Robinder G. Khemani David Conti Todd A. Alonzo Robert D. Bart III Christopher J. L. Newth

Effect of tidal volume in children with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure

Received: 11 September 2008 Accepted: 5 April 2009 Published online: 17 June 2009 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00134-009-1527-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

R. G. Khemani () R. D. Bart III · C. J. L. Newth Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, 4650 Sunset Blvd, Mail Stop 12, Los Angeles, CA 90027, USA e-mail: rkhemani@chla.usc.edu Tel.: +1-323-3612557 Fax: +1-323-3613877

R. G. Khemani · D. Conti · T. A. Alonzo · R. D. Bart III · C. J. L. Newth Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Introduction

Abstract Objectives: To determine if tidal volume $(V_{\rm T})$ between 6 and 10 ml/kg body weight using pressure control ventilation affects outcome for children with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) or acute lung injury (ALI). To validate lung injury severity markers such as oxygenation index (OI), PaO₂/FiO₂ (PF) ratio, and lung injury score (LIS). Design: Retrospective, January 2000-July 2007. Setting: Tertiary care, 20-bed PICU. Patients: Three hundred and ninety-eight endotracheally intubated and mechanically ventilated children with PF ratio <300. Outcomes were mortality and 28-day ventilator free days. Measurements and main results: Three hundred and ninetyeight children met study criteria, with 20% mortality. 192 children had ALI. Using >90% pressure control ventilation, 85% of patients achieved $V_{\rm T}$ less than 10 ml/kg. Median $V_{\rm T}$ was not significantly different between survivors and non-survivors during the first 3 days of mechanical

ventilation. After controlling for diagnostic category, age, delta P (PIP-PEEP), PEEP, and severity of lung disease, $V_{\rm T}$ was not associated with mortality (P > 0.1), but higher $V_{\rm T}$ at baseline and on day 1 of mechanical ventilation was associated with more ventilator free days (P < 0.05). This was particularly seen in patients with better respiratory system compliance $[Crs > 0.5 \text{ ml/cmH}_20/\text{kg}, OR = 0.70]$ (0.52, 0.95)]. OI, PF ratio, and LIS were all associated with mortality (P < 0.05). Conclusions: When ventilating children using lung protective strategies with pressure control ventilation, observed $V_{\rm T}$ is between 6 and 10 ml/kg and is not associated with increased mortality. Moreover, higher $V_{\rm T}$ within this range is associated with more ventilator free days, particularly for patients with less severe disease.

Keywords Pediatrics · Positive pressure respiration · Lung volume measurements

Acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF), acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) result in morbidity and mortality for pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) patients. While contemporary ventilation strategies have improved outcome, mortality continues at 30-40% for adults [1], and 8-22% for children [2-4] with ALI or ARDS.

Several adult studies demonstrate improved outcome when patients are managed in volume control mode with set tidal volumes of 6 ml/kg predicted body weight, compared to 12 ml/kg [1, 6]. However, differences between adult and pediatric practice regarding modes of ventilation, tidal volume measurements, and developmental differences bring into question whether such strategies should be embraced universally in pediatrics [5, 13–18]. Moreover, evidence in both adults and children suggests that lung protective strategies should not be one-size fits all, but rather catered to lung disease severity [7, 8]. For further discussion, see Electronic supplementary material (ESM).

We sought to determine the effect of generated tidal volume on outcome for children with ALI or AHRF using historical data from greater than 90% of patients on pressure control ventilation. Additionally, we examined how well lung injury severity measures such as PaO_2/FiO_2 (PF) ratio, oxygenation index (OI), and a modified Murray pediatric lung injury score (LIS) [19] reflect mortality at various intervals during the initial days of mechanical ventilation [20].

Methods

Patient selection

Retrospective review of all admissions to a tertiary care PICU between January 2000 and July 2007 was conducted. Patients were eligible if endotracheally intubated and mechanically ventilated, and at least one PF ratio was less than 300 within 24 h after intubation. Patients were excluded for evidence of cardiac disease or incomplete ventilation data. All patients met three of four diagnostic criteria for ALI (acute onset, PF ratio <300, and no left ventricular dysfunction). The presence of bilateral infiltrates on chest radiograph (fourth ALI criteria) was handled separately. Finally, all patients with an endotracheal tube leak greater than 20% were excluded [24].

Variable selection

Data were extracted from two databases: an electronic ICU flow sheet (Philips CareVue[®], Waltham, MA), and a patient database (Microsoft Access[©], Redmond, WA). From these two databases, information on age, race, gender, weight, primary diagnosis, year of admission, chest X-rays, arterial blood gas values, ventilator settings, and a pediatric risk of mortality (PRISM) Score were extracted [25]. All chest X-rays were read by a pediatric radiologist blinded to the study, and reports reviewed for the presence of ALI criteria.

Ventilator strategy

While this study was non-interventional, a lung-protective strategy was in place in our PICU (see ESM).

Ventilator and arterial blood gas (ABG) variable definition

The initial PF ratio <300 after intubation was defined as "baseline," where blood gas measurements were extracted. In addition, the closest charted ventilator settings at or prior to the ABG were extracted. Tidal volume ($V_{\rm T}$ ml/kg) was calculated using exhaled tidal volume ($V_{\rm T}$ e) measured at the ventilator with compensation for tubing compliance and make of the ventilator, divided by actual body weight.

