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#### Abstract

The projective norm graphs are central objects to extremal combinatorics. They appear in a variety of contexts, most importantly they provide tight constructions for the Turán number of complete bipartite graphs $K_{t, s}$ with $s>(t-1)$ !. In this note we deepen their understanding further by determining their automorphism group.
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## 1 Introduction

Turán-type problems play a central role in extremal combinatorics. In the classic setting, given a graph $H$ and integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one is interested in determining the Turán number of $H$, denoted by ex $(n, H)$, which is the maximum number of edges a simple graph on $n$ vertices may have without containing a subgraph isomorphic to $H$. In a series of results by Mantel [29], Turán [46], Erdős and Stone [18], and Erdős and Simonovits [17] the asymptotics of the function ex $(n, H)$ was obtained, whenever $H$ is not bipartite. Unfortunately, when $H$ is bipartite these results merely imply that ex $(n, H)$ is of lower than quadratic order. A general classification of the order of magnitude of bipartite Turán numbers is widely open, even in the simplest-looking cases of even cycles and complete bipartite graphs. Kővári, T. Sós and Turán [24], using an elementary double counting argument, proved the general upper bound ex $\left(n, K_{t, s}\right)=O\left(n^{2-\frac{1}{t}}\right)$ and in general it is commonly conjectured that this is actually the right order of magnitude.

For a matching lower bound, one needs to exhibit a $K_{t, S}$-free graph that is dense enough. The first such constructions were found for $K_{2,2}$-free graphs (attributed to Esther Klein by Erdős [16]) and later for $K_{3,3}$ free graphs (Brown [14]). In [21] Kollár, Rónyai and Szabó constructed norm graphs, which are provably $K_{t, t!+1}$-free and their density matches the order of magnitude of the Kővári-Sós-Turán upper bound. Later, Alon, Rónyai and Szabó [4] modified this construction to obtain projective norm graphs, the principal object of this note, and verified the conjecture for $s>(t-1)$ !.

For a prime power $q=p^{k}$ and integer $t \geq 2$ let $N: \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ denote the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-norm on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$, i.e. for $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ we have $N(A)=A \cdot A^{q} \cdot A^{q^{2}} \cdots A^{q^{t-2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. The projective norm graph $\mathrm{NG}(q, t)$ has vertex set $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and two vertices $(A, a)$ and $(B, b)$ are adjacent if and only if $N(A+B)=a b$. For details on finite fields and the norm function the interested reader may consult e.g. [27].

In [6], using a general algebro-geometric lemma from [21], it was shown that $(q, t)$ is $K_{t,(t-1)!+1}$-free. A simple counting shows that $\mathrm{NG}(q, t)$ also has the desired density, and so it verifies the Kővári-Sós-Turán conjecture for $s>(t-1)$ !.

## 2 The automorphism group

Since their first appearance, (projective) norm graphs were studied extensively [1, $9,10,20,23,34,39]$. Their various properties were utilized in many other areas, both within and outside combinatorics. These include, among others, (hypergraph) Ramsey theory [4, 22, 25, 31-33, 49, 50], (hypergraph) Turán theory [1, 2, 36, 37, $39,40]$, other problems in extremal combinatorics, [6, 11, 28, 41, 44, 45], number theory [ $35,43,47,48$ ], geometry $[19,38]$ and computer science $[3,7,8,15]$.

In this note we investigate the symmetries of projective norm graphs. We believe that a full understanding will prove to be helpful in further applications of these important combinatorial structures.

As usual, it is not difficult to stumble upon all the automorphisms, the main point is rather to show that there are no more. For odd $q$ there are two, for even $q$ there are three families of automorphisms which describe all symmetries. For one, Frobenius automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$, applied to both coordinates of the vertices, define $k(t-1)$ graph automorphisms. Then, multiplication of the first coordinate with any nonzero square $C^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ and of the second coordinate with (plus or minus) of the norm $N(C)$ provides $q^{t-1}-1$ further graph autopmorphisms. Finally, for even $q$, the $q^{t-1}$ translations of the first coordinate by some $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ also define graph automorphisms. Any combination of these types of automorphisms give a different automorphism of $\mathrm{NG}(q, t)$.

