



Jerry P. Nolan
Jasmeet Soar
Alain Cariou
Tobias Cronberg
Véronique R. M. Moulaert
Charles D. Deakin
Bernd W. Bottiger
Hans Friberg
Kjetil Sunde
Claudio Sandroni

Erratum to: European Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine 2015 guidelines for post- resuscitation care

Published online: 9 December 2015
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg and ESICM 2015

The online version of the original article can be found under
doi:[10.1007/s00134-015-4051-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-015-4051-3).

J. P. Nolan (✉)
Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Royal
United Hospital, Bath, UK
e-mail: jerry.nolan@nhs.net

J. P. Nolan
School of Clinical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

J. Soar
Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Southmead Hospital,
Bristol, UK

A. Cariou
Cochin University Hospital (APHP) and Paris Descartes University,
Paris, France

T. Cronberg
Division of Neurology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund
University, Lund, Sweden

V. R. M. Moulaert
Adelante, Centre of Expertise in Rehabilitation and Audiology,
Hoensbroek, The Netherlands

C. D. Deakin
Cardiac Anaesthesia and Cardiac Intensive Care and NIHR
Southampton Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, University
Hospital, Southampton, UK

B. W. Bottiger
Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine,
University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

H. Friberg
Division of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Department of
Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

K. Sunde
Division of Emergencies and Critical Care, Department of
Anaesthesiology, Oslo University Hospital and Institute of Clinical
Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

C. Sandroni
Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Catholic
University School of Medicine, Rome, Italy

**Erratum to: Intensive Care Med (2015)
41:2039–2056
DOI 10.1007/s00134-015-4051-3**

A mistake was made in Fig. 2: in the lower blue box on
the right-hand side, poor outcome is reported as being
“very likely”, whereas in fact it is “likely”. The corrected
Fig. 2 is supplied here. The authors regret this error and
apologize for any inconvenience.




Fig. 2 Prognostication strategy algorithm. *EEG* electroencephalography, *NSE* neuron specific enolase, *SSEP* somatosensory evoked potentials, *ROSC* return of spontaneous circulation, *FPR* false positive rate, *CI* confidence interval