#### MOLECULAR TOXICOLOGY



# Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX) causes seizure activity in larval zebrafish via antagonism of $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$

Paige C. Mundy<sup>1</sup> · Alicia Werner<sup>1</sup> · Latika Singh<sup>2</sup> · Vikrant Singh<sup>2</sup> · Rosalia Mendieta<sup>1</sup> · Caitlyn E. Patullo<sup>1</sup> · Heike Wulff<sup>2</sup> · Pamela J. Lein<sup>1</sup>

Received: 31 December 2022 / Accepted: 2 March 2023 / Published online: 13 March 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine, or Royal Demolition Explosive (RDX), is a major component of plastic explosives such as C-4. Acute exposures from intentional or accidental ingestion are a documented clinical concern, especially among young male U.S. service members in the armed forces. When ingested in large enough quantity, RDX causes tonic–clonic seizures. Previous in silico and in vitro experiments predict that RDX causes seizures by inhibiting  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2 \gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A (GABA<sub>A</sub>) receptor-mediated chloride currents. To determine whether this mechanism translates in vivo, we established a larval zebrafish model of RDX-induced seizures. After a 3 h of exposure to 300 µM RDX, larval zebrafish exhibited a significant increase in motility in comparison to vehicle controls. Researchers blinded to experimental group manually scored a 20-min segment of video starting at 3.5 h post-exposure and found significant seizure behavior that correlated with automated seizure scores. Midazolam (MDZ), an nonselective GABA<sub>A</sub>R positive allosteric modulator (PAM), and a combination of Zolpidem ( $\alpha$ 1 selective PAM) and compound 2-261 ( $\beta$ 2/3-selective PAM) were effective in mitigating RDX-triggered behavioral and electrographic seizures. These findings confirm that RDX induces seizure activity via inhibition of the  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R and support the use of GABA<sub>A</sub>R-targeted anti-seizure drugs for the treatment of RDX-induced seizures.

Keywords  $GABA_AR \cdot Positive allosteric modulator (PAM) \cdot Royal Demolition Explosive (RDX) \cdot Seizures \cdot Zebrafish$ 

# Introduction

Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine, or Royal Demolition Explosive (RDX) is a common component of plasticized explosives, such as C-4, used extensively by militaries worldwide. RDX is also a persistent environmental contaminant found in soil, air, and groundwater surrounding military sites (Gadagbui et al. 2012). It is released via munitions use and from waste generated during its manufacture, packaging, or disposal. RDX has also been detected in indoor air samples of RDX production facilities (Bishop et al. 1988).

Acute exposures to RDX can cause deleterious health outcomes, including severe nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and, at high doses, generalized seizures (Stone et al. 1969; Kasuske et al. 2009; Whitesides et al. 2021). While accidental occupational ingestion leading to seizures has been reported (Woody et al. 1986; Küçükardali et al. 2003), most acute exposures result from intentional ingestion. During the Vietnam War, it became common knowledge among troops that combined ingestion of small amounts of RDX with alcohol enhanced the effects of ethanol (Stone et al. 1969). Intentional ingestion remains a concern to militaries worldwide, and all published case reports of human ingestion of RDX over the past 20 years have involved military personnel (Whitesides et al. 2021). Of the 31 published case reports from 1969 to 2019, which included accidental and intentional exposures, all involved young male patients, and

Pamela J. Lein pjlein@ucdavis.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Molecular Biosciences, Davis School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, 1089 Veterinary Medicine Drive, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

100% of the exposed individuals presented with seizures (Whitesides et al. 2021).

The mechanism of RDX-induced seizures was unknown until relatively recently. Based on data obtained using both competitive binding assays and rat brain slice recordings from the amygdala, (Williams et al. 2011), it was suggested that RDX binds to the non-competitive antagonist (NCA) site in the pore of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors (GABA<sub>A</sub>R). Recent in silico and in vitro studies from our laboratory corroborated these conclusions (Pressly et al. 2022). Mutations at the so-called "threonine ring" in the pore of the GABA<sub> $\Delta$ </sub>R drastically reduced RDX potency. Further, using whole-cell patch-clamp analyses of recombinantly expressed GABA<sub>A</sub>R, we found that RDX preferentially blocked the GABA<sub>A</sub>R subtype  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  in a fully reversible manner (Pressly et al. 2022). However, it remains unknown whether this interaction between RDX and  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ GABA<sub>A</sub>R mediates the in vivo seizurogenic activity of RDX.

To address this data gap, we leveraged the larval zebrafish model. Zebrafish express a full range of  $GABA_AR$  subunits, which exhibit an average 80% homology with human  $GABA_ARs$  (Klee et al. 2012; Monesson-Olson et al. 2018). Larval zebrafish have been proposed as a valid model organism for studying chemical-induced seizures (Griffin et al. 2021), and we and others have used this model to study seizures triggered by  $GABA_AR$  antagonists (Baraban et al. 2005; Bandara et al. 2020). A major advantage of using zebrafish larvae is the medium-to-high-throughput capacity that enables more efficient screening of therapeutics for anti-seizure efficacy (Mundy et al. 2021).

The goals of the current work were to: (1) establish a larval zebrafish model of RDX-induced seizures and (2) leverage this model to determine whether the GABA<sub>A</sub>R subtype selectivity of RDX identified in vitro is recapitulated in vivo as determined using subunit-selective GABA<sub>A</sub>R positive allosteric modulators (PAMs), specifically Zolpidem, an  $\alpha$ 1-selective PAM (Has et al. 2016), SB-205384, an  $\alpha$ 6-selective PAM (Heidelberg et al. 2013), and compound 2-261, a  $\beta$ 2/3-selective PAM (Sieghart and Savić 2018). The efficacy of these subunit-selective GABA<sub>A</sub>R PAMs in blocking RDX-induced seizures was compared to that of midazolam, a broad-spectrum benzodiazepine considered the standard-of-care treatment for organophosphate-induced seizures (Sigel and Steinmann 2012).

