



Correction to: Configuration optimization of the feature-oriented reference system in large component assembly

Qi Zeng¹ · Shuanggao Li¹ · Xiang Huang¹

Published online: 15 February 2021

© Springer-Verlag London Ltd., part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-020-06554-6>

The original article contained a mistake.
 The symbol “L” and “K” should be replaced with ellipsis “...”.

Section 2.1:

$${}^M\tau_i^{ax} = \min\{F1 k_i^{ax} F1 \tau_i^{ax}, F2 k_i^{ax} F2 \tau_i^{ax}, L, Fj k_i^{ax} Fj \tau_i^{ax}\} (ax = x, y, z) \quad (2)$$

Section 2.3.2:

$$\Delta^T \mathbf{W} \Delta \leq \Lambda^T \Lambda \quad (19)$$

in which $\Delta = \text{diag}(\Delta \mathbf{g}, \Delta \mathbf{d}^g, \Delta \mathbf{d}^s, \Delta \mathbf{d}^h, \Delta \mathbf{d}^{ef}, \Delta \mathbf{d}^{mp}, \Delta \varphi)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{g} &= \text{diag}(\Delta \mathbf{g}_1, L, \Delta \mathbf{g}_{n_1}, \Delta \mathbf{g}_{n_1+1}, L, \Delta \mathbf{g}_{n_1+n_2}), \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}^g &= \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^g, K, \Delta d_{n_3}^g), \quad \Delta \mathbf{d}^s = \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^s, K, \Delta d_{n_4}^s), \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}^h &= \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^h, K, \Delta d_{n_5}^h), \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}^{ef} &= \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^{ef}, K, \Delta d_{n_6}^{ef}), \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}^{mp} &= \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^{mp}, K, \Delta d_{n_7}^{mp}), \end{aligned}$$

and $\Delta \varphi = \text{diag}(\Delta \varphi_1, K, \Delta \varphi_{n_8})$. \mathbf{W} and Λ are in the forms of:

$$\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_1}, L, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_{n_1}}, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_{n_1+1}}, K, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_{n_1+n_2}}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^g}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^s}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^h}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^{ef}}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^{mp}}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta \varphi}) \quad (20)$$

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}({}^M \Lambda_1, L, {}^M \Lambda_{n_1}, \frac{{}^M \Lambda_{n_1+1}}{2}, L, \frac{{}^M \Lambda_{n_1+n_2}}{2}, \Lambda_{\Delta d^g}, \Lambda_{\Delta d^s}, \Lambda_{\Delta d^h}, \Lambda_{\Delta d^{ef}}, \Lambda_{\Delta d^{mp}}, \Lambda_{\Delta \varphi}) \quad (21)$$

where $\Lambda_{\Delta d^g} = \text{diag}(0.05, L, 0.05)$, $\Lambda_{\Delta d^s} = \text{diag}(0.05, L, 0.05)$,

$$\Lambda_{\Delta d^h} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{k_1^c \tau_1^c}{2}, L, \frac{k_{n_5}^c \tau_{n_5}^c}{2}\right),$$

$$\Lambda_{\Delta d^{ef}} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{k_1^{ef} \tau_1^{ef}}{2}, L, \frac{k_{n_6}^{ef} \tau_{n_6}^{ef}}{2}\right),$$

$$\Lambda_{\Delta d^{mp}} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{k_1^{mp} \tau_1^{mp}}{2}, L, \frac{k_{n_7}^{mp} \tau_{n_7}^{mp}}{2}\right),$$

and $\Lambda_{\Delta \varphi} = \text{diag}(k_1^\varphi \tau_1^\varphi, L, k_{n_8}^\varphi \tau_{n_8}^\varphi)$. w_i is equal to 0 or 1.

Section 2.4:

$$\min f(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, L, \mathbf{g}_N) = \sum_{st=1}^{ST} \sum_{i=1}^N {}^{st} a_i \|\mathbf{g}_i^{-st} \mathbf{p}'_i\|^2 \quad (22)$$

Section 2.4:

$$f(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, L, \mathbf{g}_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{g}_i - \mathbf{p}_i\|^2 + \beta \sum_{i=1}^m \max(0, \mathbf{Q}_{ii}) \quad (23)$$

Section 3:

$$N_1 + N_2 + L + N_m \geq N \quad (3 < N_{st} \leq N) \quad (24)$$

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-020-06554-6>

✉ Xiang Huang
 xhuang@nuaa.edu.cn

¹ College of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210000, People’s Republic of China

Section 3:

where t_{ax} is the binary digits, and let $b_{t_{ax}}^{ax} L b_3^{ax} b_2^{ax} b_1^{ax}$ ($ax = x, y, z$) represent the coordinate component. The conversion between them is:

$$g^n = g_{\min}^{ax} + (g_{\max}^{ax} - g_{\min}^{ax}) * \sum_{i=1}^{t_{ax}} b_i^{ax} \cdot 2^{i-1} / (2^{t_{ax}} - 1) \tag{25}$$

The corresponding binary value of point \mathbf{g}_j is $\mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{b}_j^x \mathbf{b}_j^y \mathbf{b}_j^z$, and the particle is $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, L, \mathbf{b}_N]$.