Lemma 1 For any odd prime power $q=p^{k}$ and integer $t \geq 2$, the maps of the form

$$
(X, x) \mapsto\left(C^{2} \cdot X^{p^{i}}, \pm N(C) \cdot x^{p^{i}}\right)
$$

are automorphisms of $N G(q, t)$ for any choice of $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}$ and $0 \leq i<k(t-1)$.
For any $q=2^{k}$ and integer $t \geq 2$, the maps of the form

$$
(X, x) \mapsto\left(C^{2} \cdot X^{p^{i}}+A, N(C) \cdot x^{p^{i}}\right)
$$

are automorphisms of $N G(q, t)$ for any choice of $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}, A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ and $0 \leq i<k(t-1)$.

Moreover, we will also show that all automorphisms can be represented this way. In the next statement $Z_{n}$ denotes the cyclic group of order $n$.

Theorem 1 For $q>2$ and $t \geq 2$ the maps described in Lemma 1 include all automorphisms and the automorphism group has the following structural description:

$$
\text { Aut }(\operatorname{NG}(q, t)) \simeq \begin{cases}Z_{q^{t-1}-1} \rtimes Z_{k(t-1)} & \text { if } q \text { and } t-1 \text { are both odd, } \\ \left(Z_{2} \times Z_{\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{2}}^{2}\right) \rtimes Z_{k(t-1)} & \text { if } q \text { is odd and } t-1 \text { is even, } \\ \left(E_{q^{t-1}} \rtimes Z_{q^{t-1}-1}\right) \rtimes Z_{k(t-1)} & \text { if } q \text { is even, }\end{cases}
$$

where $E_{q^{t-1}}=\left(Z_{2}\right)^{k(t-1)}$ is the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$
Remark Note that if $q=2$ then $\mathrm{NG}(2, t)$ is a complete graph on $2^{t-1}$ vertices, and so Aut $(\mathrm{NG}(2, t))$ is the whole symmetric group of order $2^{t-1}$.

Whenever $N(2 X)=x^{2}$, the vertex $(X, x)$ has a loop edge. In this paper we choose to deal with the version of projective norm graphs where these (few) loop edges are not deleted forcefully, just to obtain a simple graph. This avoids some inconveniencies and one can check that the deletion of the loop edges does not affect the symmetries.

## 3 Preliminaries

### 3.1 Common neighbourhood of pairs of vertices

For a set $U$ of vertices in a graph $G$ we denote their common neighbourhood by $\mathcal{N}(U)$.

Proposition 1 Given two different vertices $\left(X_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, x_{2}\right) \in V(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{N}\left(\left\{\left(X_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right\}\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{q-1} & \text { if } X_{1} \neq X_{2} \text { and } x_{1} \neq x_{2}, \\ \frac{q^{1-1}-1}{q-1}-1 & \text { if } X_{1} \neq X_{2} \text { and } x_{1}=x_{2}, \\ 0 & \text { if } X_{1}=X_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof By definition, a vertex $(A, a)$ is a common neighbour of $\left(X_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ if both $N\left(X_{1}+A\right)=x_{1} a$ and $N\left(X_{2}+A\right)=x_{2} a$ hold. Dividing one equation by the other one we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N\left(X_{1}+A\right)}{N\left(X_{2}+A\right)}=N\left(\frac{X_{1}+A}{X_{2}+A}\right)=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X_{1}=X_{2}$, this necessarily implies $x_{1}=x_{2}$, which contradicts the assumption that the vertices $\left(X_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ are different.

From now on assume $X_{1} \neq X_{2}$. Then, for any solution $A$ of Equation 1 we have a unique common neighbour $(A, a)$ with $a=\frac{N\left(X_{1}+A\right)}{x_{1}}=\frac{N\left(X_{2}+A\right)}{x_{2}}$. It is well known that the map $A \mapsto \frac{X_{1}+A}{X_{2}+A}$ maps $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \backslash\left\{-X_{2}\right\}$ bijectively to $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \backslash\{1\}$. This, together with the fact $\left|N^{-1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}}\right)\right|=\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{q-1}=m$ and $N(1)=1$ implies that we have $m$ common neighbours when $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$, and $m-1$ common neighbours if $x_{1}=x_{2}$.