## Methods

#### Zebrafish husbandry

Zebrafish husbandry was conducted as previously described in (Mundy et al. 2021). All animal husbandry, spawning and experimental manipulations of zebrafish were approved by the University of California, Davis Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and conducted in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines (Percie du Sert et al. 2020). Tropical 5D wild-type zebrafish were obtained from the Sinnhuber Aquatic Research Laboratory (SARL) at Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, with subsequent generations raised at UC Davis. Adults were housed in a standalone aquatic flow-through system (Aquaneering, San Diego, CA), the water source for which was deionized water from a reverse osmosis system (Reverse Osmosis System Model AAA-1005, Applied Membranes Inc., CA). Standard zebrafish aquaculture conditions (Westerfield and ZFIN 2000) were maintained, including 14:10 h light (~850 lx):dark cycle and temperature of  $28.5 \pm 0.5$  °C. A pH of  $7.5 \pm 0.3$  and conductivity of  $650 \pm 25 \ \mu\text{S}$  were maintained via addition of 20 g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 40 g/L sea salt solution (Instant Ocean, Blacksburg, VA) to the system water, respectively. Adult fish were fed twice per day with GEMMA Micro 500 (Skretting, Salt Lake City, UT) and placed in false bottom chambers overnight, with males and females separated by a removable divider, to spawn larvae for experiments. In the morning, the divider was removed to permit breeding, and embryos were collected in system water in petri dishes. Embryos were sorted for viability and raised in petri dishes containing embryo media (Westerfield and ZFIN 2000) in a lightand temperature-controlled incubator (14:10 h light:dark) at 29 °C. All experiments were performed on larvae at 5 days post fertilization (dpf).

## **Chemical reagents**

RDX (CAS: 121-82-4) was obtained from MilliporeSigma (Burlington, MA) as a certified reference material in 1 mg/ mL solution in acetonitrile (chromatographic purity 99.9%). For all experiments, the acetonitrile was evaporated and RDX immediately reconstituted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; CAS: 67-88-5;  $\geq$  99.7% purity) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO). Tetramethylenedisulfotetramine (TETS;  $\geq$  97% purity as determined by GC–MS) was provided by Dr. Bruce Hammock (UC Davis) and synthesized as previously described (Zhao et al. 2014). Midazolam (MDZ) was obtained as a commercially available formulation from Hospira Inc. (Lake Forest, IL) and made as a 5 mg/mL solution in DMSO. Zolpidem (CAS: 82626-48-0; purity  $\geq$  98% via HPLC) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Compound 2-261 was provided by the laboratory of Kelvin Gee (University of California, Irvine). SB-205384 (CAS: 160296-13-9; purity  $\geq$  98% via HPLC) was purchased from Tocris (Minneapolis, MN).

Due to limitations in solubility, RDX (and consequently TETS) were prepared as  $200 \times \text{stocks}$  in DMSO. MDZ, Zolpidem, compound 2–261 and SB-205384 were prepared as  $1000 \times \text{stocks}$  in DMSO. All stocks were stored at –  $20 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

until use. Compounds were diluted to  $2\times$  (initial exposures) or  $3\times$  (treatments following initial exposures) stocks in embryo media just prior to use. The final DMSO concentration for all experiments was below 0.6%.

#### Zebrafish exposures and behavior analyses

For all behavioral tests, 4 dpf larval zebrafish were placed in 96-well plates (REF 353,075, Falcon, Irvine, CA) containing 50  $\mu$ L of embryo media. Parafilm was placed between the top of the plate and the lid to limit evaporation, and plates were placed in an incubator at 29 °C overnight. All behavioral tests were conducted in a DanioVision system (Noldus, Leesburg, VA) with temperature maintained at 28.5 °C and light at 75% (~1900 lx). All tests were filmed using a 12 mm lens and video collected at 25 frames per second. For the initial range-finding tests, 5 dpf larvae were exposed to RDX, TETS, or vehicle (DMSO) by adding 50  $\mu$ L of 2 × media, resulting in final concentrations of either 4  $\mu$ M TETS (used as a positive control) or 10, 30, 100, or 300  $\mu$ M RDX. The larvae were filmed for 6 h.

To investigate the efficacy of PAMs in mitigating RDXinduced seizures, 5 dpf larvae were first exposed to 300 µM RDX or vehicle. Parafilm was placed between the top of the plate and lid, and the larvae were placed in a lighted incubator at 29 °C for 3.5 h. After 3.5 h of exposure, larvae were taken out of the incubator and acclimated to the DanioVision system for at least 10 min prior to filming behavior for 20 min. After 20 min of filming, larval zebrafish were exposed to vehicle or varying concentrations of MDZ, Zolpidem, SB-205384, or a combination of 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261 by adding 50  $\mu$ L of 3 × stock solution of the respective compound in embryo media. The larvae were filmed for 20 min immediately following treatment. All behavioral experiments were repeated at least three times using larvae from separate spawning events with n = 16 per treatment per replicate (n = 48 total per group).

## Quantification of seizure-like behavior

For the initial tests, EthoVision XT software (Version 15.0; Noldus, Wageningen, the Netherlands) was used to measure total distance moved (mm) in 20-min bins for the entire 6-h exposure window. Each treatment was then compared to each other within each 20-min bin.