Aggregate variables were created to express timeweighted averages of ventilator settings and blood gas values over the first 3 days after "baseline" (see ESM).

Lung injury severity markers

PF ratio, oxygenation index $[OI = (mean airway pressure \times FiO_2)/(PaO_2) \times 100]$, dynamic compliance of the respiratory system $[Crs = V_T (ml/kg)/(PIP-PEEP)]$, and a modified Murray lung injury score (LIS) for pediatrics [19] were determined at "baseline" and then again aggregated over the first 3 days after baseline, as above. The modified lung injury score is a composite of PF ratio, PEEP, Crs, and involved quadrants on chest radiograph, with integers from zero to four for each component. Data on quadrants of alveolar consolidation on chest X-ray were not included in this analysis. As a result, the LIS was the average of three, not four, components.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was ICU mortality. Secondary outcome was 28-day ventilator free days (VFDs) [26]. Data on outcome measures were complete and no censoring was necessary.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica v. 5.5 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK) and Stata v. 10 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Continuous variables were analyzed with a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, as assumptions of normality could not always be satisfied. Dichotomous outcomes were analyzed using a Yates-corrected Chi-squared test. Kruskall–Wallis ANOVA was used to examine differences in median tidal volume stratified by categories. Logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the impact of the variables of interest on the outcome of mortality, and control for potential confounding variables, or effect modifiers. A multivariate logistic regression model was built incorporating variables with univariate associations with mortality (P < 0.2), with care taken to

avoid terms that were collinear. Overall goodness of fit was assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test and graphical evaluation for influential points. ROC plots were created and overall discrimination ability of the various predictive models was assessed using empirical estimates of the overall area under the curve (AUC).

The outcome of 28-day VFDs had an almost bimodal distribution, as reported previously. Hence, for logistic regression analysis, it was dichotomized to <14 days, or >14 days, as previously described [4]. However, when appropriate, comparisons of median number of VFDs between groups were analyzed using a Wilcoxon ranksum test [26].

For more detailed description of methods and power calculations, please see ESM.

Results

Patient selection

Eight thousand two hundred and forty-six patients <18 years were admitted to the PICU during the study period, and 773 had at least two arterial PF ratios <300. Seven hundred patients were mechanically ventilated and 507 had at least one PF ratio <300 after endotracheal intubation. Nine patients were excluded for incomplete ventilator or blood gas data, 49 patients met cardiac

exclusions, and 51 patients had an endotracheal tube leak >20%.

Three hundred and ninety-eight patients were included in the final analysis, of which 227 were male (57%), 194 were Latino (49%), with a median age of 4.3 years. Eighty patients died, an overall mortality of 20%. One hundred ninety-two patients (48%) had bilateral pulmonary infiltrates on chest X-ray and met full criteria for either ALI or ARDS (Table 1).

Mortality

Univariate results

Associations of diagnostic and demographic variables with mortality are presented in Table 1.

For the entire population, the median PF ratio at baseline was 138 with a lung injury score of 2.33. Patients were managed almost exclusively with pressure control ventilation (>90%, PRVC comprising the rest), with a median PEEP of 6 cmH₂0, V_T of 7.4 ml/kg, MAP of 11 cmH₂0, and PIP of 26 cmH₂0. Eighty-four percent of patients had a PIP \leq 35, and 94% a PIP \leq 40. At baseline, patients that died had higher median OI, lower median PF ratio, higher median lung injury score, as well as higher FiO₂, PIP, PEEP, MAP, lower pH, PaO₂, and base deficit. Median V_T was 7.04 ml/kg in deceased and 7.60 ml/kg in survivors (P = 0.13). These trends for

Table 1	Univariate	analysis by	survival
I able I	Omvariate	unuryono by	Survivui

A11 Survived Died P value n = 398n = 318n = 8015 (9, 34) 17 (8, 44) Weight (kg) 16 (8, 36) 0.73 4.3 (1, 11.5) 3.9 (1, 10.9) 5.9 (0.8, 12.5) 0.73 Age (years) Race Latino 194 (48.7) 157 (49.4) 37 (46.3) 0.71 White 56 (17.6) 0.40 74 (18.6) 18 (22.5) Black 45 (11.3) 35 (11) 10 (12.5) 0.86 70 (22) 0.63 Other 85 (21.4) 15 (18.8) 227 (57) 180 (56.6) 0.83 Male 47 (58.8) CXR bilateral infiltrates 192 (48.2) 148 (46.5) 44 (55) 0.22 PRISM Probability of death 0.57 (0.26, 0.86) 0.49 (0.22, 0.79) 0.87 (0.56, 0.97) < 0.001 Admission diagnosis OR (95% CI) Parenchymal lung disease^a 117 (29.4) 92 (28.9) 25 (31.3) Reference Other respiratory disease 30 (7.5) 23 (7.2) 7 (8.8) 1.47 (0.57, 3.81) 29 (9.1) Shock or sepsis 43 (10.8) 14 (17.5) 2.33 (1.08, 5.04) 1.61 (0.48, 5.41) Trauma 16 (4) 12 (3.8) 4 (5) Neurologic compromise 37 (11.6) 50 (12.6) 13 (16.3) 1.70 (0.79, 3.65) Metabolic/renal disease 24 (6) 22 (6.9) 2(2.5)0.44 (0.10, 1.99) Other diagnosis 7 (8.8) 1.61 (0.62, 4.20) 28 (7) 21 (6.6) Gastrointestinal diagnosis 61 (15.3) 53 (16.7) 8 (10) 0.73 (0.31, 1.73) Orthopedic diagnosis^t 29 (7.3) 29 (9.1) 0 (0) 0(0, 0.27)

