Correction to: Does concurrent posterior repair for an asymptomatic rectocele reduce the risk of surgical failure in patients undergoing sacrocolpopexy?

Olivia H. Chang¹ · Emily R. W. Davidson¹ · Tonya N. Thomas¹ · Marie Fidela R. Paraiso¹ · Cecile A. Ferrando¹

Published online: 27 October 2020

© The International Urogynecological Association 2020

Correction to: International Urogynecology Journal (2020) 31:2075–2080
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-020-04268-7>

In the originally published article, an error was found in the 6th paragraph of the Results section.

Current version: Of the three patients in the **SCP** group who underwent surgical retreatment, 66.7% (2) received an isolated anterior colporrhaphy. In the **SCP + PR** group, five patients (45.5%) underwent an apical suspension and four (36.3%) underwent an isolated posterior colporrhaphy.

Correct version: Of the three patients in the **SCP + PR** group who underwent surgical retreatment, 66.7% (2) received an isolated anterior colporrhaphy. In the **SCP** group, five patients (45.5%) underwent an apical suspension and four (36.3%) underwent an isolated posterior colporrhaphy.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-020-04268-7>

✉ Olivia H. Chang
chango@ccf.org

¹ Center for Urogynecology and Pelvic Reconstructive Surgery,
Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Ave, Desk A81,
Cleveland, OH 44195, USA