Seizure-like behavior was scored both manually and in an automated fashion for a 20-min section of the 6 h initial range-finding test. For manual scoring, researchers blinded to experimental group were provided a 20-min section of the video (03:30–03:50 (hh:mm)) to score using a scoring system similar to previously established zebrafish seizure behavior scoring systems (Baraban et al. 2005; Bandara et al. 2020). Specifically, behavior was scored using the following criteria: Stage I—"hyperlocomotion", a dramatic increase in swim activity; Stage II—"whirlpool swimming", rapid "whirlpool-like" circling swim behavior; Stage III— "tonic seizure", brief clonus-like convulsions leading to loss of posture, e.g., fish falls to one side and remains immobile for 1–3 s.

The same 20-min period that was manually scored (starting at 3.5 h post-exposure) was also analyzed using EthoVision XT. Seizure-like behavior was analyzed as the frequency (total count) of events during which the larvae moved at a velocity greater than 28 mm/s for a duration longer than 1 s. The use of this criterion for seizure-like behavior has been previously described and established as a suitable proxy for manual scoring (Griffin et al. 2021).

# Electrophysiology

Whole-field electrophysiology was conducted as described in (Bandara et al. 2020). Larvae at 5 dpf were immobilized in 300 µM pancuronium bromide (Sigma-Aldrich) in embryo media for 10 min. Next, larvae were mounted in 120 µL 1.5% (w/v) ultraPure<sup>TM</sup> low-melting agarose (Cat. no. 15517-014, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) made in embryo media. Larvae were mounted on a glass-bottom 35 mm FluoroDish cell culture dish (CAT: FD3510-100, World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) such that the same amount of agarose could be used each time, providing a sturdy and predictable exposure chamber for consistency across treatments. After agarose solidification (~5 min), larvae were bathed in 500 µL of either 300 µM RDX or DMSO-only (final concentration 0.5% DMSO) in embryo media. At 3.5 h of exposure, electrophysiological activity was recorded for 10 min. To assess therapeutic ability to mitigate RDX-induced seizures, 500  $\mu$ L of 2 × stock solution of either DMSO-only, MDZ, Zolpidem, or 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2-261 were added to the bathing solution at a final concentration of 3 µM MDZ, 10 µM Zolpidem, or 3 µM total 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2-261, bringing the final concentration of DMSO to 0.6%. Ten minutes after addition of the therapeutics (to allow for proper diffusion into the agar-embedded fish), a 10-min electrophysiological recording was taken.

To record electrical field potentials, a fire-polished glass pipette (micro hematocrit capillary tubes, Cat. no. 22-362-574, Fisherbrand, Waltham, MA), pulled to have  $a \sim 1 \mu m$  opening and backloaded with 2 mM NaCl, was inserted into the optic tectum of the zebrafish larva while at 10× magnification under stereomicroscope. Electrical signal was recorded in a gap-free protocol using A-M Systems model 3000 extracellular amplifier (A-M Systems Inc., Sequim, WA). Voltage records were filtered at low pass 1 kHz, high pass 0.1 Hz, and digitized at 5–10 kHz

using a Digidata 1322A analog-to-digital interface (Axon Instruments, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). Data were stored using pClamp (Clampex) 9.0 software, and epileptiform activity was analyzed using Clampfit 9.0 software (Molecular Devices). Event thresholds (peak amplitude) were collected as >  $3 \times$  baseline with a duration longer than 100 ms. The criteria used for determining seizure events ( $3 \times$  baseline > 100 ms) has been used previously by our research group to define electrographic seizure events in larval zebrafish, and other research groups have used similar standards (Bandara et al. 2020; Griffin et al. 2021).

#### **RDX quantification**

At 5 dpf, larval zebrafish were exposed to RDX at 300  $\mu$ M. After a 3.5 h incubation period, 1 mL of exposure water was transferred to a 1.5 mL amber glass screw-top vial and stored at – 20 °C until analysis by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The larvae were then immobilized temporarily by rapid cooling on an ice bath, depurated three times with fresh embryo media, and then euthanized by placing them in a – 20 °C freezer for 10–20 min.

Euthanized larvae were arranged laterally on Sylgard<sup>TM</sup> (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield PA). Following a drying period of ~ 8 min, each larva was decapitated using a sterile 16-gauge needle to make an incision extending posterodorsally from the jaw to the base of the hindbrain. Care was taken to not contaminate the heads with yolk from the yolk sac. Heads were pooled in a microcentrifuge tube to collect at least 39 mg of tissue (810 larvae at minimum). Two separate pools of larval heads were collected. For calculation of percent recovery, two microcentrifuge tubes of at least 39 mg of larval head tissue were collected. Larval heads used as DMSO-spiked controls were stored as above without exposure to RDX. Samples were stored at -80 °C until analysis by HPLC.

To prepare samples for HPLC, heads from RDX-exposed larvae were suspended in 1 mL of acetonitrile with five grinding balls (stainless steel, 2 mm). Heads were crushed using a SPEX SamplePrep Geno/Grinder Homogenizer 2010 (SPEX, Metuchen, NJ) for 2 min at 1500 rpm. The resulting heterogenous mixture was centrifuged for 5 min at 8608× g, and clear supernatant was collected and evaporated to dryness under a constant flow of air using a PIERCE Reacti-Vap<sup>TM</sup> III evaporator (Pierce, IL). The residue was reconstituted in an appropriate volume of a 1:1 mixture of acetonitrile:water. Using this method, the recovery of RDX from larval head samples spiked with 300 µM or 1.5 mM RDX was found to be  $100 \pm 3\%$ .

The certified reference material for the HPLC analysis was RDX (Cerilliant<sup>®</sup>) purchased from MilliporeSigma as a 1.2 mg/1.2 mL solution in acetonitrile (1.2 mL ampules, chromatographic purity 99.9%). RDX concentrations were

determined by liquid chromatography using a Hewlett Packard 1100 series HPLC (Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a C-18 Zorbax Eclipse XDB column (5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 × 150 mm; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). A commercial RDX stock solution (4.5 mM, 1.2 mg in 1.2 mL acetonitrile) was diluted with a 1:1 mixture of acetonitrile:water to obtain working standards. A 6-point calibration curve ranging from 1 to 1 mM was developed for quantification. The isocratic mobile phase consisted of a 1:1 mixture of acetonitrile:water with a flow rate of 1 mL per minute for 6.0 min at 25 °C. Under these conditions RDX had a retention time of 3.0 min. RDX was monitored by its UV absorption at 234 nm.