Continuous variables expressed as median and interquartile range. Categorical variables expressed as count and percentage

^a Parenchymal lung disease used as baseline group for computation of odds ratios for diagnostic category for admission ^b Orthopedic diagnosis was primarily scoliosis

blood gas, ventilator, and lung severity markers remained term P values >0.1, see ESM). Finally, due to concerns of consistent over the first 3 days of ventilation (Table 2). colinearity between PRISM score and individual com-

Seventy percent of patients were managed with $V_{\rm T}$ between 6 and 10 ml/kg throughout the first 3 days of ventilation (Fig. 1). There was a non-significant trend for improved survival with higher $V_{\rm T}$. Patients with higher LIS had lower median $V_{\rm T}$ than those with lower LIS (K–W ANOVA P < 0.001, Fig. 2). However, the relationship between $V_{\rm T}$ and mortality was similar when stratified as a function of LIS (Fig. 3), even though mortality was higher for patients with higher LIS.

Markers of lung injury including PF ratio, OI, and LIS were all significantly associated with mortality at baseline (Table 2) with similar overall classification in a logistic regression model (Table 3). Further, there was a trend for stronger degrees of association with mortality with each additional day the patient remained on mechanical ventilation (Fig. 4). Notably, patients with "severe ARDS," defined as baseline LIS > 2.5 [16], had significantly higher mortality than those with LIS \leq 2.5 [53/163 (32.5%) vs. 26/225 (11.5%), P < 0.001, Fig. 3]. Respiratory system compliance was associated with mortality when averaged (time-weighted) for days 1–3, although not at baseline.

Bilateral pulmonary infiltrates

One hundred and ninety-two patients had bilateral pulmonary infiltrates and met all four ALI criteria. Patients with bilateral pulmonary infiltrates had higher PIP, PEEP, OI, and lower median $V_{\rm T}$ (7.13 vs. 7.84 ml/kg, P = 0.02) than those without bilateral infiltrates. Moreover, 44/192 (22.8%) patients with bilateral infiltrates died compared to 36/206 (17.5%) without bilateral infiltrates (P = 0.2). However, there was no difference between median initial $V_{\rm T}$ and mortality when limited to patients with bilateral pulmonary infiltrates [n = 192, 7.3 (survivors) vs. 7.0 (deceased) ml/kg, P = 0.27]. There was also no difference for aggregated $V_{\rm T}$ over days 1–3 (P > 0.1).

Multivariate results

Multivariate logistic regression modeling was performed to determine whether severity of lung disease or systemic illness impacted the relationship between $V_{\rm T}$ and mortality. $V_{\rm T}$ was not associated with mortality after controlling for age, Delta P (PIP-PEEP), PEEP, admission diagnosis, and severity of lung disease using LIS, PF ratio, or OI (all $V_{\rm T}$ coefficients P > 0.1, H–L test P > 0.2). Moreover, there were no significant interaction effects between $V_{\rm T}$ and presence of bilateral infiltrates on chest X-ray, diagnostic category of admission, or severity of lung disease as measured by OI, PF ratio, Crs (<0.5 or ≥ 0.5 ml/cmH₂0/kg), or LIS and mortality (all interaction

term *P* values >0.1, see ESM). Finally, due to concerns of colinearity between PRISM score and individual components of the model, a separate model including $V_{\rm T}$, PRISM score, age, Delta P, and PEEP was built. It also demonstrated initial as well as day 1–3 $V_{\rm T}$ had no effect on mortality (P > 0.1 all $V_{\rm T}$ coefficients).

Bilateral pulmonary infiltrates

When limited to patients with bilateral pulmonary infiltrates, $V_{\rm T}$ had no effect on mortality after controlling for age, Delta P, PEEP, admission diagnosis, and severity of lung disease using LIS, PF ratio, or OI (all $V_{\rm T}$ coefficients P > 0.1, H–L test P > 0.2). The same results were seen using the model incorporating PRISM score (all $V_{\rm T}$ coefficients P > 0.2, H–L test P > 0.2).

Analysis of non-survivors

For the 80 patients that died, refractory respiratory failure [28] was responsible for death in 29/80 (36%) patients. Twenty-five (31%) patients met neurologic criteria for death or had a hopeless neurological condition. Shock or cardiac arrest not from refractory respiratory failure was responsible for 22 (27%) deaths. Four patients (5%) died from other causes. Fifty-two (69%) patients had at least three dysfunctional organs prior to death. Thirty-four (43%) patients had a documented limitation of care or do not resuscitate order.

28 day ventilator free days (VFDs)

Univariate analysis

The entire cohort had a median of 17.4 VFDs (IQR 0, 24.4). Lower baseline $V_{\rm T}$ and day 1 $V_{\rm T}$ were both associated with fewer VFDs (Table 4). Moreover, all variables that had a univariate association with mortality were also associated with fewer VFDs. Except for $V_{\rm T}$ at baseline and day 1, variables not associated with mortality were also not associated with fewer VFDs (analysis not shown). Patients with bilateral pulmonary infiltrates had significantly fewer VFDs (median 16.4 vs. 20.8, P = 0.02), although this was not significant if VFDs were dichotomized at 14 days.

