

EDITORIAL



What's new in oxygen therapy?

Massimo Girardis¹, Waleed Alhazzani^{2,3} and Bodil Steen Rasmussen^{4,5*}

© 2019 Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature

Introduction

From 'elixir of the life' to the first cause of aging and death, the history of oxygen in modern science and medicine is a fascinating example of controversial hypotheses, uncertain findings and wrong theories. The debate continues, especially in critically ill patients who frequently receive oxygen supplementation for preventing or treating tissue hypoxia. In these patients, the appropriate oxygen dose (i.e. quantity and duration) remains unclear and may substantially vary in specific sub-populations. We present a brief state-of-the-art update on oxygen therapy in emergency, intensive care and non-intensive care settings.

Although the rationale for using oxygen therapy was not well developed nor supported by convincing data, administering oxygen to non-hypoxaemic patients presenting to the emergency department with acute medical emergencies was a common practice. This led researchers to conduct several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to determine the efficacy and safety of oxygen therapy in this context.

Oxygen therapy in acute stroke

There are six RCTs that examined the effect of oxygen therapy on mortality and physical function outcomes [1]. The largest was the stroke oxygen study (SO₂S) randomized clinical trial [2] which randomized 8003 patients with acute ischaemic stroke to one of three arms: continuous oxygen, nocturnal oxygen or no oxygen [2]. Oxygen administration did not improve the modified Rankin scale (mRS) scores in patients with acute stroke [odds ratio (OR) 0.97, 95% CI 0.89–1.05]. Similarly, when the total body of evidence was evaluated across six RCTs,

oxygen therapy did not improve physical function (mRS score) in patients with stroke (proportional OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.93–1.12) [1]. Different thresholds for oxygen therapy ranged between 30% and 100% oxygen; therefore, a threshold for benefit or harm could not be determined without an individual patient data meta-analysis.

Oxygen therapy in cardiac ischaemia and cardiac arrest

The rationale for oxygen therapy in non-hypoxaemic patients with cardiac ischaemia is to decrease the acute ischaemic injury and the infarct area [3]. Although prominent international guidelines recommended using oxygen in patients with cardiac ischaemia, the recommendations were not supported by convincing evidence. Several studies showed that hyperoxaemia was associated with coronary vasoconstriction and reduced myocardial oxygen consumption [4]. Aiming to inform clinical practice, a landmark RCT (DETO2X-AMI) randomized 6629 non-hypoxaemic patients with acute myocardial infarction to receive either continuous supplemental oxygen or no oxygen [5]. Oxygen therapy did not reduce mortality or re-hospitalization. The main limitation of this trial is imprecision as the sample size was not powered to firmly exclude harm. A recent systematic review identified six RCTs (7778 patients) in a cardiac ischaemia population, and a single RCT (17 patients) in cardiac arrest [1]. When the effect on mortality was evaluated across all trials, oxygen therapy did not improve survival (RR 1.13, 95% CI 0.83–1.55); of note, the point estimate showed a 13% increase in mortality, and the CI could not exclude a 55% increase in mortality.

Recent guidelines issued a strong recommendation against using oxygen therapy in non-hypoxaemic patients [peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) ≥ 93%] with cardiac ischaemia or stroke. In addition, they issued a strong recommendation for discontinuing oxygen when SpO₂ ≥ 96% [6].

*Correspondence: bodil.steen.rasmussen@rn.dk

⁴ Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Aalborg University Hospital, Ålborg, Denmark

Full author information is available at the end of the article

Table 1 How conservative is the oxygenation targets in the ICU trials?