## 4 The proof

Proof of Lemma 1 First note that the map $X \mapsto X^{p^{i}}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, in particular it is bijective and commutes with the field operations and the norm function. Therefore, for any $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime-1}}^{*}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(X, x) \sim(Y, y) \Leftrightarrow N(X+Y) & =x y \Leftrightarrow N(X+Y)^{p^{i}}=(x y)^{p^{i}} \\
\Leftrightarrow N\left(X^{p^{i}}+Y^{p^{i}}\right) & =x^{p^{i} y^{p^{i}} \Leftrightarrow N\left(C^{2}\right) N\left(X^{p^{i}}+Y^{p^{i}}\right)=(N(C))^{2} x^{p^{i} y^{p^{i}}}} \begin{aligned}
\Leftrightarrow N\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}+C^{2} Y^{p^{i}}\right) & =\left( \pm N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right)\left( \pm N(C) y^{p^{i}}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}, \pm N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right) & \sim\left(C^{2} Y^{p^{i}}, \pm N(C) y^{p^{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence all maps presented are indeed automorphisms of $\mathrm{NG}(q, t)$. Furthermore, when $q$ is even then $2 A=0$ for every $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$, and hence, by continuing the previous series of equivalences, we have

$$
(X, x) \sim(Y, y) \Leftrightarrow\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}+A, N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right) \sim\left(C^{2} Y^{p^{i}}+A, N(C) y^{p^{i}}\right)
$$

completing the argument for even $q$ as well.
Proof of Theorem 1 We start by observing that any $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$ must act independently on the two coordinates.

Lemma 2 Let $q=p^{k}$ be a prime power, $t \geq 2$ an integer and $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$. Then there are permutations $\Psi: \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that

$$
\Phi((X, x))=(\Psi(X), \psi(x))
$$

and $\Psi(-X)=-\Psi(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime-1}}$.
Proof We say that a set $S \subseteq V(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$ of vertices is poor if for any two different vertices $\quad u, v \in S$ we have $|\mathcal{N}(\{u, v\})|<\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{q-1}$. By Proposition 1 $|\mathcal{N}(\{(X, x),(Y, y)\})|<\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{q-1}$ if and only if either $X=Y$ or $x=y$. Hence a set of vertices is poor if and only if either all the first or all the second coordinates are equal. In particular maximal poor sets are one of two types: either $S_{x}=\left\{(A, x) \mid A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}\right\}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, or $S_{X}=\left\{(X, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right\}$ for some $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$. The sizes of such sets are $q^{t-1}$ and $q-1$, respectively.

The automorphism $\Phi$ must map a maximal poor set to a maximal poor set. Because of the size difference between the two types of maximal poor sets, and since $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ is a bijection, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ must permute the maximal poor sets of each type within themselves. That is, there exist permutations $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ for which we have $\Phi\left(S_{x}\right)=S_{\psi(x)}$ and $\Psi: \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ for which we have $\Phi\left(S_{X}\right) \stackrel{q}{=} S_{\Psi(X)}$.

Since $(X, x) \in S_{X} \cap S_{x}$, we have

$$
\Phi((X, x)) \in \Phi\left(S_{X}\right) \cap \Phi\left(S_{x}\right)=S_{\Psi(X)} \cap S_{\psi(x)}=\{(\Psi(X), \psi(x))\} .
$$

Consequently $\Phi((X, x))=(\Psi(X), \psi(x))$, as desired.
For the last statement note that the maximal poor sets $S_{X}$ and $S_{Y}$ have no edge between them if and only if $Y=-X$. (Otherwise $N(X+Y) / x=y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.) Consequently $\Phi\left(S_{X}\right)=S_{\Psi(X)}$ and $\Phi\left(S_{-X}\right)=S_{\Psi(-X)}$ should also have no edge in between them, which implies $\Psi(-X)=-\Psi(X)$, as desired.

For what follows, fix $\Phi \in$ Aut $(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$ together with the permutations $\Psi: \mathbb{F}_{q^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{-1}}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ guaranteed by Lemma 2.

First we suppose that $t>2$. To obtain further properties of $\Psi$ and $\psi$ in this case we will need a result of Lenstra [26]. For a field extension $L \supseteq K$ a bijection $f: L \rightarrow L$ is called a $K$-semilinear L-automorphism, if $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ for
every $x, y \in L$ (that is, $f$ is an automorphism of the additive group of $L$ ), and there is an $h \in$ Aut $(K)$ such that $f(x \cdot y)=h(x) \cdot f(y)$ for every $x \in K, y \in L$. If $K=L$, the notion of semilinearity simplifies significantly.

Lemma 3 Let L be a field. Then fis an L-semilinear L-automorphism if and only if there is an $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$ and element $C \in L^{*}$ such that $f(x)=C \cdot h(x)$ for every $x \in L$

Proof By definition, if $f$ is an $L$-semilinear $L$-automorphism then there is some $h \in$ Aut $(L)$ such that $f(x \cdot 1)=h(x) \cdot f(1)$ for every $x \in L$, proving that $f$ is of the desired form with $C=f(1)$. Conversely, if $f$ is of the given form then, since $h$ is an $L$-automorphism, it is also an automorphism of the additive group of $L$, and $f(x \cdot y)=C \cdot h(x \cdot y)=C \cdot h(x) \cdot h(y)=h(x) \cdot f(y)=f(x) \cdot h(y)$.

Theorem 2 (Lenstra ( [26, Theorem 2])) Let F be a finite field, E a non-trivial abelian group, $g: F^{*} \rightarrow E$ a surjective group homomorphism and $K=\langle\operatorname{ker}(g)\rangle \subset F$ the subfield of $F$ generated by the kernel of $g$. Then for a permutation $\rho: F \rightarrow F$ of $F$ there exists a permutation $\kappa: E \rightarrow E$ of $E$ such that

$$
g(\rho(x)-\rho(y))=\kappa(g(x-y)) \quad \forall x \neq y \in F
$$

if and only if there exists a $K$-semilinear $F$-automorphism $f: F \rightarrow F$ and element $b \in F$, such that

$$
\rho(x)=f(x)+b \quad \forall x \in F .
$$

We will apply this theorem with $F=\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}, E=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, g(x)=N(x)$ and hence $K$ being the subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ generated by $N^{-1}(1)$. We claim that $K=\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$. Indeed, any proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{k(t-1)}}$ has size at most $p^{\frac{k(t-1)}{2}}$. On the other hand, for $t>2$, we have

$$
\left|N^{-1}(1)\right|=\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{q-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{t-2} q^{i}>q^{t-2}=p^{k(t-2)} \geq p^{\frac{k(t-1)}{2}},
$$

so $N^{-1}(1)$ would not fit into any proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$. Consequently we have $K=\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$.

Recall the permutations $\Psi: \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ guaranteed by Lemma 2. We observe that choosing $\rho=\Psi$ in Theorem 2, the function $\kappa: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, defined by $\kappa(x)=\psi(x) \psi(1)$, is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, which satisfies the condition of the theorem. Indeed, given any $X \neq Y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{q}$, the vertex $(X, N(X-Y))$ is adjacent to $(-Y, 1)$, and hence the vertices

$$
\Phi((X, N(X-Y)))=(\Psi(X), \psi(N(X-Y)))
$$

and

$$
\Phi((-Y, 1))=(\Psi(-Y), \psi(1))=(-\Psi(Y), \psi(1))
$$

must also be adjacent. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\rho(X)-\rho(Y))=N(\Psi(X)-\Psi(Y))=\psi((N(X-Y)) \psi(1)=\kappa(g(X-Y)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2 there exist an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{l^{-1}}}$-semilinear $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-1}}$-automorphism $f: \mathbb{F}_{q^{l^{-1}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{l^{-1}}}$ and element $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ such that $\forall X \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$

$$
\Psi(X)=f(X)+A
$$

By Lemma 3 we have $f(X)=C^{\prime} \cdot h(X)$ for some $C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}$ and $h \in$ Aut $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}\right)$. Any automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ has the form $h(X)=X^{p^{i}}$ for some $0 \leq i<k(t-1)$, and hence we have $\Psi(X)=C^{\prime} X^{p^{i}}+A$. However, as $\Psi(-1)=-\Psi(1)$, we must have $-C^{\prime}+A=-\left(C^{\prime}+A\right)$, implying $2 A=0$. For $q$ odd this is possible only if $A=0$, while for even $q$ this does not represent a restriction.