Multivariate analysis

P > 0.2). Moreover, there were no significant interaction effects between $V_{\rm T}$ and presence of bilateral infiltrates on chest X-ray, diagnostic category of admission, or severity of lung disease as measured by OI, PF ratio, Crs (<0.5 or ≥ 0.5 ml/cmH₂0/kg), or LIS and mortality (all interaction P > 0.2). Moreover, there were no significant interaction the relationship between lower $V_{\rm T}$ on day 1 of ventilator management and fewer VFDs held after controlling for age, Delta P, PEEP, admission diagnosis, and measures of lung disease severity such as OI, PF ratio, or LIS (P < 0.05 with each measure of lung disease severity).

	Baseline All $n = 398$	Baseline Survived $n = 318$	Baseline Died $n = 80$	P value	$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Day} 1 \\ \operatorname{All} \\ n = 376 \end{array}$	Day 1 Survived n = 298	Day 1 Died n = 78	P value
Blood gas PaCO ₂ PaO ₂ pH BE	45 (38, 53) 75 (61, 92) 7.35 (7.27, 7.40) -2.1 (-5.3, 1.5)	44 (38, 52) 77 (64, 94) 7.35 (7.29, 7.41) -1.55 (-4.7, 1.85)	46.5 (38, 57) 63.5 (53.5, 80) 7.29 (7.20, 7.36) -4.6 (-8.8, -0.3)	0.14 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01	42.6 (37.5, 49) 100 (80, 122) 7.37 (7.32, 7.42) -1.43 (-4.2, 1.73)	$\begin{array}{c} 42 \ (37.5, 48.0) \\ 100 \ (80, 123) \\ 7.37 \ (7.33, 7.42) \\ -0.89 \ (-3.51, 1.96) \end{array}$	45.5 (37.9, 52.1) 101.1 (73.6, 117) 7.32 (7.26, 7.38) -3.22 (-6.18, -0.08)	0.07 0.76 <0.01 <0.01
Vent supp FiO_2 MAP PIP PEEP	ort 0.6 (0.4, 1) 11 (9, 15) 26 (22, 30.5) 6 (4, 8) 7.45 (5.79, 9.14)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 & (0.4, 0.9) \\ 11 & (9, 14) \\ 26 & (22, 30) \\ 6 & (4, 8) \\ 7.6 & (5.86, 9.22) \end{array}$	0.8 (0.5, 1) 14 (9, 17) 30 (24, 34) 8 (4, 10) 7.04 (5.46, 8.74)	<pre><0.01</pre> <pre><0.01</pre> <pre><0.01</pre> <pre><0.01</pre> <pre><0.01</pre> <pre></pre> <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><</pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	0.46 (0.4, 0.6) 13 (10.2, 17) 26.5 (22.8, 31.7) 7.4 (5, 10) 7.46 (6.08, 9.02)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.45 & (0.40, 0.53) \\ 12.3 & (9.8, 15.7) \\ 25.5 & (22.2, 30.4) \\ 6.8 & (4.8, 10) \\ 7.49 & (6.24, 9.18) \end{array}$	0.58 (0.43, 0.80) 17.1 (13.2, 22) 30.1 (26, 36) 9.5 (6.6, 12.4) 7.30 (5.53, 8.65)	$^{<0.01}_{<0.01}$
Injury ma PF ratio OI CRS LIS	rker 138 (83, 192) 8.1 (5.1, 15.3) 0.38 (0.27, 0.50) 2.33 (2, 3)	149 (95, 200) 7.4 (4.8, 13.1) 0.38 (0.28, 0.51) 2.33 (1.67, 3)	80 (60, 147) 14.3 (7.9, 24.4) 0.36 (0.23, 0.47) 3 (2.33, 3.33)	<pre><0.01 <0.01 <0.086 </pre>	196 (140, 268) 6.2 (3.8, 10.6) 0.40 (0.30, 0.54) 2.33 (1.67, 3)	209 (148, 271) 5.6 (3.7, 9.2) 0.42 (0.32, 0.55) 2.33 (1.67, 2.67)	168 (96, 248) 10.1 (4.5, 18.2) 0.35 (0.26, 0.44) 3 (2, 3.33)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.008 \\ < 0.01 \\ 0.001 \\ < 0.01 \end{array}$
	Day 2All $n = 343$	Day 2 Survived n = 276	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Day } 2\\ \text{Died}\\ n=67 \end{array}$	P value	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Day 3} \\ \text{All} \\ n = 290 \end{array}$	Day 3 Survived n = 238	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Day 3} \\ \text{Died} \\ n = 52 \end{array}$	P value
Blood gas PaCO ₂ PaO ₂ PH BE	42.8 (37.8, 48.6) 97.2 (78.8, 122.6) 7.40 (7.35, 7.44) 0.40 (-2.45, 4.42)	42.5 (37.7, 48.3) 98 (80.4, 122) 7.40 (7.36, 7.44) 0.5 (-2.02, 4.42)	43.4 (38.1, 50.5) 95.5 (67.6, 128) 7.37 (7.30, 7.43) -1.24 (-4.48, 4.35)	0.31 0.26 0.01 0.031	44.3 (38.8, 50.7) 96 (77.5, 117) 7.40 (7.36, 7.45) 1.65(-1.1, 5.8)	43.5 (38.3, 49.4) 96.4 (79.8, 117.7) 7.41 (7.36, 7.45) 2.02 (-0.9, 5.71)	48.3 (40, 55.7) 80 (68.1, 114.4) 7.37 (7.30, 7.44) 0.02 (-2.90, 7.60)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.14 \end{array}$
Vent supp FiO ₂ MAP PIP PEEP V _T	$\begin{array}{c} 0.41 \\ 0.41 \\ 12.8 \\ 10.1, 26.7 \\ 26 \\ 21.7, 30.7 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.17 \\ 5.7, 10 \\ 7.17 \\ 5.76, 8.73 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 & (0.37, 0.47) \\ 12 & (9.5, 15.2) \\ 25.1 & (21.4, 29.7) \\ 7 & (5.1, 9.5) \\ 7.20 & (5.79, 8.79) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 & (0.4, 0.65) \\ 16.6 & (12.8, 21.6) \\ 30 & (27.1, 35) \\ 10 & (6.8, 12.4) \\ 7.01 & (5.52, 8.52) \end{array}$	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.38	0.4 (0.36, 0.50) 13.6 (10, 16.6) 26 (21.5, 30.6) 8 (5.8, 10) 7.19 (5.88, 8.45)	0.4 (0.36, 0.46) 12.5 (9.5, 15.6) 24.9 (20.1, 29.6) 7.3 (5.6, 9.9) 7.15 (5.88, 8.45)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 & (0.4, 0.8) \\ 16.8 & (13.9, 20.8) \\ 30.1 & (27, 35.4) \\ 10 & (7.8, 13.5) \\ 7.39 & (5.98, 8.44) \end{array}$	$^{<0.01}_{<0.01}$
Injury man PF ratio OI CRS LIS	$\begin{array}{c} \text{txers} \\ 233 \ (163, 311) \\ 5.2 \ (3.4, 9.5) \\ 0.40 \ (0.29, 0.52) \\ 2 \ (1.67, 3) \end{array}$	235 (172, 314) 4.8 (3.3, 7.6) 0.42 (0.30, 0.55) 2 (1.33, 2.67)	193 (108, 293) 8.6 (4.6, 15) 0.35 (0.27, 0.48) 2.7 (2, 3.33)	0.01 <0.01 0.007 <0.01	214 (152, 300) 5.5 (3.6, 9.8) 0.42(0.30, 0.54) 2.33 (1.67, 3)	$\begin{array}{c} 224 \ (168, 304) \\ 5 \ (3.4, 8) \\ 0.43 \ (0.32, 0.55) \\ 2 \ (1.67, 2.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 158 \ (103, 284) \\ 11 \ (4.9, 18.3) \\ 0.35 \ (0.28, 0.48) \\ 3 \ (2, 3.33) \end{array}$	0.002 <0.01 0.017 <0.01
Aggregate 1–3 values test. PaCC	variables express time s represent independent 02, PaO2 in mmHg; BE	-weighted averages of v t 24-h periods, not aggre 3 in mEq/L; pH in Unit	entilator settings and blc gate 48 or 72-h values. V s; MAP, PIP, PEEP in c	ood gas valu ariables ex mH_20 ; V_T	les over the first 3 days pressed as median and in ml/kg; Crs in ml/cm	s after "baseline (first P interquartile range, and iH ₂ 0/kg	F ratio <300 after intubatio analyzed with a Wilcoxon	on)." Day rank sum

