Review articles

Rechtsmedizin 2023 · 33:125–131 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00194-022-00587-9 Accepted: 17 June 2022 Published online: 20 July 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Medizin Verlag GmbH, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2022



Diagnostics of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) severe acute respiratory syndromecorona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccination-associated myocarditis—A systematic review

Jan M. Federspiel¹ · Frank Ramsthaler¹ · Mattias Kettner² · Gerhard Mall³ ¹ Institute of Legal Medicine, Saarland University, Campus Homburg, Homburg Saar, Germany ² Institute of Legal Medicine, Goethe University Frankfurt Main, Frankfurt Main, Germany ³ Medical Care Center for Clinical Pathology, Darmstadt, Germany

Abstract

Background: During the recent pandemic with the severe acute respiratory syndromecorona virus-2 the first messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) vaccines were approved. To facilitate mass vaccination, confidence of the general population in these new vaccines is mandatory, which is in turn strongly dependent on the availability of reliable data on complications.

Objective: Summary of the current knowledge on mRNA vaccination-associated myocarditis as a potentially fatal side effect.

Methods: Systematic literature review.

Results: Diagnostic algorithm for the postmortem diagnosis of mRNA vaccinationassociated myocarditis.

Conclusion: Autopsy series of fatalities following mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccination up to 6 weeks with subsequent sophisticated and interdisciplinary work-up are necessary to complement clinical data on vaccination-associated myocarditis, especially regarding the incidence of fatal courses.

Keywords

 $Interdisciplinary work-up \cdot mRNA-vaccination\ associated\ death \cdot Autopsy \cdot Diagnostic\ algorithm \cdot Differential\ diagnosis$

Introduction

Effective forms of treatment for the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are limited [1]. Thus, mass vaccination is applied to protect individuals from serious disease and gain control of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic [2]. New virus variants can exhibit increased virulence [3] and drive breakthrough COVID-19 cases despite full immunization [4]. Nevertheless, vaccination is effective against several virus variants [5, 6] and protects against severe disease in the case of a breakthrough [7]. How often adjustments of the vaccines and boosters will be necessary is currently unclear. Thus, it seems likely that vaccination remains a dominating control strategy. The pandemic led to the first approval of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) vaccines [8], from the scientific point of view a well and decade-long known [9] promising technology [8] with a wide range of

Supplementary Information

The online version of this article (https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00194-022-00587-9) includes a PDF file with supplemental clinical features.

Jan M. Federspiel and Frank Ramsthaler contributed equally to the manuscript.



Scan QR code & read article online

potential applications far beyond SARS-CoV-2 [8, 9]. Nevertheless, from the general population's point of view it remains a "new" technology having been rapidly approved for the first time. As new vaccines are sometimes confronted with public skepticism [10], reliable data about their safety are the basis for general acceptance [11]. Thus, acquisition of reliable data regarding complications of mRNA vaccines is mandatory to support mass vaccination.

The mRNA vaccination-associated myocarditis (VAM) was repeatedly discussed in the media. Clinical studies showed that the mRNA-VAM usually has a mild course [12]. Nevertheless, a myocarditis is potentially fatal [13, 14]. Systematic postmortem work-up of fatalities after mRNA vaccination would be the basis for reliable epidemiological data regarding fatal side effects. Such data are hardly available (e.g. [15]), and do not comprise a systematic diagnostic work-up [15].

This systematic review of clinical case reports on mRNA-VAM collects data on the typical diagnostic findings and potential, or necessary differential diagnostic steps required for reliable diagnosis of VAM. As a result of this review, a first, basic postmortem diagnostic algorithm for the VAM is presented.

Methods

Systematic literature research using the National Library of Medicine database (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was conducted (advanced search algorithm: "(vaccine) AND (myocarditis)"; inclusion and exclusion criteria in **■** Table 1). According to the first approval of a mRNA vaccine, articles from December 2020 onwards were included. The last database query was performed on 1 September 2021. Only cases of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-VAM were included in this review. Calculations were performed using Microsoft[®] (Redmond, WA, USA) Excel 2016.