Section 3.2:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{D} \tag{31}$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, L, d_n)$.

Section 3.2:

$$\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, L, \mathbf{v}_{k_1}] \tag{32}$$

The correct equations should be the below:

$$\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_{n_1}}, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_{n_1+1}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{g}_{n_1+n_2}}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^g}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^s}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^h}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^{ef}}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta d^{mp}}, \mathbf{w}_{\Delta \varphi}) \tag{20}$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}({}^M \mathbf{\Lambda}_1, \dots, {}^M \mathbf{\Lambda}_{n_1}, \frac{{}^M \mathbf{\Lambda}_{n_1+1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{{}^M \mathbf{\Lambda}_{n_1+n_2}}{2}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^g}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^s}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^h}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^{ef}}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^{mp}}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta \varphi}) \tag{21}$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^g} = \text{diag}(0.05, \dots, 0.05)$, $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^s} = \text{diag}(0.05, \dots, 0.05)$,

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^h} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{k_1^c \tau_1^c}{2}, \dots, \frac{k_{n_5}^c \tau_{n_5}^c}{2}\right),$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^{ef}} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{k_1^{ef} \tau_1^{ef}}{2}, \dots, \frac{k_{n_6}^{ef} \tau_{n_6}^{ef}}{2}\right),$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta d^{mp}} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{k_1^{mp} \tau_1^{mp}}{2}, \dots, \frac{k_{n_7}^{mp} \tau_{n_7}^{mp}}{2}\right), \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\Delta \varphi} = \text{diag}(k_1^\varphi \tau_1^\varphi, \dots, k_{n_8}^\varphi \tau_{n_8}^\varphi). w_i \text{ is equal to } 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Section 2.4:

$$\min f(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_N) = \sum_{st=1}^{ST} \sum_{i=1}^N {}^{st} a_i \|\mathbf{g}_i - {}^{st} \mathbf{p}'_i\|^2 \tag{22}$$

Section 2.4:

$$f(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{g}_i - \mathbf{p}_i\|^2 + \beta \sum_{i=1}^m \max(0, \mathbf{Q}_{ii}) \tag{23}$$

Section 3:

$$N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m \geq N \quad (3 < N_{st} \leq N) \tag{24}$$

Section 3:

Section 2.1:

$$M_{\tau_i^{ax}} = \min\{F_1 k_i^{ax} F_1 \tau_i^{ax}, F_2 k_i^{ax} F_2 \tau_i^{ax}, \dots, F_j k_i^{ax} F_j \tau_i^{ax}\} (ax = x, y, z) \tag{2}$$

Section 2.3.2:

$$\Delta^T \mathbf{W} \Delta \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}^T \mathbf{\Lambda} \tag{19}$$

in which $\Delta = \text{diag}(\Delta \mathbf{g}, \Delta \mathbf{d}^g, \Delta \mathbf{d}^s, \Delta \mathbf{d}^h, \Delta \mathbf{d}^{ef}, \Delta \mathbf{d}^{mp}, \Delta \varphi)$.

$$\Delta \mathbf{g} = \text{diag}(\Delta \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \Delta \mathbf{g}_{n_1}, \Delta \mathbf{g}_{n_1+1}, \dots, \Delta \mathbf{g}_{n_1+n_2}),$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{d}^g = \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^g, \dots, \Delta d_{n_3}^g),$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{d}^s = \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^s, \dots, \Delta d_{n_4}^s),$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{d}^h = \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^h, \dots, \Delta d_{n_5}^h),$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{d}^{ef} = \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^{ef}, \dots, \Delta d_{n_6}^{ef}),$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{d}^{mp} = \text{diag}(\Delta d_1^{mp}, \dots, \Delta d_{n_7}^{mp}), \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta \varphi = \text{diag}(\Delta \varphi_1, \dots, \Delta \varphi_{n_8}). \mathbf{W} \text{ and } \mathbf{\Lambda} \text{ are in the forms of:}$$

where t_{ax} is the binary digits, and let $b_{t_{ax}}^{ax} \dots b_3^{ax} b_2^{ax} b_1^{ax}$ ($ax = x, y, z$) represent the coordinate component. The conversion between them is:

$$g^n = g_{\min}^{ax} + (g_{\max}^{ax} - g_{\min}^{ax}) * \sum_{i=1}^{t_{ax}} b_i^{ax} \cdot 2^{i-1} / (2^{t_{ax}} - 1) \tag{25}$$

The corresponding binary value of point \mathbf{g}_j is $\mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{b}_j^x \mathbf{b}_j^y \mathbf{b}_j^z$, and the particle is $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N]$.

Section 3.2:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{D} \tag{31}$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$.

Section 3.2:

$$\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k_1}] \tag{32}$$

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.