Table 2 Blood gas, ventilator, and lung severity markers by day of mechanical ventilation, stratified by mortality



Fig. 1 Tidal volume and mortality by day of mechanical ventilation. There is a general trend for higher mortality with lower tidal volumes both at the beginning of mechanical ventilation, and



Fig. 2 Median baseline tidal volume by lung injury score. Patients with higher lung injury scores were managed with lower median $V_{\rm T}$ (P < 0.001). Median value, 25–75%, minimummaximum.

The same results were seen with the multivariate model incorporating PRISM score, age, Delta P, and PEEP [OR = 0.88 (0.78, 0.98)]. Further, when stratifying

throughout the first 3 days. Most patients were ventilated between 6 and 10 ml/kg body weight. Mortality expressed as a proportion

associated with more VFDs for patients with respiratory system compliance $\geq 0.5 [n = 76, OR = 0.73 (0.56, 0.95)]$ than for patients with compliance <0.5 [n = 254, OR = 0.90 (0.80, 1.01)]. This relationship held after controlling for Delta P, PEEP, and LIS [n = 76, OR = 0.70 (0.52, 0.52)]0.95)].

Bilateral pulmonary infiltrates

Finally, when limiting the analysis to the 192 patients with bilateral infiltrates, the association between higher $V_{\rm T}$ on day 1 and more VFDs held true [OR = 0.82 (0.70, 0.96)]; however this did not reach statistical significance when controlling for age, Delta P, PEEP, admission diagnosis, and LIS [n = 156, OR = 0.85 (0.70, 1.03)].

