + \text{c.h. supp}(S),$$

where, for a distribution $E \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, the notation $\text{c.h. supp}(E)$ is used for the closed convex hull of $\text{supp}(E)$, that is, the intersection of all closed convex sets containing $\text{supp}(E)$. So we obtain

$$\{0\} = \text{c.h. supp}(\delta_0) = \text{c.h. supp}(T * S) = \text{c.h. supp}(T) + \text{c.h. supp}(S),$$

from which it follows that $\text{c.h. supp}(T) = \{a\}$ and $\text{c.h. supp}(S) = \{-a\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. But then also $\text{supp}(T) = \{a\}$ and $\text{supp}(S) = \{-a\}$. As distributions with support in a point p are linear combinations of the Dirac delta distribution δ_p and its derivatives $\delta_p^{(n)}$ [16, Theorem 24.6, p. 266], we conclude that S and T have the form

$$T = \sum_{n=0}^N t_n \delta_a^{(n)},$$

$$S = \sum_{m=0}^M s_m \delta_{-a}^{(m)},$$

for some integers $N, M \geq 0$ and some complex numbers t_n, s_m ($0 \leq n \leq N, 0 \leq m \leq M$). Now $T * S = \delta_0$ implies that $N = M = 0$ and $t_0 s_0 = 1$, thanks to the linear independence of the set

$$\{\delta_{-a}, \delta'_{-a}, \delta''_{-a}, \dots\} \cup \{\delta_0, \delta'_0, \delta''_0, \dots\} \cup \{\delta_a, \delta'_a, \delta''_a, \dots\}$$

in the complex vector space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. In particular $t_0 \neq 0$. Thus

$$T = t_0 \delta_a \in \{c\delta_p : p \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Consequently, $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) = \{c\delta_a : a \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}\}$. □

Based on the above, we can now give the following alternative proof of Proposition 4.1.

Proof We show $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is not dense in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. If it were, then it would be sequentially dense too, and so for each element T of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, there would exist a sequence in $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ that converges to T in the $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ topology, and hence also in the $\sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$

topology. But we now show that $\delta'_0 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ cannot be approximated in the $\sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ topology by elements from $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) = \{c\delta_a : a \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $(c_n\delta_{a_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to δ'_0 in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$.

We first note that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. For if not, then there exists a subsequence $(a_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|a_{n_k}| > 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now choose a $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi'(0) = 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$. Then we arrive at the contradiction that

$$0 = c_{n_k} \cdot 0 = c_{n_k} \cdot \varphi(a_{n_k}) = \langle c_{n_k}\delta_{a_{n_k}}, \varphi \rangle \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \delta'_0, \varphi \rangle = -\varphi'(0) = -1.$$

So $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

Next we show that $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0. Let $R > 0$ be such that $|a_n| < R$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[-R, R]$. Then we have

$$c_n = c_n \cdot 1 = c_n \cdot \psi(a_n) = \langle c_n\delta_{a_n}, \psi \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \delta'_0, \psi \rangle = -\psi'(0) = 0.$$

Finally, we show that $(c_n\delta_{a_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. For any $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$|\langle c_n\delta_{a_n}, \chi \rangle| = |c_n| \cdot |\chi(a_n)| \leq |c_n| \cdot \|\chi\|_\infty \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \cdot \|\chi\|_\infty = 0.$$

So $(c_n\delta_{a_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. But this is a contradiction, since $0 \neq \delta'_0$ in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is not dense in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, and so $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) \geq 2$. □

5 $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) \leq 2$

The idea is to reduce the determination of $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ to $\text{tsr}(\mathbb{C}[z])$ of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z]$ as follows. Given a pair from $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, we use mollification to make a pair in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, and then approximate the resulting smooth functions by a linear combination of Dirac distributions with uniform spacing. The uniform spacing affords the identification of the linear combination of Dirac deltas with the ring of polynomials.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the collection \mathbf{D}_n of all ‘finitely supported Dirac delta combs’ with spacing $1/n$ by

$$\mathbf{D}_n := \text{span} \{ \delta_{k/n} : k \in \mathbb{Z} \},$$

where ‘span’ means the set of all (finite) linear combinations.

