



# Physiologically based kinetic modelling based prediction of in vivo rat and human acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibition upon exposure to diazinon

Shensheng Zhao<sup>1</sup> · Sebastiaan Wesseling<sup>1</sup> · Bert Spenkelink<sup>1</sup> · Ivonne M. C. M. Rietjens<sup>1</sup>

Received: 13 October 2020 / Accepted: 25 February 2021 / Published online: 14 March 2021  
© The Author(s) 2021

## Abstract

The present study predicts in vivo human and rat red blood cell (RBC) acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibition upon diazinon (DZN) exposure using physiological based kinetic (PBK) modelling-facilitated reverse dosimetry. Due to the fact that both DZN and its oxon metabolite diazoxon (DZO) can inhibit AChE, a toxic equivalency factor (TEF) was included in the PBK model to combine the effect of DZN and DZO when predicting in vivo AChE inhibition. The PBK models were defined based on kinetic constants derived from in vitro incubations with liver fractions or plasma of rat and human, and were used to translate in vitro concentration–response curves for AChE inhibition obtained in the current study to predicted in vivo dose–response curves. The predicted dose–response curves for rat matched available in vivo data on AChE inhibition, and the benchmark dose lower confidence limits for 10% inhibition (BMDL<sub>10</sub> values) were in line with the reported BMDL<sub>10</sub> values. Humans were predicted to be 6-fold more sensitive than rats in terms of AChE inhibition, mainly because of inter-species differences in toxicokinetics. It is concluded that the TEF-coded DZN PBK model combined with quantitative in vitro to in vivo extrapolation (QIVIVE) provides an adequate approach to predict RBC AChE inhibition upon acute oral DZN exposure, and can provide an alternative testing strategy for derivation of a point of departure (POD) in risk assessment.

**Keywords** Toxic equivalency factor (TEF) · Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibition · Diazinon (DZN) · Physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling · Reverse dosimetry · Quantitative in vitro to in vivo extrapolation (QIVIVE)

## Introduction

Diazinon (DZN) is the common name for *O,O*-diethyl *O*-2-isopropyl-6-methylpyrimidin-4-yl phosphorothioate (Fig. 1), which is used as a pesticide in agriculture or veterinary medicine (JMPR 2016). DZN belongs to the class of thiophosphate insecticides for which acute toxicity is associated with irreversible inhibition of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) resulting in accumulation of acetylcholine at the synaptic cleft (Colovic et al. 2013). Different physiological symptoms such as headache, abdominal cramps, difficulty in breathing, and even death can result from acute DZN exposure (Burgess et al. 2008). Beside AChE, other B-esterases


such as butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) and carboxylesterase (CaE) can also be inhibited by DZN exposure (Poet et al. 2004). Although little is known about the profile of BuChE and CaE in human, it is known that inhibition of BuChE does not induce toxic effects (Jokanović 2009; Jokanović et al. 2020).

Upon oral administration, DZN undergoes multiple metabolic pathways (Fig. 1) in different tissues, particularly in the liver, due to the high abundance of cytochromes P450 (CYP450) in this organ (Ellison et al. 2012; Sams et al. 2004). Previous studies reported that CYP450 are capable of bioactivating DZN to its active oxon metabolite diazoxon (DZO) (Fig. 1) which is a stronger AChE inhibitor than DZN, and of detoxifying DZN to 2-isopropyl-4-methyl-6-hydroxypyrimidine (IMHP) and diethylthiophosphate (DETP) (Fig. 1) (Ellison et al. 2012; Mutch and Williams 2006; Sams et al. 2004). In human, the bioactivation of DZN is mediated by especially CYP2B6, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4, while the detoxification is catalysed by CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 (Ellison et al. 2012; Kappers et al.

✉ Shensheng Zhao  
shensheng.zhao@wur.nl

<sup>1</sup> Division of Toxicology, Wageningen University and Research, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, The Netherlands

**Fig. 1** Biotransformation pathways of DZN. PON1, Paraoxonase 1; CYP450, cytochrome P450



2001; Mutch and Williams 2006; Sams et al. 2004). Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) is another enzyme involved in biotransformation of DZN, catalysing detoxification of DZO to IMHP and diethylphosphate (DEP) (Fig. 1). Different from CYP450-mediated conversions, PON1-mediated detoxification occurs not mainly in the liver but also in blood due to the excretion of PON1 from liver to blood (Pyati et al. 2015).

To date, the point of departure (POD) to define an acute reference dose (ARfD) for risk assessment of acute exposure to DZN is based on the no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) of acute AChE inhibition and neurotoxicity in rats (EFSA 2006; JMPR 2016), or on the BMDL<sub>10</sub>, the lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose (BMD) causing 10% inhibition of red blood cell (RBC) AChE activity in *in vivo* animal experiments (USEPA 2016), because of the absence of adequate human data. However, the use of animal data to define health based guidance values may not (fully) reflect the human situation (Martignoni et al. 2006). To overcome this issue, alternative testing strategies can be considered, including physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling-facilitated reverse dosimetry (Louisse et al. 2017) that enables quantitative *in vitro* to *in vivo* extrapolation (QIVIVE), as a potential novel approach in risk assessment. The PBK modelling-based alternative approach has been successfully used to predict chlorpyrifos-related AChE inhibition (Timchalk et al. 2002; Zhao et al. 2019) and also a variety of other chemical-induced adverse effects including for example cardiotoxicity induced by methadone, liver toxicity

induced by pyrrolizidine alkaloids and developmental toxicity of retinoids, glycoethers and phenols (Boonpawa et al. 2017; Louisse et al. 2010; Ning et al. 2019; Shi et al. 2020; Strikwold et al. 2013, 2017). In case of DZN, previously a physiologically based pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic model was developed for both human and rat (Poet et al. 2004). However, in this previous study, the predicted AChE inhibition was assumed to be caused by the metabolite DZO only, not taking the contribution of the parent compound DZN on AChE inhibition into account. Furthermore, the kinetic parameters were solely determined using rat liver microsomes and scaled for further use in rat and human PBK models, while the kinetic parameters for DZO detoxification in blood were assumed to be equal to those in liver. Apart from that, the model was not used to define an *in vivo* dose response curve for AChE inhibition from which a POD for human risk assessment could be derived.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the possibility of using mainly *in vitro* and *in-silico* data as input for PBK modelling facilitated QIVIVE to derive a POD for acute toxicity of DZN. The kinetic parameters of DZN biotransformation for rat and human PBK models were determined in a species-specific way by incubating a range of concentrations of DZN or DZO with rat or human liver microsomes and plasma. Considering that both DZN and DZO are able to inhibit AChE, a toxic equivalency factor (TEF) approach was employed and incorporated into the PBK model to describe the internal combined effective

concentration of DZN and DZO in DZO equivalents. The TEF-coded PBK model was subsequently used to translate in vitro concentration–response curves for DZO concentration-dependent inhibition of rat AChE or recombinant human AChE (rhAChE) to predicted in-vivo dose–response curves for DZN exposure mediated RBC AChE inhibition enabling definition of a BMDL<sub>10</sub> as POD for risk assessment and quantification of potential inter-species differences between rat and human.

## Materials and methods

### Materials

#### Chemicals

Diazinon (DZN), 2-isopropyl-4-methyl-6-hydroxypyrimidine (IMHP), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATC), 5,5'-dithio-bis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB), bovine serum albumin (BSA), tetraisopropyl pyrophosphoramidate (iso-OMPA), reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) and Trizma<sup>®</sup>base were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, Switzerland). Diazoxon (DZO) was purchased from TRC-Canada (Toronto, Ontario, Canada). Magnesium chloride hexahydrate (MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate (EDTA) and calcium chloride dihydrate (CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O) were purchased from VWR International (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Acetonitrile (ACN, UPLC/MS grade) and methanol (UPLC/MS grade) were purchased from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). Rapid equilibrium dialysis (RED) materials (RED inserts, RED based plate and sealing tape), and Pierce<sup>™</sup> BCA protein assay kit were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, IL, USA). Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS pH 7.4 (1X)) was purchased from GIBCO (Paisley, UK).

#### Biological material

Human liver microsomes (pooled from 20 donors, mixed gender) and rat liver microsomes (Sprague–Dawley, male) were purchased from Corning (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Human plasma (pooled from 6 donors, mixed gender) were purchased from Zen-Bio, Inc (NC, USA). Rat plasma (Sprague–Dawley) was purchased from Innovative Research Inc. (MI, USA). For the rat samples further information on number of animals used to create the samples (liver microsomes and plasma) or on gender (plasma) was not provided by the provider. Rat blood was purchased from BioIVT (West Sussex, UK). Recombinant human AChE was

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA), and the enzyme was stabilized with 1 mg/ml BSA.

### Methods

#### Protein determination

The total protein concentration of human and rat plasma was measured using a Pierce<sup>™</sup> BCA protein assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific 2020). The experiment was conducted using the manufacturer's protocol. In detail, 25 µl sample or protein standard solution were incubated with 200 µl working reagents in a 96-well plate at 37 °C for 30 min. Next, the plate was cooled to room temperature, followed by measuring the absorbance at 562 nm for each sample or protein standard. The protein concentration of the unknown sample was quantified based on the calibration curve (protein concentration versus 562 nm absorbance value) generated with the protein standards.

#### In vitro metabolic incubations for deriving kinetic parameters

The in vitro incubations for investigating CYP450-mediated biotransformation of DZN were performed using rat and human liver microsomes based on the method described by Sams et al. (2004) and Smith et al. (2011) with some modifications. Preliminary studies were carried out to optimize both incubation time and microsomal protein concentration, to define conditions at which metabolism was linear with respect to time and the amount of microsomal protein (data not shown) to be used for further kinetic studies. The final incubations contained 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA (as an A-esterase PON1 inhibitor) (Bizoń and Milnerowicz 2018), 50 µM iso-OMPA (as a B-esterases inhibitor) (Lane et al. 2006), 1 mM NADPH, and DZN at final concentrations of 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 and 250 µM (added from 100 times concentrated stock solutions in methanol). In these incubations, the formed DZO might also be further hydrolyzed to IMHP by esterases present in the liver microsomes, hampering accurate quantification of the formation of DZO or IMHP by the CYP450-mediated reactions. Therefore, to adequately define the CYP450-mediated conversion from DZN to DZO and from DZN to IMHP, esterase inhibitors (EDTA and iso-OMPA) were added to prevent this 'untargeted' conversion of DZO to IMHP in these microsomal incubations. Addition of esterase inhibitors EDTA and iso-OMPA has been commonly applied when studying CYP450-mediated conversion of organophosphate (OP) pesticides in liver microsomal incubations for determining kinetic parameters for their CYP450-mediated pathways (Buratti et al. 2005; Dadson et al. 2013; Ellison et al. 2012; Foxenberg et al. 2007; Poet et al. 2003; Smith et al.