Results

The algorithm identified 126 articles, of which 25 (19.8%) met the inclusion criteria. These articles describe 66 cases of mRNA-VAM. BNT162b2 (manufacturer: Pfizer[®] [New York City, NY, USA]-

BioNTech[®] [Mainz, Germany], also known as Tozinameran or Comirnaty [16]) was administered in 53 cases (80.3%) and mRNA-1273 (manufacturer: Moderna[®] [Cambridge, MA, USA], also known as Elasomeran or Spikevax [16]) in 13 cases (19.7%).

In 57 (86.4%) cases, myocarditis occurred following the second vaccination. In 51 instances no previous illness was reported while in 6 instances analysis of the medical history yielded prior illness:

- I. 17-year-old male, status post-myocarditis in 2014 (vaccine: BNT162b2, [17])
- II. 15-year-old boy with obesity and insulin resistance (vaccine: BNT162b2, [17])
- III. 67-year-old male with type 2 diabetes, arterial hypertension, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, status post-multiple coronary interventions, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (vaccine: mRNA-1273, [18])
- IV. 39-year-old male with autoimmune hypothyroidism, and status postspontaneous pneumothorax and lobectomy (vaccine: BNT162b2, [19])
- V. 70-year-old female with arterial hypertension (vaccine: mRNA-1273, [20])
- VI. 52-year-old male with multiple diseases, including arterial hypertension, steatosis hepatis, and coronary calcification (vaccine: mRNA-1273, [21]).

After the first dose myocarditis occurred in 9 (13.6%) cases [17, 22–28], with 2 of these individuals having pre-existing conditions:

- I. 15-year-old male with Marfan syndrome (vaccine: BNT162b2, [17])
- II. 21-year-old male with bronchial asthma and allergies (vaccine: BNT162b2, [24])

In 7 instances (10.6%), a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to vaccination was reported (in 1 case mRNA-1273 vaccine [22]; in 6 cases BNT162b2 vaccine [22, 25, 26, 29]). Myocarditis occurred after the first dose in 4 of these cases [17, 22, 26]. In 2 of the 66 cases (3%), no information on pre-

vious SARS-CoV-2 infection was available [30, 31].

More males (62 of 66 cases; 93.9%), than females (4 of 66; 6.1% [20, 22, 30]) were affected. In 3 of the women, mRNA-1273 was administered [20, 22, 30]. The average age of affected women was 34.8 years (range: 17–70 years), and for affected men 24.5 years (range: 14–67 years, average age of men affected after first dose 25.1 years; average age of men affected after second dose 24.4 years).

Imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was reported in 57 cases (86.4%; 9 cases (13.6%) without reported MRI [17, 18, 28, 32]). For MRI-based diagnosis usually the Lake Louise Criteria were applied (e.g. [33]). Late gadolinium enhancement was typically located subepicardially (e.g. [33]). If no MRI was reported, diagnosis was based on laboratory findings, clinical presentation, and electrocardiogram (ECG) changes [32].

The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was reported in all but 1 case (98.5% of the included cases). In 60 cases (90.9%), LVEF was described as "normal", "good", "preserved" or "more than 50%". In 6 cases (9.1%), LVEF was below 50% [20, 25, 26, 33, 34].

Endomyocardial biopsy

Endomyocardial biopsies were reported in 2 cases (3%), without further information on number and localization of the biopsies [25, 26]. In both instances, no histological evidence of myocarditis was found [25, 26].

Differential diagnostic work-up: infectiology

In none of the cases virological analysis of myocardium was reported while other virological work-up to a varying extent was reported in 20 cases (30.3%). In 2 cases (3%) only influenza testing was mentioned [32]. Other case reports described virus panels that were not further explained, such as a "respiratory virus panel" [25] or a "cardiotropic virus panel" [31].

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the literature review	
Included articles and cases	Excluded articles and cases
Detailed information on the diagnostic work-up to secure diagnosis of mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccination-associated my- ocarditis. Language: English, Spanish, German	Case reports not providing information on the diag- nostic process. Language other than English, Spanish, or German. Vaccination-associated myocarditis other than from the mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccination
mRNA messenger ribonucleic acid, SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome-corona virus-2	

Some reports described varying types of bacteriological work-up [33]. Blood cultures were acquired in 1 case (1.5%) [34]. Mycoplasma pneumonia was found in 1 case (1.5%) [35], and this individual was prophylactically treated with doxycycline [35]. In 1 instance (1.5%), unspecified treatment of sepsis was reported [18].