Lemma 5.1 (Approximating a pair of Dirac combs by a unimodular pair) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T, S \in \mathbf{D}_n$. Then there exist sequences $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbf{D}_n , which converge to T, S , respectively, in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, and hence¹ also in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, and are such that for each k , $(T_k, S_k) \in U_2(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof Write $T = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L t_\ell \delta_{\ell/n}$, and $S = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L s_\ell \delta_{\ell/n}$, for some $L \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_\ell, s_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} p_T &:= t_{-L} + t_{-L+1}z + \cdots + t_L z^{2L}, \\ p_S &:= s_{-L} + s_{-L+1}z + \cdots + s_L z^{2L}. \end{aligned}$$

For a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\epsilon = 1/(2^k \cdot 2L) > 0$. Then we can perturb the coefficients of the polynomials p_T, p_S within a distance of ϵ to make them have no common zeros, that is after

¹ Because $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is a Montel space; see [16, Corollary 1, p. 358].

perturbation of coefficients they are coprime in the ring $\mathbb{C}[z]$. Indeed any polynomial p_T, p_S can be factorized as

$$p_T = C \prod (z - \alpha_\ell), \quad p_S = C' \prod (z - \beta_\ell),$$

and if there is some common zero $\alpha_\ell = \beta_{\ell'}$, we simply replace $\beta_{\ell'}$ by $\beta_{\ell'} + \epsilon'$ with an ϵ' small enough so that the final coefficients (of this new perturbed polynomial obtained from p_S), which are polynomial functions of the zeros, lie within the desired ϵ distance of the coefficients of p_S . So we can choose $\tilde{t}_{-L,k}, \dots, \tilde{t}_{L,k}$ and $\tilde{s}_{-L,k}, \dots, \tilde{s}_{L,k}$ such that for all $\ell = -L, \dots, L$, we have

$$|t_\ell - \tilde{t}_{\ell,k}| < \frac{1}{2k} \cdot \frac{1}{2L} \quad \text{and} \quad |s_\ell - \tilde{s}_{\ell,k}| < \frac{1}{2k} \cdot \frac{1}{2L},$$

and so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{T,k} &:= \tilde{t}_{-L,k} + \tilde{t}_{-L+1,k}z + \dots + \tilde{t}_{L,k}z^{2L}, \\ \tilde{p}_{S,k} &:= \tilde{s}_{-L,k} + \tilde{s}_{-L+1,k}z + \dots + \tilde{s}_{L,k}z^{2L} \end{aligned}$$

have no common zeros. Thus $\tilde{p}_{T,k}, \tilde{p}_{S,k}$ are coprime in $\mathbb{C}[z]$, and hence there exist polynomials $q_{T,k}, q_{S,k} \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ ([1, Corollary 8.5, p. 374]) such that

$$\tilde{p}_{T,k} \cdot q_{T,k} + \tilde{p}_{S,k} \cdot q_{S,k} = 1.$$

Set $Q_{T,k} := z^L q_{T,k}$ and $Q_{S,k} := z^L q_{S,k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T,k} &:= \tilde{t}_{-L,k}z^{-L} + \tilde{t}_{-L+1,k}z^{-L+1} + \dots + \tilde{t}_{L,k}z^L, \\ P_{S,k} &:= \tilde{s}_{-L,k}z^{-L} + \tilde{s}_{-L+1,k}z^{-L+1} + \dots + \tilde{s}_{L,k}z^L. \end{aligned}$$

Then in the ring $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ of linear combinations of monomials z^n , where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}[z, w]/\langle zw - 1 \rangle$; see for example [1, p. 367]), we have

$$P_{T,k} \cdot Q_{T,k} + P_{S,k} \cdot Q_{S,k} = 1. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that $Q_{T,k}$ and $Q_{S,k}$ have the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{T,k} &= \tau_{L',k}z^{L+L'} + \tau_{L'-1,k}z^{L+L'-1} + \dots + \tau_{0,k}z^L, \\ Q_{S,k} &= \sigma_{L',k}z^{L+L'} + \sigma_{L'-1,k}z^{L+L'-1} + \dots + \sigma_{0,k}z^L. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, set

$$T_k := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{t}_{\ell,k} \delta_{\ell/n}, \quad S_k := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{s}_{\ell,k} \delta_{\ell/n},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_k &:= \tau_{L',k} \delta_{(L+L')/n} + \tau_{L'-1,k} \delta_{(L+L'-1)/n} + \dots + \tau_{0,k} \delta_{L/n}, \\ V_k &:= \sigma_{L',k} \delta_{(L+L')/n} + \sigma_{L'-1,k} \delta_{(L+L'-1)/n} + \dots + \sigma_{0,k} \delta_{L/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (1) that