2011). Based on previous studies, CYP450-mediated activities are not adversely affected by the addition of these inhibitors (1 mM EDTA and 50  $\mu$ M iso-OMPA) to the microsomal incubations (Buratti et al. 2003; Rasmussen 2012). After 1 min preincubation in a 37 °C water bath, 2.5  $\mu$ l of human or rat liver microsomes (final concentration 0.25 mg microsomal protein/ml) were added to initiate the reaction. The total incubation mixture was 200  $\mu$ l. Control incubations were carried out by replacing NADPH with buffer. The reaction was terminated after 2.5 min by adding 200  $\mu$ l ice-cold ACN. After the incubation, samples were centrifuged at 16000 g (4°C) for 5 min, and supernatants of rat samples were further diluted 2 $\times$  in a mixture of ACN and 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) (ratio 1:1, v/v). At the end, both diluted rat sample supernatants and undiluted human sample supernatants were analysed by LC–MS/MS for quantification of metabolite formation.

The *in vitro* incubations for quantification of kinetic parameters for PON1-mediated detoxification of DZO were conducted using both liver microsomes and plasma from either human or rat based on the method from Poet et al. (2003) with some modifications. It should be noted that the enzyme activity detected in these DZO detoxification incubations with tissue fractions could in theory be due to various enzymes, but based on literature data (Costa et al. 1999; Jokanović et al. 2020; Poet et al. 2004), the activity can be mainly ascribed to the activity of PON1. Preliminary studies were carried to optimize and select the incubation conditions with respect to linearity for both incubation time and microsomal/plasma protein concentration (data not shown). The final incubations contained 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) and DZO at final concentrations of 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 1000  $\mu$ M (added from 100 times concentrated stock solutions in methanol). After 1 min preincubation in a 37 °C water bath, 1  $\mu$ l of human or rat liver microsomes (final concentration 0.1 mg microsomal protein/ml), or 1  $\mu$ l of human or rat plasma (final concentration 0.385 mg plasma protein/ml for human plasma and 0.300 mg plasma protein/ml for rat plasma) was added to initiate the reaction. The total incubation mixture was 200  $\mu$ l. Control incubations were carried out by replacing liver microsomes or plasma with buffer. The reaction was terminated after 1 min incubation (human samples) or 2 min incubation (rat samples) by adding 200  $\mu$ l ice-cold ACN. Subsequently, samples were centrifuged at 16000 g (4°C) for 5 min, and supernatants were further diluted (30 $\times$  for liver sample and 40 $\times$  for plasma sample) in a mixture of ACN and 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) (ratio 1:1, v/v) before analysis by LC–MS/MS for quantification of metabolite formation.

## Quantification of DZN and DZO metabolites by LC–MS/MS

The amounts of parent compound DZN and formed DZO and IMHP in samples from the microsomal and plasma incubations were identified and quantified using a Shimadzu Nexera XR LC-20AD-xr UHPLC system coupled to a Shimadzu LCMS-8045 mass spectrometer (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface. The chromatographic separations were conducted on a Kinetex® 1.7  $\mu$ m Phenyl-Hexyl 100 Å LC column (100 $\times$ 2.1 mm). The injection volume was 1  $\mu$ l at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. The temperature of the column was kept at 40°C. The mobile phase A consisted of ultrapure water with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, and mobile phase B consisted of acetonitrile (ACN) with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. The gradient started with 0% B and was linearly increased to 100% B in 12 min, kept at 100% B for 1 min and then changed to the initial condition (0% B) at 13.5 min and kept for 5.5 min to re-equilibrate the column before the next injection. The instrument was used in positive mode with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The optimized acquisition parameters for DZN, DZO and the metabolite IMHP are listed in Supplementary data I.

## Calculation of kinetic parameters

The Michaelis–Menten parameters for conversion of DZN to DZO and of DZN to IMHP in incubations with liver microsomes, and of DZO to IMHP in incubations with liver or plasma samples were determined by fitting the data to Eq. (1):

$$v = \frac{V_{\max} \times [S]}{(K_m + [S])}, \quad (1)$$

where  $v$  represents the rate of reaction in nmol/min/mg microsomal protein or in nmol/min/mg plasma protein,  $S$  represents the substrate concentration (in  $\mu$ M),  $K_m$  the apparent Michaelis–Menten constant in  $\mu$ M, and  $V_{\max}$  the apparent maximum rate in nmol/min/mg microsomal protein or in nmol/min/mg plasma protein. The calculation was done using GraphPad Prism 5 for Windows, version 5.04 (GraphPad software, San Diego California USA).

## *In vitro* AChE inhibition assay to derive concentration–response curves

### Preparation of rat RBC AChE

Rat RBC AChE was prepared according to the protocol previously described (Dodge et al. 1963; Patel et al. 2000) with some modifications. In the current study, 7 ml rat whole blood was first centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min (4 °C) to

separate plasma and RBCs (pellet). Subsequently, the RBCs were suspended in 5 ml 0.9% saline (sodium chloride) and centrifuged at 2000g for 10 min (4 °C) to wash away plasma residue. After three of these washing steps, the washed RBCs were resuspended in 2 ml PBS and lysed by addition of 18 ml lysis buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4) and freezing at – 80 °C for 24 h. The lysed RBCs were defrozed and the membrane fraction (containing AChE) was sedimented by centrifugation at 20,000g for 40 min, and the supernatant was carefully removed. The pellet was washed for another two times by resuspending in lysis buffer and sedimenting as described above. Afterwards the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) and was successively centrifuged for 2 min at 2000 g using a Microcentrifuge (VWR, Mini start silverline) to obtain the AChE (supernatant). The enzyme concentration of the isolated rat AChE, expressed in mU/ml, was quantified based on the calibration curve generated using the commercially available rhAChE.

### AChE activity assay

In the present study, AChE activity of rhAChE and of the extracted rat RBC AChE were used to characterize the

control) and 100% ethanol (solvent control) were all diluted 50 × in 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) containing 0.1 mg/ml BSA. Incubation was conducted in 96-well plates with 44 μl 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) containing 0.1 mg/ml BSA, and 5 μl DZO solution (final concentrations 0.0005, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05 0.1, 0.25 0.5 and 1 μM), or DZN solution (final concentrations 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 500 and 1000 μM) or 5 μl positive control (CPO at a final concentration of 10 μM) or 5 μl solvent control (ethanol at a final concentration 0.2%). To initiate the inhibition reaction, 1 μl rhAChE or rat AChE (final concentration 0.6 mU/ml) was added to the incubation. The total incubation volume was 50 μl. After 15 min incubation at 37°C, 150 μl reaction reagents (mixture of ATC at a final concentration of 150 μM and DTNB at a final concentration of 75 μM) were added into each well. The final total volume of each well was 200 μl. Subsequently, the 96-well plate was measured continuously for 10 min at absorbance 412 nm at 37°C to quantify the remaining AChE activity.

### AChE activity data analysis

The rhAChE and rat AChE activity were expressed as the remaining AChE activity relative to solvent control (100% activity) and positive control (0% activity) based on Eq. (2):

$$\text{AChE activity\%} = \frac{A412(t10 - t0)\text{test compound} - A412(t10 - t0)\text{positive control}}{A412(t10 - t0)\text{solvent control} - A412(t10 - t0)\text{positive control}} \times 100\%, \quad (2)$$

inhibitory potency of DZO or DZN on human and rat RBC AChE, based on the protocol from Ellman et al. (1961). Recombinant human AChE was used since it is easy to use (no lysing and washing steps are required compared to use of AChE extracted from native red blood cell), the obtained results will not be affected by haemoglobin (George and Abernethy 1983), and its characteristics are comparable with those of human natural RBC AChE in term of sensitivity towards OP (Amitai et al. 1998; Velan et al. 1991). However, since recombinant rat AChE was not commercially available, extracted rat RBC AChE was used. Preliminary studies were carried out to select the rhAChE enzyme concentration and substrate acetylthiocholine iodide (ATC) concentration that would be within the linear range with respect to formation of the yellow-coloured product 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid (data not shown) and thus optimal to quantify the AChE activity upon inhibition by DZO or DZN. The concentration of DTNB was calculated based on the concentration ratio (ATC/DTNB) of 2 (Stern et al. 2014). To this end, series of increasing concentrations of DZO or DZN in ethanol, 5000 μM chlorpyrifos-oxon in ethanol (CPO, positive

where the  $A412(t10 - t0)\text{test compound}$  is the change in the absorbance at 412 nm between 0 and 10 min for the test compound, the  $A412(t10 - t0)\text{positive control}$  is the change in the absorbance at 412 nm between 0 and 10 min for the CPO sample, and the  $A412(t10 - t0)\text{solvent control}$  is the change in the absorbance at 412nm between 0 and 10 min for the 0.2% ethanol sample.

The concentration-dependent human and rat AChE inhibition curves were analysed to define the half maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC<sub>50</sub>) for both DZO and DZN using non-linear regression, dose response-inhibition-variable, log(inhibitor) vs. response-variable slope (four parameters) in GraphPad Prism 5, version 5.04 (GraphPad software, San Diego California USA), with 95% confidential interval. To further define whether the concentration-dependent DZN and DZO AChE inhibition curves in both rat and human were parallel or not, the hillslope values of these curves were statistically compared using non-linear regression, Dose Response-Inhibition-Variable, log(inhibitor) vs. response-variable slope (four parameters), compare tab “Do the best fit values of selected parameters differ between data sets” in GraphPad Prism 5, version 5.04 (GraphPad software, San

Diego California USA) based on instruction from GraphPad (GraphPad 2009).