Discussion

This study demonstrates when using a pressure control lung protective ventilation strategy, $V_{\rm T}$ in the typical patients by dynamic compliance of the respiratory system range of 6–10 ml/kg measured at the mechanical venti-<0.5 or ≥ 0.5 ml/cmH₂0/kg, higher V_T on day 1 was later does not appear to be associated with mortality for **Fig. 3** $V_{\rm T}$ and mortality, stratified by lung injury score. Note that while mortality is different stratified by LIS, the trends in the relationship between $V_{\rm T}$ and mortality are similar (slope of line). *Numbers* represent patients per point. Mortality expressed as a proportion



Table 3 Lung disease severity markers and mortality for all patients, and those that had radiographic evidence of ALI or ARDS

Marker of disease	All patients n = 398 OR (95% CI)	All patients n = 398 AUC (95% CI)	CXR criteria n = 192 OR (95%CI)
PF ratio (per 20 pt decrease)	1.23 (1.13, 1.34)	0.693 (0.626, 0.720)	1.19 (1.06, 1.34)
PF ratio <200	2.88 (1.33, 6.24)	23% Sensitivity 91% Specificity	2.32 (0.85, 6.37)
OI (per 1 pt increase)	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	0.691 (0.628, 0.754)	1.03 (1.00, 1.05)
LIS (per 1 pt increase)	2.32 (1.65, 3.27)	0.68 (0.609, 0.751)	1.81 (1.13, 2.91)

Columns 2 and 4 represent odds ratios per unit change in lung disease severity markers (e.g. a 20-point decrease in PF ratio represents an odds of death of 1.23 for all patients, and 1.19 for patients that meet CXR criteria for ALI). Column 3 represents the classification ability for death in a logistic regression model. An

AUC between 0.7 and 0.8 is acceptable, between 0.8 and 0.9 is excellent, and greater than 0.9 is considered outstanding [27]. PF ratio <200 is a dichotomous outcome, so sensitivity and specificity are reported rather than area under the curve

children with ALI or AHRF. This represents true clinical practice as the ventilator variables are composites capturing patient exposure to particular settings, rather than predetermined intermittent snapshots. This echoes the findings of Flori et al. [4], showing no difference in $V_{\rm T}$ between survivors and non-survivors with pediatric ALI.

Previous work has shown that a universal approach to $V_{\rm T}$ selection for patients with ALI may not be best. Higher $V_{\rm T}$ (>6 ml/kg) may be associated with better outcome for patients with less severe lung disease by improving alveolar recruitment, independent of PEEP. Here, the association between higher $V_{\rm T}$ on day 1 and more VFDs was seen more clearly in patients with less severe lung disease (Crs ≥ 0.5 ml/cmH₂0/kg). One can speculate that patients with less severe lung disease may therefore benefit from a higher $V_{\rm T}$ strategy [7, 8, 12]. We advocate $V_{\rm T}$ choice be tailored to lung disease severity, rather than universally being 6 ml/kg for all children.

Inherently, pressure control ventilation facilitates changing $V_{\rm T}$ based on compliance, as patients with poor

compliance attain a smaller $V_{\rm T}$ for a given pressure than patients with better compliance. This is seen in Fig. 2, where patients with severe disease, measured by LIS, were managed with lower median $V_{\rm T}$. Moreover, the decelerating flow of pressure limited ventilation imparts additional benefit over the constant flow of volume modes of ventilation for patients with non-homogenous lung disease [29].

Given the almost universal use of pressure control ventilation and the strategy to limit PIP < 40 cmH₂0, the observed relationship between higher V_T and more VFDs is likely explained by lung disease severity. Patients with severe disease will attain a lower V_T than those with better compliance, given the same Delta P. As such, V_T and compliance really measure the same things: severity of lung disease. We controlled for disease severity using OI, PF ratio, LIS, PRISM, as well as pressure to attain V_T , and found the relationship between higher first day V_T (up to 10 ml/kg) and more VFDs to hold. Short of a randomized controlled trial where different V_T between 6 and 10 ml/kg



Fig. 4 Lung injury by day and mortality. Odds ratio (OR) for death per one unit increase in OI, one unit increase in LIS, 0.1 unit decrease in Crs, and 20 point decrease in PF ratio. LIS and OI are associated with mortality at baseline and over the first 3 days

Table 4 Tidal volume by day of mechanical ventilation in relationto mortality and ventilator free days

Tidal volume	Mortality	<14 VFD
Baseline	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)	0.91 (0.84, 0.98)
Day 1	0.87 (0.76, 0.99)	0.85 (0.77, 0.94)
Day 2	0.93 (0.80, 1.07)	0.91 (0.81, 1.02)
Day 3	0.97 (0.83, 1.15)	0.97 (0.85, 1.10)

Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) expressed in relation to each 1 ml/kg increase in tidal volume. (e.g. for each one point increase in tidal volume on day 1, the odds of death decreased to 0.87)

are targeted using pressure control ventilation, it is difficult to definitively answer whether higher $V_{\rm T}$ is in some way protective. However, this data demonstrates a pressure control strategy with a restricted upper pressure limit targeting $V_{\rm T}$ less than 10 ml/kg measured at the mechanical ventilator, is safe for children with ALI or AHRF.

A caveat to these findings is $V_{\rm T}$ measurements were not made at the airway using a proximal flow sensor, but rather at the ventilator with compensation for tubing compliance. This could result in overestimation of $V_{\rm T}$, particularly for small children [16]. Unfortunately,



(P < 0.05). Crs over the first 3 days and PF ratio at baseline, second and third day are associated with mortality (P < 0.05). 95% CI, \square odds ratio

proximal measurements were not available for a large number of patients, and such measurements are not routinely used clinically in most ICUs.

