



The length and depth of compact Lie groups

Timothy C. Burness¹ · Martin W. Liebeck² · Aner Shalev³

Received: 24 May 2018 / Accepted: 9 April 2019 / Published online: 16 May 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Let G be a connected Lie group. An unrefinable chain of G is defined to be a chain of subgroups $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_t = 1$, where each G_i is a maximal connected subgroup of G_{i-1} . In this paper, we introduce the notion of the length (respectively, depth) of G , defined as the maximal (respectively, minimal) length of such a chain, and we establish several new results for compact groups. In particular, we compute the exact length and depth of every compact simple Lie group, and draw conclusions for arbitrary connected compact Lie groups G . We obtain best possible bounds on the length of G in terms of its dimension, and characterize the connected compact Lie groups that have equal length and depth. The latter result generalizes a well known theorem of Iwasawa for finite groups. More generally, we establish a best possible upper bound on $\dim G'$ in terms of the chain difference of G , which is its length minus its depth.

1 Introduction

The maximum length of a chain of subgroups of a finite group G is called the *length* of G . This invariant arises naturally in several different contexts and it has been the subject of numerous papers since the 1960s (see [6,9,11,12,17,20,21], for example). The dual notion of *depth* is defined to be the minimal length of a chain of subgroups

Martin W. Liebeck and Aner Shalev acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140 while they were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2018 semester. Aner Shalev acknowledges the support of ISF Grant 686/17 and the Vinik chair of mathematics which he holds.

✉ Timothy C. Burness
t.burness@bristol.ac.uk

Martin W. Liebeck
m.liebeck@imperial.ac.uk

Aner Shalev
shalev@math.huji.ac.il

¹ School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, UK

² Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, UK

³ Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_t = 1, \tag{1}$$

where each G_i is a maximal subgroup of G_{i-1} . The depth of finite solvable groups was studied by Kohler [14], and more generally by Shareshian and Woodrooffe [19] in relation to lattice theory. We refer the reader to [3,5] for recent work on the length and depth of finite groups and finite simple groups.

In [4], we extended these notions to algebraic groups. Let G be a connected algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. The *length* and *depth* of G , denoted by $l(G)$ and $\lambda(G)$, are defined to be the maximal and minimal length of a chain of subgroups as in (1), respectively, where each G_i is a maximal connected subgroup of G_{i-1} . The length of G can be computed precisely. Indeed, if B is a Borel subgroup of $\bar{G} = G/R_u(G)$ and r is the semisimple rank of \bar{G} , then [4, Theorem 1] states that

$$l(G) = \dim R_u(G) + \dim B + r. \tag{2}$$

For simple algebraic groups, this generalizes a theorem of Solomon and Turull [21, Theorem A*] on finite quasisimple groups. Several results on the depth of algebraic groups are also established in [4]. For example, if $p = 0$ we can calculate the exact depth of every simple algebraic group G , obtaining $\lambda(G) \leq 6$, with equality if and only if G is of type A_6 (see [4, Theorem 4]). We also show that the depth of an algebraic group behaves rather differently in positive characteristic. For example, [4, Theorem 5(iii)] states that the depth of a simple classical type algebraic group tends to infinity with the rank of the group.

In this paper we initiate the study of length and depth for connected Lie groups. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with compact real form \mathfrak{g}_0 (so \mathfrak{g}_0 is a compact Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{g}$). Under the Lie correspondence, \mathfrak{g}_0 is the Lie algebra of a compact semisimple (real) Lie group G . We write $G(\mathbb{C})$ for the corresponding complex Lie group, which can be viewed as a semisimple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} . For example, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $G = \mathrm{SU}_n$ and $G(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Up to isomorphism and isogenies, the compact simple Lie groups are as follows:

$$\mathrm{SU}_n \ (n \geq 2), \ \mathrm{Sp}_n \ (n \geq 4 \text{ even}), \ \mathrm{SO}_n \ (n \geq 7), \ G_2, \ F_4, \ E_6, \ E_7, \ E_8. \tag{3}$$

More generally, it is well known that any compact connected Lie group G is of the form $G = G'Z(G)^0$, where G' is a commuting product of compact simple Lie groups and $Z(G)^0$ is a torus (a direct product of k copies of $T \cong \mathrm{SO}_2$, the circle group). We will write Cl_n to denote any one of the classical type groups SU_n , Sp_n and SO_n . We will also write T_k for a k -dimensional torus.

It is natural to transfer the definition of length and depth from algebraic groups to Lie groups. So we define the length $l(G)$ of a connected Lie group G to be the maximal length of a chain of (closed) subgroups as in (1), where each G_i is a maximal connected subgroup of G_{i-1} (a sequence of subgroups with this property is called an *unrefinable chain*). Similarly, the depth $\lambda(G)$ is the minimal length of such a chain. Note that these parameters are independent of any choice of isogeny type. In particular, we may (and will) always assume that if G is a nonabelian compact connected Lie group, then $G' = \prod_i S_i$ is a commuting product of simple groups given in (3).

We are now ready to state our main results. For a classical type group $G = Cl_n$, define

$$f_G(n) = \begin{cases} 2n - 2 & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SU}_n \\ \frac{3}{2}n - 1 & \text{if } G = \mathrm{Sp}_n \\ n + \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SO}_n. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Our first result determines the exact length of every compact simple Lie group.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a compact simple Lie group.*

- (i) *If $G = C_l_n$ is of classical type, then $l(G) = f_G(n)$.*
- (ii) *If G is of exceptional type, then $l(G)$ is as follows:*

G	G_2	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
$l(G)$	5	11	13	17	20

As an immediate corollary, we deduce that

$$2r \leq l(G) < 3r$$

for all compact simple Lie groups G of rank r . In view of (2), we also note that

$$l(G) = O(r^{-1}l(G(\mathbb{C}))).$$

In fact, it is easy to see that $l(G) \geq 2r$, independently of Theorem 1. Indeed, let T be a maximal torus of G , let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a corresponding set of simple roots and consider a chain of Levi subgroups

$$G > L_{r-1} > \dots > L_1 > T,$$

where $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ is the set of simple roots in the root system of L_k . Then we get

$$l(G) \geq l(T) + r = \dim T + r = 2r.$$

We can use Theorem 1 to calculate the length of an arbitrary compact connected Lie group G . Recall that $G = G'Z(G)^0$, where the commutator subgroup G' is semisimple (or trivial) and $Z(G)^0$ is a torus (see [13, Theorem 4.29], for example).

Theorem 2 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group and write $z = \dim Z(G)^0$, $r = \text{rank}(G')$ and $G' = \prod_{i=1}^t S_i$, where each S_i is simple. Then*

$$l(G) = z + \sum_{i=1}^t l(S_i).$$

In particular, $z + 2r \leq l(G) \leq z + 3r - t$.

We now discuss the relationship between the length of a compact Lie group G and its dimension. We trivially have $l(G) \leq \dim G$. The next result shows that $l(G)$ is close to $\dim G$ if and only if G is almost abelian.

Theorem 3 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group.*

- (i) *$l(G) = \dim G$ if and only if G is a torus.*
- (ii) *$\dim G - l(G) \leq \dim G' \leq 3(\dim G - l(G))$.*
- (iii) *For a collection \mathcal{C} of compact connected Lie groups, the set*

$$\{\dim G - l(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is bounded if and only if the set

$$\{\dim G - \dim Z(G)^0 : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is bounded.

Note that part (ii) above implies parts (i) and (iii).

The method of proof of Theorem 3 also enables us to characterize algebraic groups whose length is close to their dimension; see Proposition 4.4 below.

