



Authors' reply to letter from Schiøtz et al. on "Use of surgical databases in urogynaecology"

Abdelmageed Abdelrahman¹ · Ashleigh Moore² · Ruben Trochez¹

Received: 16 July 2019 / Accepted: 20 August 2019 / Published online: 27 November 2019
© The International Urogynecological Association 2019

Dear Editor

We would like to thank Schiøtz et al. [1] for their interest in our editorial on the use of surgical databases in urogynaecology [2].

We are pleased to know that there is an established quality urogynaecology registry in Norway and that its use is compulsory. It is very encouraging to learn that the coverage rate is 98.5% and that it has achieved the highest possible quality rating in Norway. This should provide an excellent incentive to promote the use of surgical databases in our subspecialty.

Reference

1. Schiøtz HA, Svenningsen R, Kulseng-Hanssen S, Dimoski T. Re: Abdelrahman A, Moore A, Trochez R. Use of surgical databases in urogynaecology. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-019-04059-9>.
2. Abdelrahman A, Moore A, Trochez R. Use of surgical databases in urogynaecology. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2019;30:851–2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-019-03943-8>.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

✉ Abdelmageed Abdelrahman
abdelmageed@hotmail.co.uk

¹ Liverpool Women's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

² Poole Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Poole, UK