RCTs	Status	Number of patients recruited	Inclusion criteria	Oxygen target(s) in the conservative group
Girardis [9]	Terminated after an unplanned interim analysis	434 out of 660	Expected length of stay in the ICU of 72 h	SpO ₂ up to 98% PaO ₂ 70–100 mmHg
Asfar [10]	Terminated after a planned interim analysis	442 out of 800	Mechanical ventilation and septic shock	SpO ₂ up to 97%
Panwar [11]	Completed	103	Mechanical ventilation	SpO ₂ up to 92%
ICU-ROX (ACTRN12615000957594)	Completed but no results reported yet	1000	Mechanical ventilation	SpO ₂ 91–96%
LOCO2 (NCT02713451)	Active, not recruiting	205 out of 850	ARDS according to the Berlin definition	PaO ₂ 55–70 mmHg SpO ₂ 88–92%
HOT-ICU (NCT03174002)	Recruiting	1504 out of 2928	FiO ₂ at least 0.50 or at least 10 L per minute in an open system	PaO ₂ 60 mmHg
ICU-Conservative O2 trial (EU DRAC T 2018-002525-35)	Starts recruiting in May 2019	Expected 1000	Mechanical ventilation and expected length of stay in the ICU of 72 h	SpO ₂ up to 98% PaO ₂ 70–100 mmHg

ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome, ICU intensive care unit, PaO₂ partial pressure of arterial oxygen, SpO₂ peripheral oxygen saturation

Non-intensive care patients

Oxygen supplementation is widely used in hypoxic patients admitted to general wards, frequently without targeted prescription [7]. Although the disease severity and the oxygen concentration used are lower, it is plausible that inappropriate oxygen therapy may cause negative effects similar to those observed in critically ill patients. In addition, in general wards the monitoring of oxygen levels is commonly less precise than in patients admitted to intensive care unit (ICU). Therefore, the exposure to hypoxia and hyperoxia may be even more frequent and uncontrolled. Unfortunately, few data are available on oxygen therapy in this setting, particularly on adverse effects related to possible exposure to hyperoxia. Interestingly, a recent retrospective single-centre cohort study showed that early hyperoxaemia compared to normoxaemia was associated with larger in-hospital mortality and late ICU transfer in patients admitted to general wards. Moreover, as in critically ill patients, the total oxygen exposure (area under the curve of PaO₂ levels) was related to occurrence of new respiratory, hepatic and renal dysfunctions [8].

Oxygen therapy in ICU patients

Oxygen is the most common drug used in the ICU and often administrated liberally to give a margin of safety against life-threatening hypoxia. The life-saving properties of oxygen therapy in critically ill patients with hypoxaemic respiratory failure seem to have overshadowed the awareness of serious adverse events (SAEs) caused by oxygen. Emerging evidence points towards a reduced mortality in acutely ill adults treated with a conservative

oxygen strategy compared with a liberal oxygen therapy [1]. Noteworthy, a single-centre RCT [9] provided 32% of the weight in the mortality analysis in this recent systematic review and meta-analysis [1], but stopped early after a non-scheduled interim analysis. Adding to the evidence, the HYPERS2S trial [10], a two-by-two factorial multicentre RCT, found a higher risk of SAEs with hyperoxaemia and was also terminated early. In contrast, a pilot RCT showed no difference in mortality between conservative versus liberal oxygenation targets for mechanically ventilated patients [11]. Therefore, to what degree hyperoxaemia affects mortality in the ICU population remains uncertain.

We await the results of several studies in the ICU. The largest multicentre RCT in mechanically ventilation patients, the ICU-ROX trial (ACTRN12615000957594) completed recruitment (1000 patients) in November 2018, and the results are expected later in 2019. Additionally, the LOCO2 trial (NCT02713451) stopped recruiting and the results are expected soon. The HOT-ICU trial (NCT03174002), which is focused on patients with hypoxaemic respiratory failure, has randomized over half of the planned 2928 patients and is still ongoing (Table 1).

Undoubtedly, the overall body of evidence supports conservative use of oxygen, but the question remains as to how conservative the oxygen therapeutic goals should be. Table 1 provides an overview of how conservative the oxygenation targets is in the ICU RCTs. Until the results of ongoing trials are available, the optimal target of oxygen therapy in the ICU population is unknown.