As for lower bounds on $l(G)$ in terms of $\dim G$, we show the following.

Theorem 4 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let $\alpha = \sqrt{248} - \sqrt{128}$. Then*

$$l(G) \geq 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2}(\sqrt{\dim G} - \alpha).$$

In particular, $l(G) \geq (5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} - o(1))\sqrt{\dim G}$, where $o(1) = o_{\dim G}(1)$.

This may be compared with Theorem 3 of [4], showing that $l(G) > \frac{1}{2} \dim G$ for algebraic groups G over algebraically closed fields.

The proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 rely on the classification of compact simple Lie groups and on Theorems 1 and 2 above. The first lower bound in Theorem 4 is best possible; indeed it is attained for $G = E_8$. Similarly, the second lower bound is asymptotically best possible, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(\mathrm{SO}_n)}{\sqrt{\dim \mathrm{SO}_n}} = 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2}.$$

In addition, we characterize compact connected Lie groups G of small length as follows.

Theorem 5 *Let c be a positive integer and let G be a compact connected Lie group satisfying*

$$l(G) \leq 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2}(\sqrt{\dim G} + c).$$

Then either $\dim G$ is c -bounded, or G has a normal simple subgroup of type SO_n of c -bounded codimension.

Here c -bounded means bounded above by some function of c only.

Next we turn to the depth of compact Lie groups. For simple groups, we have the following result (see [4, Theorem 4] for the analogous statement for simple algebraic groups over \mathbb{C}).

Theorem 6 *If G is a compact simple Lie group, then*

$$\lambda(G) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SU}_2 \\ 4 & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SU}_n \ (n \geq 4, n \neq 7), \mathrm{SO}_7, \mathrm{SO}_{2r} \ (r \geq 4), E_6 \\ 5 & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SU}_7 \\ 3 & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\lambda(G) = \lambda(G(\mathbb{C})) - 1$.

We do not obtain a precise formula for the depth of an arbitrary compact Lie group, but we give bounds, as follows.

Theorem 7 (i) *Let S be a compact simple Lie group and let k be a positive integer. Then*

$$\lambda(S^k) = \lambda(S) + k - 1.$$

(ii) *Let G be a compact connected Lie group and set $z = \dim Z(G)^0$ and $G' = \prod_{i=1}^m S_i^{k_i}$, where the S_i are pairwise non-isomorphic simple groups. Then*

$$z + \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i + 1) \leq \lambda(G) \leq z + \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i + \lambda(S_i) - 1).$$

By Theorem 6, the upper bound on $\lambda(G)$ in (ii) is at most $z + 1 + \sum_i (k_i + 3)$.

Our next result concerns compact Lie groups G satisfying the condition $l(G) = \lambda(G)$. Finite groups with this property were characterized by Iwasawa [10] – they are precisely the supersolvable groups. For algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields, a partial result was proved in [4, Theorem 6], but not a full characterization. For compact Lie groups, we prove the following result.

Theorem 8 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then $l(G) = \lambda(G)$ if and only if G is a torus or $G' = \text{SU}_2$.*

More generally, the *chain difference* of G is defined by $\text{cd}(G) = l(G) - \lambda(G)$. This invariant has been studied for finite groups and finite simple groups (see [2,5], for example), with particular interest in groups with chain difference one. Here we determine the compact Lie groups with this property.

Theorem 9 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then $\text{cd}(G) = 1$ if and only if $G' = \text{SU}_3, (\text{SU}_2)^2$ or SU_3SU_2 .*

Our next result bounds the length of G' in terms of the chain difference of G .

Theorem 10 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then $l(G') \leq 2\text{cd}(G) + 2$. Consequently, $\dim G/Z(G)$ is bounded above by a fixed quadratic function of $\text{cd}(G)$.*

The above bound on $l(G')$ is best possible. Indeed, if $G = G' = (\text{SU}_2)^k$ where k is any positive integer, then $l(G) = 2\text{cd}(G) + 2$. The quadratic function mentioned in the second assertion of Theorem 10 is given explicitly at the end of Sect. 4.10.

Combining Theorem 10 with part (iii) of Theorem 3, we immediately obtain the following somewhat surprising consequence.

Corollary 11 *The following are equivalent for a collection \mathcal{C} of compact connected Lie groups.*

- (i) *The set $\{l(G) - \lambda(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is bounded.*
- (ii) *The set $\{\dim G - l(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is bounded.*
- (iii) *The set $\{\dim G - \lambda(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is bounded.*

Indeed, conditions (i)–(iii) are all equivalent to the set $\{\dim G - \dim Z(G)^0 : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$ being bounded.

We refer the reader to [18] for results on the length and depth of non-compact Lie groups.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we prove some results on the subgroup structure of compact Lie groups, in particular determining their maximal connected subgroups. Section 3 contains some further preliminary results on lengths and depths of compact groups which are needed for the proofs of the main results. These proofs are given in Sect. 4.

2 Subgroups of compact Lie groups

The following result provides a close link between the connected subgroups of a compact Lie group G and the connected reductive subgroups of the corresponding complex Lie group. This result is surely well-known, but we have been unable to find a proof in the literature.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $G(\mathbb{C})$ be a complex semisimple Lie group, with compact form G . There is a bijective correspondence between G -conjugacy classes of connected subgroups of G , and $G(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of connected reductive subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof Let \mathcal{X} denote the set of connected subgroups of G , and \mathcal{Y} the set of connected reductive subgroups in $G(\mathbb{C})$. For $X \in \mathcal{X}$, let $X^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ be the complexification of X . Define

$$\phi : \mathcal{X}/G \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}/G(\mathbb{C})$$

to be the map that sends the class X^G to the class $(X^{\mathbb{C}})^{G(\mathbb{C})}$. We shall show that ϕ is a bijection.

To see that ϕ is surjective, let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and let Y_0 be a maximal compact subgroup of Y . Then Y_0 is contained in a maximal compact subgroup G_0 of $G(\mathbb{C})$, which is conjugate to G – say $G = G_0^g$ with $g \in G(\mathbb{C})$. Hence $Y_0^g \leq G$, and Y^g is the complexification of Y_0^g . It follows that the class $Y^{G(\mathbb{C})}$ is in the image of ϕ .

It remains to show that ϕ is injective. Let $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{X}$, and suppose $X_1^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $X_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ are conjugate in $G(\mathbb{C})$, say $X_2^{\mathbb{C}} = (X_1^{\mathbb{C}})^g$. Then X_2 and X_1^g are maximal compact subgroups of $X_2^{\mathbb{C}}$, hence are conjugate in $X_2^{\mathbb{C}}$, so $X_2 = X_1^h$ with $h \in X_2^{\mathbb{C}} \leq G(\mathbb{C})$. Now consider the Cartan decomposition of $G(\mathbb{C})$:

$$G(\mathbb{C}) = GP$$

(see [13, p. 446]). Write $h = kp$ with $k \in G, p \in P$. Then for $x_1 \in X_1$, letting $x_2 = x_1^h$ we have

$$x_1kp = kx_2p^{x_2}.$$

Now $x_1k, kx_2 \in G$ and $p, p^{x_2} \in P$, so by uniqueness in the Cartan decomposition, $x_1k = kx_2$. It follows that $X_1^k = X_2$, so X_1 and X_2 are conjugate in G . This proves the injectivity of ϕ , as required. \square

Since the complexification map $X \rightarrow X^{\mathbb{C}}$ is inclusion-preserving, the following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 *There is a bijective correspondence between conjugacy classes of maximal connected subgroups in G and conjugacy classes of maximal connected reductive subgroups in $G(\mathbb{C})$.*

Here, by maximal connected reductive subgroups in $G(\mathbb{C})$, we mean subgroups that are maximal among connected reductive subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C})$.