## Statistics

For the initial range-finding test, total distance moved for each larva was collected in 20-min bins for the duration of the 6 h static water-borne exposure period. For each 20-min bin, a non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance (ANOVA) was run. For post-hoc testing, Dunn's multiple comparisons test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) was used to compare all treatments to vehicle (DMSO-only) control larvae.

To compare manually *vs.* automatically scored seizure events, the total count of each category of event for the duration of the 20-min filming period was collected. A non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA was run, and for post-hoc testing, a Dunn's multiple comparisons test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) was used to compare all treatments to vehicle control (DMSO-only) larvae.

To determine whether automatically scored seizure events were an acceptable proxy for manually scored Stage II events, manual counts for Stage II events in larvae exposed to 100  $\mu$ M RDX, 300  $\mu$ M RDX, or 4  $\mu$ M TETS and their respective automatically scored seizure events were tested in a two-tailed non-parametric Spearman correlation test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

For the EC<sub>50</sub> analysis, curves were fit to the transformed and normalized behavioral data using nonlinearleast-squares regression with no weighting and Hill slope constrained to -1. The logEC<sub>50</sub> values of Zolpidem, 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261, and MDZ were compared using extra sum-of-squares *F* Test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

For the electrophysiology experiments, electrographic bursts were calculated in Clampfit as described in the section "Electrophysiology" and exported to a.txt file. Total bursts for the 10-min epoch were analyzed via non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. For the initial validation of electrophysiology activity at 3.5 h of exposure, post hoc testing included a Dunn's multiple comparisons test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) to compare all treatments to vehicle control (DMSO-only) larvae. To test whether PAMs significantly mitigated RDXinduced seizures, post hoc testing included a Dunn's multiple comparisons test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) to compare all treatments



Fig. 1 RDX-exposed larval zebrafish exhibited hypermobility after 3.5 h of exposure. Individual dots represent movement of an individual larva. Lines represent the group mean. \*Significantly different

to either RDX-only, RDX + MDZ treated or DMSO-only exposed larvae.

Statistical testing including Spearman correlation,  $EC_{50}$  generation, and extra sum-of-squares *F* test were conducted in GraphPad Prism (Version 9.2.0; San Diego, CA). All other tests were conducted in R (Version 4.1.1) (R Core Team 2021). Kruskal–Wallis tests were conducted using the package rstatix (Kassambara 2021), and Dunn's multiple comparisons was completed using the package PMCMRplus (Pohlert 2022). All Dunn's multiple comparisons included a *p* value adjustment using the Holm-Bonferroni method. Code and example data for R available at https://github.com/insideafish.

# Results

# RDX caused seizure-like behavior and electrographic seizures after 3.5 h of exposure

We first aimed to identify the concentration and exposure time at which RDX caused seizures in 5 dpf larval zebrafish because this had not previously been characterized. We exposed 5 dpf larvae to a range of RDX concentrations for 6 h, using 4  $\mu$ M TETS as a positive control. Total distance moved (mm) was used as a measure of hyperactivity. As expected, larvae exposed to TETS immediately exhibited increased movement (Bandara et al. 2020). In contrast, larvae exposed to 100  $\mu$ M or 300  $\mu$ M RDX-only started to exhibit hyperactivity at 2 h of exposure (a 20-min period of

from DMSO control at p < 0.05 in Dunnett's multiple *t* test (n = 46 from at least three individual spawns)

02:00–02:20 hh:mm) (Fig. 1). Larvae exposed to 300  $\mu$ M of RDX exhibited the greatest increase in hyperactivity after 3 h of exposure, and this level of hypermobility was sustained through to the end of the 6-h exposure (Fig. 1). Larvae exposed to RDX at 10 or 30  $\mu$ M did not differ significantly from DMSO-only exposed larvae at any time during the 6-h exposure period. We chose to use the 3.5-h exposure time as the start point for quantifying seizures in RDX-exposed larvae since it was the first time point when the mean of total distance moved was at least 2× greater than that of DMSO-only exposed larvae.

To investigate whether the RDX-exposed larvae exhibited seizure-like behavior and not simply hyperactivity, researchers blinded to experimental groups scored videos from 03:30 to 03:50 (20 min total) for seizure behavior using previously described methods (Table 1). At this time point, larvae exposed to 100 µM RDX exhibited significantly increased numbers of Stage I and Stage II seizures compared to DMSO-only exposed controls (Fig. 2A). Larvae exposed to 300 µM RDX or 4 µM TETS exhibited significantly increased numbers of Stage I, II, and III seizures. These manual scores were corroborated by automated analyses of the same video clips (Fig. 2A). For graphical purposes only, seizure event counts are presented as a normalized Z-score (Treatment - DMSO) to better represent the relative magnitude of directional change of each parameter on the same scale. Z-scores were calculated using the following equation:  $Z = (x - \mu)/\sigma$ , where x is the value,  $\mu$  is the mean, and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation. Visual representation of the data for each larva is provided in the supplementary material (Figure S1).

| Collection method          | Scored event | Behavior description in zebrafish  |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Manual                     | Stage I      | "Hyperlocomotion". Dramatic increase in swim activity  |  |
|                            | Stage II     | "Whirlpool swimming". Rapid "whirlpool-like" circling swim behavior  |  |
|                            | Stage III    | "Tonic seizure". Brief clonus-like convulsions, leading to loss of pos-<br>ture, e.g., fish falls to one side and remains immobile for 1–3 s |  |
| EthoVision XT (Version 15) | Automated    | Movement > 28 mm/s for a duration > 1 s  |  |