$$T_k * U_k + S_k * V_k = \delta_0. \tag{2}$$

To see this, we note that $\Phi : \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ given by

$$\Phi(z) = \delta_{1/n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(1) = \delta_0$$

defines a ring homomorphism, and then (2) above follows by applying Φ on both sides of (1). Hence $(T_k, U_k) \in U_2(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$. Also, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle (T - T_k), \varphi \rangle \right| &= \left| \sum_{\ell=-L}^L (t_\ell - \tilde{t}_{\ell,k}) \langle \delta_{\ell/n}, \varphi \rangle \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{1}{2L} \cdot 2L \cdot \sup_{x \in [-\frac{L}{n}, \frac{L}{n}]} |\varphi(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \sup_{x \in [-\frac{L}{n}, \frac{L}{n}]} |\varphi(x)| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} T$ in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. But then this convergence is also valid in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, by [16, Corollary 1, p. 358], since $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is a Montel space. Similarly, $S_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} S$ in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and again, the convergence holds in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.2 (Approximation in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ by Dirac combs)

Let $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_n \in \mathbf{D}_n$, and
- $T_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} T$ in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, and hence also in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$.

Proof Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the support of T is contained in $(-k, k)$. We first produce a mollified approximating sequence for T . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be any test function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $[-a, a]$ for some $a > 0$, and such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx = 1.$$

Then we know that if we define $\varphi_m(x) := m \cdot \varphi(mx)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), then for each m ,

$$f_m := T * \varphi_m$$

is a smooth function having a compact support, and moreover,

$$T * \varphi_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} T$$

in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$; see for example [2, Theorem 3.3, p.97]. So the convergence is also valid in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. Moreover, as the support of $f_m = T * \varphi_m$ is contained in the sum of the supports of φ_m and of T , for all m large enough, say $m \geq M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(T * \varphi_m) &\subset \text{supp}(T) + \text{supp}(\varphi_m) \\ &\subset \text{supp}(T) + [-a/m, a/m] \\ &\subset [-k, k]. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we will assume that $m \geq M$, so that $\text{supp}(f_m) \subset [-k, k]$. Now we will approximate f_m by Dirac comb elements. To this end, we define

$$T_{m,n} := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k}{n} \cdot f_m \left(-k + \frac{2k}{n} \ell \right) \cdot \delta_{-k + \frac{2k}{n} \ell} \in \mathbf{D}_n.$$

We will show that $T_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{m,n}, \psi \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k}{n} \cdot f_m\left(-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell\right) \cdot \delta_{-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell}, \psi \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k}{n} \cdot f_m\left(-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell\right) \langle \delta_{-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell}, \psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k}{n} \cdot f_m\left(-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell\right) \cdot \psi\left(-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle T_{m,n}, \psi \rangle$ gives a Riemann sum for the integral of the continuous function $f_m\psi$ with compact support contained in $[-k, k]$, giving

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle T_{m,n}, \psi \rangle - \langle f_m, \psi \rangle| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k}{n} \cdot f_m\left(-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell\right) \cdot \psi\left(-k + \frac{2k}{n}\ell\right) - \int_{-k}^k f_m(x)\psi(x)dx \right| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_{m,n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$. As $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is a Montel space, this convergence is also valid in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, and the proof is completed. \square

Proposition 5.3 $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) \leq 2$.

Proof Let $T, S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. Throughout this proof, $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the strong dual topology $\beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$, and then $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2 = \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is equipped the product topology. Let V be a neighbourhood of (T, S) in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$. By Lemma 5.2, it follows that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{D}_n \times \mathbf{D}_n)$

is sequentially dense, and hence dense, in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$.

Thus there exists a pair $(T_*, S_*) \in V \cap (\mathbf{D}_n \times \mathbf{D}_n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a sequence $(T_k, S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(\mathbf{D}_n \times \mathbf{D}_n) \cap U_2(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ that converges to (T_*, S_*) in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$. Since V is also a neighbourhood of (T_*, S_*) in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$, there exists an index K large enough so that for all $k > K$, $(T_k, S_k) \in V$.

Consequently, $U_2(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 It follows from Propositions 4.1 and 5.3 that the topological stable rank of $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), +, \cdot, *, \beta(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$ is equal to 2. \square

Remarks 5.4 1. From the proofs, it is clear that we have shown that $U_1(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is not dense in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, while $U_2(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ is sequentially dense, and hence dense, in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$ endowed with the product topology with $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ bearing the $\sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ topology.