### Determination of unbound fraction of DZO and DZN in the in vitro medium and in vivo

A previous study from Heilmair et al. (2008) showed that the presence of a low level of BSA (0.1 mg/ml) will not significantly affect the free concentration of OP chlorpyrifos-oxon in solution. Based on this observation, the in vitro unbound fraction of both DZN ( $fu_{DZN, in vitro}$ ) and DZO ( $fu_{DZO, in vitro}$ ) in the in vitro medium are set at 1. To assess whether this assumption is reasonable, the  $fu_{DZO, in vitro}$  was determined. This was done using rapid equilibrium dialysis (RED) performed in line with the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific 2012). To this end, 200  $\mu$ l in vitro medium containing 0.05  $\mu$ M DZO were added to the sample chamber and 350  $\mu$ l PBS buffer consisting of 100 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM sodium chloride to the buffer chamber, separated by a semipermeable membrane in the RED insert device. The whole device was then incubated for 5 h at 37°C on a shaker at 250 rpm to reach equilibrium. Then 50  $\mu$ l of

post-incubation sample were separately collected from the sample and buffer chambers into the corresponding Eppendorf tubes. After this 50  $\mu$ l of PBS buffer was added to the sample taken from the sample chamber and 50  $\mu$ l of in vitro medium was added to the sample taken from buffer chamber and subsequently 300  $\mu$ l ice-cold 90% acetonitrile (ACN/water, v/v) were added to both samples to precipitate the protein. All samples were put on ice for 30 min, followed by centrifugation for 30 min at 15,000g. The supernatants were collected for LC–MS/MS analysis of the amount of DZO. The  $fu_{DZO, in vitro}$  was calculated by dividing the concentration of DZO in the buffer chamber by the concentration DZO in the sample chamber.

Given that only the free concentration of DZN and DZO can inhibit AChE in vivo, the fraction of unbound DZN in vivo ( $fu_{DZN, in vivo}$ ) and of unbound DZO in vivo ( $fu_{DZO, in vivo}$ ) were estimated based on SMILES string of compound using the pkCSM prediction tool (Pires et al. 2015; pkCSM 2020).

**Fig. 2** Structure of the PBK model for DZN in rat and human with a submodel for DZO



## PBK model for DZN

The PBK model for DZN was developed based on the model from Poet et al. (2004) with some modifications for both rat and human. The model structure is presented in Fig. 2. The model contains a submodel for the metabolite DZO and was defined to include compartments for rapidly perfused tissue, slowly perfused tissue, liver, fat and blood for both the parent compound DZN and its metabolite DZO. The model also contains a compartment to describe the urinary elimination of the metabolites IMHP and DAP (sum of DEP and DETP). In the currently developed model, oral exposure was included since we aimed at defining a POD for risk assessment of exposure via food and drinking water, and intravenous (IV) exposure was included for model evaluation. The fractional absorption (fa) was set equal to literature reported values, being 0.8 in rat (Poet et al. 2004) and 0.66 in human (Garfitt et al. 2002) and applied to the overall dose. The absorption of DZN from the stomach into the liver was described using a two-compartment gastrointestinal tract model as reported by Poet et al. (2004), with a first-order rate constant for absorption of DZN from the stomach into the liver (KaS) of 0.1 /h for rat and 0.32/h for human (Poet et al. 2004), a first-order rate constant for transfer of DZN from the stomach into the intestine (KsI) of 0.48/h for both rat and human, a first-order rate constant for absorption DZN from the intestine into the liver (KaI) of 0.59/h for both rat and human, (Poet et al. 2004), and Ke values for the elimination of IMHP or DAP (sum of DEP and DETP) into the urine amounting to Ke IMHP = 0.29/h, Ke DEP = 0.29 /h and Ke DETP = 0.29/h for rat (Poet et al. 2004), and Ke IMHP = 12/h, Ke DEP = 12 /h and Ke DETP = 12/h for human (Garfitt et al. 2002; Poet et al. 2004).

The physiological parameters for rat and human were obtained from Brown et al. (1997) as well as Gearhart et al. (1990), and are summarised in Table 1. The partition coefficients for both DZN and DZO were obtained using the approach described by DeJongh et al. (1997), based on the value  $\text{Log}K_{ow}$  which was derived from clogP estimated using ChemDraw professional 16.0 (Cambrigesoft) (Table 1). The kinetic parameters for biotransformation of DZN in rat and human were obtained by conducting in vitro liver microsomal/plasma incubations as described in the section “[In vitro metabolic incubations for deriving kinetic parameters](#)”. The bioactivation and detoxification of DZN by CYP450 were assumed to occur only in the liver (Poet et al. 2004), and the resulting DZO was transferred to the DZO submodel. In the current model, only unbound DZN and DZO are assumed to be metabolised. The first-pass metabolism of DZN by the intestine was not taken into account in the current model because the metabolic conversion in the intestine derived from the conversion in incubations with intestinal microsomes upon scaling to the whole organ, appeared less

**Table 1** Summary of physiological and physicochemical parameters for the PBK models for DZN and its metabolite DZO in rat and human (Brown et al. 1997; DeJongh et al. 1997; Gearhart et al. 1990)

Model parameters	Rat	Human
<i>Physiological parameters</i>		
Body weight (BW; kg)	0.25	70.0
<i>Percentage of body weight</i>		
Liver	3.4	2.6
Fat	7.0	21.4
Rapidly perfused	4.8	5.4
Slowly perfused	66.7	58.0
Blood	7.4	7.9
<i>Flow (l/hr/kg BW<sup>0.74</sup>)</i>		
Cardiac output	15.0	15.0
<i>Percentage of cardiac output</i>		
Liver	25.0	22.7
Fat	9.0	5.2
Rapidly perfused	51.6	43.0
Slowly perfused	14.4	29.1
<i>Tissue: blood partition coefficients for DZN</i>		
Liver	13.7	7.1
Fat	211.0	137.7
Rapidly perfused	13.7	7.1
Slowly perfused	8.7	4.5
<i>Tissue: blood partition coefficients for DZO</i>		
Liver	3.9	2.6
Fat	89.6	72.4
Rapidly perfused	3.9	2.6
Slowly perfused	2.4	1.9

than 5% of that obtained in a similar way for the liver (data not shown). Since PON1 can be expected to be present in both liver and blood, the PON1-mediated detoxification of DZO was modelled to occur in these two compartments (Pyati et al. 2015). To scale the in vitro Vmax values to the in vivo situation, the following scaling factors were used; 35 mg microsomal protein/g liver for rat hepatic metabolism (Medinsky et al. 1994), 32 mg microsomal protein/g liver for human hepatic metabolism (Barter et al. 2007), 77 mg plasma protein/ml plasma (total plasma protein concentration) for human plasma metabolism, and 60 mg plasma protein/ml plasma (total plasma protein concentration) for rat plasma metabolism. Plasma volume in rat and human were assumed as 55% of their corresponding blood volume (O’Neil 1999). The  $K_m$  values determined in vitro were assumed to be equal to in vivo  $K_m$  values. When lacking experimental values the blood plasma ratio (B/P) is often assumed to be 1 for basic compounds or 0.55 (1-haematocrit) for acidic compounds (Cubitt et al. 2009). In the current study, because experimental data were not available, and both DZN and DZO are basic compounds, the B/P ratio of

DZN and DZO were assumed to be 1. Therefore, no correction was required between blood and plasma concentration.

Because previously it was shown that not only DZO but also its parent compound DZN are able to inhibit AChE (Li et al. 2019), in the present study both DZN and DZO were considered to be able to act as AChE inhibitor. To determine the combined effect of DZN and DZO at the target site, the free effective blood maximum concentration of DZN and DZO was expressed in DZO equivalents using a toxic equivalency factor (TEF) (see Eq. 3):

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Total free in vivo DZN and DZO} \\ &\text{concentration expressed in DZO equivalents} \\ &= [\text{DZN}] \times \text{fuDZN in vivo} \times \text{TEFDZN} + [\text{DZO}] \\ &\quad \times \text{fuDZO in vivo} \times \text{TEFDZO}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In which the Total free in vivo DZN and DZO concentration expressed in DZO equivalents represents the free blood maximum concentration of DZO plus DZN expressed in DZO equivalents using the TEF values for DZO and DZN, [DZN] and [DZO] represent the total blood maximum concentrations of DZN and DZO, which were corrected to their corresponding free internal maximum concentrations using their unbound fraction in vivo  $\text{fuDZN}_{\text{in vivo}}$  and  $\text{fuDZO}_{\text{in vivo}}$ , and the TEFDZN and TEFDZO are the toxic equivalency factors of DZN and DZO. The TEF for DZO was set at 1.0 and the TEF for DZN was defined using Eq. (4) based on its IC50 and the IC50 of DZO for inhibition of rat AChE or human hrAChE for the rat and human model, respectively.

$$\text{TEF DZN} = \frac{\text{IC50 DZO}}{\text{IC50 DZN}}. \quad (4)$$

The free internal maximum concentration of DZN plus DZO expressed in DZO equivalents was subsequently used to extrapolate the in vitro AChE concentration–response curve of DZO to its corresponding in vivo DZN-dose response curve using reverse dosimetry (see below).

All differential equations and the mass balance were coded in Berkeley Madonna software version 8.3.18 ((Macey and Oster, UC Berkeley, California) using Rosenbrock's algorithm for stiff systems. The full model code is presented in Supplementary data II.

### Sensitivity analysis

The key parameters that have the largest influence on the

$$\text{Total free in vivo DZN and DZO concentration expressed in DZO equivalents} = [\text{DZO}]_{\text{in vitro}} \times \text{fuDZO in vitro} \times \text{TEFDZO} \quad (6)$$

prediction of the model parameter of interest, being the maximum combined DZN and DZO free blood concentration expressed in DZO equivalents was identified by performing

a sensitivity analysis at low non-toxic dose levels of DZN of 3 mg/kg bw (rat) and 0.011 mg/kg bw (human), and at high-DZN dose levels of 300 mg/kg bw (rat) and 293 mg/kg bw (human), the latter two dose levels representing toxic dose levels reported for rat and human respectively (JMPR 2016; Poklis et al. 1980). The normalized sensitivity coefficients (SC) were calculated based on Eq. (5):

$$\text{SC} = \frac{(C' - C)}{(P' - P)} \times \left(\frac{P}{C}\right). \quad (5)$$

In which  $P$  represents the original parameter value in the PBK model and  $P'$  is the parameter value with a 5% increase, while  $C$  is the model output with the original parameter values and  $C'$  is the model output with a parameter value with an increase of 5%. Each parameter was analyzed individually while other parameters were kept at their initial value.

### Model evaluation

The developed rat DZN model was evaluated by comparing predicted time-dependent plasma concentrations of DZN (both upon oral and intravenous IV administration) with their corresponding available in vivo data (Lu et al. 2003; Poet et al. 2004; Wu et al. 1996). The performance of the human DZN model was assessed by comparing the predicted urinary DAP excretion against available in vivo data (Garfitt et al. 2002).

### Translation of the in vitro concentration response curve to an in vivo dose response curve

In this step, the species-specific in vitro DZO AChE inhibition concentration–response curves were converted to the corresponding DZN dose–response curves via reverse dosimetry using the rat or human TEF-coded PBK models. To this end, the in vitro DZO concentrations were assumed to be equal to the nominal concentrations given that the  $\text{fuDZO}_{\text{in vitro}}$  was considered equal to 1. Subsequently, the DZO concentration in vitro was set equal to the free maximum DZN and DZO concentration expressed in DZO equivalents by multiplying with TEFDZO, to determine the DZN dose that would result in this concentration, leading to the corresponding inhibition, ultimately generating the predicted DZN dose–response curve for in vivo RBC AChE inhibition in rat and human by DZN exposure. This was done using Eq. (6):

The correction for protein binding in vivo was done as described above (see Eq. 3).



## Determination of a point of departure (POD) based on the predicted in vivo dose–response curve


The predicted in vivo RBC AChE inhibition dose–response curves obtained for rat were validated against available in vivo data (JMPR 2016; USEPA 2016), and subsequently used to derive a POD for evaluation of the acute toxicity upon oral exposure using a BMD analysis. In the present study, the BMDL<sub>10</sub> was used as POD since also the USEPA used the BMDL<sub>10</sub> as POD to define the acute reference dose (ARfD) (USEPA 2016). To obtain the BMDL<sub>10</sub>, the Benchmark Dose Software version 3.1.2 (USEPA 2020) was used. Of all available models (Exponential, Hill, Power, Linear and Polynomial) for fitting of continuous data, only the Exponential and Hill models provided adequate fits to the data, and were employed for derivation of predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> values for rat or human with BMR type of Std. Dev, confidential level of 0.95, distribution type of normal and variance type of constant. The BMDL<sub>10</sub> value with the lowest AIC was chosen as POD. Finally, the obtained POD values were evaluated

against reported BMDL<sub>10</sub> values or POD values from EFSA (2006), JMPR (2016) and USEPA (2016).

## Results

### Kinetic data and total protein concentration

The kinetic parameters for biotransformation of DZN and DZO by the different pathways were determined by incubating increasing concentrations of DZN with pooled human or rat liver microsomes, and DZO with pooled human or rat liver microsomes or plasma (Fig. 3). The apparent  $V_{\max}$ ,  $K_m$  and the catalytic efficiency (calculated as  $V_{\max}/K_m$ ) derived from these data, as well as the determined total protein concentration of plasma are shown in Table 2. In general, for both rat and human, the CYP450-mediated detoxification of DZN to IMHP is faster than its CYP450-mediated bioactivation to DZO. The PON1-mediated detoxification of DZO in liver and plasma was even faster and more efficient. Together these data indicate that detoxification is preferred




**Fig. 3** CYP450-mediated DZN concentration-dependent formation of **a** DZO and **b** IMHP in incubations with pooled rat (filled triangle) or human (filled circle) liver microsomes, and PON1-mediated DZO concentration-dependent formation of IMHP in incubations with **c**

pooled rat (filled triangle) or human (filled circle) plasma. Data points represent mean  $\pm$  SD of two experiments for each conversion

**Table 2** Kinetic parameters for biotransformation of DZN and DZO in liver and plasma

Pathway	Rat	Human
<b>Liver</b>		
<b>DZN to DZO</b>		
V <sub>max</sub> (nmol/min/mg microsomal protein)	0.288	0.187
K <sub>m</sub> (μM)	4.745	59.600
CE (ml/min/mg microsomal protein) <sup>a</sup>	0.060	0.003
<b>DZN to IMHP</b>		
V <sub>max</sub> (nmol/min/mg microsomal protein)	1.098	0.665
K <sub>m</sub> (μM)	1.764	16.340
CE (ml/min/mg microsomal protein) <sup>a</sup>	0.620	0.041
<b>DZO to IMHP</b>		
V <sub>max</sub> (nmol/min/mg microsomal protein)	691.100	53.490
K <sub>m</sub> (μM)	668.000	557.400
CE (ml/min/mg microsomal protein) <sup>a</sup>	1.030	0.096
<b>Plasma</b>		
<b>DZO to IMHP</b>		
V <sub>max</sub> (nmol/min/mg plasma protein)	431.400	124.000
K <sub>m</sub> (μM)	535.600	701.000
CE (ml/min/mg plasma protein) <sup>a</sup>	0.810	0.180
Total protein concentration (mg/ml)	60.000	77.000

<sup>a</sup>CE = catalytic efficiency (ml/min/mg microsomal protein or ml/min/mg plasma protein) calculated as  $V_{max}/K_m$



**Fig. 4** Effect of increasing concentration of DZO (circle) and DZN (square) on acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity of rat (filled circle or square) and human (unfilled circle or square) at 37 °C. Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments

over bioactivation in both rat and human. Comparison of the data for rat and human, reveals that the unscaled catalytic efficiency of CYP450-mediated bioactivation is 20-fold higher in rat than human while detoxification is 15-fold more efficient in rat compared to human. These differences originate from a 12.6-fold lower  $K_m$  for the CYP450-mediated bioactivation reaction, and a 9.3-fold lower  $K_m$  for CYP450-mediated detoxification in rat than in human. PON1-mediated conversion of DZO in rat liver and plasma was 10.7-fold


and 4.5-fold faster than that in human liver and plasma, respectively, due to a 12.9-fold higher  $V_{max}$  in rat liver and 3.5-fold higher  $V_{max}$  in rat plasma as compared to human plasma. Besides, the results also show that rat plasma and human plasma have comparable total protein concentrations, being 60 mg/ml in rat plasma and 77 mg/ml in human plasma (Table 2).

### AChE inhibition concentration–response curve and TEF calculation

Figure 4 shows the DZO concentration-dependent inhibition of rat and human AChE activity, with 50% inhibition ( $IC_{50}$ ) being observed at a concentration of 0.0515  $\mu M$  (with the 95% confidence interval ranging from 0.0443 to 0.0610  $\mu M$ ) for rat and 0.0440  $\mu M$  (with the 95% confidence interval ranging from 0.0380 to 0.0521  $\mu M$ ) for human. The  $IC_{50}$  values observed for DZN were substantially higher amounting to 14.66  $\mu M$  (with the 95% confidence interval ranging from 12.91 to 16.63  $\mu M$ ) for rat and 14.26  $\mu M$  (with the 95% confidence interval ranging from 11.64 to 17.59  $\mu M$ ) for human (Fig. 4). These  $IC_{50}$  values indicate that rat and human AChE appear to show comparable sensitivity towards in vitro inhibition following DZO and DZN exposure. Besides, the comparison of hillslope values of the curves indicated that the DZN and DZO curves are parallel for both human and rat (the hillslope value of AChE inhibition induced by DZN and DZO in human is  $-1.2700$ , and  $-1.0200$ , with  $p$  value of 0.0797, and that in rat is  $-1.3220$  and  $-1.1240$ , with  $p$  value of 0.1504). To incorporate the TEF method into the PBK model developed for predicting the combined free blood concentration of DZN and DZO at the target site (RBC AChE) in DZO equivalents, the TEF value for DZN was calculated based on the  $IC_{50}$  values derived from the in vitro concentration-AChE inhibition curves, setting the TEF of DZO at 1.0. The TEF values thus obtained for DZN and DZO are 0.00351 and 1.0 in rat, and 0.00310 and 1.0 in human.

### PBK model validation

The PBK models developed for DZN were evaluated against in vivo data. For the rat model, the evaluation was based on comparison of the model predictions with four sets of available in vivo data. These included: (1) the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration upon IV administration of DZN at 10 mg/kg bw (Fig. 5a) (Wu et al. 1996); (2) the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration upon IV administration of DZN at 1 and 10 mg/kg bw (Fig. 5b) (Lu et al. 2003); (3) the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration upon oral administration of DZN at 80 mg/kg bw (Fig. 5c) (Wu et al. 1996); and (4) the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration upon an oral DZN dose of 50, and 100 mg/kg bw



**Fig. 5** Comparison between reported in vivo data and PBK model predictions for **a** the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration in rats upon IV administration of DZN at 10 mg/kg bw (Wu et al. 1996); **b** the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration in rats upon IV administration of DZN at 1 mg/kg bw and 10 mg/kg bw (Lu et al. 2003); **c** the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration in rats upon

oral administration of DZN at 80 mg/kg bw (Wu et al. 1996); **d** the time-dependent DZN plasma concentration in rats upon an oral DZN dose of 50, and 100 mg/kg bw (Poet et al. 2004); **e** the urinary excretion of DAP in humans upon an oral dose of 0.011 mg/kg bw (Garfitt et al. 2002)

(Fig. 5d) (Poet et al. 2004). The data reveal that the model adequately predicts the DZN plasma concentration upon IV administration (Fig. 5a, b). Upon oral dosing, the predictions vary, but this seems to be also related to differences in the experimental data. The DZN plasma levels reported by Poet et al. (2004) for example are around seven-fold and four-fold lower at a dose level of 50 and 100 mg/kg bw than what is reported by Wu et al. (1996) at a dose level of 80 mg/kg bw, pointing at a discrepancy in between these in vivo data. In the present study, the PBK model predictions matched the reported plasma DZN levels from Wu et al. (1996) well based on the acceptance criteria from the WHO (WHO 2010) (predictions are between 0.8- to two-fold different from in vivo data) (Fig. 5c), while the predictions are two- to three-fold different from the values reported by Poet et al. (2004) (Fig. 5d). Given that the PBK model accurately predicted the data from the Wu et al. (1996) (Fig. 5c), and the fact that the maximum plasma concentration levels reported by Poet et al. (2004) at dose levels of 50 and 100 mg/kg bw are seven-fold and four-fold lower than the concentration level reported by Wu et al. (1996) at 80 mg/kg bw (instead of only 1.6-fold lower and 1.25-fold higher in line with the dose differences), it is concluded that these lower values reported by Poet et al. (2004) might be related to an experimental factor resulting from for example lower oral bioavailability of the administered dose. Based on these considerations it was concluded that the PBK model was acceptable for further reverse dosimetry. This is further supported by the data presented in Fig. 5e show that the related human PBK model well predicted urinary excretion of DAP (within 1.5-fold difference compared with DAP urinary excretion data in human) upon an oral dose of 0.011 mg/kg bw given to human subjects (four men and one woman, age range 30–50 years, weight range 76–90 kg) by Garfitt et al. (2002).

### Sensitivity analysis

In the present study, the impact of each parameter on the model output (the maximum free blood concentration of DZO plus DZN expressed in DZO equivalents) was determined by performing a sensitivity analysis. Only the parameters with normalized sensitivity coefficient higher than 0.1 (absolute value) are shown in Fig. 6.

For both rat and human, the predicted maximum blood free concentration of DZN plus DZO expressed in DZO equivalents is substantially influenced by body weight, kinetic parameters for all pathways of DZN, volume of liver, volume of blood, blood flow to rapidly and slowly perfused tissue as well as to liver tissue. In addition, all three absorption rate constants, fraction of dose absorbed, liver microsomal protein yield scaling factor, plasma protein scaling factor, the  $fuDZN_{in vivo}$ ,  $fuDZO_{in vivo}$  as well as the  $TEFDZN$  and  $TEFDZO$  appear to have a substantial influence on the prediction

at low dose of DZN. At high dose level, similar results were obtained except for the influence of the kinetic parameters and plasma total protein concentration that became less influential, while slowly perfused tissue/blood partition coefficient of DZN and cardiac output started to play a role.

### Unbound fraction of DZO and DZN in the in vitro medium and in vivo


The  $fuDZN_{in vivo}$  and  $fuDZO_{in vivo}$  were predicted to be 0.329 and 0.302, respectively. An  $fuDZO_{in vitro}$  value of 0.96 was obtained (with the recovery rate of post-dialysis of DZO in the in vitro medium being 74%), indicating that the presence of a low level (0.1 mg/ml) of BSA in the in vitro medium does not substantially affect the free fraction of DZO. This observation is in line with the data reported by Heilmair et al. (2008) as mentioned above. A similar result is expected for DZN since DZN and DZO have comparable unbound fractions in plasma. Therefore, the unbound fraction of both DZN and DZO in vitro was set at 1.

### Relative contribution of DZN and DZO to plasma DZO equivalents

Figure 7 shows the predicted dose-dependent relative contribution of DZN and DZO to the total free blood concentration expressed in DZO equivalents in rat and human. These results indicate that, apart from DZO, DZN is predicted to be another major contributor to the blood DZO equivalents, in spite of its relatively low TEF values of 0.00351 in rat and 0.00310 in human. This is especially apparent at high dose levels (at dose levels higher than 240 mg/kg in rat and 50 mg/kg in human), the role of DZN even outweighs that of its active metabolite DZO because of its high concentration and the almost saturation of its conversion to DZO. Similarly, the predicted free blood maximum concentration of DZO for a DZN dose range from 0 up to 300 mg/kg bw (supplementary data III) in humans is around 2- to 4-fold higher than that in rats, while this inter-species difference increases to around 4- to 10-fold when comparing the predicted dose-dependent maximum blood concentrations expressed in DZO equivalents in human and rat, with the values in human being higher (supplementary data III). Overall, Fig. 7 and supplementary data III together reflect the relatively higher contribution of DZN to the toxicity in human than in rats, and also that it is essential to take the contribution of both DZN and DZO into account.

### Predicted in vivo dose–response curves for AChE inhibition and their evaluation

Figure 8 presents the predicted in vivo dose–response curves for AChE inhibition upon DZN exposure in rat and human.




**Fig. 6** Sensitivity analysis for the predicted free blood concentration of DZN plus DZO expressed in DZO equivalents at **a** low dose levels of DZN of 3 mg/kg (rat) and 0.011 mg/kg bw (human), and **b** high dose levels of DZN of 300 mg/kg (rat) and 293 mg/kg bw (human). The parameters represent: *BW* body weight, *VLc* fraction of liver tissue, *VBc* fraction of blood, *VSc* fraction of slowly perfused tissue (bone, muscle and skin), *QC* cardiac output, *QFc* fraction of blood flow to fat, *QLc* fraction of blood flow to liver, *QRC* fraction of blood flow to richly perfused tissue, *QSc* fraction of blood flow to slowly perfused tissue (muscle, skin, bone), *PSDZN* slowly perfused tissue/blood partition coefficient of DZN, *KaS* first-order rate constant for absorption DZN from stomach into liver, *Kal* first-order rate constant for absorption DZN from intestine into the liver, *Ksl* first-order rate

constant for transfer of DZN from stomach to intestine, *fa* fractional absorption, *MPL* liver microsomal protein yield, *V<sub>max1c</sub>* maximum rate for conversion of DZN to DZO, *K<sub>m1</sub>* Michaelis Menten constant for conversion of DZN to DZO, *V<sub>max2c</sub>* maximum rate for conversion of DZN to IMHP, *K<sub>m2</sub>* Michaelis Menten constant for conversion of DZN to IMHP, *V<sub>max3c</sub>* maximum rate for conversion of DZO to IMHP in liver, *K<sub>m3</sub>* Michaelis Menten constant for conversion of DZO to IMHP in liver, *V<sub>max4c</sub>* maximum rate for conversion of DZO to IMHP in plasma, *K<sub>m4</sub>* Michaelis Menten constant for conversion of DZO to IMHP in plasma, *MPB* plasma protein scaling factor, *fuDZN<sub>in vivo</sub>* free fraction of DZN in vivo, *fuDZO<sub>in vivo</sub>* free fraction of DZO in vivo, *TEFDZN* toxic equivalency factor of DZN, *TEFDZO* toxic equivalency factor of DZO

Based on the predicted dose–response curves obtained, human seems more sensitive than rat in terms of AChE inhibition caused by DZN exposure, although a similar intrinsic potency was found from the in vitro concentration–response

curves for human and rat AChE inhibition from which these in vivo predicted curves were derived (Fig. 4). This indicates that differences in kinetics influence the inter-species differences in in vivo sensitivity.



**Fig. 7** The PBK model-based predicted dose-dependent relative contribution of DZN and DZO to the free blood concentration expressed in DZO equivalents in **a** rat and **b** human. The insert presents the data at the lower dose levels (up to 10 mg/kg bw) in some more detail

For comparison, Fig. 8 also presents the individual data points for AChE inhibition as reported by JMPR and USEPA (JMPR 2016; USEPA 2016). Comparison of these data to the predicted curves reveals that the predictions are in line with the reported *in vivo* data.

### Predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> values and their evaluation

The dose–response curves obtained were used to derive BMDL<sub>10</sub> values for both rat and human allowing comparison to PODs available from previous evaluations (EFSA 2006; JMPR 2016; USEPA 2016). The predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> values amounted to 2.1 and 12.6 mg/kg bw for human and rat respectively (Table 3). The BMDL<sub>10</sub> value for human was 6-fold lower than that for rat, a difference that is lower than the default uncertainty factor of 10 for inter-species differences, providing support for a chemical-specific adjustment factor. The BMDL<sub>10</sub> of 12.6 mg/kg bw for rat, compares well to the BMDL<sub>10</sub> for inhibition of brain and RBC AChE in male adult rats upon oral DZN administration, amounting to 12.175 mg/kg bw/day for inhibition of brain AChE and


4.804 mg/kg bw/day for inhibition of RBC AChE, respectively (USEPA 2016). The predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> of the present study for rat RBC AChE inhibition appears the same as the BMDL<sub>10</sub> reported for inhibition of brain AChE and 2.6-fold higher than that for inhibition of RBC AChE. However, EPA used a BMDL<sub>10</sub> value of 3 mg/kg bw derived from RBC AChE inhibition in female rat pups (PND11) (USEPA 2016) as the POD for deriving an ARfD. A previous study indicated that the inhibition of brain AChE in pups at postnatal day 17 was 2-fold higher than in adult rats (75% instead of 38%) at a similar oral dose of 75 mg/kg bw (Padilla et al. 2004), suggesting that the early life stage of rat (pups) show a greater sensitivity than adult rats. Therefore the predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> in the current study for adult rats can be further corrected by this factor 2, resulting in a POD of 6.3 mg/kg bw which is 2.1-fold higher than the POD of 3 mg/kg bw used by the EPA (USEPA 2016).

Different from the EPA, JMPR used a no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) of 2.5 mg/kg bw from an acute (neuro)toxicity study of DZN in rats (JMPR 2016) based on inhibition of brain and RBC AChE activity in female rat at a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 25 mg/kg bw. Similarly, EFSA used an overall NOAEL of 2.5 mg/kg bw from three rat studies (EFSA 2006) based on AChE inhibition alone or AChE inhibition together with reversible neurotoxic effects occurring at a LOAEL of 25 mg/kg or 150 mg/kg. Because of the wide dose range used in these studies resulting in a large dose range between the NOAEL and LOAEL values, the NOAEL of 2.5 mg/kg bw derived from these studies may provide a relatively low POD. Taking this consideration into account, the predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> values in the present study also seem to be in line with these reported NOAEL values.

### Discussion

The present study aimed to assess the feasibility of using a TEF-coded PBK model for DZN, containing a submodel for its active metabolite DZO, together with reverse dosimetry as an alternative approach to predict rat and human *in vivo* RBC AChE inhibition dose–response curves for DZN. The models were based on mainly *in silico* and *in vitro* data. The rat DZN model was built and evaluated first and used as the basis for developing the human DZN model since less human *in vivo* data are available for model evaluation (EFSA 2006; JMPR 2016; USEPA 2016). The results obtained reveal that the developed rat model adequately predicted the toxicokinetic profile of DZN in rat, and could also

**Fig. 8** The predicted in vivo dose–response curves for AChE inhibition upon DZN exposure in rat (solid line) and human (dashed line) using PBK model-based reverse dosimetry. The individual data points represent available in vivo data for RBC AChE inhibition in rat and human upon oral exposure to DZN at different dose levels as reported by JMPR (2016) and USEPA (2016)



adequately convert the in vitro concentration–response curve to an in vivo dose response curve for DZN-mediated AChE inhibition, resulting in a BMDL<sub>10</sub> value comparable to the BMDL<sub>10</sub> reported for inhibition of rat brain AChE and 2.6-fold different from the reported BMDL<sub>10</sub> for inhibition of rat RBC AChE, respectively (EFSA 2006; JMPR 2016; USEPA 2016). Furthermore, the obtained results also show the predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> of human to be 6-fold lower than that of rat, in spite of similar in vitro concentration response curves for DZN or DZO-mediated AChE inhibition. This result indicates that inter-species differences in toxicokinetics of DZN between rat and human play an important role in the ultimate species differences in in vivo toxicity. This 6-fold difference in the BMDL<sub>10</sub> values as derived for adult populations is smaller than the default uncertainty factor for inter-species differences of 10. However, the actual uncertainty value for inter-species differences may be affected when considering potential species differences in sensitivity at different life stages, with rats showing 2-fold higher sensitivity at younger life stages. On the other hand, when using a QIVIVE based

POD derived using human data, use of an inter-species uncertainty factor would no longer be required. In that case an extra uncertainty factor may be considered to account for the fact that the in vitro in-silico QIVIVE approach brings other uncertainties. Altogether, establishment of the actual size of the overall uncertainty factor has to await further studies also including data for potentially vulnerable groups within the human population, like children and pregnant women. Besides, the obtained results also revealed that, in spite of an around 300-fold lower AChE inhibitory potency of DZN than of its active metabolite DZO, DZN still plays a substantial role in the induced AChE inhibition, indicating AChE inhibition induced by DZN should be taken into account in DZN risk assessment. At dose levels higher than 240 mg/kg bw in rat and 50 mg/kg in human, the role of DZN even outweighs that of its active metabolite DZO, mainly due to its substantially higher plasma levels caused by the high dose levels and metabolic capacities for both its bioactivation and detoxification approaching saturation. Overall, our findings show that the reverse dosimetry approach combining in vitro data and the TEF-coded PBK models provides a promising tool to predict in vivo dose–response curves for OP-induced AChE inhibition.

**Table 3** Comparison of predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> values to reported BMDL<sub>10</sub> values and to the NOAEL values established by USEPA (2016), EFSA (2006) and JMPR (2016) for DZN

PODs	Reported NOAEL from EFSA and JMPR (adult rat) (mg/kg bw)	Reported BMDL <sub>10</sub> from EPA (adult rat) (mg/kg bw)	Reported BMDL <sub>10</sub> from EPA (pups) (mg/kg bw)	Predicted BMDL <sub>10</sub> for adult rat (mg/kg bw)	Predicted BMDL <sub>10</sub> for pups (mg/kg bw)	Predicted BMDL <sub>10</sub> for human (mg/kg bw)
Diazinon	2.5	4.8	3.0	12.6	6.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.1

<sup>a</sup>Value derived from the BMDL<sub>10</sub> for adult rats taking into account the results from an in vivo study (Padilla et al. 2004), showing that pups are expected to be 2-fold more sensitive than adults

The obtained kinetic data revealed that, for both rat and human, CYP450-catalysed conversion of DZN results primarily in detoxification to IMHP rather than giving rise to bioactivation to DZO, which is in line with the conclusion from other studies (Mutch and Williams 2006; Poet et al. 2003; Sams et al. 2004). The  $K_m$  values for these CYP450-mediated conversions appeared to be substantially lower than what was reported before (Poet et al. 2003), a discrepancy most likely due to the concentration ranges used to define the kinetic parameters. In the present study,  $K_m$  values were determined using a range of DZN concentration (1–250  $\mu$ M) that allowed to fully capture both initial kinetics at low concentrations as well as the saturation phase, while in the literature (Poet et al. 2003), the  $K_m$  was defined based on the activity measured only at concentrations (80–800  $\mu$ M) exceeding the actual  $K_m$ , thus resulting in inaccurate  $K_m$  values. For PON1-mediated detoxification, there were no differences in kinetic parameters for liver and plasma samples of rat or human, indicating that the PON1 activities in these two tissues display similar kinetics, an observation in line with the fact that PON1 in blood originates from synthesis in and release from the liver (Pyati et al. 2015).

Detailed comparison of the species differences between rat and human reveal that rat display a faster metabolic rate for CYP450-mediated bioactivation and detoxification of DZN than human, indicating that at the same exposure level, and assuming similar bioavailability, levels of DZN will be lower in rat than human. This is one of the reasons why differences in kinetics cause a species difference in in vivo toxicity. In addition, PON1-mediated detoxification of DZO to IMHP is faster in rat than human in both liver and blood, counteracting the faster DZO formation from DZN in rat than human. Clearly the PBK models provide a way to evaluate the combined influence of all these differences in kinetics on the ultimate in vivo toxicity. The inter-species kinetic differences in CYP450-mediated conversions may be explained by different CYP450 involved in DZN metabolism in rat and human liver. For rat, metabolism of DZN to DZO and IMHP are mainly catalysed by CYP1A2, CYP2C11, CYP3A2, and CYP2B1/2 (Fabrizi et al. 1999; Ueyama et al. 2007), while in human, the metabolism is mainly mediated by CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 (Ellison et al. 2012; Kappers et al. 2001; Mutch and Williams 2006; Sams et al. 2004). Although the detoxification of DZO in rat and human are both mediated by PON1, in the present study, the rat appeared to be a faster DZO metaboliser than human, which could be explained, at least partly, by higher PON1 activity in rat than human (Berry et al. 2009; Kaliste-Korhonen et al. 1996; Makhaeva et al. 2009). This observation is in line with data reported by Makhaeva et al. (2009) for another OP, indicating an approximately 4-fold faster PON1-mediated hydrolysis of paraoxon hydrolysis by rat than human plasma samples.



To assess the inhibitory capability of DZO on human RBC AChE, the *in vitro* human AChE inhibition assay was conducted using rhAChE. rhAChE has been widely used to assess AChE inhibition (Amitai et al. 1998; Kaushik et al. 2007; Li et al. 2019; Sultatos 2007), and the IC<sub>50</sub> value of DZN obtained using rhAChE in the present study of 14.26  $\mu$ M is comparable to the value reported previously for natural human RBC AChE (IC<sub>50</sub> = 24.45  $\mu$ M) (Fakhri-Bafghi et al. 2016). Therefore, the use of rhAChE is expected to be adequate to describe the inhibitory profile of DZN and DZO on human RBC AChE. It is also of interest to note that there was no inter-species difference in the *in vitro* DZO-induced AChE inhibition between rat and human in the present study, and a similar conclusion has been previously reported for dichlorvos, another OP (MacGregor et al. 2005).

In the present study, a TEF-coded PBK model was used to describe free blood concentrations of DZO plus DZN expressed in DZO equivalents at the target site RBC AChE. The results reveal that the free blood concentration of DZN contributes substantially to the DZO equivalents, indicating it is of critical importance to take internal free DZN concentrations into account when conducting DZN risk assessment. The use of the TEF approach is based on the assumptions that (1) DZN and DZO initiate toxicity via the same mode of toxic action (AChE inhibition); (2) their concentration–response curves are parallel; (3) their toxicity is additive (Starr et al. 1999; Watt et al. 2016). The first assumption is supported by the fact that both DZN and DZO induce inhibition of AChE activity. The second assumption was supported by statistical comparison of the hillslope values describing the steepness of the concentration–response curves of DZN and DZO in both human and rat. The comparison showed that the hillslope values of DZN and DZO are similar in both human and rat, with *p* values of 0.0797 and 0.1504, respectively, indicating the DZN and DZO curves are parallel in both species. In terms of assumption three, the combined effect of DZN and DZO was assessed by incubating rhAChE with DZO only or an equipotent mixture of DZO + DZN in which the concentration of DZN and DZO were selected in such a way that each compound would contribute 50% to the AChE inhibition based on the TEF values of DZN and DZO derived in the present paper. The results (Supplementary data IV) obtained showed that the curves coincided indicating the combined effect of DZN and DZO to be additive. This additive combined effect between DZN and DZO was also observed in the study of Čolović et al. (2011). Different from the conventional TEF approach that defines TEF values based on dose–response curves from *in vivo* models (USEPA 2016), the TEF values derived in the present study were based on IC<sub>50</sub> values derived from *in vitro* concentration–response curves. This implies that the TEF values do not include the contribution of *in vivo* toxicokinetics, including absorption, distribution, metabolism,

and excretion. These aspects are accounted for by the PBK model itself when performing reverse dosimetry. Using TEF in a toxicokinetic-toxicodynamic (TK-TD) model has been successfully applied in a previous study to predict internal concentrations of a metal mixture and its resulting toxicity (Gao et al. 2016, 2018).

To evaluate whether the currently developed TEF-coded PBK model-facilitated reverse dosimetry approach can be used to determine POD values for DZN risk assessment, BMDL<sub>10</sub> values obtained from the predicted dose–response curves were compared with EPA reported BMDL<sub>10</sub> values for both pups and adult rat, showing that the approach provided a reasonable estimation of the BMDL<sub>10</sub>.

Although the currently developed method is promising to be used in future risk assessment, it is of importance to also mention its limitations. The first is that interindividual variations have not (yet) been taken into account. Previously reported studies indicated potentially large interindividual variations in the expression of enzymes involved in DZN metabolism. For the biotransformation of DZN to DZO, this includes an up to 20-fold variation in CYP2C19 human hepatic expression levels, an up to 100-fold variation in CYP2B6 human hepatic expression levels, and an up to 40-fold variation for CYP3A4 expression in liver and small intestine donor tissues (Ellison et al. 2012; Koukouritaki et al. 2004; Lamba et al. 2002; Lang et al. 2001; Tracy et al. 2016; Westlind et al. 1999). Such interindividual variability in CYP450 was reflected by data on DZO formation from DZN showing a 6- to 59-fold difference between 15 human liver samples (Kappers et al. 2001). Similarly, a substantial about 40-fold interindividual human variation in the activity of PON1, the key enzyme for detoxification of DZO, has been observed (Costa et al. 2006). Given that the present study focussed on the average adult population using kinetic data defined with pooled human samples, a further study of the consequences of these interindividual differences for the predicted AChE inhibition remains an interesting topic for future research. The second limitation relates to the reverse dosimetry approach. This PBK-based approach does not account for dynamic changes in AChE activity due to for example AChE regeneration, ageing, degradation, and inhibition. This implies that it can predict acute toxicity but may be less appropriate for prediction of toxicity upon repeated exposure, resulting in inhibition of RBC AChE activity and neurotoxicity of DZN (Hernández et al. 2005). This is further illustrated by the fact the BMDL<sub>10</sub> values for this subchronic exposure related AChE inhibition are generally lower than the ones reported for inhibition of RBC AChE upon single dose exposure (USEPA 2016). In addition, the potential protective effects of other B-esterases enzymes such as BuChE and CaE were not included in the current study. As reported in previous studies, B-esterases like BuChE and CaE might influence the OP-induced AChE

inhibition, by binding the OP so that less oxon-metabolites are available in the circulation to inhibit AChE in vital tissues (Chanda et al. 2002, 1997; Costa 2001, 2006; Jokanović 2009). Such a potential protective role of BuChE and CaE has been investigated especially in animals. Raveh et al. (1997) reported that pretreatment of monkeys with human plasma derived BuChE can protect against toxicity induced by a lethal dose of the OP ethyl-S-(2-diisopropylaminoethyl) methyl-phosphonothiolate. Similarly, a study from Duysen et al. (2011) showed that exposure to 3 mg/kg bw of the OP soman coumarin can be lethal to mice deficient in plasma CaE but not to the wild type mice, indicating a possible potential protective role of CaE. However the role of BuChE and CaE in OP toxicity in human is still not well known (Chanda et al. 2002; Jokanović et al. 2020). The potential protective effect of BuChE and CaE might depend on: (1) the affinity between the enzymes and the respective OP (Chanda et al. 2002); (2) the endogenous level and activity of the enzymes (Chanda et al. 2002; Jokanović et al. 2020); (3) the genotype of the enzymes (Eaton et al. 2008). In the present study this potential protective role of the B-esterases was not specifically considered. This may in theory result in an over-estimation of the predicted dose-dependent AChE inhibition. However, the QIVIVE values in the present study do not seem to overpredict the toxicity as reflected by the good match between the predicted dose–response curves for AChE inhibition in rat and the actual in vivo data available from literature (Fig. 8), suggesting the influence of the B-esterases to be limited if any.

In spite of these limitations the results of the present study show that the DZN TEF-coded PBK model together with QIVIVE appeared a suitable method to predict RBC AChE inhibition upon acute oral exposure to DZN in human and rat. The obtained results indicate an inter-species difference in toxicokinetics of DZN, resulting in the predicted BMDL<sub>10</sub> of human to be around 6-fold lower than that of rat, indicating that the default uncertainty factor of 10 for inter-species extrapolation might be overprotective. Given the fact that this method is based on mainly an in silico and in vitro approach, it provides an alternative method reducing animal testing for setting PODs in human risk assessment. Furthermore, by replacing relevant parameters (e.g. the absorption and excretion constants) with the data derived based on in silico and in vitro assays, a PBK model for human can be defined and used to derive a POD for human risk assessment without the need for in vivo studies.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-021-03015-1>.

**Acknowledgements** This work was funded by a Grant from the China Scholarship Council (no. 20170772003 to ZHAO SHENSHENG).

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Amitai G, Moorad D, Adani R, Doctor B (1998) Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase by chlorpyrifos-oxon. *Biochem Pharmacol* 56:293–299
- Barter ZE et al (2007) Scaling factors for the extrapolation of in vivo metabolic drug clearance from in vitro data: reaching a consensus on values of human micro-somal protein and hepatocellularity per gram of liver. *Curr Drug Metab* 8:33–45
- Berry LM, Wollenberg L, Zhao Z (2009) Esterase activities in the blood, liver and intestine of several preclinical species and humans. *Drug Metab Lett* 3:70–77
- Bizoń A, Milnerowicz H (2018) The effect of divalent metal chelators and cadmium on serum phosphotriesterase, lactonase and arylesterase activities of paraoxonase 1. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 58:77–83
- Boonpawa R, Spenkelink A, Punt A, Rietjens IMCM (2017) Physiologically based kinetic modeling of hesperidin metabolism and its use to predict in vivo effective doses in humans. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 61:1600894
- Brown RP, Delp MD, Lindstedt SL, Rhomberg LR, Beliles RP (1997) Physiological parameter values for physiologically based pharmacokinetic models. *Toxicol Ind Health* 13:407–484
- Buratti FM, Volpe MT, Meneguz A, Vittozzi L, Testai E (2003) CYP-specific bioactivation of four organophosphorothioate pesticides by human liver microsomes. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 186:143–154
- Buratti FM, Daniello A, Volpe MT, Meneguz A, Testai E (2005) Malathion bioactivation in the human liver: the contribution of different cytochrome P450 isoforms. *Drug Metab Dispos* 33:295–302
- Burgess P, Harper C, Todd GD, Wohlers D (2008) Toxicological profile for diazinon. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp86.pdf>. Accessed 3 March 2021
- Chanda S, Mortensen S, Moser V, Padilla S (1997) Tissue-specific effects of chlorpyrifos on carboxylesterase and cholinesterase activity in adult rats: an in vitro and in vivo comparison. *Toxicol Sci* 38:148–157
- Chanda S, Lassiter T, Moser V, Barone S, Padilla S (2002) Tissue carboxylesterases and chlorpyrifos toxicity in the developing rat. *Hum Ecol Risk Assess* 8:75–90
- Čolović MB, Krstić DZ, Ušćumlić GS, Vasić VM (2011) Single and simultaneous exposure of acetylcholinesterase to diazinon, chlorpyrifos and their photodegradation products. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 100:16–22

- Colovic MB, Krstic DZ, Lazarevic-Pasti TD, Bondzic AM, Vasic VM (2013) Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: pharmacology and toxicology. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 11:315–335
- Costa L (2001) Pesticide exposure: differential risk for neurotoxic outcomes due to enzyme polymorphisms. *Clin Occup Environ Med* 1:511–523
- Costa LG (2006) Current issues in organophosphate toxicology. *Clin Chim Acta* 366:1–13
- Costa L, Li W, Richter R, Shih D, Lusis A, Furlong C (1999) The role of paraoxonase (PON1) in the detoxication of organophosphates and its human polymorphism. *Chemico-biol Interact* 119:429–438
- Costa LG, Cole TB, Vitalone A, Furlong CE (2006) Paraoxonase polymorphisms and toxicity of organophosphates. In: Ramesh CG (ed) *Toxicology of organophosphate & carbamate compounds*. Elsevier, pp 247–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012088523-7/50019-3>
- Cubitt HE, Houston JB, Galetin A (2009) Relative importance of intestinal and hepatic glucuronidation—impact on the prediction of drug clearance. *Pharm Res* 26:1073
- Dadson OA et al (2013) Metabolism of profenofos to 4-bromo-2-chlorophenol, a specific and sensitive exposure biomarker. *Toxicology* 306:35–39
- DeJongh J, Verhaar HJ, Hermens JL (1997) A quantitative property-property relationship (QPPR) approach to estimate in vitro tissue-blood partition coefficients of organic chemicals in rats and humans. *Arch Toxicol* 72:17–25
- Dodge JT, Mitchell C, Hanahan DJ (1963) The preparation and chemical characteristics of hemoglobin-free ghosts of human erythrocytes. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 100:119–130
- Duysen EG, Koentgen F, Williams GR, Timperley CM, Schopfer LM, Cerasoli DM, Lockridge O (2011) Production of ES1 plasma carboxylesterase knockout mice for toxicity studies. *Chem Res Toxicol* 24:1891–1898
- Eaton DL et al (2008) Review of the toxicology of chlorpyrifos with an emphasis on human exposure and neurodevelopment. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 38:1–125
- EFSA (2006) Conclusion regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance diazinon. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2006.85r>
- Ellison CA, Tian Y, Knaak JB, Kostyniak PJ, Olson JR (2012) Human hepatic cytochrome P450-specific metabolism of the organophosphorus pesticides methyl parathion and diazinon. *Drug Metab Dispos* 40:1–5
- Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V Jr, Featherstone RM (1961) A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem Pharmacol* 7:88–95
- Fabrizi L, Gemma S, Testai E, Vittozzi L (1999) Identification of the cytochrome P450 isoenzymes involved in the metabolism of diazinon in the rat liver. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol* 13:53–61
- Fakhri-Bafghi MS, Ghasemi-Niri SF, Mostafalou S, Navaei-Nigjeh M, Baeeri M, Mohammadirad A, Abdollahi M (2016) Protective effect of selenium-based medicines on toxicity of three common organophosphorus compounds in human erythrocytes in vitro. *Cell J (Yakhteh)* 17:740
- Foxenberg RJ, McGarrigle BP, Knaak JB, Kostyniak PJ, Olson JR (2007) Human hepatic cytochrome p450-specific metabolism of parathion and chlorpyrifos. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:189–193
- Gao Y, Feng J, Han F, Zhu L (2016) Application of biotic ligand and toxicokinetic–toxicodynamic modeling to predict the accumulation and toxicity of metal mixtures to zebrafish larvae. *Environ Pollut* 213:16–29
- Gao Y, Feng J, Kang L, Xu X, Zhu L (2018) Concentration addition and independent action model: Which is better in predicting the toxicity for metal mixtures on zebrafish larvae. *Sci Total Environ* 610:442–450
- Garfitt S, Jones K, Mason H, Cocker J (2002) Exposure to the organophosphate diazinon: data from a human volunteer study with oral and dermal doses. *Toxicol Lett* 134:105–113
- Gearhart JM, Jepson GW, Clewell HJ III, Andersen ME, Conolly RB (1990) Physiologically based pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic model for the inhibition of acetylcholinesterase by diisopropyl fluorophosphate. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 106:295–310
- George P, Abernethy M (1983) Improved Ellman procedure for erythrocyte cholinesterase. *Clin Chem* 29:365–368
- GraphPad (2009) How do I determine whether two dose-response curves are parallel? <https://www.graphpad.com/support/faq/how-do-i-determine-whether-two-dose-response-curves-are-parallel/>. Accessed 22 Dec 2020
- Heilmair R, Eyer F, Eyer P (2008) Enzyme-based assay for quantification of chlorpyrifos oxon in human plasma. *Toxicol Lett* 181:19–24
- Hernández AF et al (2005) Changes in erythrocyte enzymes in humans long-term exposed to pesticides: influence of several markers of individual susceptibility. *Toxicol Lett* 159:13–21
- JMPR (2016) Pesticide residues in food 2016. <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i5693e.pdf>. Accessed 18 Sept 2020
- Jokanović M (2009) Current understanding of the mechanisms involved in metabolic detoxification of warfare nerve agents. *Toxicol Lett* 188:1–10
- Jokanović M, Ristić D, Kovač B, Stojiljković MP (2020) Biotransformation of warfare nerve agents. In: Ramesh CG (ed) *Handbook of toxicology of chemical warfare agents*. Elsevier, pp 953–966. <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2018-0-04837-9>
- Kaliste-Korhonen E, Tuovinen K, Hänninen O (1996) Interspecies differences in enzymes reacting with organophosphates and their inhibition by paraoxon in vitro. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 15:972–978
- Kappers WA, Edwards RJ, Murray S, Boobis AR (2001) Diazinon is activated by CYP2C19 in human liver. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 177:68–76
- Kaushik R, Rosenfeld CA, Sultatos L (2007) Concentration-dependent interactions of the organophosphates chlorpyrifos oxon and methyl paraoxon with human recombinant acetylcholinesterase. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 221:243–250
- Koukouritaki SB, Manro JR, Marsh SA, Stevens JC, Rettie AE, McCarver DG, Hines RN (2004) Developmental expression of human hepatic CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 308:965–974
- Lamba JK, Lin YS, Schuetz EG, Thummel KE (2002) Genetic contribution to variable human CYP3A-mediated metabolism. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 54:1271–1294
- Lane RM, Potkin SG, Enz A (2006) Targeting acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase in dementia. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 9:101–124
- Lang T et al (2001) Extensive genetic polymorphism in the human CYP2B6 gene with impact on expression and function in human liver. *Pharmacogenet Genom* 11:399–415
- Li S, Zhao J, Huang R, Santillo MF, Houck KA, Xia M (2019) Use of high-throughput enzyme-based assay with xenobiotic metabolic capability to evaluate the inhibition of acetylcholinesterase activity by organophosphorus pesticides. *Toxicol In Vitro* 56:93–100
- Louisse J et al (2010) The use of in vitro toxicity data and physiologically based kinetic modeling to predict dose-response curves for in vivo developmental toxicity of glycol ethers in rat and man. *Toxicol Sci* 118:470–484
- Louisse J, Beekmann K, Rietjens IMCM (2017) Use of physiologically based kinetic modeling-based reverse dosimetry to predict in vivo toxicity from in vitro data. *Chem Res Toxicol* 30:114–125
- Lu C, Irish R, Fenske R (2003) Biological monitoring of diazinon exposure using saliva in an animal model. *J Toxicol Environ Health Part A* 66:2315–2325

