ERRATUM


Erratum to: Association between fat mass, lean mass, and bone loss: the Dubbo osteoporosis epidemiology study

S. Yang¹ · J. R. Center^{1,2} · J. A. Eisman^{1,2,3} · T. V. Nguyen^{1,4,5}

Published online: 24 March 2015 © International Osteoporosis Foundation and National Osteoporosis Foundation 2015

Erratum to: Osteoporos Int DOI 10.1007/s00198-014-3009-6

Owing to errors in typesetting, this article was published with an incorrect version of Fig. 1; moreover, Table 3 was poorly formatted. The correct Fig. 1 and Table 3 are given here.



The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00198-014-3009-6.

T. V. Nguyen t.nguyen@garvan.org.au

- ¹ Osteoporosis and Bone Biology Research Program, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, 384 Victoria Street, Darlinghurst, NSW 2010, Australia
- ² Department of Endocrinology, St Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia
- ³ School of Medicine, The University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle, Australia
- ⁴ School of Public Health & Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
- ⁵ University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

Adjusted variables	Variables in the model	Per unit	Men				Women			
			Femoral neck BMD change (%/year)		Lumbar spine BMD change (%/year)		Femoral neck BMD change (%/year)		Lumbar spine BMD change (%/year)	
			Estimate (SE)	P value	Estimate (SE)	P value	Estimate (SE)	P value	Estimate (SE)	P value
Unadjusted	Fat mass (kg)	5	0.36 (0.20)	0.07	-0.11 (0.19)	0.57	-0.15 (0.11)	0.19	0.42 (0.14)	0.003
Unadjusted	Lean mass (kg)	5	0.36 (0.20)	0.08	0.28 (0.19)	0.14	-0.22 (0.22)	0.32	0.45 (0.28)	0.11
Unadjusted	Fat mass (kg)	5	0.26 (0.22)	0.25	-0.28 (0.21)	0.17	-0.12 (0.13)	0.33	0.40 (0.16)	0.012
	Lean mass (kg)	5	0.25 (0.23)	0.28	0.40 (0.21)	0.06	-0.11 (0.25)	0.66	0.09 (0.31)	0.78
Adjusted for age, height, history of prior fracture, smoking, osteoarthritis and physical activity	Fat mass (kg)	5	0.22 (0.22)	0.33	-0.26 (0.21)	0.21	-0.13 (0.13)	0.34	0.36 (0.17)	0.03
	Lean mass (kg)	5	0.20 (0.30)	0.51	0.47 (0.28)	0.09	-0.17 (0.29)	0.56	0.13 (0.37)	0.73

 Table 3
 Association between fat mass, lean mass, and relative rate of femoral neck and lumbar spine BMD change for men and women: results of multiple linear regression analysis

Statistical significance (at 5 % level) are shown in italics