However, we note that $*$: $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is not continuous if we use the $\sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E})$ topology on $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$: For example, in $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$, we have that $\delta_{\pm n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, so that in the product topology on $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))^2$, we have $(\delta_n, \delta_{-n}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (0, 0)$. But on the other hand, we have $\delta_n * \delta_{-n} = \delta_{n-n} = \delta_0 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0 \neq 0 = 0 * 0$. So $(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}), +, \cdot, *, \sigma(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}))$ is not a topological algebra in the sense of our Definition 2.1.

2. We remark that in higher dimensions, with a similar analysis, it can be shown that $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)) \leq d + 1$.
3. The Bass stable rank (a notion from algebraic K -theory, recalled below) of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is not known.

If \mathcal{A} is a commutative unital ring, then $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) \in U_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ is called *reducible* if there exists an n -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ such that $(a_1 + \alpha_1 b, \dots, a_n + \alpha_n b) \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$. It can be seen that if every element of $U_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible, then every element of $U_{n+2}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible too. The *Bass stable rank* of \mathcal{A} , denoted by $\text{bsr } \mathcal{A}$, is the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every element in $U_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ is reducible, and if no such n exists, then $\text{bsr } \mathcal{A} := \infty$. It is known that for commutative unital Banach algebras \mathcal{A} , $\text{bsr } \mathcal{A} \leq \text{tsr } \mathcal{A}$ [4, Theorem 3]. But the validity of such an inequality in the context of topological algebras does not seem to be known. We conjecture that $\text{bsr}(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})) = 2$.

- There are also several other natural convolution algebras of distributions on \mathbb{R} , for example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_{>0}(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp}(T) \text{ is bounded on the left}\}, \\ \mathcal{D}'_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp}(T) \subset [0, \infty)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we leave the determination of the stable ranks of these algebras as open questions.

- [8, Corollary 3.1] gives a ‘corona-type’ pointwise condition for coprimeness in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, reminiscent of the famous Carleson corona condition² of coprimeness in the Banach algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$:

$T_1, T_2 \in U_2(\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}))$ if and only if there exist positive C, N, M such that for all numbers $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\widehat{T}_1(z)| + |\widehat{T}_2(z)| \geq C(1 + |z|^2)^{-N} e^{-M|\text{Im}(z)|}$.

Acknowledgements I thank Professor Michael Kunzinger (University of Vienna) for answering my query on whether density in \mathcal{E}' implies sequential density, for the reference [9], and for useful comments. I also thank Professor Peter Wagner (University of Innsbruck) for showing me the alternative approach to establishing Proposition 4.1, which we have included in this article (Proposition 4.2).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Artin, M.: Algebra. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1991)
- Barros-Neto, J.: An Introduction to the Theory of Distributions. Marcel Dekker, New York (1973)
- Carleson, L.: Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem. *Ann. Math.* **2**(76), 547–559 (1962)
- Corach, G., Laroitonda, A.: Stable range in Banach algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **32**, 289–300 (1984)
- Dales, G., Feinstein, J.: Banach function algebras with dense invertible group. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **136**, 1295–1304 (2008)
- Hörmander, L.: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. Volume I, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (1990)
- Horváth, J.: Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions, vol. I. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1966)
- Maad Sasane, S., Sasane, A.: Generators for rings of compactly supported distributions. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **69**, 63–71 (2011)
- Oberguggenberger, M.: Über Folgenkonvergenz in lokalkonvexen Räumen. *Math. Nachr.* **129**, 219–234 (1986)
- Rieffel, M.: Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46**, 301–333 (1983)
- Rudin, W.: Functional Analysis, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill, New York (1991)

² The Hardy algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded and holomorphic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The Carleson Corona Theorem [3] says that $(f_1, f_2) \in U_2(H^\infty(\mathbb{D}))$ if and only if there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $|f_1(z)| + |f_2(z)| > \delta$.

12. Schaefer, H.: Topological Vector Spaces. Third Printing Corrected. GTM 3. Springer, Berlin (1971)
13. Schwartz, L.: Théorie des Distributions. Hermann, Paris (1966)
14. Suárez, D.: Trivial Gleason parts and the topological stable rank of H^∞ . Am. J. Math. **118**, 879–904 (1996)
15. Suárez, D.: The algebra of almost periodic functions has infinite topological stable rank. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **124**, 873–876 (1996)
16. Trèves, F.: Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels. Dover, New York (2006)
17. Ullrich, D.C.: Complex Made Simple. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol 97, pp. xii+489, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/097>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.