Differential diagnostic work-up: autoimmune disorders

In 8 cases (12.1%), autoimmune disease work-up was explicitly mentioned [21, 27, 36]. In 1 report, antibody and immune cell analyses were performed [21], while other reports did not specify their autoimmune diagnostic panel further [27, 36]. None of the analyzed cases exhibited an autoimmune disorder [21, 27, 36].

Differential diagnostic work-up: toxic myocarditis

In 6 cases (9.1%), toxic myocarditis was explicitly mentioned as a differential diagnosis. In these, the medical history yielded no hint for toxic myocarditis [27].

The supplement provides more details on identified clinical reports (further reading) and clinical features and the time to onset of symptoms after vaccination.

Discussion

Epidemiology of myocarditis

The prevalence of myocarditis in the general population has been reported between 10 and 105 individuals per 100,000 [37]. Viruses have been described as the most frequent cause of myocarditis [14], with 1–10 viral myocarditis cases per 100,000 per year [38]. Globally, the coxsackie virus is the most frequently detected virus [37].

SARS-CoV-2 can cause acute and chronic myocardial damage [39]. Of 100,000 infected patients 1000-4000 have been estimated to develop a COVID-19associated myocarditis [38]. Compared to these numbers, mRNA SARS-CoV-2-VAM is rare with around 2.13 cases out of 100.000 with the highest incidence in the young (i.e. between 16 and 29 years) [40]. Survival rates of more than 99% have been described for the mRNA-VAM [38], although no systematic work-up of fatalities in chronological connection to mRNA SARS-CoV-2 immunization has been presented to date. Thus, unknown fatalities due to mRNA-VAM must be assumed.

Assessing the reviewed cases

According to diagnostic criteria developed for smallpox VAM, diagnosis of VAM can only be confirmed by histology [41], while diagnosis based on MRI and laboratory tests is classified as probable [41]. Diagnoses based on ECG and clinical appearance alone are rated as suspected cases [41]. Thus, applying the aforementioned diagnostic criteria, none of the 66 cases reviewed can be rated as confirmed VAM. In 57 cases, the diagnosis is probable, and in 9 instances suspected.

Endomyocardial biopsies and MRI

Myocarditis is a "patchy" pathology [42] somehow scattered over the heart. Therefore, up to 17 endomyocardial biopsies have been reported to be required to detect approximately 79% of cases of right ventricular involvement [43]. In the postmortem setting at least 16 samples (i.e. 8 left, and 8 right ventricular specimens, including the conduction system) are recommended [44].

Since these diagnostic criteria were developed, various diagnostic modalities have improved. Nowadays, MRI using

the Lake Louise criteria allows diagnosis with a sensitivity of 69% and a specificity of 91% [45]. So, cardiac MRI might be the most important diagnostic tool in a clinical setting [46], although in early stages of inflammation MRI is restricted [47]. In the postmortem setting MRI is not yet elaborated for the diagnosis of myocarditis [48].

The mRNA SARS-CoV-2 VAM inflammatory lesions are usually positioned subepicardial (e.g. [34]). Thus, reaching them by endomyocardial biopsy without jeopardizing the patients' well-being is questionable. Consequently, the diagnostic criteria developed for the smallpox VAM are hardly applicable nowadays for the diagnosis of mRNA-VAM.

Histology and myocarditis

Histological diagnosis of myocarditis is limited by numerous factors, including interobserver variability [49], sampling error [50, 51], and the broad etiological [14, 37] and histological spectrum of myocarditis [13]. Hence, a high level of expertise is necessary for sufficient histological diagnosis of myocarditis [50] (e.g. differentiation of distinct eosinophilic heart syndromes [52]). Nevertheless, histology, especially immunohistology, is mandatory for the diagnosis of myocarditis [44, 53, 54]. The importance of histology in the postmortem setting is strengthened by postmortem limitations of MRI [48] and an almost unrestricted access to myocardial tissue during autopsy. Thus, histology is the key to the diagnosis of myocarditis in the (forensic) pathological setting allowing identification of predisposed areas, characterization of the inflammatory lesions, and detection of small (potentially early and subclinical) lesions.

Differentiation of the cell types forming the infiltrates (e.g. by immunohistochemistry [55]) and molecular pathology [56] may provide insights into the mechanisms underlying mRNA SARS-CoV-2 VAM and potentially allow differentiation between "real" mRNA-VAM and other simply coincident myocarditis cases due to a more likely cause such as a virus [14].