With the hope of finding larger variability in ventilator and $V_{\rm T}$ strategies, we analyzed the presence of bilateral pulmonary infiltrates on chest radiograph separately, although all patients met other diagnostic criteria for ALI. Patients with bilateral infiltrates had more severe lung disease, a trend towards higher mortality, and fewer VFDs than those without bilateral infiltrates. However, the relationship between $V_{\rm T}$ and outcome was not different in these two groups, and bilateral infiltrates did not confound or modify the relationship between $V_{\rm T}$ and mortality or VFDs. In fact, the same trends were seen in the 192 patients who met all four criteria for ALI, although some power was lost. With the 192 patients in the ALI group we could detect a 7% reduction in mortality with a power of 0.8, or a 9% reduction in >14VFDs. With the entire cohort of 398 we could detect a 4.5% reduction in mortality, or a 6.5% reduction in >14VFDs. While ALI and ARDS represent unique physiologic cohorts, we believe these conclusions regarding $V_{\rm T}$ and outcome are applicable to patients with either AHRF or ALI.

This study also demonstrates that OI, PF ratio, and a pediatric modification of the Murray lung injury score are all associated with mortality for children with AHRF or ALI. In contrast to adult studies [28, 30–32], initial degree of oxygen impairment is associated with mortality for children, validating previous pediatric results [4, 33]. In general, mortality for ALI in adults is higher, and they tend to die of other organ dysfunction, not irreversible respiratory failure. Approximately 40% of deaths in our study were due to refractory respiratory failure, with 70% of patients having at least three organ dysfunctions at death. This reflects the findings of Trachsel et al. [33], and contrasts with adult studies where mortality from irreversible respiratory failure was responsible for 16% of deaths [28].

Further, OI has a stronger association with mortality each day the patient remains ventilated, as previously reported [33]. This is likely due to alveolar recruitment shortly after intubation, and helps explain why initial measures of lung disease severity often are not robust predictors of outcome. Nonetheless, we have demonstrated that any of these measures (OI, PF, LIS) can be used in future studies on ALI or AHRF to assess disease severity and stratify risk.

There are several shortcomings to this retrospective study. First, $V_{\rm T}$ measurements were made at the

mechanical ventilator, as discussed earlier. Second, consistency in charting may be variable depending on personnel, patient, or unit acuity. While this cannot be controlled for retrospectively, most information was captured electronically in real-time and human transcription minimized. Third, this was not a randomized trial, so we could not control for all confounding variables.

Conclusions

Ventilating children with ALI or AHRF using a pressure limited strategy with a reasonable upper pressure limit, targeting $V_{\rm T} < 10$ ml/kg measured at the mechanical ventilator, is safe. Further, severity of lung disease measures such as OI, PF ratio, and a pediatric modification of the Murray lung injury score are all associated with mortality, both on initiation and throughout the first 3 days of ventilator support. This data is retrospective from a single center, and should be confirmed with a multicenter trial.

Acknowledgments Christopher J. L. Newth was partially supported by NIH 5U10 HD050012-04.

References

- ARDSnet (2000) Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. N Engl J Med 342:1301–1308
- Curley MA, Hibberd PL, Fineman LD, Wypij D, Shih MC, Thompson JE, Grant MJ, Barr FE, Cvijanovich NZ, Sorce L, Luckett PM, Matthay MA, Arnold JH (2005) Effect of prone positioning on clinical outcomes in children with acute lung injury: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 294:229–237
- Willson DF, Thomas NJ, Markovitz BP, Bauman LA, DiCarlo JV, Pon S, Jacobs BR, Jefferson LS, Conaway MR, Egan EA and the Pediatric Acute Lung Injury and Sepsis Investigators (2005) Effect of exogenous surfactant (calfactant) in pediatric acute lung injury: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 293:470–476
- Flori HR, Glidden DV, Rutherford GW, Matthay MA (2005) Pediatric acute lung injury: prospective evaluation of risk factors associated with mortality. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 171:995–1001

- Farias JA, Frutos F, Esteban A, Flores JC, Retta A, Baltodano A, Alia I, Hatzis T, Olazarri F, Petros A, Johnson M (2004) What is the daily practice of mechanical ventilation in pediatric intensive care units? A multicenter study. Intensive Care Med 30:918–925
- Amato MB, Barbas CS, Medeiros DM, Magaldi RB, Schettino GP, Lorenzi-Filho G, Kairalla RA, Deheinzelin D, Munoz C, Oliveira R, Takagaki TY, Carvalho CR (1998) Effect of a protective-ventilation strategy on mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 338:347–354
- Tobin MJ (2000) Culmination of an era in research on the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 342:1360–1361
- Deans KJ, Minneci PC, Cui X, Banks SM, Natanson C, Eichacker PQ (2005) Mechanical ventilation in ARDS: one size does not fit all. Crit Care Med 33:1141–1143

- Stewart TE, Meade MO, Cook DJ, Granton JT, Hodder RV, Lapinsky SE, Mazer CD, McLean RF, Rogovein TS, Schouten BD, Todd TR, Slutsky AS (1998) Evaluation of a ventilation strategy to prevent barotrauma in patients at high risk for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Pressureand Volume-Limited Ventilation Strategy Group. N Engl J Med 338:355–361
- Brochard L, Roudot-Thoraval F, Roupie E, Delclaux C, Chastre J, Fernandez-Mondejar E, Clementi E, Mancebo J, Factor P, Matamis D, Ranieri M, Blanch L, Rodi G, Mentec H, Dreyfuss D, Ferrer M, Brun-Buisson C, Tobin M, Lemaire F (1998) Tidal volume reduction for prevention of ventilator-induced lung injury in acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Multicenter Trail Group on tidal volume reduction in ARDS. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 158:1831–1838

