Correction to: Modelling of Mach reflections in internal axisymmetric steady supersonic flow

B. Shoesmith¹ · E. Timofeev¹

Published online: 27 December 2021
 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to:
Shock Waves
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00193-021-01047-1>

In this article, the legends for Figs. 4 and 5 were inadvertently omitted; the figures should have appeared as shown below.

Fig. 4 Top: CFD predictions of Mach disc radius for $\beta_w = 150^\circ$ and $l_w = 1.0$, with LSQ fits and Richardson extrapolation value. Bottom: percentage difference between y_{tp} and the Richardson extrapolation value, $y_{tp,e}$, for ranges of MRL_{disc} and MRL_{field}

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00193-021-01047-1>.

✉ B. Shoesmith
 ben.shoesmith@mail.mcgill.ca
 E. Timofeev
 evgeny.timofeev@mcgill.ca

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, McGill University,
 817 Sherbrooke West, Montreal, QC H3A 0C3, Canada

Fig. 5 Effect of wedge length, l_w , on Mach disc radius, y_{tp} , (top) and incident shock angle at the triple point, β_{tp} , (bottom) for shock angles at the wedge leading edge, $\beta_w = 148^\circ, 150^\circ, 152^\circ$, and 154° . Lines indicate results from the model, open symbols—CFD results, and solid symbols—model predictions at $l_{w,crit}$

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.