Review articles



Fig. 1 A Diagnostic algorithm for the diagnosis of mRNA vaccination-associated myocarditis. Potential diagnostic algorithm for the diagnosis of mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccination-associated myocarditis. *mRNA* messenger ribonucleic acid, *SARS-CoV-2* severe acute respiratory syndrome-corona virus-2

Differential diagnosis

Causes of myocarditis are manifold (e.g. several drugs [37], toxins [57, 58], hypersensitive/autoimmune phenomena [37]). Thus, to determine whether a myocarditis is just a random coincidence with the mRNA SARS-CoV-2-immunization or is a "real" VAM, requires differential workup. Thereby, VAM is a diagnosis of exclusion. So, more frequent and thus more likely causes of the myocarditis must be sufficiently ruled out. Hence, also in the postmortem setting, especially the viral myocarditis mut be ruled out during the diagnostic process of VAM. Accordingly, complementary to histological specimens, blood samples and myocardial samples for virological work-up should be obtained [53] and analyzed as soon as histology confirms myocarditis. Also, depending on

regional conditions and in adjustment to the respective case, samples allowing further toxicological, rheumatological, and other work-up should be obtained.

Reports of individuals with immanent myocarditis and conduction disturbances after the vaccination are available [59]. Whether this is a distinct entity, or a subform of subclinical myocarditis is not yet known, therefore postmortem work-up of fatalities in a chronological connection with a mRNA-SARS-CoV-2-immunization is necessary.

mRNA SARS-CoV-2 VAM

The reviewed case reports suggest that comorbidities [38, 40] and subclinical autoimmune phenomena [60] might predispose for VAM.

So far available, histological studies heterogeneously describe SARS-CoV-2 VAM with neutrophilic infiltrates [61], not further specified mixed infiltrates [62], or dominant lymphoplasmatic infiltrates [15]. This contrasts with the clinical expectation of eosinophilic hypersensitivity myocarditis [41], as it was observed in conjunction with other vaccinations [63]. This again raises the questions whether the reported cases are "real" VAMs or coincident findings. Regarding the millionfold administration of mRNA vaccines it seems likely that coincidences of vaccination and a non-VAM occur.

Due to the need for valid and comparable data on mRNA-VAM the diagnostic process should be standardized. So, a somehow "underlying" diagnostic algorithm as a common basis is necessary. Additionally, (more likely) differential diagnoses (e.g. alcoholic cardiomyopathy [64]), local (e.g. regionally varying viruses associated with myocarditis [37]) and casespecific circumstances (e.g. known history of clozapine intake, as potential hint for drug-induced myocarditis [65]) must be considered. So, local experts should be included in the work-up to address "typical local" differential diagnoses in the postmortem setting to ensure valid data on mRNA-VAM. For a sufficient diagnosis of VAM factors potentially influencing the diagnostic process such as the postmortem interval should be considered (see exclusion criteria **©** Fig. 1).

As a derivative from the presented review **Fig. 1** suggests a basic diagnostic algorithm for mRNA-VAM. How such an algorithm is applied, depends on the institution. That means, what is sufficient, and efficient should be answered individually for and in each institution and case.

Analogous to the clinical data on time of onset of suspected VAM after vaccination (see supplement), cases with a time of onset of up to 6 weeks post-vaccination should be included in the work-up. Thereby, it seems that people status post SARS-CoV-2-infection present with onset within hours [66]. For this case, infiltrates with Cluster of differentiation (CD) 68-positive macrophages and CD3-positive T-cells are described [66]. Especially in these cases a differential work-up is mandatory to sufficiently determine whether the myocarditis is viral (i.e. induced due to SARS-CoV-2) or likely caused by the vaccine [66]. The work-up of such cases allows both better data on mRNA vaccine complications and better understanding of the pathophysiological processes in COVID-19 [66].

Limitations

The mRNA SARS-CoV-2 VAM is a recent topic with rapidly increasing numbers of articles on this issue. So, the presented study tried to include as many articles as possible by means of a basic literature research algorithm, but recurring searches and thus reviews will be necessary for a sustainable knowledge transfer providing guidance for future research. This review focused on the mRNA-VAM.