- 11. Brower RG, Shanholtz CB, Fessler HE, 17. Khemani RG, Bart RD, Newth CJ Shade DM. White P Jr. Wiener CM. Teeter JG, Dodd-o JM, Almog Y, Piantadosi S (1999) Prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial comparing traditional versus reduced tidal volume ventilation in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients. Crit Care Med 27:1492-1498
- 12. Eichacker PQ, Gerstenberger EP, Banks SM, Cui X, Natanson C (2002) Metaanalysis of acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome trials testing low tidal volumes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 166:1510-1514
- 13. Randolph AG, Meert KL, O'Neil ME, Hanson JH, Luckett PM, Arnold JH, Gedeit RG, Cox PN, Roberts JS, Venkataraman ST, Forbes PW, Cheifetz IM, and the Pediatric Acute Lung Injury and Sepsis Investigators (2003) The feasibility of conducting clinical trials in infants and children with acute respiratory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 167:1334-1340
- 14. Curley MA, Arnold JH, Thompson JE, Fackler JC, Grant MJ, Fineman LD, Cvijanovich N, Barr FE, Molitor-Kirsch S, Steinhorn DM, Matthay MA, Hibberd PL, Pediatric Prone Positioning Study Group (2006) Clinical trial design-effect of prone positioning on clinical outcomes in infants and children with acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Crit Care 21:23-32
- 15. Albuali WH, Singh RN, Fraser DD, Seabrook JA, Kavanagh BP, Parshuram CS, Kornecki A (2007) Have changes in ventilation practice improved outcome in children with acute lung injury? Pediatr Crit Care Med 8:324-330
- 16. Al-Majed SI, Thompson JE, Watson KF, Randolph AG (2004) Effect of lung compliance and endotracheal tube leakage on measurement of tidal volume. Crit Care 8:R398-R402

- (2007) Respiratory monitoring during mechanical ventilation. Paediatr Child Health 17:193-201
- 18. Komecki AKB (2007) Mechanical ventilation. In: Wheeler DS, Wong HR, Shanley TP (eds) Pediatric critical care medicine: basic science and clinical evidence. Springer, London, pp 412-425
- 19. Hammer J, Numa A, Newth CJ (1997) Acute respiratory distress syndrome caused by respiratory syncytial virus. Pediatr Pulmonol 23:176-183
- 20. Khemani RG, Newth CJ, Bart RD (2008) Pediatric hypoxemic respiratory failure: risk factors for mortality. American Thoracic Society international conference abstracts issue: d104.3129 (abstract)
- 21. Wheeler A P, Wickersham N, Ancukiewicz M, Brower R, Thomspon T, Bernard G (2000) Low tidal volume (VT) ventilation reduces plasma cytokines in human acute lung injury (ALI). American Thoracic Society international conference abstracts issue: A83 (abstract)
- 22. Klein D, Morris AH (2000) Variations in tidal volume due to predicted body weight equations. American Thoracic Society international conference abstracts issue: C91 (abstract)
- 23. Lanken PN, Schoenfeld D, Thompson T (2000) Evaluation of early changes in PaO_2/FiO_2 (P/F) or oxygenation index (OI) as surrogate markers for survival in patients with acute lung injury (ALI) or ARDS. American Thoracic Society international conference abstracts issue: A82
- 24. Main E, Castle R, Stocks J, James I, Hatch D (2001) The influence of endotracheal tube leak on the assessment of respiratory function in ventilated children. Intensive Care Med 27:1788-1797

- 25. Pollack MM, Ruttimann UE, Getson P (1988) Pediatric risk of mortality (PRISM) score. Crit Care Med 16:1110-1116
- 26. Schoenfeld DA, Bernard GR, Network A (2002) Statistical evaluation of ventilator-free days as an efficacy measure in clinical trials of treatments for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 30:1772–1777
- 27. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S (2000) Applied logistic regression, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York
- 28. Montgomery AB, Stager MA, Carrico CJ, Hudson LD (1985) Causes of mortality in patients with the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am Rev Respir Dis 132:485-489
- 29. Heulitt MJ, Gerhard K, Arnold JH (2008) Mechanical ventilation. In: Nichols DG (ed) Rogers' textbook of pediatric intensive care, 4th edn. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp 519-520
- 30. Zilberberg MD, Epstein SK (1998) Acute lung injury in the medical ICU: comorbid conditions, age, etiology, and hospital outcome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 157:1159-1164
- 31. Doyle RL, Szaflarski N, Modin GW, Wiener-Kronish JP, Matthay MA (1995) Identification of patients with acute lung injury. Predictors of mortality. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 152:1818-1824
- 32. Nuckton TJ, Alonso JA, Kallet RH, Daniel BM, Pittet JF, Eisner MD, Matthay MA (2002) Pulmonary deadspace fraction as a risk factor for death in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 346:1281-1286
- 33. Trachsel D, McCrindle BW, Nakagawa S, Bohn D (2005) Oxygenation index predicts outcome in children with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 172:206-211