Author details

¹ Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, University Hospital of Modena, Modena, Italy. ² Division of Critical Care, Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. ³ Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada. ⁴ Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Aalborg University Hospital, Ålborg, Denmark. ⁵ Clinical Institute, Aalborg University, Ålborg, Denmark.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest

Dr. Girardis was the principal investigator of a completed trial [9] and a principal investigator of a coming trial (EUROACT 2018-002525-35) evaluating oxygen saturation targets for critical ill patients. Dr. Rasmussen is a principal investigator of an ongoing trial (NCT03174002) evaluating oxygen saturation targets for critically ill patients. Dr. Alhazzani co-authored a systematic review and a guideline on oxygen therapy for acutely ill patients.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 15 March 2019 Accepted: 9 April 2019

Published online: 14 May 2019

References

1. Chu DK, Kim LH, Young PJ, Zamiri N, Almenawer SA, Jaeschke R, Szczeklik W, Schunemann HJ, Neary JD, Alhazzani W (2018) Mortality and morbidity in acutely ill adults treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy (IOTA): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 391:1693–1705
2. Roffe C, Neavatte T, Sim J, Bishop J, Ives N, Ferdinand P, Gray R, Stroke Oxygen Study Investigators, The Stroke Oxygen Study Collaborative Group (2017) Effect of routine low-dose oxygen supplementation on death and disability in adults with acute stroke: the stroke oxygen study randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 318:1125–11353
3. Maroko PR, Radvany P, Braunwald E, Hale SL (1975) Reduction of infarct size by oxygen inhalation following acute coronary occlusion. *Circulation* 52:360–368
4. Moradkhan R, Sinoway LI (2010) Revisiting the role of oxygen therapy in cardiac patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 56:1013–1016
5. Hofmann R, James SK, Jernberg T, Lindahl B, Erlinge D, Witt N, Arefalk G, Frick M, Alfredsson J, Nilsson L, Ravn-Fischer A, Omerovic E, Kellerth T, Sparv D, Ekelund U, Linder R, Ekstrom M, Laueremann J, Haaga U, Pernow J, Ostlund O, Herlitz J, Svensson L, DETO2X–SWEDEHEART Investigators (2017) Oxygen therapy in suspected acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 377:1240–1249
6. Siemieniuk RAC, Chu DK, Kim LH, Guell-Rous MR, Alhazzani W, Soccia PM, Karanickolas PJ, Farhoumand PD, Siemieniuk JLK, Satia I, Irusen EM, Refaat MM, Mikita JS, Smith M, Cohen DN, Vandvik PO, Agoritsas T, Lytvyn L, Guyatt GH (2018) Oxygen therapy for acutely ill medical patients: a clinical practice guideline. *BMJ* 363:k4169
7. O'Driscoll R (2016) British Thoracic Society: emergency oxygen audit report. National audit period 15 August–1 November 2015. <https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/quality-improvement/audit-reports/emergency-oxygen-2015/>. Accessed 5 Mar 2019.
8. Jeong JH, Kim DH, Kim TY, Kang C, Lee SH, Lee SB, Kim SC, Park YJ (2018) Harmful effects of early hyperoxaemia in patients admitted to general wards: an observational cohort study in South Korea. *BMJ Open* 8:e021758
9. Girardis M, Busani S, Damiani E, Donati A, Rinaldi L, Marudi A, Morelli A, Antonelli M, Singer M (2016) Effect of conservative vs conventional oxygen therapy on mortality among patients in an intensive care unit: the oxygen-ICU randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 316:1583–1589
10. Asfar P, Schortgen F, Boissrame-Helms J, Charpentier J, Guerot E, Megarbane B, Grimaldi D, Grelon F, Anguel N, Lasocki S, Henry-Lagarrigue M, Gonzalez F, Legay F, Guitton C, Schenck M, Doise JM, Devaquet J, Van Der Linden T, Chatellier D, Rigaud JP, Dellamonica J, Tamion F, Meziani F, Mercat A, Dreyfuss D, Seegers V, Radermacher P, HYPER2S Investigators, REVA Research Network (2017) Hyperoxia and hypertonic saline in patients with septic shock (HYPER2S): a two-by-two factorial, multicentre, randomised, clinical trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 5:180–190
11. Panwar R, Hardie M, Bellomo R, Barrot L, Eastwood GM, Young PJ, Capellier G, Harrigan PW, Bailey M, CLOSE Study Investigators, ANZICS Clinical Trials Group (2016) Conservative versus liberal oxygenation targets for mechanically ventilated patients: a pilot multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 193:43–51