Conclusion

Valid diagnosis of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA)-vaccination associated myocarditis (VAM) is a diagnosis of exclusion requiring consideration of local and casespecific circumstances. Thereby, a high level of pathological expertise, complemented by an extensive differential and interdisciplinary work-up is required. Autopsy series of cases with suspected mRNA severe acute respiratory syndrome-corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) VAM are the basis for valid data and deeper pathophysiological understanding. Therefore, a systematic, differential, and standardized diagnostic approach is necessary.

Conclusions for practice

- Autopsy series are required to generate epidemiological and pathophysiological data on messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) severe acute respiratory syndrome-corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccination-associated myocarditis.
- The mRNA vaccination-associated myocarditis is a diagnosis of exclusion requiring exclusion of more likely causes (such as viral myocarditis) and sufficient histological work-up.
- In view of a millionfold application coincidences between a mRNA vaccination and a non-vaccination-associated myocarditis appear to be likely to occur.
- Standardized and interdisciplinary diagnostic algorithms are necessary to reliably detect fatal mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccination-associated myocarditis

Corresponding address

Jan M. Federspiel, MD

Institute of Legal Medicine, Saarland University, Campus Homburg Kirrbergerstraße, Geb. 49.1, 66421 Homburg Saar, Germany

jmfederspiel@outlook.com

Declarations

Conflict of interest. J. M. Federspiel, F. Ramsthaler, M. Kettner, and G. Mall declare that they have no competing interests.

For this article no studies with human participants or animals were performed by any of the authors.

References

Cited literature

- RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR et al (2021) Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 384(8):693–704
- 2. Viana J, van Dorp CH, Nunes A et al (2021) Controlling the pandemic during the SARS-CoV-2 vaccination rollout. Nat Commun 12(1):3674
- 3. Fisman DN, Tuite RA (2021) Progressive increase in virulence of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants in Ontario, Canada (medRxiv)
- Christensen PA, Olsen RJ, Long SW et al (2022) Delta variants of SARS-CoV-2 cause significantly increased vaccine breakthrough COVID-19 cases in Houston, Texas. Am J Pathol 192(2):320–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2021.10.019
- Lopez Bernal J, Andrews N, Gower C et al (2021) Effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (delta) variant. N Engl J Med 385(7):585–594
- Chia PY, Ong SWX, Chiew CJ et al (2021) Virological and serological kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 delta variant vaccine breakthrough infections: a multicentre cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2021.11.010
- CDC COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough Case Investigations Team (2021) COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections reported to CDC—United States, January 1-April 30, 2021. Mmwr Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 70(21):792–793
- Chakraborty C, Sharma AR, Bhattacharya M et al (2021) From COVID-19 to cancer mRNA vaccines: moving from bench to clinic in the vaccine landscape. Front Immunol 12:679344
- 9. Pardi N, Hogan MJ, Porter FW et al (2018) mRNA vaccines—a new era in vaccinology. Nat Rev Drug Discov 17(4):261–279
- Amanna I, Slifka MK (2005) Public fear of vaccination: separating fact from fiction. Viral Immunol 18(2):307–315
- Kaplan RM, Milstein A (2021) Influence of a COVID-19 vaccine's effectiveness and safety profile on vaccination acceptance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118(10):e2021726118
- Dionne A, Sperotto F, Chamberlain S et al (2021) Association of myocarditis with BNT162b2 messenger RNA COVID-19 vaccine in a case series of children. JAMA Cardiol 6(12):1446–1450
- Leone O, Pieroni M, Rapezzi C et al (2019) The spectrum of myocarditis: from pathology to the clinics. Virchows Arch 475(3):279–301
- Tschöpe C, Ammirati E, Bozkurt B et al (2021) Myocarditis and inflammatory cardiomyopathy: current evidence and future directions. Nat Rev Cardiol 18(3):169–193
- Schneider J, Sottmann L, Greinacher A et al (2021) Postmortem investigation of fatalities following vaccination with COVID-19 vaccines. Int J Legal Med 135(6):2335–2345
- Suzuki Y, Ishihara H (2021) Difference in the lipid nanoparticle technology employed in three approved siRNA (Patisiran) and mRNA (COVID-19 vaccine) drugs. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 41:100424
- 17. Tano E, San Martin S, Girgis S et al (2021) Perimyocarditis in adolescents after Pfizer-