In order to show that $C^{\prime}$ is a square, we evaluate Equation (2) for $Y=0$ to get

$$
N\left(C^{\prime} X^{p^{i}}-C^{\prime} 0^{p^{i}}\right)=\psi(N(X-0)) \psi(1) \Rightarrow \psi(N(X))=N\left(C^{\prime}\right) \psi(1)^{-1} N(X)^{p^{i}}
$$

Substituting an $X \in N^{-1}(1)$ we also obtain $\psi(1)^{2}=N\left(C^{\prime}\right) . N\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is a square if and only if $C^{\prime}$ is a square, hence there exists $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ such that $C^{\prime}=C^{2}$ and $\psi(1)= \pm N(C)$.

With these choices of parameters for every $(X, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ we have

$$
\Phi((X, x))=\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}, \pm N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right)
$$

if $q$ is odd and

$$
\Phi((X, x))=\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}+A, N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right)
$$

if $q$ is even, as desired.
Now let us move on to the case $t=2$. Then $t-1=1$ and we simply have $N(X)=X$ for every $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, meaning that two vertices $(X, x),(Y, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ are adjacent if and only if $X+Y=x y$.

Let us define the normalized maps $\widetilde{\Psi}: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, \widetilde{\psi}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, and $\widetilde{\Phi}: V \rightarrow V$ by

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}((X, x)):=(\widetilde{\Psi}(X), \widetilde{\psi}(x)):=\left(\frac{1}{\psi(1)^{2}}(\Psi(X)-\Psi(0)), \frac{1}{\psi(1)} \psi(x)\right)
$$

for $(X, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. The map $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is an automorphism of $\mathrm{NG}(q, 2)$, since $\Phi$ is an automorphism and $2 \Psi(0)=0$. Furthermore $\widetilde{\Phi}((0,1))=(\widetilde{\Psi}(0), \widetilde{\psi}(1))=(0,1)$.

As, for every $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, the vertices $(X, X)$ and $(0,1)$ are adjacent, so must be their images under $\widetilde{\Phi}$, implying $\widetilde{\Psi}(X)=\widetilde{\Psi}(X)+\widetilde{\Psi}(0)=\widetilde{\psi}(X) \widetilde{\psi}(1)=\widetilde{\psi}(X)$ for every $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.

Next we show that $\widetilde{\Psi}$, is a field automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, which implies that for some $0 \leq i<k$, we have $\widetilde{\Psi}(X)=\widetilde{\psi}(X)=X^{p^{i}}$ for every $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, and consequently

$$
\Phi((X, x))=(\Psi(X), \psi(x))=\left(\psi(1)^{2} X^{p^{i}}+\Psi(0), \psi(1) x^{p^{i}}\right) .
$$

This is exactly the required form with $C=\psi(1)$ and $A=\Psi(0)$, since $N(\psi(1))=\psi(1)$ and $A=\Psi(0)=0$ when $q$ is odd.

To check the additivity of $\widetilde{\Psi}$, consider first $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, X \neq-Y$. The vertices $(X, X+Y)$ and $(Y, 1)$ are adjacent, and hence so are their $\widetilde{\Phi}$-images, implying $\widetilde{\Psi}(X)+\widetilde{\Psi}(Y)=\widetilde{\psi}(X+Y) \widetilde{\psi}(1)$. By the above this is equal to $\widetilde{\Psi}(X+Y)$. For $X=-Y$ additivity also holds since $\Psi(-X)=-\Psi(X)$ for every $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

To check the multiplicativity of $\widetilde{\Psi}$, first let $X$ and $Y$ be arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Considering the $\widetilde{\Phi}$-images of the adjacent vertices $(X \underset{\sim}{Y}, X)$ and $(0, Y)$, by the above we obtain $\widetilde{\Psi}(X Y)=\widetilde{\Psi}(X Y)+\widetilde{\Psi}(0)=\widetilde{\Psi}(X) \widetilde{\Psi}(Y)=\widetilde{\Psi}(X) \widetilde{\Psi}(Y)$. When $Y=0$ we have $\widetilde{\Psi}(X \cdot 0)=\widetilde{\Psi}(0)=0=\widetilde{\Psi}(X) \cdot 0=\widetilde{\Psi}(X) \widetilde{\Psi}(0)$ for every $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Now we turn our attention to the group structure of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$. This part of the argument is fairly standard, we include it for the convenience of readers less experienced in calculations with groups. We define the following subgroups of Aut $(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$, they correspond to the types of maps described before Lemma 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Aut }_{F} & =\left\{\pi_{i}:(X, x) \mapsto\left(X^{p^{i}}, x^{p^{i}}\right) \mid 0 \leq i<k(t-1)\right\} \simeq Z_{k(t-1)}, \\
\text { Aut }_{M} & =\left\{\sigma_{C}:(X, x) \mapsto\left(C^{2} X, N(C) x\right) \mid C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}\right\} \\
& \simeq \begin{cases}Z_{q^{t-1}-1} & \text { if } q \text { is even or both } q \text { and } t-1 \text { are odd } \\
Z_{\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{}}^{2} & \text { if } q \text { is odd and } t-1 \text { is even. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, for $q$ odd we also consider the subgroup

$$
\text { Aut }_{S}=\left\{\omega_{\varepsilon}:(X, x) \mapsto(X, \varepsilon x) \mid \varepsilon \in\{-1,+1\}\right\} \simeq Z_{2},
$$

and for $q$ even the subgroup

$$
\text { Aut }_{L}=\left\{\mu_{A}:(X, x) \mapsto(X+A, x) \mid A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime-1}}\right\} \simeq\left(Z_{2}\right)^{k(t-1)}
$$

Now take some $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$. When $q$ is odd then, according to the first part of the theorem there exists $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}, 0 \leq i<k(t-1)$ and $\varepsilon \in\{-1,+1\}$ such that $\Phi((X, x))=\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}, \varepsilon N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right)$ and hence $\Phi=\omega_{\varepsilon} \circ \sigma_{C} \circ \pi_{i}$ (for the composition of some maps $\alpha$ and $\beta$ we fix the notation $\alpha \circ \beta$ and their order of action is understood as $(\alpha \circ \beta)(x)=\alpha(\beta(x)))$. Similarly, when $q$ is even, then there exists $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime-1}}^{*}$, $0 \leq i<k(t-1)$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ such that $\Phi((X, x))=\left(C^{2} X^{p^{i}}+A, N(C) x^{p^{i}}\right)$ and hence $\Phi=\mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C} \circ \pi_{i}$. This shows that these subgroups generate Aut $(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{NG}(q, t))= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Aut}_{S^{\circ}} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{F} & \text { if } q \text { is odd, } \\ \operatorname{Aut}_{L} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{F} \text { if } q \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Before proving the particular group structure we prove a simple lemma that will be used several times in what follows.

Lemma 4 Let $G$ be a group and $N$, $H$ subgroups such that $N^{h} \subseteq N$ for every $h \in H$. Then $N \cdot H$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $N \triangleleft N \cdot H$. If $N \cap H=\left\{1_{G}\right\}$, then $N \cdot H=N \rtimes H$.

Proof The conditions $N^{h} \subseteq N$ imply that $N$ is a normal subgroup in the group $\langle N, H\rangle$ generated by $N$ and $H$, and hence $\langle N, H\rangle=N \cdot H$. Moreover, the intersection condition implies that $N \cdot H=N \rtimes H$ (see the definition on page 167 of [42]).

Now suppose first that $q$ is odd, and consider the term Aut ${ }_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$. If $t-1$ is also odd, then $\omega_{-1}=\sigma_{-1}$, hence Aut $_{S} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{M}$ and so Aut $S^{\circ}$ Aut $_{M}=\operatorname{Aut}_{M}$. If $t-1$ is even, then $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \cap \operatorname{Aut}_{M}=\{i d\}$, elements from the two parts clearly commute and Aut ${ }_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ is also a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$, hence Aut ${ }_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M}=$ Aut $_{S} \times$ Aut $_{M}$.

To add Aut ${ }_{F}$ we apply Lemma 4 with $G=\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{NG}(q, t)), N=$ Aut $_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ and $H=$ Aut $_{F}$. For this we first need to check that $\left(\omega_{\varepsilon} \circ \sigma_{C}\right)^{\pi_{i}} \in$ Aut ${ }_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ for every $\varepsilon \in\{-1,+1\}, C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}$ and $0 \leq i<k(t-1)$ :

$$
\left(\omega_{\varepsilon} \circ \sigma_{C}\right)^{\pi_{i}}=\pi_{i}^{-1} \circ\left(\omega_{\varepsilon} \circ \sigma_{C}\right) \circ \pi_{i}=\pi_{k(t-1)-i} \circ \omega_{\varepsilon} \circ \sigma_{C} \circ \pi_{i}=\omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{C^{\prime}} \in \text { Aut }_{S} \circ \text { Aut }_{M},
$$

where $\quad \varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{p^{k(t-1)-i}}$ and $C^{\prime}=C^{p^{k(t-1)-i}}$. We clearly also have $\left(\right.$ Aut $_{S} \circ$ Aut $\left._{M}\right) \cap$ Aut $_{F}=\{i d\}$, so Lemma 4 implies that Aut ${ }_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ is a normal subgroup of Aut ${ }_{S} \circ$ Aut $_{M} \circ$ Aut $_{F}=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{NG}(q, t))=\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{F}=\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{F} \\
= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Aut}_{M} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{F}=Z_{q^{t-1}-1} \rtimes Z_{k(t-1)} & \text { if } t-1 \text { is odd } \\
\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \times \operatorname{Aut}_{M}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{F}=\left(Z_{2} \times Z_{\frac{q^{t-1}-1}{}}^{2}\right) \rtimes Z_{k(t-1)} & \text { if } t-1 \text { is even. } .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now suppose $q$ is even and consider first Aut ${ }_{L} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M}$. We again apply Lemma 4, now with $G=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t)), N=$ Aut $_{L}$ and $H=$ Aut $_{M}$. First we check that $\mu_{A}^{\sigma_{C}} \in$ Aut ${ }_{L}$ for every $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}$ and $C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}$ :

$$
\mu_{A}^{\sigma_{C}}=\sigma_{C}^{-1} \circ \mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C}=\sigma_{C^{-1}} \circ \mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C}=\mu_{\left(C^{-1}\right)^{2} A} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{L} .
$$

We clearly also have Aut ${ }_{L} \cap$ Aut $_{M}=\{i d\}$, so by Lemma 4 Aut $_{L} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ is a subgroup of Aut $(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$, Aut ${ }_{L}$ is normal subgroup of it and we have

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{L} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M}=\operatorname{Aut}_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{M} .
$$

To describe the full group Aut $(\operatorname{NG}(q, t))$, we apply Lemma 4 one last time, now with $G=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t)), N=\operatorname{Aut}_{L} \circ$ Aut $_{M}=$ Aut $_{L} \rtimes$ Aut $_{M}$ and $H=$ Aut $_{F}$. We start by checking that $\left(\mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C}\right)^{\pi_{i}} \in$ Aut $_{L} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ for every $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}, C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{t-1}}^{*}$ and $0 \leq i<k(t-1)$ :

$$
\left(\mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C}\right)^{\pi_{i}}=\pi_{i}^{-1} \circ \mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C} \circ \pi_{i}=\pi_{k(t-1)-i} \circ \mu_{A} \circ \sigma_{C} \circ \pi_{i}=\mu_{A^{\prime}} \circ \omega_{C^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{L} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M},
$$

where $A^{\prime}=A^{p^{k(t-1)-i}}$ and $C^{\prime}=C^{p^{k(t-1)-i}}$. We clearly also have $\left(\right.$ Aut $_{L} \circ$ Aut $\left._{M}\right) \cap$ Aut $_{F}=\{i d\}$, so using Lemma 4 we infer that Aut ${ }_{L} \circ$ Aut $_{M}$ is a normal subgroup of Aut ${ }_{L} \circ$ Aut $_{M} \circ$ Aut $_{F}=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t))$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{NG}(q, t)) & =\operatorname{Aut}_{L} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{F}=\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{L} \circ \operatorname{Aut}_{M}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{F} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{M}\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{F}=\left(\left(Z_{2}\right)^{k(t-1)} \rtimes Z_{q^{t-1}-1}\right) \rtimes Z_{k(t-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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