 Table 1
 Criteria used for scoring seizure-like behavior in zebrafish



**Fig. 2** RDX causes seizure-like behavior. **A** Heatmap showing magnitude of directional change between treatment and control groups via normalized Z-score (Treatment—DMSO) of manual and automatically scored seizure events. \*Significantly different from DMSO-only

control at p < 0.05, Dunnett's multiple *t* test (n = 36-48 per group, at least three individual spawns). **B** Manual scoring for Stage I and Stage II seizure events and automated scoring for seizure events are significantly correlated. Spearman correlation  $\alpha = 0.05$ , n = 103-105

To create a model of RDX-induced seizures that could be used in a medium-to-high-throughput fashion, it would be advantageous to be able to automatically score seizure events. When compared using a Spearman correlation, manually scored Stage II events (for 100  $\mu$ M RDX, 300  $\mu$ M RDX, and TETS) significantly correlated with automated seizure scores (Fig. 2B). To ensure no one experimental group was driving the correlation between manual and automated seizure analyses, we analyzed the groups separately. For both Stage I and Stage II events, with the exception of Stage I seizures in the 100  $\mu$ M RDX group, significant correlations between automated and manual scoring methods were found for all groups (Figure S2).

To confirm that the seizure-like behaviors we observed corresponded to electrographic seizures, we performed in vivo electrophysiology in larvae exposed to 300  $\mu$ M RDX, 4  $\mu$ M TETS, or DMSO for 3.5 h. Larvae were immobilized in low-melting agarose and a signal was recorded from the optic tectum. Both RDX and TETS-exposed larvae experienced a significant number of epileptiform-like electrographic events that were not observed in DMSO-only larvae (Fig. 3).

To confirm that RDX entered zebrafish tissue, we analyzed the amount of RDX uptake into the head tissue of

larval zebrafish exposed to 300  $\mu$ M for 3.5 h. An average concentration of 1324  $\mu$ M RDX was detected in the tissue sampled, suggesting that RDX concentrates in the heads of exposed larvae (Table 2). Additionally, the average concentration of RDX in exposure water after 3.5 h was found to be 274  $\mu$ M. The supplementary information (Table S1) provides data on the recovery of RDX from larval head tissue spiked with nominal amounts of RDX.

#### **RDX-induced seizures are mitigated by PAMs**

Once the RDX exposure paradigm had been validated to consistently produce quantifiable seizures, we investigated the anti-seizure efficacy of broad-spectrum and subunit-selective GABA<sub>A</sub>R PAMs in RDX-exposed larval zebrafish. Seizure behavior was automatically quantified in RDX-exposed larvae following treatment with  $\alpha 1$ -,  $\beta 2/3$ -,  $\alpha 6$ -, or 1:1 combination of  $\alpha 1$ : $\beta 2/3$ -selective PAMs (Fig. 4). At concentrations of 1  $\mu$ M, all four treatments demonstrated effectiveness in reducing the number of 300  $\mu$ M RDX-induced seizure events. Additionally, 10  $\mu$ M of the  $\alpha 1$ -selective Zolpidem, 1  $\mu$ M of the  $\beta 2/3$ -selective compound 2–261, or 1  $\mu$ M of a 1:1 combination of Zolpidem and compound 2–261 decreased seizure activity to approximately the same



**Fig. 3** Electrographic seizure activity after 3.5 h of exposure to RDX or TETS. **A** Schematic depicting exposure and data collection paradigm. **B** Representative traces of electrophysiological recordings. Red and blue boxes identify representative multi-spike seizure events, which are shown in corresponding red or blue traces at higher resolu-

tion. **C** Total burst counts for the entire 10-min trace. Individual dots represent the sum of bursts for each larva. Bars represent mean  $\pm 95\%$  CI. \*p < 0.05 in comparison to DMSO-only in Dunnett's multiple *t* test (n = 6-8 per group, from at least three independent spawns)

Table 2 RDX concentrations in zebrafish tissue and exposure water

| Treatment        | Nominal concentration (µM) in exposure water | Number of fish<br>exposed | Weight of sample<br>(pooled heads) (mg) | Measured concentration<br>in heads (µM) | Measured concen-<br>tration in water<br>(µM) |
|------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| RDX, replicate 1 | 300  | 876                       | 39.6                                    | 1401                                    | 272  |
| RDX, replicate 2 | 300  | 810                       | 39.4                                    | 1247                                    | 276  |

degree as treatment with 3  $\mu$ M MDZ. Treatment with the  $\alpha$ 6-selective PAM SB-205384 was included as a negative control because in vitro studies suggested RDX does not target  $\alpha$ 6-containing GABA<sub>A</sub>R (Pressly et al. 2022). SB-205384 was unable to decrease seizure activity to the same extent as 3  $\mu$ M MDZ at any of the concentrations tested.

A finer concentration range of MDZ, Zolpidem and the 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261 combination was tested to determine  $EC_{50}$  values for mitigating RDX-induced seizures. MDZ exhibited an  $EC_{50}$  of 0.01  $\mu$ M; Zolpidem, an  $EC_{50}$  of 0.23  $\mu$ M; and the 1:1 Zolpidem:2–261 combination, an  $EC_{50}$  of 0.07  $\mu$ M (Fig. 5). The  $EC_{50}$  values of both Zolpidem and 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261 were significantly different from that of MDZ. Additionally, the  $EC_{50}$  values of Zolpidem and 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261 were significantly different from that of MDZ. Additionally, the  $EC_{50}$  values of Zolpidem and 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261 were significantly different from each another.