In the next two results we use Corollary 2.2, together with known results on the subgroup structure of $G(\mathbb{C})$, to describe the maximal connected subgroups of compact simple Lie groups. For subgroups of maximal rank, this was done by Borel and de Siebenthal [1].

Proposition 2.3 *Let $G = CIn$ be a compact simple Lie group of classical type with natural module V of dimension n over \mathbb{C} , and let M be a maximal connected subgroup of G . Then one of the following holds:*

Table 1 The maximal connected reducible and tensor product subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of classical type

G	Reducible subgroups	Tensor product subgroups ($n = ab$)
SU_n	$(SU_k \times SU_{n-k})T_1$	$SU_a \otimes SU_b$
Sp_n	$Sp_k \times Sp_{n-k}, SU_{n/2}T_1$	$Sp_a \otimes Sp_b$
SO_n	$SO_k \times SO_{n-k}, SU_{n/2}T_1$ (n even)	$SO_a \otimes SO_b, Sp_a \otimes Sp_b$

Table 2 The maximal connected subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of exceptional type

G	M
G_2	A_2, A_1^2, A_1
F_4	$B_4, C_3A_1, A_2^2, A_1G_2, A_1$
E_6	$D_5T_1, A_5A_1, A_3^3, F_4, C_4, A_2G_2, G_2, A_2$
E_7	$D_6A_1, A_5A_2, A_7, E_6T_1, G_2C_3, F_4A_1, A_1^2, A_2, A_1$
E_8	$E_7A_1, E_6A_2, D_8, A_8, A_4^2, G_2F_4, A_2A_1, B_2, A_1$

- (i) M is a reducible or tensor product subgroup of G , as listed in Table 1;
- (ii) $G = \text{SU}_n$ and $M = \text{Sp}_n$ (with $n \geq 4$ even) or SO_n ;
- (iii) M is a compact simple Lie group acting irreducibly on V , and M is not isomorphic to a classical group on V .

Proof Let $G(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Cl}(V)$ be the corresponding complex simple classical group. Elementary considerations (see the first two paragraphs of the proof in [16, p. 279]) show that the maximal connected subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C})$ are among the following:

- (a) parabolic subgroups,
- (b) stabilizers of non-degenerate subspaces of V ,
- (c) tensor product subgroups of the form $\text{Cl}_a(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{Cl}_b(\mathbb{C})$, where $n = ab$,
- (d) classical subgroups $\text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{C}), \text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $G(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$,
- (e) simple subgroups acting irreducibly on V .

Hence the maximal connected reductive subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C})$ are those in (b)–(e), together with those Levi subgroups that are maximal among connected reductive subgroups. By [1] (see the table on p. 219), the only such Levi subgroups are of the form $\text{SL}_{n/2}(\mathbb{C})T_1$ in $G(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{C})$ or $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Now the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.2. □

Proposition 2.4 *Let G be a compact simple Lie group of exceptional type and let M be a maximal connected subgroup of G . Then the possibilities for M are listed in Table 2.*

Proof The results of Dynkin [7] show that the maximal connected subgroups of the complex simple group $G(\mathbb{C})$ are parabolic subgroups, together with subgroups as in Table 2, but excluding the subgroups $D_5T_1 < E_6$ and $E_6T_1 < E_7$ (these are of course Levi factors of parabolics). By [1], these two Levi subgroups are the only ones that are maximal among connected reductive subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C})$. Hence the maximal connected reductive subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C})$ are precisely those in the table, and the result now follows via Corollary 2.2. □

3 Length and depth: preliminary results

We start by recording some elementary properties of the length and depth of connected Lie groups, which we will use repeatedly throughout the paper. Note that the proof of [6, Lemma 2.1] goes through to give part (i).

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a connected Lie group with a connected normal subgroup N .*

- (i) $l(G) = l(N) + l(G/N)$.
- (ii) $\lambda(G/N) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \lambda(N) + \lambda(G/N)$.
- (iii) $l(G) = 1 + \max\{l(M) : M \text{ maximal connected in } G\}$.

(iv) $\lambda(G) = 1 + \min\{\lambda(M) : M \text{ maximal connected in } G\}$.

Lemma 3.2 *If G is a connected compact Lie group, then*

$$\lambda(G) = \lambda(G') + \dim Z(G)^0.$$

Proof We proceed by induction on the dimension of G . The conclusion is clear if G is a torus (every maximal connected subgroup has codimension 1), so assume $G = G'Z$ with $G' \neq 1$ and $Z = Z(G)^0$. Set $z = \dim Z(G)^0$ and let M be a maximal connected subgroup of G such that $\lambda(M) = \lambda(G) - 1$.

If $G' \leq M$, then $M = G'Z_0$ with Z_0 of codimension 1 in Z , and induction gives

$$\lambda(M) = \lambda(G') + \dim Z_0 = \lambda(G') + z - 1,$$

hence $\lambda(G) = \lambda(G') + z$.

Now assume $G' \not\leq M$. Then $M = M_1Z$, where M_1 is maximal connected in G' . By induction, $\lambda(M) = \lambda(M_1) + z + z_1$, where $z_1 = \dim Z(M_1)^0$. Now $\lambda(M_1) \leq \lambda(G') + z_1$ by Lemma 3.1(ii), so $\lambda(M) \geq \lambda(G') + z$. By the definition of depth we have $\lambda(G') \leq \lambda(M_1) + 1$. Hence $\lambda(G) = \lambda(M) + 1 \geq \lambda(G') + z$. Finally, Lemma 3.1(ii) implies that $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda(G') + z$ and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a connected compact Lie group.*

- (i) $\lambda(G) = 1$ if and only if $G = T_1$.
- (ii) $\lambda(G) = 2$ if and only if $G = T_2$ or SU_2 .

Proof Part (i) is obvious, so let us consider (ii). If $G = T_2$ then $\lambda(G) = 2$ by Lemma 3.2; and if $G = SU_2$, then G has a maximal connected subgroup T_1 by Corollary 2.2, so $\lambda(G) = 2$ again. Conversely, suppose G is a connected compact Lie group with $\lambda(G) = 2$. By Lemma 3.2, either $G = T_2$ or $G = G'$. In the latter case, G has a maximal connected subgroup T_1 by part (i), and it follows that $G = SU_2$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.4 *Let $G(\mathbb{C})$ be a complex semisimple Lie group, with compact form G . Then $l(G) < l(G(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $l(G) \leq l(G(\mathbb{C}))$. To see that the inequality is strict, we apply [4, Corollary 2], which states that every unrefinable chain of $G(\mathbb{C})$ of maximum length includes a maximal parabolic subgroup. \square

In the statement of the next result, we refer to the function defined in (4).