Zusammenfassung

BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 10(10):962–966

- Deb A, Abdelmalek J, Iwuji K et al (2021) Acute myocardial injury following COVID-19 vaccination: a case report and review of current evidence from vaccine adverse events reporting system database. J Prim Care Community Health 12:21501327211029230
- Bautista García J, Peña Ortega P, Bonilla Fernández JA et al (2021) Miocarditis aguda tras administración de vacuna BNT162b2 contra la COVID-19. Rev Esp Cardiol 74(9):812–814
- 20. Kim HW, Jenista ER, Wendell DC et al (2021) Patients with acute myocarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination. JAMA Cardiol 6(10):1196–1201
- Muthukumar A, Narasimhan M, Li QZ et al (2021) In-depth evaluation of a case of presumed myocarditis after the second dose of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. Circulation 144(6):487–498
- Shaw KE, Cavalcante JL, Han BK et al (2021) Possible association between COVID-19 vaccine and myocarditis: clinical and CMR findings. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 14(9):1856–1861. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.06.002
- 23. Chamling B, Vehof V, Drakos S et al (2021) Occurrence of acute infarct-like myocarditis following COVID-19 vaccination: just an accidental co-incidence or rather vaccination-associated autoimmune myocarditis? Clin Res Cardiol 110(11):1850–1854
- Sokolska JM, Kurcz J, Kosmala W (2021) Every rose has its thorns—acute myocarditis following COVID-19 vaccination. Kardiol Pol 79(10):1153–1154. https://doi.org/10.33963/KP. a2021.0075
- Rosner CM, Genovese L, Tehrani BN et al (2021) Myocarditis temporally associated with COVID-19 vaccination. Circulation 144(6):502–505
- 26. Larson KF, Ammirati E, Adler ED et al (2021) Myocarditis after BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccination. Circulation 144(6):506–508
- 27. Abu Mouch S, Roguin A, Hellou E et al (2021) Myocarditis following COVID-19 mRNA vaccination. Vaccine 39(29):3790–3793
- Park J, Brekke DR, Bratincsak A (2022) Self-limited myocarditis presenting with chest pain and ST segment elevation in adolescents after vaccination with the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. Cardiol Young 32(1):146–149. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S1047951121002547
- Watkins K, Griffin G, Septaric K et al (2021) Myocarditis after BNT162b2 vaccination in a healthy male. Am J Emerg Med 50:815.e1–815.e2
- Mansour J, Short RG, Bhalla S et al (2021) Acute myocarditis after a second dose of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccine: a report of two cases. Clin Imaging 78:247–249
- Isaak A, Feisst A, Luetkens JA (2021) Myocarditis following COVID-19 vaccination. Radiology. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2021211766
- Hudson B, Mantooth R, DeLaney M (2021) Myocarditis and pericarditis after vaccination for COVID-19. J Am Coll Emerg Physicians Open 2(4):e12498
- Marshall M, Ferguson ID, Lewis P et al (2021) Symptomatic acute myocarditis in seven adolescents following Pfizer-BioNtech COVID-19 vaccination. Pediatrics 148(3):e2021052478. https://doi.org/ 10.1542/peds.2021-052478
- 34. Williams CB, Choi JI, Hosseini F et al (2021) Acute myocarditis following mRNA-1273 SARS-coV-2 vaccination. CJC Open 3(11):1410–1412. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cjco.2021.07.008

Diagnostik von messenger Ribonukleinsäure (mRNA) severe acute respiratory syndrome-corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) impfassoziierten Myokarditiden – ein systematischer Review

Hintergrund: Mit der aktuellen Pandemie mit dem severe acute respiratory syndromecorona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) wurden die ersten messenger Ribonukleinsäure (mRNA)-Impfstoffe zugelassen. Der Erfolg der Impfkampagne hängt vom Vertrauen der Bevölkerung in die neuen Impfstoffe, und dieses wiederum von der Verfügbarkeit reliabler Daten zu Komplikationen ab.

Ziel der Arbeit: Zusammenfassung des aktuellen Kenntnisstandes zu impfassoziierten Myokarditiden, als potenziell letalen Nebenwirkungen der mRNA-Impfstoffe. **Methoden:** Systematischer Literatur-Review.

Ergebnisse: Diagnostischer Algorithmus zur systematischen postmortalen Aufarbeitung von Todesfällen im zeitlichen Zusammenhang mit einer mRNA-Impfung hinsichtlich einer impfassoziierten Myokarditis.