To investigate whether RDX-induced electrographic seizures were eliminated by treatment with PAMs, we performed in vivo electrophysiology on larvae exposed first to  $300 \,\mu$ M RDX for 3.5 h and subsequently treated with PAMs at concentrations that reduced seizure behavior. Treatment

with 3  $\mu$ M MDZ or 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2–261 significantly reduced the occurrence of electrographic seizure events (Fig. 6). This did not occur with 10  $\mu$ M Zolpidem.

# Discussion

Seizures induced by ingestion of RDX are a clinical concern worldwide, especially among military personnel. There is currently a lack of consensus regarding the mechanism by which RDX causes seizures. To address this data gap, we developed an in vivo model for RDX-induced seizures using an exposure paradigm that yields consistent and predictable behavioral and electrographic seizures in 5 dpf zebrafish larvae. The 3.5-h delay between the initial exposure and significant seizure activity is consistent with mammalian studies, which report peak RDX concentrations in brain tissue and blood of exposed rats at 3.5 h after exposure (Bannon et al. 2009). We also validated an automated method for scoring seizure events in zebrafish that can be used for medium–high throughput screens. Although total distance moved is often used in zebrafish



Fig.4 Seizure behavior is mitigated by subunit-selective PAMs. A Schematic of the experimental paradigm. B Seizure counts in larvae exposed to subunit-selective PAMs after 3.5-h exposure to 300  $\mu$ M RDX. Individual dots represent individual larvae. Bars are

studies as a proxy for hyperactivity, we sought to use an automated score that aligned more closely with the specific behavior of a tonic–clonic seizure. High-speed, long-duration (> 28 mm/s for > 1 s) events have previously been reported to represent seizure activity in larval zebrafish; further, the same study found that total distance moved was not a reliable measure of seizure activity (Griffin et al.

mean  $\pm 95\%$  CI. \***p** < 0.05 in comparison to DMSO-only,  $^p$  < 0.05 in comparison to 3  $\mu$ M MDZ, #p < 0.05 in comparison to RDX-only (0  $\mu$ M) as determined using Dunnett's multiple *t* test (n=47–48 larvae from at least three individual spawns)

2021). In this study, manually scored Stage I and II seizure behavior events significantly correlated with automatically scored seizure events. It is likely that the automated events are characteristic of both Stage I and Stage II, considering that velocity and duration, but not direction change, are factored into the automated score (as it would be in a Stage II "cork-screw swimming" behavior).



**Fig.5** EC<sub>50</sub> values of individual PAMs differ. Seizure behavior is shown as seizure events (automatically scored) normalized as a percentage within each exposure concentration, with 0% defined as the smallest mean and 100% defined as the largest mean. Individual points represent the mean of normalized seizure count (n=46-144 per group, from at least three independent spawns). Error bars represent mean  $\pm 95\%$  CI. Curves were fit using nonlinear least-squares regression with no weighting and Hill Slope constrained to-1. The logEC<sub>50</sub> values between PAMs were compared using extra sum-of-squares *F* test ( $\alpha < 0.05$ )

Both behavioral and electrographic measurements of RDX-induced seizures were less robust than those induced by TETS (used here as a positive control for seizures induced by GABA<sub>A</sub>R antagonist). We hypothesize the difference is due to the fact that TETS targets a different GABA<sub>A</sub>R subunit profile ( $\alpha 2\beta 2\gamma 2$ ) and TETS is roughly 60–100× more

potent than RDX. For example, rats intoxicated with 600 µg/ kg TETS seized within 5 min of oral administration (Moffett et al. 2019); whereas rats given 75 mg/kg RDX by gavage seized within 11–16 min post-administration (Williams et al. 2011). The length of time necessary for seizures to become evidence in RDX-exposed zebrafish may also reflect in large part the fact that the highest concentration we could test without RDX precipitating out of solution in embryo medium was 300  $\mu$ M. If it had been possible to test higher RDX concentrations, perhaps we would have observed decreased time to seizure onset and more robust seizures.

MDZ, a broad-spectrum GABA<sub>A</sub>R PAM, is the standardof-care for treating organophosphate-induced seizures and a first-line anti-seizure medication for treatment of acute seizures (Newmark 2019). Similar to diazepam and lorazepam, MDZ acts on synaptic  $\alpha 1$ -,  $\alpha 2$ -,  $\alpha 3$ - and  $\alpha 5$ -containing GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs but not on  $\alpha 4$ - or  $\alpha 6$ -containing GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Olsen and Sieghart 2009; Rudolph and Knoflach 2011). Thus, the observation that MDZ significantly reduced both RDX-induced seizure behavior and electrographic seizure activity in RDX-exposed larval zebrafish provides strong evidence in support of the hypothesis that RDX causes seizures at high concentrations via GABA<sub>A</sub>R antagonism.

Previous in silico and in vitro experiments suggested that RDX preferentially binds to the  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  subunit combination. Consistent with this observation, a 1:1 combination of the  $\alpha 1$ -subunit-selective PAM, Zolpidem, and the  $\beta 2/3$ subunit-selective PAM, compound 2–261, administered at 1  $\mu$ M or 3  $\mu$ M was as effective as MDZ at 3  $\mu$ M in mitigating behavioral and electrographic seizures. However, the EC<sub>50</sub> of MDZ (0.01  $\mu$ M) for reducing RDX-induced seizure activity was significantly lower than the 0.07  $\mu$ M EC<sub>50</sub> calculated for 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2-261. This



Fig. 6 RDX-induced electrographic seizures are reduced by treatment with PAMs. A Schematic depicting exposure and data collection paradigm. B Representative traces of electrophysiological recordings. C Bursting counts within the 10-min trace. Individual dots represent

the sum of bursts for each larva. Bars are mean  $\pm 95\%$  CI. \***p** < 0.05 in comparison to DMSO-only, ^*p* < 0.05 in comparison to MDZ, and #*p* < 0.05 in comparison to RDX-only in Dunnett's multiple *t* test (*n* = 5–8 larvae per group, from at least three independent spawns)

likely reflects the fact that MDZ has activity on a broader profile of GABA<sub>A</sub>R subunits compared to Zolpidem or compound 2-261. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that compared to the subunit-selective PAMs, MDZ reaches higher concentrations in the larval zebrafish brain. The significant difference between EC<sub>50</sub> values measured for Zolpidem and 1:1 Zolpidem:compound 2-261, with the  $EC_{50}$  of the 1:1 combination shifted to the left, suggests that modulation of both subunits is necessary for a more efficient mitigation of seizures. Consistent with the in vitro findings that RDX does not target  $\alpha$ 6-containing GABA<sub>A</sub>R (Pressly et al. 2022), SB-205384 (an  $\alpha$ -6 select PAM) was unable to mitigate seizure-like activity. Combined, these data support the hypothesis that the molecular target of RDX is  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ . Future studies using site-directed mutagenesis of this receptor in the zebrafish brain will be necessary to confirm this.

Zolpidem, an α1-subunit-selective PAM, significantly decreased seizure-like behavior, but not electrographic seizures, at 10 µM. Zolpidem has sedative properties in mammals and is commonly used as a treatment for insomnia (Monti et al. 2017). This may account for the decreased movement observed in behavioral assays of RDX-exposed zebrafish treated with 10 µM of Zolpidem. Compound 2-261, a β2/3-selective PAM, reduced seizure-like behavior at a lower concentration (0.1 µM) than Zolpidem. The difference in efficacy between compounds could be due to differences in potency or permeability into zebrafish brain tissue. The EC<sub>50</sub> of compound 2–261 on  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  in vitro is reported to be 0.3  $\mu$ M (Gee et al. 2010), whereas the EC<sub>50</sub> of Zolpidem on  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$  in vitro is reported to be 0.48  $\mu$ M (Has et al. 2016). Further in vivo studies are needed to determine the underlying reason the  $EC_{50}$  values differ between these two PAMs.

It is known that modulation of GABA<sub>A</sub>R activity can stop generalized seizures regardless of the primary mechanism of action of the chemoconvulsant. Therapeutically targeting a more abundant subtype such as  $\alpha 1$  could have a greater effect than targeting less abundant subtypes. However, we have previously demonstrated that Zolpidem is not effective at mitigating seizures induced by TETS, a GABA<sub>A</sub>R antagonist that selectively targets  $\alpha 2$  and  $\alpha 6$  subunits (Mundy et al. 2021). Our observations that Zolpidem is effective at mitigating RDX-induced seizures supports our previous in vitro and in silico modeling work (Pressly et al. 2022) that identified the  $\alpha 1$  GABA<sub>A</sub>R subunit as a major target of RDX.

Establishing the molecular target of RDX advances our understanding of the mechanisms mediating RDX-induced seizure activity. The in vivo confirmation that the target of RDX, which causes seizure activity, is  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  makes physiological sense considering that the  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  subunits are estimated to constitute approximately 60% of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors and are highly expressed in the cortex, thalamus, pallidum, and hippocampus (Olsen and Sieghart 2009; Rudolph and Knoflach 2011). In several instances of RDX-induced seizures from ingestion, seizures were treated with diazepam (Kasuske et al. 2009), lorazepam (Garcia et al. 2019), or terminated upon reoccurrence with propofol (Garcia et al. 2019; Whitesides et al. 2021). The findings of our study confirm these clinical observations and suggest that effective treatment of RDX-induced seizures should include GABA<sub>A</sub> modulating drugs such as benzodiazepines, barbiturates, propofol or neurosteroids.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-023-03475-7.

Acknowledgements We thank the following individuals: (1) Donald Bruun (UC Davis) and Jonas Calsbeek (UC Davis) for help in setting up hardware and software; (2) Scott Baraban (UC San Francisco), members of the Baraban laboratory and Hai Nguyen (UC Davis) for providing time and expertise with the electrophysiology studies; (3) Kevin Gee (UC Irvine) for providing compound 2-261; (4) Mahmood Hashimi, Audrey Er, and Ritika Pudota (all students at UC Davis) for assistance with data collection (5) Steven Lucero from the UC Davis TEAM lab for his assistance in creating custom equipment for electrophysiology experiments, and (6) Suzette Smiley-Jewell (UC Davis) for editing of the near final draft of this manuscript. This work was supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke CounterACT program [grant numbers U54 NS079202 and R21 NS110647 to PJL and HW].

**Funding** This work was supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke CounterACT program [grant numbers U54 NS079202 and R21 NS110647 to PJL and HW]. The sponsors were not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, writing of the report or the decision to submit the work for publication.

**Data availability** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Research involving animals** All procedures involving animals were in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No. 8253, revised 2011) and ethical approval was granted by the University of California, Davis Institutional Animal and conducted in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Bandara SB, Carty DR, Singh V et al (2020) Susceptibility of larval zebrafish to the seizurogenic activity of GABA type A receptor antagonists. Neurotoxicology 76:220–234. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuro.2019.12.001
- Bannon DI, Dillman JF, Hable MA et al (2009) Global gene expression in rat brain and liver after oral exposure to the explosive hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX). Chem Res Toxicol 22:620–625. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx800444k
- Baraban SC, Taylor MR, Castro PA, Baier H (2005) Pentylenetetrazole induced changes in zebrafish behavior, neural activity and c-fos expression. Neuroscience 131:759–768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroscience.2004.11.031
- Bishop RW, Kennedy JL, Podolak GE, Ryea JL Jr (1988) A field evaluation of air sampling methods for TNT and RDX. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 49:635–638. https://doi.org/10.1080/15298668891380376
- Gadagbui B, Patterson J, Rak A et al (2012) Development of a relative source contribution factor for drinking water criteria: the case of Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX). Hum Ecol Risk Assess Int J 18:338–354
- Garcia R, Karimian A, Donaldson C et al (2019) Status epilepticus after C-4 ingestion: using liquid chromatography to quantify toxicity. Clin Toxicol 57:819–821
- Gee KW, Tran MB, Hogenkamp DJ et al (2010) Limiting activity at β1-Subunit-Containing GABAA receptor subtypes reduces ataxia. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 332:1040–1053. https://doi.org/10.1124/ jpet.109.161885
- Griffin A, Carpenter C, Liu J et al (2021) Phenotypic analysis of catastrophic childhood epilepsy genes. Commun Biol 4(1):680
- Has ATC, Absalom N, van Nieuwenhuijzen PS et al (2016) Zolpidem is a potent stoichiometry-selective modulator of  $\alpha 1\beta 3$  GABAA receptors: evidence of a novel benzodiazepine site in the  $\alpha 1$ - $\alpha 1$ interface. Sci Rep 6(1):1–2
- Heidelberg LS, Warren JW, Fisher JL (2013) SB-205384 is a positive allosteric modulator of recombinant GABAA receptors containing rat α3, α5, or α6 subunit subtypes coexpressed with β3 and γ2 subunits. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 347:235–241. https://doi.org/ 10.1124/jpet.113.207324
- Kassambara A (2021) Pipe-friendly framework for basic statistical tests. Version 0.7.0.URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package= rstatix
- Kasuske L, Schofer JM, Hasegawa K (2009) Two marines with generalized seizure activity. J Emerg Nurs 35:542–543. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jen.2008.05.001
- Klee EW, Schneider H, Clark KJ et al (2012) Zebrafish: a model for the study of addiction genetics. Hum Genet 131:977–1008. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00439-011-1128-0
- Küçükardali Y, Acar HV, Ozkan S et al (2003) Accidental oral poisoning caused by RDX (cyclonite): a report of 5 cases. J Intensive Care Med 18:42–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066602239123
- Moffett MC, Rauscher NA, Rice NC, Myers TM (2019) Survey of drug therapies against acute oral tetramethylenedisulfotetramine poisoning in a rat voluntary consumption model. Neurotoxicology 74:264–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2019.08.004
- Monesson-Olson B, McClain JJ, Case AE et al (2018) Expression of the eight GABAA receptor α subunits in the developing zebrafish central nervous system. PLoS One 13:e0196083. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0196083

- Monti JM, Spence DW, Buttoo K, Pandi-Perumal SR (2017) Zolpidem's use for insomnia. Asian J Psychiatr 25:79–90. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ajp.2016.10.006
- Mundy PC, Pressly B, Carty DR et al (2021) The efficacy of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABA AR) subtypeselective positive allosteric modulators in blocking tetramethylenedisulfotetramine (TETS)-induced seizure-like behavior in larval zebrafish with minimal sedation. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 426:115643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2021.115643
- Newmark J (2019) Therapy for acute nerve agent poisoning: an update. Neurol Clin Pract 9:337–342. https://doi.org/10.1212/CPJ.00000 0000000641
- Olsen RW, Sieghart W (2009) GABAA receptors: Subtypes provide diversity of function and pharmacology. Neuropharmacology 56:141–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.07.045
- PercieduSert N, Hurst V, Ahluwalia A et al (2020) The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: updated guidelines for reporting animal research. PLoS Biol 18:e3000410. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000410
- Pohlert T (2022) PMCMRplus: Calculate Pairwise Multiple Comparisons of Mean Rank Sums Extended. Version 1.9.4URL https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=PMCMRplus
- Pressly B, Lee RD, Singh V et al (2022) The seizure-inducing plastic explosive RDX inhibits the α1β2γ2 GABAA receptor. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 9:600–609. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.51536
- R Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing (4.1.1)
- Rudolph U, Knoflach F (2011) Beyond classical benzodiazepines: novel therapeutic potential of GABAA receptor subtypes. Nat Rev Drug Discov 10:685–697. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3502
- Sieghart W, Savić MM (2018) International union of basic and clinical pharmacology. CVI: GABAA receptor subtype- and functionselective ligands: key issues in translation to humans. Pharmacol Rev 70:836–878. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.014449
- Sigel E, Steinmann ME (2012) Structure, function, and modulation of GABAA receptors\*. J Biol Chem 287:40224–40231. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.R112.386664
- Stone WJ, Paletta TL, Heiman EM et al (1969) Toxic effects following ingestion of C-4 plastic explosive. Arch Intern Med 124:726–730
- Whitesides JD, Turner MN, Watts S, Watkins SA (2021) Peer pressure = explosive consequences: a case report of toxic ingestion of cyclonite (C-4) explosive on a dare. Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med 5:43–46. https://doi.org/10.5811/cpcem.2020.10.49241
- Williams LR, Aroniadou-Anderjaska V, Qashu F et al (2011) RDX binds to the GABA(A) receptor-convulsant site and blocks GABA(A) receptor-mediated currents in the amygdala: a mechanism for RDX-induced seizures. Environ Health Perspect 119:357–363. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002588
- Woody RC, Kearns GL, Brewster MA et al (1986) The neurotoxicity of cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine (RDX) in a child: a clinical and pharmacokinetic evaluation. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 24:305–319. https://doi.org/10.3109/15563658608992595
- Zhao C, Hwang SH, Buchholz BA et al (2014) GABAA receptor target of tetramethylenedisulfotetramine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:8607–8612. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407379111

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.