- MacGregor JA, Plunkett LM, Youngren SH, Manley A, Plunkett JB, Starr TB (2005) Humans appear no more sensitive than laboratory animals to the inhibition of red blood cell cholinesterase by dichlorvos. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 43:150–167
- Makhaeva G, Rudakova E, Boltneva N, Sigolaeva L, Eremenko A, Kurochkin I, Richardson R (2009) Blood esterases as a complex biomarker for exposure to organophosphorus compounds. In: Counteraction to chemical and biological terrorism in east European countries. Springer, pp 177–194
- Martignoni M, Groothuis GM, de Kanter R (2006) Species differences between mouse, rat, dog, monkey and human CYP-mediated drug metabolism, inhibition and induction. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 2:875–894
- Medinsky MA, Leavens TL, Csanády GA, Gargas ML, Bond JA (1994) vivo metabolism of butadiene by mice and rats: a comparison of physiological model predictions and experimental data. *Carcinogenesis* 15:1329–1340
- Mutch E, Williams FM (2006) Diazinon, chlorpyrifos and parathion are metabolised by multiple cytochromes P450 in human liver. *Toxicology* 224:22–32
- Ning J, Chen L, Strikwold M, Louise J, Wesseling S, Rietjens IM (2019) Use of an in vitro–in silico testing strategy to predict interspecies and inter-ethnic human differences in liver toxicity of the pyrrolizidine alkaloids lasiocarpine and riddelliine. *Arch Toxicol* 93:801–818
- O'Neil D (1999) Blood components. [https://web.archive.org/web/20130605052544/http://anthro.palomar.edu/blood/blood\\_components.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20130605052544/http://anthro.palomar.edu/blood/blood_components.htm). Accessed 12 Oct 2020
- Padilla S, Sung H-J, Moser V (2004) Further assessment of an in vitro screen that may help identify organophosphorus pesticides that are more acutely toxic to the young. *J Toxicol Environ Health Part A* 67:1477–1489
- Patel HG, Aras RV, Katyare SS (2000) Kinetic attributes of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> ATPase and lipid/phospholipid profiles of rat and human erythrocyte membrane. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C* 55:770–777
- Pires DE, Blundell TL, Ascher DB (2015) pkCSM: predicting small-molecule pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties using graph-based signatures. *J Med Chem* 58:4066–4072
- pkCSM (2020) prediction tools-distribution-Fraction unbound. <http://biosig.unimelb.edu.au/pkcsml/>. Accessed 18 Sept 2020
- Poet TS, Wu H, Kousba AA, Timchalk C (2003) vitro rat hepatic and intestinal metabolism of the organophosphate pesticides chlorpyrifos and diazinon. *Toxicol Sci* 72:193–200
- Poet TS, Kousba AA, Dennison SL, Timchalk C (2004) Physiologically based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model for the organophosphorus pesticide diazinon. *Neurotoxicology* 25:1013–1030
- Poklis A, Kutz FW, Sperling JF, Morgan DP (1980) A fatal diazinon poisoning. *Forensic Sci Int* 15:135–140
- Pyati AK, Halappa CK, Pyati SA (2015) Serum basal paraoxonase 1 activity as an additional liver function test for the evaluation of patients with chronic hepatitis. *J Clin Diagn Res JCDR* 9:BC12
- Rasmussen MK (2012) Regulation of porcine hepatic cytochrome P450 by chicory root-implication of boar taint. Aarhus University
- Raveh L, Grauer E, Grunwald J, Cohen E, Ashani Y (1997) The stoichiometry of protection against soman and VX toxicity in monkeys pretreated with human butyrylcholinesterase. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 145:43–53
- Sams C, Cocker J, Lennard M (2004) Biotransformation of chlorpyrifos and diazinon by human liver microsomes and recombinant human cytochrome P450s (CYP). *Xenobiotica* 34:861–873
- ThermoFisher Scientific (2020) Pierce BCA protein assay kit user guide. [https://www.thermofisher.com/document-connect/document-connect.html?url=https%3A%2F%2Fassets.thermofisher.com%2FTFS-Assets%2FLSG%2Fmanuals%2FMAN0011430\\_Pierce\\_BCA\\_Protein\\_Asy\\_UG.pdf&title=VXNlciBHdWlkZTogUGllcmNlIEJDQSBQcm90ZWluIEFzc2F5IEtpdA==](https://www.thermofisher.com/document-connect/document-connect.html?url=https%3A%2F%2Fassets.thermofisher.com%2FTFS-Assets%2FLSG%2Fmanuals%2FMAN0011430_Pierce_BCA_Protein_Asy_UG.pdf&title=VXNlciBHdWlkZTogUGllcmNlIEJDQSBQcm90ZWluIEFzc2F5IEtpdA==). Accessed 10 Jan 2021
- Shi M, Bouwmeester H, Rietjens IM, Strikwold M (2020) Integrating in vitro data and physiologically based kinetic modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry to predict human cardiotoxicity of methadone. *Arch Toxicol* 94:2809–2827
- Smith JN, Timchalk C, Bartels MJ, Poet TS (2011) In vitro age-dependent enzymatic metabolism of chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-oxon in human hepatic microsomes and chlorpyrifos-oxon in plasma. *Drug Metab Dispos* 39:1353–1362
- Starr TB, Greenlee WF, Neal RA, Poland A, Sutter TR (1999) The trouble with TEFs. *Environ Health Perspect* 107:A492–A493
- Stern A, Guidotti M, Shaubi E, Popov M, Linder C, Heldman E, Grinberg S (2014) Steric environment around acetylcholine head groups of bolaamphiphilic nanovesicles influences the release rate of encapsulated compounds. *Int J Nanomed* 9:561
- Strikwold M, Spenkeliink B, Woutersen RA, Rietjens IMCM, Punt A (2013) Combining in vitro embryotoxicity data with physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling to define in vivo dose-response curves for developmental toxicity of phenol in rat and human. *Arch Toxicol* 87:1709–1723
- Strikwold M, Spenkeliink B, de Haan LH, Woutersen RA, Punt A, Rietjens IMCM (2017) Integrating in vitro data and physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling to assess the in vivo potential developmental toxicity of a series of phenols. *Arch Toxicol* 91:2119–2133
- Sultatos LG (2007) Concentration-dependent binding of chlorpyrifos oxon to acetylcholinesterase. *Toxicol Sci* 100:128–135
- Thermo Fisher Scientific (2012) INSTRUCTIONS-RED Device Inserts. [https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/manuals/MAN0011571\\_RED\\_Device\\_Insert\\_UG.pdf](https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/manuals/MAN0011571_RED_Device_Insert_UG.pdf). Accessed 20 Dec 2020
- Timchalk C, Nolan R, Mendrala A, Dittenber D, Brzak K, Mattsson J (2002) A physiologically based pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) model for the organophosphate insecticide chlorpyrifos in rats and humans. *Toxicol Sci* 66:34–53
- Tracy TS et al (2016) Interindividual variability in cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism. *Drug Metab Dispos* 44:343–351
- Ueyama J et al (2007) Toxicity of diazinon and its metabolites increases in diabetic rats. *Toxicol Lett* 170:229–237
- USEPA (2016) Diazinon draft human health risk assessment for registration review. file:///C:/Users/zhaos/Downloads/EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0351-0093\_content.pdf
- USEPA Enchmark Dose Software (2020) (BMDS) Version 3 release history. <https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0351-0093>. Accessed 27 Dec 2020
- Velan B et al (1991) Recombinant human acetylcholinesterase is secreted from transiently transfected 293 cells as a soluble globular enzyme. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 11:143–156
- Watt J, Webster TF, Schlezinger JJ (2016) Generalized concentration addition modeling predicts mixture effects of environmental PPAR $\gamma$  agonists. *Toxicol Sci* 153:18–27
- Westlind A, Löfberg L, Tindberg N, Andersson TB, Ingelman-Sundberg M (1999) Interindividual differences in hepatic expression of CYP3A4: relationship to genetic polymorphism in the 5'-upstream regulatory region. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 259:201–205
- WHO (2010) Characterization and application of physiologically based pharmacokinetic models in risk assessment World Health Organization, International Programme on Chemical Safety, Geneva, Switzerland
- Wu H, Evreux-Gros C, Descotes J (1996) Diazinon toxicokinetics, tissue distribution and anticholinesterase activity in the rat. *Biomed Environ Sci* 9:359–369

Zhao S, Kamelia L, Boonpawa R, Wesseling S, Spenkelink B, Rietjens IMCM (2019) Physiologically based kinetic modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry to predict in vivo red blood cell acetylcholinesterase inhibition following exposure to chlorpyrifos in the Caucasian and Chinese population. *Toxicol Sci* 171:69–83

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.