Diskussion: Die impfassoziierte Myokarditis ist eine Ausschlussdiagnose, die lediglich durch eine differenzierte und interdisziplinäre Aufarbeitung gestellt werden kann. Autopsieserien von Todesfällen bis zu 6 Wochen nach der Impfung sind erforderlich, um die klinischen Daten hinsichtlich letaler Komplikationen zu ergänzen.

Schlüsselwörter

Interdisziplinäre Aufarbeitung · mRNA-Impfung assoziierter Tod · Autopsie · Diagnostischer Algorithmus · Differenzialdiagnose

- 35. Cereda A, Conca C, Barbieri L et al (2021) Acute myocarditis after the second dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: serendipity or atypical causal relationship? Anatol J Cardiol 25(7):522–523
- D'Angelo T, Cattafi A, Carerj ML et al (2021) Myocarditis after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination: a vaccineinduced reaction? Can J Cardiol 37(10):1665–1667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2021.05.010
- Golpour A, Patriki D, Hanson PJ et al (2021) Epidemiological impact of myocarditis. J Clin Med 10(4):603
- Heymans S, Cooper LT (2022) Myocarditis after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination: clinical observations and potential mechanisms. Nat Rev Cardiol 19(2):75–77. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00662-w
- Dal Ferro M, Bussani R, Paldino A et al (2021) SARS-CoV-2, myocardial injury and inflammation: insights from a large clinical and autopsy study. Clin Res Cardiol 110(11):1822–1831
- 40. Witberg G, Barda N, Hoss S et al (2021) Myocarditis after Covid-19 vaccination in a large health care organization. N Engl J Med 385(23):2132–2139
- Montgomery J, Ryan M, Engler R et al (2021) Myocarditis following immunization with mRNA COVID-19 vaccines in members of the US military. JAMA Cardiol 6(10):1202–1206. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jamacardio.2021.2833
- 42. Satoh H, Sano M, Suwa K et al (2014) Distribution of late gadolinium enhancement in various types of cardiomyopathies: significance in differential diagnosis, clinical features and prognosis. World J Cardiol 6(7):585–601
- Chow LH, Radio SJ, Sears TDetal (1989) Insensitivity of right ventricular endomyocardial biopsy in the diagnosis of myocarditis. J Am Coll Cardiol 14(4):915–920
- 44. Dettmeyer RB (2011) Forensic histopathology—fundamentals and perspectives, 1st edn. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p 251

- Chen W, Jeudy J (2019) Assessment of myocarditis: cardiac MR, PET/CT, or PET/MR? Curr Cardiol Rep 21(8):76
- 46. Caredda G (2022) Editorial comment: cardiac MRI as a fundamental tool in the evaluation of suspected myocarditis after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination in young patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol 218:658–658
- 47. Maier A, Braig M, Jakob K et al (2020) Molecular magnetic resonance imaging of activated platelets allows noninvasive detection of early myocarditis in mice. Sci Rep 10(1):13211
- Guidi B, Aquaro GD, Gesi M et al (2018) Postmortem cardiac magnetic resonance in sudden cardiac death. Heart Fail Rev 23(5):651–665
- Shanes JG, Ghali J, Billingham ME et al (1987) Interobserver variability in the pathologic interpretation of endomyocardial biopsy results. Circulation 75(2):401–405
- 50. Baughman KL (2006) Diagnosis of myocarditis: death of Dallas criteria. Circulation 113(4):593–595
- 51. Hauck AJ, Kearney DL, Edwards WD (1989) Evaluation of postmortem endomyocardial biopsy specimens from 38 patients with lymphocytic myocarditis: implications for role of sampling error. Mayo Clin Proc 64(10):1235–1245
- Grabellus F, Mall G, Schnabel PA et al (2005) Immunohistochemical differentiation of eosinophilic heart diseases using antibodies against eosinophil activation markers. Histopathology 46(1):89–97
- 53. Basso C, Calabrese F, Angelini A et al (2013) Classification and histological, immunohistochemical, and molecular diagnosis of inflammatory myocardial disease. Heart Fail Rev 18(6):673–681
- 54. Fung G, Luo H, Qiu Y et al (2016) Myocarditis. Circ Res 118(3):496–514
- Yuan Z, Shioji K, Kishimoto C (2003) Immunohistological analyses of myocardial infiltrating cells in various animal models of myocarditis. Exp Clin Cardiol 8(1):13–16
- Klingel K, Sauter M, Bock CT et al (2004) Molecular pathology of inflammatory cardiomyopathy. Med Microbiol Immunol 193(2–3):101–107