Lemma 3.5 *Let $H = Cl_k$ be a compact simple Lie group of classical type and let $N = N(H, k)$ be the minimal dimension of a nontrivial irreducible complex representation of the simply connected cover of H such that $N > k$ and H is not isomorphic to a classical group Cl_N . Then for any simple Lie group $G = Cl_N$, we have $f_G(N) > f_H(k)$.*

Proof The complex irreducible representations of H are the restrictions of those of the corresponding complex simple group $Cl_k(\mathbb{C})$, which are parametrized by dominant weights. Hence the values of $N(H, k)$ can be read off from [15], and they are listed in Table 3. By definition of the function f_G , for $G = Cl_N$ we have $f_G(N) \geq \frac{5}{4}N - 1$ and $f_H(k) \leq 2k - 2$. For $H \neq SO_7$, it is routine to check that $\frac{5}{4}N - 1 > 2k - 2$ and thus $f_G(N) > f_H(k)$. Finally, if $H = SO_7$, then $N = 8$ and $f_G(N) \geq 9$, which is greater than $f_H(k) = 7$. The result follows. \square

Table 3 The values of $N(H, k)$ in Lemma 3.5

H	$N(H, k)$
SU_k	$\begin{cases} 4, 6, 10 & k = 2, 3, 4 \text{ (resp.)} \\ \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) & k > 4 \end{cases}$
Sp_k	$\begin{cases} 10 & k = 4 \\ \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) - 1 & k > 4 \end{cases}$
SO_k	$\begin{cases} 2^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} & 7 \leq k \leq 14, k \neq 8 \\ \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) & k = 8 \text{ or } k > 14 \end{cases}$

Lemma 3.6 *Let H be a compact simple Lie group of exceptional type, and let $N = N(H)$ be the minimal dimension of a nontrivial irreducible complex representation of the simply connected cover of H . Then*

$$l(H) < \min\{f_G(N) : G = Cl_N\}.$$

Proof The values of $N = N(H)$ and $m = \min\{f_G(N) : G = Cl_N\}$ are as follows:

H	G_2	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
$N(H)$	7	26	27	56	248
m	7	31	32	69	309

The result for $H = E_8$ follows immediately (since $m > \dim E_8$). In the remaining cases, choose a maximal connected subgroup M in H such that $l(M) = l(H) - 1$. We need to show that $l(M) \leq m - 2$. This is obvious if $\dim M \leq m - 2$, so we may assume that $\dim M \geq m - 1$ and we can use Lemma 2.4 to read off the possibilities for M . We then combine Lemmas 3.1(i) and 3.4 with the expression in (2) to produce an upper bound on $l(M)$. For example, if $H = E_7$ and $M = D_6A_1$ then

$$l(M) = l(D_6) + l(A_1) \leq l(D_6(\mathbb{C})) + l(A_1(\mathbb{C})) - 2 = 43 \leq m - 2.$$

In this way, it is easy to check that $l(M) \leq m - 2$ in all cases, unless $(H, M) = (E_6, F_4)$ or (G_2, A_2) . In the first case, by considering the maximal connected subgroups of $M = F_4$, we deduce that $l(M) \leq 24$. Similarly, $l(A_2) \leq 4$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.7 *If $G = Cl_n$ is a compact simple classical Lie group, then $l(G) \geq f_G(n)$.*

Proof For $G = SU_n$, we have the following unrefinable chain of connected subgroups of length $f_G(n) = 2n - 2$:

$$SU_n > SU_{n-1}T_1 > SU_{n-1} > \dots > SU_2 > T_1 > 1.$$

Similarly, if $G = Sp_n$ with $n = 2k$, there is an unrefinable chain

$$Sp_{2k} > Sp_2 \times Sp_{2k-2} > (Sp_2)^2 \times Sp_{2k-4} > \dots > (Sp_2)^k$$

of length $k - 1$. Since $Sp_2 \cong SU_2$ has length 2, it follows that $l((Sp_2)^k) = 2k$ and thus $l(G) \geq 3k - 1 = f_G(n)$.

Finally, consider $G = SO_n$. Suppose first that n is not divisible by 4, and write $n = 4k + s$ with $1 \leq s \leq 3$. There is an unrefinable chain

$$SO_n > SO_4 \times SO_{n-4} > \dots > (SO_4)^k \times SO_s$$

of length k . Since $SO_4 \cong (SU_2 \times SU_2)/Z_2$, $SO_3 \cong SU_2/Z_2$ and $SO_2 \cong T_1$ (where Z_2 is a cyclic group of order 2), we see that $l((SO_4)^k \times SO_s) = 4k + s - 1$ and the result follows. Similarly, if $n = 4k$, then the above chain $SO_n > \dots > (SO_4)^k$ has length $k - 1$ and thus $l(G) \geq 5k - 1 = f_G(n)$. □

4 Proofs of the main results

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1

First assume $G = Cl_n$ is a compact simple Lie group of classical type. We prove that $l(G) \leq f_G(n)$ by induction on n . In view of Lemma 3.7, this will complete the proof of part (i) of Theorem 1. Choose a maximal connected subgroup M of G with $l(M) = l(G) - 1$; we need to show that $l(M) < f_G(n)$. The possibilities for M are given by Proposition 2.3.

Consider first the reducible subgroups in Proposition 2.3(i). If $G = SU_n$, then $M = (SU_k \times SU_{n-k})T_1$ and by induction we deduce that

$$l(M) \leq (2k - 2) + 2(n - k) - 2 + 1 = 2n - 3 < f_G(n)$$

as required. A similar argument applies when G is a symplectic or orthogonal group. For example, if $G = Sp_n$ and $M = SU_{n/2}T_1$, then

$$l(M) = l(SU_{n/2}) + 1 \leq n - 1 < f_G(n).$$

Similarly, if $G = SO_n$ and $M = SO_k \times SO_{n-k}$, then induction gives

$$l(M) \leq k + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor - 1 + n - k + \left\lfloor \frac{n - k}{4} \right\rfloor - 1 < f_G(n).$$

Next suppose that M is a tensor product subgroup, as in Proposition 2.3(i). Here induction clearly gives $l(M) < f_G(n)$, except possibly in the case where $G = SO_n$ and $M = Sp_a \otimes Sp_b$, with $n = ab > 4$. Here induction yields

$$l(M) \leq \frac{3}{2}(a + b) - 2 \leq \frac{5}{4}ab - 2 = f_G(n) - 1$$

as required.

Suppose $G = SU_n$ and $M = Sp_n$ or SO_n , as in Proposition 2.3(ii). If $n = 2$ then $M = SO_2$ and $l(M) = 1 < f_G(n) = 2$. For $n \geq 3$, induction gives $l(M) \leq \frac{3}{2}n - 1 < 2n - 2 = f_G(n)$.

Finally, suppose M is as in Proposition 2.3(iii). Here M is simple and acts irreducibly on the natural module V . Also M is not isomorphic to a classical group on V . If M is classical, say $M = Cl_k$, then induction gives $l(M) \leq f_M(k)$ and Lemma 3.5 shows that this is less than $f_G(n)$. Similarly, if M is of exceptional type, Lemma 3.6 gives $l(M) < f_G(n)$. This completes the proof of part (i) of Theorem 1.

Now assume G is of exceptional type. As before, we choose a maximal connected subgroup M of G with $l(M) = l(G) - 1$. The possibilities for M are recorded in Table 2. By applying part (i), it is an easy exercise, starting with the case $G = G_2$ and working down the rows of the table, to compute $l(M)$ in every case. In this way, we obtain the values for $l(G)$ recorded in part (ii) of the theorem. For example, if $G = F_4$ then by taking $M = B_4$ we can construct a chain

$$F_4 > B_4 > B_2A_1^2 > A_1^4 > A_1^3T_1 > A_1^3 > A_1^2T_1 > A_1^2 > A_1T_1 > A_1 > T_1 > 1$$

of length 11. Similarly, in the other cases we take

$$(G, M) = (G_2, A_2), (E_6, D_5T_1), (E_7, D_6A_1), (E_8, D_8)$$

to build chains of length 5, 13, 17 and 20, respectively.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2

As in the statement of the theorem, let G be a compact connected Lie group and write $G = G'Z(G)^0$, where $G' = \prod_{i=1}^t S_i$ is a commuting product of simple groups. Then Lemma 3.1(i) implies that $l(G) = z + \sum_i l(S_i)$, where $z = \dim Z(G)^0$. Let $r_i = \text{rank}(S_i)$ and $r = \sum_i r_i = \text{rank}(G')$. Then $2r_i \leq l(S_i) \leq 3r_i - 1$ by Theorem 1, hence

$$z + 2r = z + \sum_i 2r_i \leq l(G) \leq z + \sum_i (3r_i - 1) = z + 3r - t$$

as required.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $G = G'Z(G)^0$ be a compact connected Lie group.

Lemma 4.1 *If G is simple, then $l(G) \leq \frac{2}{3} \dim G$, with equality if and only if $G = \text{SU}_2$.*

Proof This follows easily from Theorem 1. □

Denote $\Delta(G) = \dim G - l(G)$. The additivity of \dim and l implies the additivity of Δ , namely $\Delta(G) = \Delta(G/N) + \Delta(N)$, where N is a connected normal subgroup of G .

Lemma 4.2 *If G is semisimple, then $\Delta(G) \leq \dim G \leq 3\Delta(G)$.*

Proof The first inequality is trivial for any compact connected Lie group G , and the second reduces to the case where G is simple, by additivity. For G simple we have $3l(G) \leq 2 \dim G$ by Lemma 4.1, which yields $\dim G \leq 3\Delta(G)$ as required. □

Lemma 4.3 *We have $\Delta(G) = \Delta(G')$.*

Proof This is clear since $\Delta(G) = \Delta(G') + \Delta(Z(G)^0)$ and $\Delta(Z(G)^0) = 0$. □

We can now prove Theorem 3. It suffices to prove part (ii), namely

$$\Delta(G) \leq \dim G' \leq 3\Delta(G).$$

In view of Lemma 4.3, this reduces to $\Delta(G') \leq \dim G' \leq 3\Delta(G')$, which is Lemma 4.2 (since G' is semisimple). This completes the proof of the theorem.

A similar method enables us to characterize connected algebraic groups G , over algebraically closed fields, of large length. We clearly have $l(G) \leq \dim G$, and by [4, Theorem 3] equality holds if and only if $G = R(G).A_1^t$ for some $t \geq 0$, where $R(G)$ is the radical of G (and the extension is not necessarily split). Here we extend this by showing that $\dim G - l(G)$ is bounded if and only if the codimension of $R(G).A_1^t$ is bounded, where t is the multiplicity of A_1 in the semisimple group $G/R(G)$. More precisely, we prove the following.

Proposition 4.4 *Let G be a connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field. Set $\Delta(G) = \dim G - l(G)$ and let $t \geq 0$ be as above. Then*

$$\Delta(G) \leq \dim(G/R(G).A_1^t) \leq 8\Delta(G).$$

Proof If G is simple and not isomorphic to A_1 , then by applying [4, Corollary 2] we deduce that

$$l(G) \leq \frac{7}{8} \dim G,$$

with equality if and only if $G = A_2$, and this implies

$$\Delta(G) \leq \dim G \leq 8\Delta(G).$$

For an arbitrary connected algebraic group G , write $G/R(G) = A_1^t S_1 \cdots S_k$, where $t, k \geq 0$ and each S_i is a simple algebraic group that is not isomorphic to A_1 . Since Δ is additive and $\Delta(R(G)) = \Delta(A_1) = 0$, we conclude that $\Delta(G) \leq \dim(G/R(G).A_1^t) \leq 8\Delta(G)$, as required. \square

4.4 Proof of Theorem 4

We first express the length of a simple classical compact Lie group in terms of its dimension.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $S = Cl_n$ be a simple classical compact Lie group and let $d = \dim S$. Then*

$$l(S) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{d+1} - 2 & \text{if } S = \text{SU}_n \\ 3 \cdot 2^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{d + \frac{1}{8}} - \frac{7}{4} & \text{if } S = \text{Sp}_n \\ 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} \cdot \sqrt{d + \frac{1}{8}} - \frac{2k+3}{8} & \text{if } S = \text{SO}_n, \end{cases}$$

where $n \equiv k \pmod{4}$ and $0 \leq k \leq 3$.

Proof This follows from Theorem 1 and the well known formulae for the dimensions of the relevant groups. \square

Corollary 4.6 *Let S and d be as in Lemma 4.5.*

- (i) $l(S) \geq 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} \cdot \sqrt{d} - \frac{9}{8}$ in all cases.
- (ii) We have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(S)}{\sqrt{d}} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } S = \text{SU}_n \\ 3 \cdot 2^{-1/2} & \text{if } S = \text{Sp}_n \\ 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} & \text{if } S = \text{SO}_n. \end{cases}$$

The next result also deals with exceptional groups.

Lemma 4.7 *Let S be a compact simple Lie group and set*

$$\alpha = \sqrt{248} - \sqrt{128} = 4.4343 \dots \tag{5}$$

Then

$$l(S) \geq 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} (\sqrt{\dim G} - \xi),$$

where $\xi = \alpha$ if $S = E_6, E_7, E_8$, otherwise $\xi = 1$.

Proof If S is classical, this follows from Corollary 4.6 (since $5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} = 1.7677 \dots > 9/8$). For S exceptional, the lower bound follows from Theorem 1. \square

Note that the inequality in Lemma 4.7 is essentially best possible. For example,

$$l(E_8) = 20 = 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2}(\sqrt{\dim E_8} - \alpha)$$

and

$$l(F_4) = 11 > 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2}(\sqrt{\dim F_4} - 1) = 10.9797 \dots$$

Set

$$\beta = 5 \cdot 2^{-3/2} = 1.7677 \dots \tag{6}$$

and define α as in (5).

We will need the following elementary inequalities.

Lemma 4.8 *Let x, y be real numbers.*

- (i) *If $x \geq 1$ then $1 + \beta\sqrt{x} \geq \beta\sqrt{x+1}$.*
- (ii) *If $x, y \geq 3$ then $\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} \geq \sqrt{x+y} + 1$.*
- (iii) *If $x, y \geq 78$ then $\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} \geq \sqrt{x+y} + \alpha$.*

Proof This is easily reduced to quadratic inequalities in one variable. For example, let us prove part (ii). By squaring both sides of the required inequality we reduce it to $\sqrt{xy} \geq \sqrt{x+y} + \frac{1}{2}$, namely to $xy \geq x+y + \sqrt{x+y} + \frac{1}{4}$. Since $(x-3)(y-3) \geq 0$ we obtain $xy \geq 3(x+y) - 9$ so it suffices to show that $2(x+y) \geq \sqrt{x+y} + 9.25$. Let $w = \sqrt{x+y}$. Then we have to show that $2w^2 - w - 9.25 \geq 0$, which follows from the fact that $w \geq \sqrt{6} > (1 + \sqrt{75})/4$. \square

Let G be a compact connected Lie group. We prove Theorem 4 by induction on $\dim G$, the base case $\dim G = 1$ being trivial. Suppose $\dim G > 1$ and let $N \neq 1$ be a connected normal subgroup of G of minimal dimension. If $Z(G)^0 \neq 1$ then N is a 1-dimensional torus. Set $x = \dim G/N$. By the induction hypothesis, $l(G/N) \geq \beta(\sqrt{x} - \alpha)$ and by applying part (i) of Lemma 4.8 we obtain

$$l(G) = 1 + l(G/N) \geq 1 + \beta(\sqrt{x} - \alpha) \geq \beta(\sqrt{x+1} - \alpha) = \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} - \alpha),$$

as required.

We therefore may assume $Z(G)^0 = 1$, so $G = \prod_{i=1}^t S_i$ is semisimple. If $t = 1$ then G is simple and the result follows from Lemma 4.7. So suppose $t \geq 2$. We may assume $N = S_1$, and set $x = \dim G/N$ and $y = \dim N$. Then $x \geq y \geq 3$. Suppose first that $N \neq E_6, E_7, E_8$. Then the induction hypothesis, Lemma 4.7 and part (ii) of Lemma 4.8 yield

$$l(G) = l(G/N) + l(N) \geq \beta(\sqrt{x} - \alpha) + \beta(\sqrt{y} - 1) \geq \beta(\sqrt{x+y} - \alpha) = \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} - \alpha).$$

It remains to deal with the case where $N = S_1$ is E_6, E_7 or E_8 . Then $x \geq y \geq 78$. Combining part (iii) of Lemma 4.8 with the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$l(G) \geq \beta(\sqrt{x} - \alpha) + \beta(\sqrt{y} - \alpha) \geq \beta(\sqrt{x+y} - \alpha) = \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} - \alpha).$$

This completes the proof.

4.5 Proof of Theorem 5

Let the constants α and β be as defined above in (5) and (6). Let G be a compact connected Lie group with

$$l(G) \leq \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} + c).$$

Let N be a connected normal subgroup of G . If $l(G/N) > \beta(\sqrt{\dim G/N} + c + \alpha)$ then

$$l(G) > \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} + c) + (l(N) - \beta(\sqrt{\dim N} - \alpha)) \geq \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} + c)$$

since $l(N) \geq \beta(\sqrt{\dim N} - \alpha)$ by Theorem 4. This is a contradiction, so

$$l(G/N) \leq \beta(\sqrt{\dim G/N} + c + \alpha). \tag{7}$$

In particular, if $z = \dim Z(G)^0$ then $z < \beta(\sqrt{z} + c + \alpha)$ and thus z is c -bounded. Therefore, we may assume that G is semisimple.

Suppose G has t factors of type E_8 , so there is a connected normal subgroup N with $G/N = (E_8)^t$. By (7), we have

$$20t \leq \beta(\sqrt{248t} + c + \alpha)$$

and we deduce that t is c -bounded. In the same way, we see that the number of exceptional factors of G is c -bounded so we may assume that G is a product of classical groups. If $G/N = (\mathrm{SU}_n)^t$ for some n and t , then (7) implies that

$$2t(n - 1) \leq \beta(\sqrt{t(n^2 - 1)} + c + \alpha)$$

and thus n and t are c -bounded. The same conclusion holds if $G/N = (\mathrm{Sp}_n)^t$.

Therefore, to complete the proof we may assume that $G = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{SO}_{n_i}$, where $k \geq 2$ and $n_1 \geq n_i \geq 7$ for all i . By Theorem 1, we have

$$l(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i + \sum_{i=1}^k [n_i/4] - k \geq \frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - \frac{7}{4}k, \text{ and}$$

$$\beta\sqrt{\dim G} = \frac{5}{4} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i - 1)}.$$

Claim. If (n_1, \dots, n_k) is a k -tuple of integers with $k \geq 2$ and $n_1 \geq n_i \geq 7$ for all i , then either $(n_1, k) = (7, 2)$, or

$$\frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - \frac{7}{4}k - \frac{5}{4} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i - 1)} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^k n_i}. \tag{8}$$

Since $G = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{SO}_{n_i}$ and $l(G) \leq \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} + c)$, the claim implies that $\sum_{i=2}^k n_i \leq (\beta c)^2$ and thus the normal subgroup SO_{n_1} has c -bounded codimension. Therefore, it suffices to prove the number-theoretic claim.

First assume the n_i are all equal. We need to show that

$$\frac{5}{4}kn_1 - \frac{7}{4}k - \frac{5}{4}\sqrt{kn_1(n_1 - 1)} - \sqrt{(k - 1)n_1} \geq 0$$

for $(n_1, k) \neq (7, 2)$. If k is fixed, the expression on the left hand side is increasing in n_1 and it is routine to verify the desired bound.

Now assume that at least one n_i is less than n_1 , say $n_2 < n_1$, and set

$$f(n_2, \dots, n_k) = \frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i - \frac{7}{4}k - \frac{5}{4} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i - 1)} - \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^k n_i}$$

so it suffices to show that $f(n_2, \dots, n_k) \geq 0$. Note that if $x, y, z \geq 0$ are real numbers and $y \leq z^2 + 2z\sqrt{x}$, then $\sqrt{x+y} \leq z + \sqrt{x}$. Therefore, since we have

$$\frac{16}{25} + \frac{8}{5} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i - 1)} \geq \frac{16}{25} + \frac{8}{5} \sqrt{2n_2(n_2 - 1)} \geq 2n_2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^k n_i} \geq \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{7} \geq 1,$$

it follows that

$$\sqrt{2n_2 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i - 1)} \leq \frac{4}{5} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i - 1)}$$

and

$$\sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=2}^k n_i} \leq \frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^k n_i}.$$

These bounds imply that $f(n_2 + 1, n_3, \dots, n_k) \geq f(n_2, \dots, n_k)$, so f is minimal when $n_i = 7$ for all $2 \leq i \leq k$. Finally, we note that

$$f(7, \dots, 7) = \frac{5}{4}n_1 + 7k - \frac{35}{4} - \frac{5}{4} \sqrt{n_1(n_1 - 1) + 42(k - 1)} - \sqrt{7(k - 1)}$$

is an increasing function in both n_1 and k , and by setting $(n_1, k) = (8, 2)$ we see that $f(7, \dots, 7) > 0$. This justifies the bound in (8) and the proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

In the other direction, if G has dimension d , or has a normal subgroup isomorphic to SO_n of codimension d , then $l(G) \leq \beta(\sqrt{\dim G} + c)$, where c is d -bounded; indeed, this follows easily from Lemma 4.5.

4.6 Proof of Theorem 6

This is very similar to the proof of the analogous result for complex simple Lie groups (see [4, Theorem 4]). Let G be a compact simple Lie group of rank r . It will be convenient to adopt the Lie notation for classical groups, so that $A_r = SU_{r+1}$ and so on. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\lambda(G) \geq 2$, with equality if and only if $G = SU_2$, so we may assume $r \geq 2$. Note that $\lambda(G) \geq 3$, with equality if and only if G has a maximal A_1 subgroup.

If $G = C_r$, then by applying [8] and Corollary 2.2, we see that G has a maximal A_1 subgroup and thus $\lambda(G) = 3$. Next assume $G = B_r$, with $r \geq 3$. If $r \geq 4$ then $\lambda(G) = 3$. However, if $r = 3$ then G does not have a maximal A_1 subgroup, so $\lambda(G) \geq 4$. In this case, equality holds since

$$B_3 > G_2 > A_1 > T_1 > 1$$

is an unrefinable chain. Similarly, by arguing as in the proof of [4, Theorem 4], we see that $\lambda(G) = 4$ if $G = D_{2r}$, or A_r with $r \geq 3$ and $r \neq 6$. Since A_2 has a maximal A_1 subgroup, we have $\lambda(A_2) = 3$, so to complete the proof of Theorem 6 for classical groups, we may assume that $G = A_6$. Here $\lambda(G) \leq 5$ since B_3 is a maximal subgroup and $\lambda(B_3) = 4$ as above. In addition, $\lambda(G) \geq 4$ since G does not have a maximal A_1 subgroup. Let M be a maximal connected subgroup of G . By inspecting [8], we deduce that either $M = B_3$, or $M = A_5T_1$, $A_4A_1T_1$ or $A_3A_2T_1$ is the Levi factor of a maximal parabolic subgroup of G . If M is a Levi factor, then $\lambda(M) \geq \lambda(A_k)$ for some $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ and we conclude that $\lambda(M) \geq 4$ for each connected maximal subgroup M of G . Therefore $\lambda(G) = 5$.

Finally, if G is an exceptional group, then we can repeat the argument in the proof of [4, Theorem 4]. We omit the details.

4.7 Proof of Theorem 7

We first prove part (i). Let $G = S^k$, where S is a compact simple Lie group. We proceed by induction on k , noting that the case $k = 1$ is obvious. Assume $k \geq 2$. Then G has a maximal connected subgroup $M = D(S^2) \times S^{k-2} \cong S^{k-1}$, where $D(S^2)$ is a diagonal subgroup of S^2 . By induction, $\lambda(M) = \lambda(S) + k - 2$ and thus $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda(M) + 1 = \lambda(S) + k - 1$, proving the required upper bound for $\lambda(G)$.

To establish the lower bound, let $\pi_i : G \rightarrow S$ be the i -th projection map and let M be a maximal connected subgroup of G such that $\lambda(M) = \lambda(G) - 1$. If $\pi_i(M) = M_i < S$ for some i , then $M = M_i \times S^{k-1}$, so by induction $\lambda(M) \geq \lambda(S^{k-1}) = \lambda(S) + k - 2$ and hence $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda(S) + k - 1$. Otherwise, $\pi_i(M) = S$ for all i , so M is a product of diagonal subgroups of various subsets of the simple factors of S^k , and maximality forces $M = D(S^2) \times S^{k-2} \cong S^{k-1}$. Hence again by induction we have

$$\lambda(G) = \lambda(M) + 1 = \lambda(S) + k - 1,$$

proving the lower bound. This establishes part (i).

Now consider part (ii), where $z = \dim Z(G)^0$ and $G' = \prod_{i=1}^m S_i^{k_i}$. The upper bound for $\lambda(G)$ follows from part (i) and Lemma 3.1(ii). We now prove the lower bound by induction on $\sum_i k_i$. We have $\lambda(G) = \lambda(G') + z$ by Lemma 3.2, so we may assume that $G = G'$. The case $\sum_i k_i = 1$ is trivial, so assume $\sum_i k_i \geq 2$. Let M be a maximal connected subgroup of G such that $\lambda(M) = \lambda(G) - 1$. As above, without loss of generality, one of the following holds:

- (a) $k_1 \geq 2$ and $M = D(S_1^2) \times S_1^{k_1-2} \times \prod_{i=2}^m S_i^{k_i}$.
- (b) $M = M_1 \times S_1^{k_1-1} \times \prod_{i=2}^m S_i^{k_i}$, where M_1 is maximal connected in S_1 .

In case (a), induction gives $\lambda(M) \geq (\sum_{i=1}^m (k_i + 1)) - 1$ and the result follows. The same applies in case (b), unless $k_1 = 1$. In the latter case, let $N = \prod_{i=2}^m S_i^{k_i}$, so $M/N \cong M_1$. Since $M_1 \neq 1$, an elementary argument (see [4, Lemma 2.5]) shows that $\lambda(M) \geq \lambda(N) + 1$. Induction gives $\lambda(N) \geq \sum_{i=2}^m (k_i + 1)$ and hence $\lambda(G) = \lambda(M) + 1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i + 1)$, as required.

4.8 Proof of Theorem 8

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and write $G = G'Z(G)^0$ and $z = \dim Z(G)^0$. By Lemmas 3.1(i) and 3.2, we have $l(G) = l(G') + z$ and $\lambda(G) = \lambda(G') + z$. In particular, the result is trivial if G is a torus, so assume that $G' \neq 1$.

By Theorem 1, the only compact simple group satisfying $l(G) = \lambda(G)$ is $G = \text{SU}_2$. Hence if $G' = \text{SU}_2$, then $l(G) = z + 2 = \lambda(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that $l(G) = \lambda(G)$. Write $G' = \prod_{i=1}^t S_i$, a commuting product of simple groups S_i . Then $l(G) = z + \sum_i l(S_i)$ and $\lambda(G) \leq z + \sum_i \lambda(S_i)$. Hence $l(S_i) = \lambda(S_i)$ for all i , so $G' = (\text{SU}_2)^t$ and $l(G) = z + 2t$. It remains to show that $t = 1$. To see this, suppose $t \geq 2$ and note that there is an unrefinable chain

$$(\text{SU}_2)^2 > D((\text{SU}_2)^2) > T_1 > 1,$$

so $\lambda((\text{SU}_2)^2) = 3$. Therefore, $\lambda(G) \leq z + 2t - 1 < l(G)$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

4.9 Proof of Theorem 9

By Theorems 1 and 6, we see that SU_3 is the only compact simple Lie group with chain difference one. It follows easily that the compact semisimple Lie groups with chain difference one are SU_3 , $(\text{SU}_2)^2$ and SU_3SU_2 . The rest of the argument is very similar to the proof of Theorem 8 above.

4.10 Proof of Theorem 10

We start with some preparations.

Lemma 4.9 *Let S be a compact simple Lie group. Then*

$$l(S) \leq 2\text{cd}(S) + a,$$

where $a = 2$ if $S = \text{SU}_2, \text{SU}_3, \text{SU}_4$; $a = 1$ if $S = \text{Sp}_4, \text{SO}_7$; and $a = 0$ in all other cases.

Proof It suffices to show that $l(S) \geq 2\lambda(S) - a$, which is easily deduced from Theorems 1 and 6. □

Next, we deal with homogeneous semisimple groups.

Lemma 4.10 *Let S be a compact simple Lie group and let $k \geq 2$. Then*

$$l(S^k) \leq 2\text{cd}(S^k),$$

unless $S \cong \text{SU}_2$, in which case $l(S^k) = 2\text{cd}(S^k) + 2$.

Proof We have $l(S^k) = kl(S)$ and $\lambda(S^k) = k + \lambda(S) - 1$ (see Theorems 2 and 7). Combining these equalities with the values of $l(S)$ and $\lambda(S)$ (see Theorems 1 and 6), we easily obtain the required conclusion. □

Lemma 4.11 *Let G be a compact connected Lie group with $G' = \prod_{i=1}^m S_i^{k_i}$, where the S_i are pairwise non-isomorphic simple groups and $k_i \geq 0$. Then*

$$\text{cd}(G) = \text{cd}(G') \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \text{cd}(S_i^{k_i}).$$

Proof This follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. □

We can now prove Theorem 10.

Lemma 4.11 enables us to reduce to the case where G is semisimple. Write $G = \prod_{i=1}^m S_i^{k_i}$ where $m \geq 5, k_i \geq 0$ and the S_i are pairwise non-isomorphic simple groups as in (3), labelled so that S_1, \dots, S_5 are $SU_2, SU_3, SU_4, Sp_4, SO_7$, respectively. Set

$$G_1 = S_1^{k_1} \times \prod_{2 \leq i \leq 5, k_i=1} S_i,$$

and

$$G_2 = \prod_{2 \leq i \leq 5, k_i \geq 2} S_i^{k_i} \times \prod_{i=6}^m S_i^{k_i}.$$

Note that $G = G_1 \times G_2, l(G) = l(G_1) + l(G_2)$ and $cd(G) \geq cd(G_1) + cd(G_2)$, so it suffices to show that

$$l(G) \leq 2(cd(G_1) + cd(G_2)) + 2.$$

Now

$$l(G_2) = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 5, k_i \geq 2} l(S_i^{k_i}) + \sum_{i=6}^m l(S_i^{k_i}).$$

By Lemma 4.10 we have $l(S_i^{k_i}) \leq 2cd(S_i^{k_i})$ if $2 \leq i \leq 5$ and $k_i \geq 2$. Similarly, Lemma 4.9 gives $l(S_i) \leq 2cd(S_i)$ for $6 \leq i \leq m$, which yields $l(S_i^{k_i}) = k_i l(S_i) \leq 2k_i cd(S_i) \leq 2cd(S_i^{k_i})$ for these values of i . Applying Lemma 4.11, we conclude that

$$l(G_2) \leq 2cd(G_2).$$

Therefore, to complete the proof of the main statement of Theorem 10, it remains to show that

$$l(G_1) \leq 2cd(G_1) + 2. \tag{9}$$

First observe that

$$l(G_1) = 2k_1 + 4k_2 + 6k_3 + 5k_4 + 7k_5$$

and let $\gamma \geq 0$ be the number of non-zero k_i with $2 \leq i \leq 5$. It will be useful to highlight the following unrefinable chains (the existence of these chains follows by combining [8] and Corollary 2.2):

$$SU_3 > SU_2, \quad SU_4 > Sp_4 > SU_2, \quad SO_7 > SU_4, \quad SO_7 > G_2 > SU_2.$$

If $\gamma = 0$ then the bound in (9) follows from Lemma 4.10 (it is trivial if $k_1 = 0$ or 1). For $\gamma > 0$, we make use of the above unrefinable chains to bound $\lambda(G_1)$. Suppose $\gamma = 1$, say $G_1 = (SU_2)^{k_1} \times SU_3$. Then $l(G_1) = 2k_1 + 4$ and $(SU_2)^{k_1+1} < G_1$ is a maximal connected subgroup, so Theorem 7(i) yields $\lambda(G_1) \leq k_1 + 3$ and thus $l(G_1) \leq 2cd(G_1) + 2$. The other cases with $\gamma = 1$ are just as easy and we omit the details. Similar reasoning applies when $\gamma > 1$. For example, suppose $G_1 = (SU_2)^{k_1} \times SU_3 \times SO_7$. Here $l(G_1) = 2k_1 + 11$ and there is an unrefinable chain

$$G_1 > (SU_2)^{k_1+1} \times SO_7 > (SU_2)^{k_1+1} \times G_2 > (SU_2)^{k_1+2},$$

so $\lambda(G_1) \leq 3 + 2 + k_1 + 1 = k_1 + 6$, $\text{cd}(G_1) \geq k_1 + 5$ and thus $l(G_1) \leq 2\text{cd}(G_1) + 1$. Similarly, if $\gamma = 4$ then $l(G_1) = 2k_1 + 22$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &> (\text{SU}_2)^{k_1} \times \text{SU}_3 \times (\text{SU}_4)^2 \times \text{Sp}_4 \\ &> (\text{SU}_2)^{k_1} \times \text{SU}_3 \times \text{SU}_4 \times \text{Sp}_4 \\ &> (\text{SU}_2)^{k_1} \times \text{SU}_3 \times (\text{Sp}_4)^2 \\ &> (\text{SU}_2)^{k_1} \times \text{SU}_3 \times \text{Sp}_4 \\ &> (\text{SU}_2)^{k_1+1} \times \text{Sp}_4 \\ &> (\text{SU}_2)^{k_1+2} \end{aligned}$$

is unrefinable (here we are using the fact that a diagonal subgroup $D(S^2) < S^2$ is maximal for a simple group S), so $\lambda(G_1) \leq 6 + 2 + k_1 + 1 = k_1 + 9$ and $\text{cd}(G_1) \geq k_1 + 13$. In this way, one checks that the bound in (9) holds and the proof of the first (and main) statement of Theorem 10 is complete.

To prove the second statement, recall that, by Theorem 4 we have

$$\beta(\sqrt{\dim G'} - \alpha) \leq l(G'),$$

where the constants α, β are defined as in Sect. 4.4 (see (5) and (6)). Combining this with the first assertion of Theorem 10 we obtain

$$\dim G/Z(G) = \dim G' \leq (\beta^{-1}l(G') + \alpha)^2 \leq (\beta^{-1}(2\text{cd}(G) + 2) + \alpha)^2.$$

This completes the proof.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Borel, A., de Siebenthal, J.: Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **23**, 200–221 (1949)
2. Brewster, B., Ward, M., Zimmermann, I.: Finite groups having chain difference one. *J. Algebra* **160**, 179–191 (1993)
3. Burness, T.C., Liebeck, M.W., Shalev, A.: The depth of a finite simple group. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **146**, 2343–2358 (2018)
4. Burness, T.C., Liebeck, M.W., Shalev, A.: The length and depth of algebraic groups. *Math. Z.* **291**, 741–760 (2019)
5. Burness, T.C., Liebeck, M.W., Shalev, A.: On the length and depth of finite groups (with an appendix by D.R. Heath-Brown) (2018) [arxiv:1802.02194](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.02194) (preprint)
6. Cameron, P.J., Solomon, R., Turull, A.: Chains of subgroups in symmetric groups. *J. Algebra* **127**, 340–352 (1989)
7. Dynkin, E.B.: Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras. *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.* **6**, 111–244 (1957)
8. Dynkin, E.B.: Maximal subgroups of the classical groups. *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.* **6**, 245–378 (1957)
9. Harada, K.: Finite simple groups with short chains of subgroups. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **20**, 655–672 (1968)
10. Iwasawa, K.: Über die endlichen Gruppen und die Verbände ihrer Untergruppen. *J. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo. Sect. I*(4), 171–199 (1941)
11. Janko, Z.: Finite groups with invariant fourth maximal subgroups. *Math. Z.* **82**, 82–89 (1963)
12. Janko, Z.: Finite simple groups with short chains of subgroups. *Math. Z.* **84**, 428–437 (1964)

13. Knapp, A.W.: Lie groups beyond an introduction, 2nd edn. Progr. Math. vol. 140. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2002. pp. xviii+812
14. Kohler, J.: A note on solvable groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **43**, 235–236 (1968)
15. Lübeck, F.: Small degree representations of finite Chevalley groups in defining characteristic. *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **4**, 135–169 (2001)
16. Seitz, G.M.: The maximal subgroups of classical algebraic groups. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **365**, 1987, pp. iv+286
17. Seitz, G.M., Solomon, R., Turull, A.: Chains of subgroups in groups of Lie type, II. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42**, 93–100 (1990)
18. Sercombe, D.: The length and depth of real algebraic groups (2018). [arxiv:1811.06327](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.06327) (preprint)
19. Shareshian, J., Woodroffe, R.: A new subgroup lattice characterization of finite solvable groups. *J. Algebra* **351**, 448–458 (2012)
20. Solomon, R., Turull, A.: Chains of subgroups in groups of Lie type, I. *J. Algebra* **132**, 174–184 (1990)
21. Solomon, R., Turull, A.: Chains of subgroups in groups of Lie type, III. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **44**, 437–444 (1991)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.