- 57. Maisch B (2016) Alcoholic cardiomyopathy: the result of dosage and individual predisposition. Herz 41(6):484–493
- Olbrich HG (2001) Epidemiology-etiology of dilated cardiomyopathy. Z Kardiol 90:2–9
- 59. Elhassan M, Ahmad H, Mohamed M et al (2021) From muscles to wires: report of two cases and literature review on COVID-19 vaccination and cardiac conduction disturbance. Cureus 13(10):e18805
- Badshah M, Shriver J, Rynders B et al (2021) MODERNA mRNA-1273 vaccine-associated myopericarditis in a patient with a subclinical autoimmune predisposition. J Cardiol Cases 24(5):227–229
- 61. Choi S, Lee S, Seo JW et al (2021) Myocarditisinduced sudden death after BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccination in Korea: case report focusing on histopathological findings. J Korean Med Sci 36(40):e286
- Verma AK, Lavine KJ, Lin CY (2021) Myocarditis after Covid-19 mRNA vaccination. N Engl J Med 385(14):1332–1334
- 63. Engler RJM, Nelson MR, Collins LC Jr et al (2015) A prospective study of the incidence of myocarditis/ pericarditis and new onset cardiac symptoms following smallpox and influenza vaccination. PLoS ONE 10(3):e118283
- 64. Dettmeyer R, Reith K, Madea B (2002) Alcoholic cardiomyopathy versus chronic myocarditisimmunohistological investigations with LCA, CD3, CD68 and tenascin. Forensic Sci Int 126(1):57–62
- 65. Haas SJ, Hill R, Krum H et al (2007) Clozapineassociated myocarditis: a review of 116 cases of suspected myocarditis associated with the use of clozapine in Australia during 1993–2003. Drug Saf 30(1):47–57
- 66. Nguyen TD, Mall G, Westphal JG et al (2021) Acute myocarditis after COVID-19 vaccination with mRNA-1273 in a patient with former SARS-CoV-2 infection. ESC Heart Fail 8(6):4710–4714

Further reading

- McLean K, Johnson TJ (2021) Myopericarditis in a previously healthy adolescent male following COVID-19 vaccination: a case report. Acad Emerg Med 28(8):918–921. https://doi.org/10.1111/ acem.14322
- Singh B, Kaur P, Cedeno L et al (2021) COVID-19 mRNA vaccine and myocarditis. Eur J Case Rep Intern Med 8(7):2681
- 69. Vidula MK, Ambrose M, Glassberg H et al (2021) Myocarditis and other cardiovascular complications of the mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccines. Cureus 13(6):e15576
- Albert E, Aurigemma G, Saucedo J et al (2021) Myocarditis following COVID-19 vaccination. Radiol Case Rep 16(8):2142–2145
- 71. Habib MB, Hamamyh T, Elyas A et al (2021) Acute myocarditis following administration of BNT162b2 vaccine. IDCases 25:e1197

Webinar on Demand: Die Leichenschau – sicher beherrschen und Fallstricke vermeiden



Wenn Sie zur Leichenschau gerufen werden, sind die Umstände oft schwierig und die Anforderungen hoch. Denn die Todesbescheinigung hat erhebliche straf-, erb- und versicherungsrechtliche Auswirkungen. Dieses interdisziplinäre Webinar bringt Sie auf den aktuellen Stand.

Vorträge:

Den Tod sicher feststellen Referent: Prof. Dr. Matthias Graw, Institut für Rechtsmedizin, LMU München

Todesursache, Todesart, Kausalitätskette Referent: Prof. Dr. Oliver Peschel, Institut für Rechtsmedizin, LMU München

Praktische Tipps für den Hausarzt vor Ort Referent: Prof. Dr. Klaus Weckbecker, Institut für Allgemeinmedizin und Ambulante Gesundheitsversorgung (IAMAG), Universität Witten/Herdecke

Das kostenlose Webinar von SpringerMedizin.de und der MMW finden Sie als Video on Demand unter:

