ORIGINAL PAPER



Not the story you want? Assessing the fit of a conceptual framework characterising mental health recovery narratives

Joy Llewellyn-Beardsley¹ Stefan Rennick-Egglestone¹ · Simon Bradstreet² · Larry Davidson³ · Donna Franklin⁴ · Ada Hui¹ · Rose McGranahan⁵ · Kate Morgan¹ · Kristian Pollock⁶ · Amy Ramsay⁷ · Roger Smith⁴ · Graham Thornicroft⁶ · Mike Slade¹

Received: 4 June 2019 / Accepted: 9 October 2019 / Published online: 25 October 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Purpose Narratives of recovery have been central to the development of the recovery approach in mental health. However, there has been a lack of clarity around definitions. A recent conceptual framework characterised recovery narratives based on a systematic review and narrative synthesis of existing literature, but was based on a limited sample. The aims of this study were to assess the relevance of the framework to the narratives of more diverse populations, and to develop a refined typology intended to inform narrative-based research, practice and intervention development.

Method 77 narrative interviews were conducted with respondents from four under-researched mental health sub-populations across England. Deductive and inductive analysis was used to assess the relevance of the dimensions and types of the preliminary typology to the interview narratives.

Results Five or more dimensions were identifiable within 97% of narratives. The preliminary typology was refined to include new definitions and types. The typology was found not to be relevant to two narratives, whose narrators expressed a preference for non-verbal communication. These are presented as case studies to define the limits of the typology.

Conclusion The refined typology, based on the largest study to date of recovery narratives, provides a defensible theoretical base for clinical and research use with a range of clinical populations. Implications for practice include ensuring a heterogeneous selection of narratives as resources to support recovery, and developing new approaches to supporting non-verbal narrative construction.

Keywords Mental health · Recovery · Narrative · Qualitative

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-019-01791-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

- School of Health Sciences, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
- Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- ³ Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, USA
- ⁴ NEON Lived Experience Advisory Panel, Nottingham, UK

Introduction

Mental health recovery narratives are first-person lived experience accounts of recovery from mental health problems, which include elements of adversity or struggle and of self-defined strengths, successes or survival [1]. They have been described as a "key recovery technology" [2], central to the

- Unit of Social and Community Psychiatry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- School of Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
- Health Service and Population Research Department, Centre for Implementation Science, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK



recovery approach within mental health policy and practice [3, 4]. Interventions have been developed to support individuals to write [5] and tell [6] their own stories, and guidelines on sharing recovery narratives have been produced [7–9]. The recovery narratives of others have been used as a resource by practitioners [10], online mental health interventions [11] and anti-stigma campaigns [12]. For recipients, recovery narratives can provide personal inspiration [6], authenticate difficult personal experiences [11] or mitigate social isolation [13]. They can also contribute to distress, e.g. a recipient may feel inadequate if they perceive the narrator has made a 'better' recovery [14].

Despite wide-ranging use in mental health practice, and the possibility of creating both benefits and harms, there has been a lack of conceptual clarity and consistency in the definition of recovery narratives [1]. A clearer understanding of their characteristics could ensure that future use of narratives in clinical interventions and practice provide maximum benefit and minimum harms to recipients. Providing conceptual clarity is one contribution that research can make to clinical practice. Recent examples include conceptual frameworks [15] for childhood maltreatment [16], social isolation [17] and pathways to mental health care [18].

The authors have previously developed a conceptual framework for mental health recovery narratives through a systematic review and narrative synthesis [1]. Included studies used various terms to describe such narratives, including 'illness and recovery narratives', 'user narratives', 'life histories', and 'emotional distress narratives', but these were not necessarily synonymous concepts. The review synthesised 45 empirical studies to produce a conceptual framework comprising nine overarching dimensions: Genre, Positioning (in relation to the mental health system), Emotional Tone, Relationship with Recovery, Trajectory, Use of Turning Points, Narrative Sequences, Protagonists and Use of Metaphor [1], called here the Recovery Narratives Conceptual Framework (RNCF). It also proposed the above definition of recovery narratives, based on those found in included studies [19, 20].

The RNCF was developed to provide a theoretical basis to inform research, practice and development of narrative-based interventions. However, the studies it was based on collectively exhibited biases. The recovery approach has been criticised for being based on mono-cultural, predominantly Western assumptions [21], so broader concepts from more collectivist and interdependent approaches need to be incorporated [22]. The systematic review supports this critique. Included studies came from a small range of mainly

¹ The conceptual framework can be viewed here: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/figure?id=10.1371/journal.pone.02146 78.t001.



white-majority countries. 17% of participants were from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) communities. The majority of studies used convenience samples of participants currently or previously using mental health services, hence excluding narratives from those who recover outside of services. Some of the included studies used purposive sampling of recovery narratives described by researchers as exemplary [20] or seminal accounts [23] that were "exceptional in their richness" [24]. These were commonly from narrators described as being in possession of significant "intellectual, cultural and symbolic capital" [25], which may have led to an under-representation of narratives from those experiencing recovery without access to similar socioeconomic, cultural or environmental resources.

As the RNCF is based on a relatively homogenous sample, there is a risk that it may not be relevant for more heterogeneous groups, in not being applicable to more diverse narratives and/or by omitting knowledge available from more diverse populations. In previous research, this risk has been addressed through studies that assess the relevance, or 'fit', of an existing framework with a more heterogeneous group. In one example, a systematic review of studies of recovery produced a conceptual framework comprising five recovery processes: Connectedness, Hope, Identity, Meaning and Empowerment (CHIME) [26]. The framework was based on published narratives of past recovery, so the relevance for current mental health service users was unknown. The fit of the framework was assessed through deductive and inductive thematic analysis of focus groups held with current mental health service users [27]. This confirmed that the CHIME processes were present in their accounts, hence validating the framework's relevance, and highlighted additional aspects of recovery for that population, hence refining the framework.

The aims of this study were (1) to assess the overall fit of the previously-developed RNCF with data collected from narrative interviews with groups not well-represented in the original systematic review, and (2) to develop a typology of recovery narratives incorporating identified refinements. The typology is intended to inform narrative-based practice, research and intervention development.

Methods

The research was undertaken as part of the Narrative Experiences Online (NEON) study (information at http://www.researchintorecovery.com/neon) between March and August 2018. Ethical Committee approval was obtained (Nottingham 2 REC 17/EM/0401). All participants provided written informed consent. Findings will inform a future trial (ISRCTN11152837).

Study design

Recovery narratives were collected from under-researched populations. A preliminary typology was developed in order to operationalise the RNCF. Co-analysis was conducted with the NEON Lived Experience Advisory Panel (LEAP) to refine the preliminary typology. Analysis was conducted to assess the fit of the RNCF through (a) relevance to the chosen populations (whether the majority of dimensions were present in the majority of recovery narratives) and (b) comprehensiveness (whether existing types within each dimension sufficiently described the narrative characteristics of this population).

Participants

A purposive sample of four groups of participants were recruited, spanning a range of populations that were under-represented in the review on which the conceptual framework was based. Inclusion criteria common to all groups were: aged over 18; willing to discuss experiences; able to give informed consent; fluent in English.

Additional inclusion criteria were as follows: Group A (Outside the system), people with self-identified experiences of psychosis and no use of secondary mental health services over the previous five years. Group B (BAME), people from Black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) communities currently using mental health services. Group C (Underserved), people not well-engaged with by mental health services, operationalised as: people from lesbian, gay, bisexual or trans (LGBT+) communities [28]; people with multiple and complex health/social care needs (mental health issues and at least two of homelessness, substance misuse issues or offending) [29]; and people from rural communities (less than 10,000 population) [30]. Group D (Peer), people with experience of working in statutory or voluntary roles for which lived experience is a requirement, e.g. peer support workers, trainers or researchers.

Setting

Participants were recruited across England; Groups A and B primarily from London, and Groups C and D primarily from the Midlands. Group A (Outside the System) were recruited through primary care services, online support groups, Hearing Voices networks and online advertising. Group B (BAME) were recruited in London through community groups, a Recovery College and secondary mental health services. Group C (Under-served) were recruited through voluntary and community sector organisations and secondary care mental health services. Group D (Peer)

were recruited through community groups and secondary care mental health services. Participants for all groups were recruited using snowball sampling.

Procedures

To enhance the role of lived experience in the collection and analysis of data, a co-analysis workshop [31] was held in October 2018 with eight members of the NEON LEAP who had experience of working with recovery narratives. Panel members were asked to apply two dimensions of the typology to their own recovery narratives, in order to refine how the typology was applied in practice. LEAP co-analysis demonstrated that more than one type could apply to a narrative, enabling a more nuanced approach to categorisation. For example within the Genre dimension, a narrative of the 'escape' type (narratives of escape from and resistance to abuse, threat, stigma and persecution) may also contain elements of the 'endurance' type (narratives of loss, trauma, difficult circumstances and/or seemingly insurmountable odds).

Semi-structured interviews were conducted by four researchers from narrative, advocacy, public health and health psychology backgrounds (JLB, KM, AR and RM). Each participant took part in a 40–90 min interview conducted in health services or community venues. The full topic guide is shown in Online Resource 1. In line with a narrative inquiry approach [4], the first part of the topic guide comprised an open-ended question designed to elicit a narrative [32], with minimal or no interruption from the researcher in order to facilitate fluent story-telling [33]. The participant was asked to share their mental ill health and recovery experiences as a story over time, with a beginning, middle, current situation and future considerations [9]. Interviews were recorded, transcribed and pseudonymised.

Analysis

A two-stage narrative inquiry approach was taken to analysing the narratives. This is an established qualitative method which has been identified as well-suited to mental health recovery research, particularly for under-researched populations [4]. Analysis was undertaken by a team of four experienced researchers (AH, AR, JLB, RM), co-ordinated by a qualitative lead (KP).

In stage one, narratives were analysed deductively to assess relevance, using a template based on the preliminary typology to identify whether the nine dimensions could be applied to the interview narratives. The template is presented as Online Resource 2. In stage two, inductive analysis was undertaken to assess comprehensiveness, through identifying areas of similarity with existing types and potential differences. Candidate refinements to the typology were



Table 1 Clinical and sociodemographic characteristics of interview participants (n=77)

Characteristic	Total	Group A (outside the system)	Group B (BAME)	Group C (under-served)	Group D (peer)
n (%)	77 (100)	21 (27)	21 (27)	19 (25)	16 (21)
Gender n (%)					
Female	42 (55)	14 (67)	11 (53)	8 (42)	9 (56)
Male	30 (39)	6 (29)	9 (43)	9 (47)	6 (38)
Other/prefer not to say	5 (6)	1 (5)	1 (5)	2 (11)	1 (6)
Ethnicity n (%)					
White British	44 (57)	12 (57)	0 (0)	18 (95)	14 (88)
Black British	5 (6)	2 (10)	3 (14)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Black African/Caribbean	4 (5)	1 (5)	3 (14)	0 (0)	0 (0)
White Other	5 (6)	2 (10)	1 (5)	0 (0)	2 (13)
White and Black African/Caribbean	4 (5)	0 (0)	4 (19)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Asian/Mixed white Asian	4 (5)	0 (0)	4 (19)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Other	5 (6)	2 (10)	3 (14)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Prefer not to say	6 (8)	2 (10)	3 (14)	1 (5)	0 (0)
Age (years)					
18–25	4 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (16)	1 (6)
25–34	16 (21)	3 (14)	6 (29)	4 (21)	3 (19)
35–44	16 (21)	5 (24)	4 (19)	4 (21)	3 (19)
45–54	30 (39)	8 (38)	9 (43)	6 (32)	7 (43)
55+	5 (6)	4 (19)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6)
Prefer not to say	6 (8)	1 (5)	2 (10)	2 (11)	1 (6)
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	49 (64)	15 (71)	14 (67)	6 (32)	14 (88)
LGBT+	18 (23)	3 (14)	4 (19)	9 (47)	2 (13)
Prefer not to say	10 (13)	3 (14)	3 (14)	4 (21)	0 (0)
Primary diagnosis					
Schizophrenia or other psychosis	11 (14)	5 (24)	4 (19)	2 (11)	0 (0)
Bipolar disorder/cyclothymia	16 (21)	8 (38)	1 (5)	3 (16)	4 (25)
Mood disorder, e.g. anxiety, depression, dysthymia	15 (19)	1 (5)	4 (19)	4 (21)	6 (38)
Other, e.g. ADHD, personality disorder, substance abuse, autism	7 (9)	0 (0)	2 (10)	3 (16)	2 (13)
Prefer not to say	28 (36)	7 (33)	10 (48)	7 (37)	4 (25)

discussed within a wider analysis team with expertise in mental health recovery research and health technology research. Decisions on refinements were made by consensus.

Narratives where five or more dimensions appeared not to be applicable were further discussed within the team. Narratives to which it was agreed less than five dimensions applied are presented as case studies demonstrating the limits of the typology.

Results

Seventy-seven interviews were conducted. Characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1.



Relevance and comprehensiveness

The previously developed RNCF comprised nine overarching dimensions (Genre, Positioning, Emotional Tone, Relationship with Recovery, Trajectory, Use of Turning Points, Narrative Sequences, Protagonists and Use of Metaphor), each of which contained between two and six types. For the current study, this was operationalised as a preliminary typology.

Five or more of the nine dimensions within the typology were identified within 75 (97%) of the 77 narratives. Example analysis of a narrative fitting existing dimensions and types is presented as Online Resource 2.

Not all dimensions were present in all narratives. Some did not contain the 'Turning Points' dimension, for example,

attributing recovery to social factors rather than specific events:

I have got good people round me, so I guess that is the bulk of the story really, it is the people around me that have just been absolutely magnificent (B15).

The 'Relationship with Recovery' dimension was not present for one narrator, for good reason—she had rejected the concept, while attending a recovery college. This gave her a sense of freedom:

This is not really a good description of recovery I guess, but for me kind of being able to reject recovery...sort of helped me in a way to - not feel better, but just to sort of feel 'me', and that I could make those decisions. I didn't have to get better if I didn't want to (D3).

As she was now a peer support worker, she felt "two-faced" in her rejection of recovery. She appeared apologetic that her story did not match what she thought was expected of her—"not the story you want, I'm sure".

The types within five dimensions (Genre, Relationship with Recovery, Use of Turning Points, Protagonists and Use of Metaphor) were assessed as comprehensively able to describe the narratives of these populations, e.g. further types did not emerge from the narratives. Additional types did emerge within four dimensions (Positioning, Emotional Tone, Trajectory and Narrative Sequences), and these are outlined below.

Refinements

Refinements were made to four dimensions, based on emergent themes from the LEAP co-analysis and interview data.

The 'Positioning' dimension originally referred to the way in which narrators position their recovery in relation to the mental health system, comprising three types: recovery 'within the system', 'despite the system' and 'outside of the system'. This reflected the language used in studies included in the original systematic review. LEAP co-analysis identified that this was not clear, as people experiencing mental health problems may be accessing multiple systems, e.g. housing. Types were renamed to refer to 'services' rather than 'the system'. The 'recovery within services' type was also extended to include two subtypes: (1) using services and (2) delivering services, reflecting that delivering or advising on services was central to some participants' recovery:

I was really taken aback when I learnt that actually having lived experience of mental health challenges was something that the NHS was interested in as an expertise. That was a real big turning point for me to realise that everything that I had gone through could actually benefit both myself and other people (D8).

The 'Emotional Tone' dimension describes the overall mood or feeling of the narrative. It originally contained six types ('buoyant', 'challenging', 'disenfranchised', 'reflective' and 'shaken'). A further six candidate additions to the framework emerged from the data ('matter of fact', 'frenetic', 'agitated', 'confused', 'apologetic' and 'humble'). To increase comprehensiveness, all types were then synthesised into four overarching types: 'upbeat', 'downbeat', 'critical' and 'neutral'.

The 'Trajectory' dimension describes the overall direction of a narrative towards its destination. It originally comprised four types ('upward spiral', 'up and down', 'horizontal' and 'interrupted'). However, a different type emerged from the narratives of participants identified as having multiple and complex needs—'cyclical' narratives, defined as 'cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and distress again'. Analysis indicated that these differed from the existing 'up and down' type, which also contained movement towards both recovery and distress. For example, narrators of circular narratives described a process of going back to the beginning during distress periods. There was no sense of the narrator presenting themselves within a "crisis to resolution" progression [34]:

I usually go through five year cycles where it starts off where I'll have everything, [then] throw it all away... burying my head in the sand, and then sort of like work through my own demons by blocking myself off, build myself back up again, get myself all the way back up there and then almost kick my own ladder from underneath me. (C9)

No benefits from previous periods of recovery/wellbeing were reported as being retained:

I just, mine goes in spells for quite a while, and they just come around, I get myself clean again, get back to work and then, I have done it for the last 20 years, exactly the same thing (C8).

There was little or no sense that the cycle could be exited:

Because honestly I hate it you know every time I [use heroin]. It's like I'll do it. And I think well fucking hell I've done it again. You know I hate it, it's been like that for like ten years (C12).

Cyclical narratives fitted the recovery narrative definition included in the introduction, containing clear elements of strength, success or survival. The way in which success was represented appeared to be related to another dimension, the narrator's current 'Relationship with Recovery'. A narrative fitting the 'struggling day to day'



type, i.e. relating to recovery as a process in which the narrator is tentatively engaging, described the cycle as:

A continuous battle and I think because of everything else that's going on it will be a continuous battle until the day I die (C9).

In contrast, a 'making progress' type narrative, where the narrator describes more confidence in their ability to tolerate distressing periods, reported a cycle in terms of pride in moving through these times:

I have been that low. And that ill, I have been there and I have seen the light ... and I have come back from it...I've been there, right there to the lowest of the low. And pulled myself back from it. And that's difficult to do (C12).

Two refinements were made to the 'Narrative Sequences' dimension, which describes the component parts of a recovery narrative. First, it originally comprised three types ('experiences of distress', 'turning point' and 'experiences of recovery'), each of which contained a number of sub-type sections (e.g. 'experiences of distress' contained five subtypes: 'life before illness/trauma', 'problems begin', 'problems worsen', 'impact of illness' and 'glimpses of recovery'). The dimension was renamed to 'Narrative Sections' for greater flexibility, as 'Narrative Sequences' suggested a linear progression through the types, whereas analysis showed that sections appeared in many different orders. Second, one 'Narrative Sections' type was renamed to better fit the data. The "Life before illness/trauma" section was identified in significantly fewer narratives than others (n = 15, 19%); however, sections wherein narrators told of possible origins of their mental health distress were more prevalent. For example:

I didn't have a great home life. My dad was physically and emotionally quite abusive. My mum didn't leave him until I was about 18, so me and my brother were left in those circumstances....When I have been unpicking it in the many years of therapy I have had since, that seems to be the root of a lot of it, not having a great home life, not having a great start (C14)

To better reflect this, the 'Life before illness/trauma' type was renamed to 'Origins', defined as a section containing 'possible roots or causes of later mental health distress, or descriptions of life before illness'.

The results of analysis of the 75 narratives for which the framework was assessed as relevant are presented as Table 2, incorporating all above changes.



In two of the 77 narratives, more than five dimensions could not be identified as relevant. Both were unconventionally structured, and both narrators described a preference for non-verbal forms of expression. Narrator B22 made several references to art being central to his recovery in terms of helping to construct a positive identity:

my ex-art teacher from [organisation] spoke very highly of me as well, okay, she said I was hard working and I could draw, I could do art work

and a sense of purpose:

I felt someone coming towards me but I couldn't see: "...God didn't mean to you to save the world okay, go back to England and become an artist".

Narrator D15, a peer support worker, expressed his frustration with the focus within recovery services on telling stories, and his preference for non-verbal ways of communicating:

There has been so much focus as I said in the past in telling your story, telling your story, and the thing about dancing has been you don't have words...you are not supposed to talk during the dancing, um so you don't... get stuck in this cycle of this happened, this happened and then I did this and I feel so bad about this...I'm a terrible person.

Instead of using story, the narrator brought his experience of dance to the way he supported people:

The space where I am held when I go dancing, I try and bring that when I meet with someone. I try and allow the person to...express those things that they can't, don't feel they can in other open places.

The typology was assessed as not being relevant for these two narratives.

The final recovery narratives typology including all refinements to types and definitions is shown as Table 3.

Discussion

This study assessed the relevance and comprehensiveness of a conceptual framework through analysis of interviews with under-researched populations, in order to produce a typology of mental health recovery narratives. The study produced three main findings: (1) the RNCF was found to be relevant to the narratives of individuals from heterogeneous groups, (2) refinements were identified and incorporated into a more comprehensive recovery narratives typology, and (3) most experiences of recovery were expressible in words, but not



 Table 2
 Categorisation of recovery narratives of under-researched groups (refinements underlined)

No.	Dimension	Types	Total	Group A (outside the system)	Group B (BAME)	Group C (under- served)	Group D (peer)
		n (%)	75 (100)	21 (28)	20 (27)	19 (25)	15 (20)
1	Genre	Escape	6 (8)	2 (10)	2 (10)	0 (0)	2 (13)
		Endurance	21 (28)	4 (19)	6 (30)	7 (37)	4 (27)
		Endeavour	28 (37)	7 (33)	6 (30)	9 (47)	6 (40)
		Enlightenment	20 (27)	8 (38)	6 (30)	3 (16)	3 (20)
2	Positioning	Within services	37 (49)	6 (28.5)	10 (50)	12 (63)	9 (60)
		Despite services	18 (24)	9 (43)	5 (25)	1 (0.5)	3 (20)
		Outside of services	20 (27)	6 (28.5)	5 (25)	6 (31.5)	3 (20)
3	Emotional Tone	<u>Upbeat</u>	49 (65)	15 (72)	14 (70)	8 (42)	12 (79)
		Downbeat	8 (11)	0 (0)	3 (15)	4 (21)	1 (7)
		Challenging	15 (20)	3 (14)	3 (15)	4 (21)	1 (7)
		Neutral	7 (9)	3 (14)	0 (0)	3 (16)	1 (7)
4	Relationship with Recovery	Recovered	4 (5)	3 (14)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (7)
		Living well	36 (48)	13 (62)	10 (50)	5 (26)	8 (52)
		Making progress	21 (28)	5 (24)	4 (20)	8 (42)	4 (27)
		Surviving day to day	13 (17)	0 (0)	6 (30)	6 (32)	1 (7)
		Not applicable	1(2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (7)
5	Trajectory	Upward	46 (61)	14 (67)	14 (70)	7 (37)	11 (73)
		Up and down	15 (20)	4 (19)	3 (15)	5 (26)	3 (20)
		Horizontal	8 (11)	3 (14)	2 (10)	2 (11)	1 (7)
		Interrupted	1(1)	0 (0)	1 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)
		Cyclical	5 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (26)	0 (0)
6	Use of Turning Points	Restorying	14 (18)	6 (29)	2 (10)	2 (10)	4 (31)
	C	Change for the better	29 (39)	11 (52)	5 (25)	7 (37)	6 (38)
		Better and worse	29 (39)	3 (14)	12 (60)	10 (53)	4 (25)
		Not applicable	3 (4)	1 (5)	1 (5)	0 (0)	1 (6)
7	Narrative Sections	Origins Origins	26 (35)	8 (38)	8 (40)	6 (31)	4 (27)
		Problems begin	70 (93)	20 (95)	18 (90)	18 (95)	14 (93)
		Problems worsen	70 (93)	20 (95)	18 (90)	19 (100)	13 (87)
		Impact of illness	56 (75)	16 (76)	12 (60)	15 (79)	13 (87)
		Glimpses of recovery	59 (78)	19 (90)	15 (75)	13 (68)	12 (80)
		Turning point	65 (87)	18 (86)	17 (85)	16 (84)	14 (93)
		Roads to recovery	71 (95)	21 (100)	19 (95)	17 (89)	14 (93)
		Life afterwards	64 (85)	19 (90)	17 (85)	16 (84)	12 (80)
8	Protagonists	Personal factors	72 (94)	20 (95)	20 (95)	17 (89)	15 (100)
	C .	Socio-cultural factors	73 (96)	21 (100)	20 (95)	17 (89)	15 (100)
		Systemic factors	52 (68)	12 (60)	16 (76)	15 (79)	9 (60)
9	Use of metaphor	Distress metaphors	43 (57)	15 (71)	11 (55)	7 (37)	10 (67)
-		Recovery metaphors	35 (47)	13 (62)	9 (45)	6 (31)	7 (47)

all. All three findings have implications for mental health practice.

First, the typology was found to be relevant to narratives of individuals experiencing diverse forms of recovery involving many different factors. This finding supports research which presents recovery as a multidimensional process, involving biomedical, psychological, social and

socio-political components [35]. It strengthens the original systematic review evidence that there are multiple dimensions and types of recovery narrative [1]. This is an important consideration for practitioners such as peer support workers or clinicians offering their own or others' stories to support recovery; and those supporting narrative production, such as facilitators of narrative-based courses within



g
Ť
ы
\geq
S
ě
Ξ
y narratives
Ξ
ng
\sim
Ή.
×
8
recover
•
ਰ
S
Ë
<u>.</u>
ter
3
ĕ
ਬ
5
\cup
m
ė.
ᅙ
<u></u>
_

#	Dimension/type	Definition	Description
Narra	Narrative form (what kind of story is this?)	this?)	
I	Genre	A literary kind, type or class of story	
1.1	Escape	Narratives of escape from and resistance to abuse, threat, stigma and persecution	Escape from oppressive beliefs, systems, services, treatments or negative identity as a result of maltreatment or stigma. May contain images of entrapment and/or of a fight for survival
1.2	1.2 Endurance	Narratives of loss, trauma, difficult circumstances and/or seemingly insurmountable odds	Endurance of losses, weathering storms or battening down the hatches. May contain haunting or chaotic elements or be in the midst of traumatic events. Successes may be expressed in terms of having survived, or kept going. Narrator's priority may be salvaging over restoring or transforming themselves
1.3	§ Endeavour	Narratives of coping strategies and plans, with some continued difficulties and positive aspects	Endeavouring to make changes and incorporate positive aspects, while accepting difficulties as an ongoing factor of recovery. Narrators may feel they are active agents of change, or may focus on doing things or keeping busy. Priority may be managing rather than transforming themselves
1.4	1.4 Enlightenment	Narratives of transformation and inspiration, with experience of illness/trauma viewed as positive, as new perspective has been gained	A journey of exploration or discovery leading to empowerment. May contain aspects of redemption or having been saved by something greater than themselves, either by spiritual or humanistic means
7	Positioning	Ways in which narratives are situated in relation to mental health services (defined as the dominant clinical mental health provision of the country involved)	defined as the dominant clinical mental health provision of the country
2.1	Recovery within services	Narratives incorporating positive experiences of the mental health system, either through using services or through delivering services (e.g. peer support)	Diagnosis or experience of being a member of staff or volunteer within mental health services may be experienced as empowering; and treatment, services or relationships with practitioners and/or colleagues and service users as enabling, positive or a salvation. 'Within services' may include either or both of using and delivering services
2.2	2.2 Recovery despite services	Narratives of protest in opposition to the biomedical model of mental illness or associated myths (e.g. recovery is not possible) and/or in opposition to mental health services and systems	Experiences of oppression. May include experience of maltreatment by mental health services, resistance to concepts e.g. 'myth of incurability', or recovering of voice/agency
2.3	3 Recovery outside of services	Narratives of recovery in which mental health services do not feature, or feature only very minimally (e.g. visits GP). May not engage with psychiatric definitions and psychological concepts of individual personal growth	Experiences of living a 'good life' beyond services. May incorporate social, political, spiritual, and economic elements, often with a focus on specific areas such as activism, adventure, relationships or spirituality. May contain elements of having a greater purpose: 'helping others in the same boat', or a changed understanding of what is most important in life
3	Emotional tone	The overall mood or feeling of the narrative	
3.1	Upbeat	Positive tones	E.g. buoyant, content, hopeful, proud, optimistic, reflective
3.2	2 Downbeat	Negative tones	E.g. agitated, apologetic, frenetic, pessimistic, sad, shaken
3.3	3 Challenging	Provocative or stimulating tones	E.g. angry, critical, defiant, protesting
3.4	t Neutral	Flat tones	E.g. matter of fact, monotone, disenfranchised
4	Relationship with recovery	How the narrator relates to the concept of recovery at the time of narration	
4.1	Recovered	Recovery as an outcome which has been achieved	Period of illness or distress seen as being in the past. May be a clear split between past and present selves
4.2	4.2 Living well	Recovery as a process within which narrator is well-established	Narrator is living well in either the presence or absence of mental illness and sees any continuing difficulties as things which they can overcome



(continued)	
Table 3	

4.3 Making progress Recovery as an ongoing process in which he narrator is terratively organ surrative structure (what shape of story is take). 8. Recovery as an ongoing process in which he narrator is terratively rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the collection of a narrative internative by rigged for the better of well-being and those a narrative internative by rigged for the better of well-being and these distriction of the process of the progressive control stationary of continuing updates and distriction of a narrative internative by the process of the progressive and ergensive stations of continuing updates to a narrative internative by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resume the progressive and ergensive stations of the progressive and ergensive of stations of the progressive and ergensive of the	#	Dimension/type	Definition	Description
s an ongoing process in which the narrator is tentatively engagnascending progression towards recovery upturns towards health/wellbeing and downturns towards illugible rrative without significant upwards or downturns interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resummer shape and direction cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a wellbeing and then distress again awellbeing and then distress again interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resum- nershape and direction cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a wellbeing and then distress again interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resum- nershape and direction intershape and direction interrupted by an unexpected crisis or distress as in the moment in which a narrator gains a new understand- ir experience into distress again and described as moments of transition followed by sequences age either improve or get worse intersor a sense of going downhill experience of illness or distress arrator's life, relationships etc. Inges which may lay the foundation for turning points blved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a perception, hitting rock bottom g period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connec- e etc.	4.3	Making progress	Recovery as an ongoing process within which narrator is beginning to see progress	Narrator is confident in ability to cope, despite feeling relatively close to the disruptions of a mental health crisis
nu of a narrative towards its destination seconding progression towards recovery upturns towards health/wellbeing and downturns towards illugle rrative without significant upwards or downturns interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resummer shape and direction cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a wellbeing and then distress again nt is the moment in which a narrator gains a new understand- re experience nts described as moments of transition followed by sequences ags improve nts described as moments of transition followed by sequences ags improve secribed as moments of transition followed by sequences ags improve and described as moments of transition followed by sequences ags improve set worse arens of a mental health recovery narrative these strators or a sense of going downhill experience of illness or distress urator's life, relationships etc. nuges which may lay the foundation for turning points olved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a perception, hitting rock bottom g period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connec- e etc.	4.4	Surviving day to day	Recovery as an ongoing process in which the narrator is tentatively engaging	Narrator may be in a new or difficult or ongoing situation where it may be difficult to realise their hopes, but they still express experiences in terms of recovery
5.1 Upward An overall ascending progression towards recovery 5.2 Up and down Continuing upturns towards health/wellbeing and downturns towards illness/struggle An arrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming its former shape and direction A narrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming its former shape and direction A narrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again Use of turning points Pivotal moment(s) within the narrative gains a new understanding of their experience Change for the better Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve Anarrative sections Narrative sections Narrative sections The components of a mental health recovery narrative possible roots or causes of later mental health distress, or description of life before illness or difficulties, or a sense of going downhill The central experience of illness or distress Effect on narrator's life, relationships etc. Turning point and coli illness Getting involved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a change of perception, hitting rock bottom A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	Narrat	tive structure (what shape of st	ory is this?)	
5.1 Upward An overall ascending progression towards recovery 5.2 Up and down Continuing upturns towards health/wellbeing and downturns towards illness/struggle 5.3 Horizontal An even narrative without significant upwards or downturns 5.4 Interrupted Anarrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming its former shape and direction 6.2 Cyclical Anarrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again 6.1 Restorying Turning points the moment in which a narrator gains a new understanding of their experience 6.2 Change for the better Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve 6.3 Change for the better or worse Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse 6.3 Change for the better or worse Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve 6.3 Change for the better or worse Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse 6.3 Change for the better or worse Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse 6.3 Change for the better or worse Turning points described as moments of transition of life before rollores or difficulties, or a sense of going downhill 7.3 Problems worsen 7.4 Impact of illness 7.5 Glimpses of recovery 7.6 Turning point 7.7 Roads to recovery 7.7 Roads to recovery 7.8 Roads to recovery 7.8 Roads to recovery 7.8 Roads to recovery 7.9 Roads to recovery 7.1 Roads to recovery 7.1 Roads to recovery 7.2 Roads to recovery 7.3 Roads to recovery 7.4 Roads to recovery 7.5 Roads to recovery 7.6 Turning point described as equence describing personal benefits, connections and the followed by a sequence describing points described as a sequence describing personal benefits, connections period or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections	5	Trajectory	The direction of a narrative towards its destination	
Continuing upturns towards health/wellbeing and downturns towards illness/struggle An arrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming is former shape and direction An arrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again An arrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again An arrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again An arrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again An arrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again An arrative cycling through sequences of the second of the control second of the moment in which a narrator gains a new understand- In Restorying points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve or get worse Narrative sections An arrative cycling through the distress, or description of life before illness And in the better or worse or difficulties, or a sense of going downhill The central experience of illness or distress An indiage of perception, hitting rock bottom A recovery perceiver, a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	5.1		An overall ascending progression towards recovery	Narratives of revelation or purposeful suffering, or of evolution from darkness to light towards a better future, or of overall improvement
An arrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming is former shape and direction A narrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming is former shape and direction A narrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again Use of turning points Privatal moment(s) within the narrative which affect its overall shape Turning point is the moment in which a narrator gains a new understanding of their experience Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve Narrative sections The components of a mental health distress, or description of life before illness Narrative sections The components of a mental health distress, or description of life before illness The components of a mental health distress, or description of life before illness The central experience of illness Getting involved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a change of perception, litting rock bottom A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	5.2	Up and down	Continuing upturns towards health/wellbeing and downturns towards illness/struggle	May challenge the progressive trajectory of spiralling upward. May be experienced as dramatic, 'roller-coaster' narratives or more drawn-out 'progressive and regressive' stories
A narrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming is former shape and direction S.5 Cyclical A narrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again Use of turning points Turning points the moment in which a narrator gains a new understanding of their experience Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve or get worse Narrative sections Narrative sections The components of a mental health distress, or description of life before illness The central experience of illness or distress The central experience of illness or distress Fiftect on narrator's life, relationships etc. S.6 Glimpses of recovery A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	5.3	Horizontal	An even narrative without significant upwards or downturns	Narrator may feel that they are currently stagnating, or taking one day at a time
A narrative eycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again Use of turning points Pivotal moment(s) within the narrative which affect its overall shape ing of their experience. Change for the better Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve or get worse where things improve or get worse where things either improve or get worse where things either improve or get worse The components of a mental health recovery narrative Possible roots or causes of later mental health distress, or description of life before illness Onset of difficulties, or a sense of going downhill The central experience of illness or distress Hampact of illness Effect on narrator's life, relationships etc. Getting involved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a change of perception, hitting rock bottom A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	5.4	Interrupted	A narrative interrupted by an unexpected crisis or difficulty before resuming its former shape and direction	Narrator sees the crisis or difficulty as a blip, after which their life has returned to its prior state
6.1 Restorying Turning point is the moment in which a narrator gains a new understanding of their experience Turning point described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse Narrative sections The components of a mental health recovery narrative Possible roots or causes of later mental health distress, or description of life before illness Onset of difficulties, or a sense of going downhill The central experience of illness or distress Getting involved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a change of perception, hitting rock bottom A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	5.5	Cyclical	A narrative cycling through sequences of distress, gradual recovery, a period of wellbeing and then distress again	Narrators describe a process of going back to the beginning, with no benefits from previous periods of recovery/wellbeing being retained during periods of distress. Narrators may describe cycle as frustrating (a continuous battle) and/or a source of strength (pride at ability to move through difficult periods)
Turning point is the moment in which a narrator gains a new understanding of their experience Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse Narvative sections The components of a mental health recovery narrative Possible roots or causes of later mental health distress, or description of life before illness Donset of difficulties, or a sense of going downhill The central experience of illness or distress Effect on narrator's life, relationships etc. Getting involved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a change of perception, hitting rock bottom A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc.	9	Use of turning points	Pivotal moment(s) within the narrative which affect its overall shape	
 2. Change for the better where things points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve 3. Change for the better or worse Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse 3. A Describer on the components of a mental health recovery narrative 4. Origins life before illness 5. Problems begin Onset of difficulties, or a sense of going downhill 6. Turning point Central experience of illness or distress 7. Roads to recovery Positive changes which may lay the foundation for turning points change of perception, hitting rock bottom 7. Roads to recovery A recovering period, or a sequence describing personal benefits, connections made etc. 	6.1		Turning point is the moment in which a narrator gains a new understanding of their experience	May be the moment a narrator resists being defined by a dominant discourse and takes over the authorship of their own stories
Narrative sections Narrative sections Narrative sections Narrative sections Norigins Norigi	6.2	Change for the better	Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things improve	Positive events in themselves, such as a moment of self-acceptance or intervention from others, or difficult moments which prove to be a catalyst for positive change, such as realising that others could not help them
Narrative sections 1.1 Origins 2.2 Problems begin 3.3 Problems worsen 4.4 Impact of illness 5.5 Glimpses of recovery 6.6 Turning point 7.7 Roads to recovery	6.3	Change for the better or worse	Turning points described as moments of transition followed by sequences where things either improve or get worse	Narrator identifies both positive events and turns for the worse as turning points in their narrative
Origins Problems begin Problems worsen Impact of illness Glimpses of recovery Turning point Roads to recovery	7	Narrative sections	The components of a mental health recovery narrative	
Problems begin Problems worsen Impact of illness Glimpses of recovery Turning point Roads to recovery	7.1		Possible roots or causes of later mental health distress, or description of life before illness	
Problems worsen Impact of illness Glimpses of recovery Turning point Roads to recovery	7.2	Problems begin	Onset of difficulties, or a sense of going downhill	
Impact of illness Glimpses of recovery Turning point Roads to recovery	7.3		The central experience of illness or distress	
Glimpses of recovery Turning point Roads to recovery	7.4		Effect on narrator's life, relationships etc.	
Turning point Roads to recovery	7.5		Positive changes which may lay the foundation for turning points	
Roads to recovery A recovering period, or tions made etc.	7.6		Getting involved in an activity, a new relationship, contact with services, a change of perception, hitting rock bottom	
	7.7	Roads to recovery		



lable 3 (continued)		
# Dimension/type	Definition	Description
7.8 Life afterwards	Reflections, hope for a better future, inclusion of hopeful elements/tri- umphs to inspire others	
Narrative content (what resources	Narrative content (what resources have been deployed in the telling of this story?)	
8 Protagonists	The major characters and/or forces at work within a narrative	
8.1 Personal factors	The force(s) working at micro or inter/intra-personal level within a recovery narrative	Most commonly the narrator him or herself: the strong conqueror, the scarred survivor, the enlightened explorer. May also be a helping person or factor such as a helpful treatment or medication
8.2 Socio-cultural factors	Meso-level factors within a recovery narrative. Family, friends, groups or local organisations, mental health staff and services	These may be 'supporters or villains', exerting positive or negative effects on the narrative
8.3 Systemic factors	Macro-level factors within a recovery narrative. Wider community or socio-political systems including legal, healthcare, policy, political, religious and international factors	These may affect the narrative either positively or negatively
9 Use of metaphors	Imagery employed by the narrator to depict states of being, relating to distress and recovery	ess and recovery
9.1 Distress metaphors	Focused on past distress or a future return to the experience of distress	May depict descent, spiralling out of control, disconnection, alienation, chaos
9.2 Recovery metaphors	Focused on past, present or future experience of recovery	May depict connection, bonding and integration: regaining control of life, partnership with others, victory in fight against illness

Recovery Colleges or those designing advocacy campaigns. For example, the manualised REFOCUS intervention [36, 37] uses narrative approaches to help articulate a service user's values, including suggested prompts [38]. This could be expanded to include prompts based on the typology, demonstrating to service users that multiple types of recovery story are equally possible and valid.

Courses [6] and guidance [7, 8] on developing one's recovery story are widely offered by recovery-based services. However, concerns have been raised that these could lead to the emergence of "dominant recovery narratives" at the expense of other types of experience [6]. Narrow interpretations of recovery narratives may be operationalised for organisational rather than individual benefit [39], whether by mental health services, charities and campaigns, which may promote narratives of returns to productivity via treatment and medication, or by activist movements, which may promote narratives of rejecting medication and finding the tools to cope with trauma without drugs [41]. These forms of "Recovery Narrative", dependent upon "tight adherence to generic conventions" for their efficacy [40], may put pressure on narrators to conform to particular types of narrative depending on their context [41]. This effect can be seen in the statement from an apologetic narrator above, a peer support worker in a recovery college, who felt her story was "not the story you want, I'm sure".

Contrary to this fear, the typology presented here speaks to this pressure by providing an evidence base which reinforces the multiplicity of forms, structures and content possible for recovery narratives. 'Endurance' and 'struggling day to day' narratives challenge the "compulsory positivity" [42] of some organisational agendas, while offering hope to recipients in the form of reducing isolation. Socio-cultural and systemic factors within the typology challenge narrativebased interventions which may promote storylines which "deflect attention from systemic inequalities and social injustice" [40]. The presence of 'downbeat' and 'neutral' tones support the inclusion of stories which may not conform to a "genre of inspiration", required to be emotionally uplifting [40], but which may be experienced as more authentic, a key moderator for positive impact on a recipient [14]. As evidence of both benefits and harms of stories emerges [43] it becomes increasingly important that individuals are offered a variety of examples, to maximise their chances of experiencing connection and hope [44].

For curators and editors of recovery narrative collections, the typology enables the development of a narrative measure to assess diversity within a collection, both by allowing heterogeneity to be measured and identifying missing types of narrative. This measure will be developed as part of the NEON Study, and may be an important tool for curators of recovery narrative collections who wish to minimise harm. For example, another moderator for positive impact is the



recipient's own current relationship with recovery [14]. Curators of UK collection Beyond the Storms [45] found that its publication, though well-received, created a demand for other kinds of stories. The editor of the recent companion collection, Riding the Storms, reported that "the good intention of trying to give hope [in Beyond the Storms] was backfiring...for some people, they were perversely making things worse and not offering hope. That's not to say that the stories in Riding the Storms don't offer hope but...it's more about offering hope by helping people feel less alone" (personal communication, 24.01.2019). By considering the multiple dimensions and types of recovery narrative identified here, curators could build collections more likely to have a positive impact on the widest possible range of recipients.

The second finding was that the comprehensiveness of the typology was refined in the light of data from under-researched groups. For example, stories can also be cyclical, and practitioners may have an important role as "holders of hope" [46] during periods of struggle when individuals may temporarily be unable to construct, hold onto or believe in their own previous narratives or periods of recovery. This may be particularly important when supporting those facing multiple challenges, who may be more likely to experience repeated periods of distress and attendant despondency.

Many narrators will not have experienced a chapter of 'life before' their mental health issues existed. Recent research has highlighted the overlap between childhood trauma and mental health problems [47–49]. Related frameworks, providing alternatives to diagnosis, give a central place to the construction of narratives in an individual's recovery [50]. The refinement of this narrative section to 'origins' results in a more trauma-informed typology [51, 52], while not excluding narrators whose origins may have been trauma-free.

Third, most recovery narratives were expressible in words, but not all. The typology was not relevant for two narratives. In their less conventional structures, both reached the limits of "tellability" [53, 54], making them initially 'difficult' for analysts to understand. Such narrators may be dismissed as incoherent [55], producing meaningless 'word salad' [56], thus incapable of 'insight' [57]. Instead they may prefer other forms of communication, or require a broader understanding of "narrative insight" [58] from the recipient. This finding could suggest that such concepts of "tellability" potentially reproduce what have been called sanist assumptions within mental health [59, 60]. Such sanism can lead to "testimonial injustice" [61], wherein a narrator's credibility and capacity as a knowledge holder may be undermined by an identity prejudice held by the recipient. The primarily spoken and written narratives within mental health practice may thus need to be extended, and future research may develop typologies for non-verbal recovery narratives. Approaches providing alternative narrative modalities include Photovoice [62], participatory arts [63], dance [64], sports [65] and games-based interventions [66] in addition to the more established arts therapies already recommended by national guidelines for treatment of psychosis [67].

Strengths and limitations of the study

Study strengths include its large dataset, incorporating diverse populations who are currently seldom heard within mental health research. Despite describing significant mental health difficulties, over a third of participants responded 'prefer not to say' when asked about their primary diagnosis. Although reasons were not explored, this may indicate that participants disagreed with their diagnosis or with diagnostic frameworks, did not know their diagnosis, or had not sought one; demonstrating that participants came from under-researched groups as intended. Another strength is the identification of two outlier cases of recovery narratives for which the typology was not relevant.

A limitation is that no differentiation was made between family/friends and mental health staff within analysis of protagonists at the socio-cultural level. Future work may focus on investigating any differences in the representation of formal and informal carers within participants' recovery narratives. A recruitment limitation was the small number of young adults within the sample, with four people aged between 18 and 25 being recruited. Future work may focus on investigating differences in the recovery narratives of young adults. Another limitation relates to a criticism of narrative inquiry, which can be seen merely as a way of organising 'unruly data' [68] without providing further insight or empathy with the narrator [69]. However, this study supports the use of such analysis as a means of providing insights through considering narratives collectively. The emergence and recognition of particular types, across numerous and heterogeneous narratives, can provide the kind of evidence of similarity of experience (for example, the possibility of recovery outside of services) that single stories cannot claim. It may address the call to develop a tradition of rigorous research for the analysis and archiving of stories to create sustained change within policy and practice [70].

Conclusions

This study assessed the fit of a conceptual framework developed from a systematic review of the literature when applied to the narratives of respondents from under-researched groups. It demonstrated the relevance of the over-arching dimensions of the framework, and led to a refined, more comprehensive typology which may be used as an evidence base for narrative interventions. Implications for practice include the importance of a heterogeneous selection of



narratives to support recovery, and supporting the use of non-verbal approaches to narrative construction.

Acknowledgements This article is independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Programme Grants for Applied Research Programme [Personal experience as a recovery resource in psychosis: Narrative Experiences Online (NEON) Programme, RP-PG-0615-20016]. Mike Slade acknowledges the support of Center for Mental Health and Substance Abuse, University of South-Eastern Norway and the NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre. Graham Thornicroft is supported by the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care South London at King's College London NHS Foundation Trust. Graham Thornicroft also receives support from the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01MH100470 (Cobalt study). GT is also supported by the UK Medical Research Council in relation to the Emilia (MR/S001255/1) and Indigo Partnership (MR/R023697/1) awards. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The authors would like to thank all organisations who assisted with participant recruitment, including: the Health Shop (Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust), the Homeless Health Team (Nottingham CityCare Partnership), and POW Nottingham.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards All persons gave their informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. The study has obtained Ethical Committee approval (Nottingham 2 REC 17/EM/0401) and, therefore, has been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Llewellyn-Beardsley J, Rennick-Egglestone S, Callard F, Crawford P, Farkas M, Hui A, Manley D, McGranahan R, Pollock K, Ramsay A, Tore Sælør K, Wright N, Slade M (2019) Characteristics of mental health recovery narratives: systematic review and narrative synthesis. PLoS One 14(3):e0214678. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214678
- Smith-Merry J, Freeman R, Sturdy S (2011) Implementing recovery: an analysis of the key technologies in Scotland. Int J Mental Health Syst 5(1):11
- 3. Onken SJ, Craig CM, Ridgway P, Ralph RO, Cook JA (2007) An analysis of the definitions and elements of recovery: a review of the literature. Psychiatr Rehabil J 31(1):9
- Spector-Mersel G, Knaifel E (2018) Narrative research on mental health recovery: two sister paradigms. J Mental Health 27(4):298–306

- Scottish Recovery Network (2019) Write to recovery. https://www. writetorecovery.net/. Accessed 13 Feb 2019
- Nurser K, Rushworth I, Shakespeare T, Williams D (2018) Personal storytelling in mental health recovery. Mental Health Rev J 23(1):25–36
- Scottish Recovery Network (2009) Story sharing guidance. https://www.scottishrecovery.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/SRN_Story_sharing_guidance_new_logo.pdf. Accessed 30 Jan 2019
- Substance Abuse Mental Health Services Administration (2018)
 Share Your story: a how-to guide for digital storytelling. https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/programs_campaigns/brss_tacs/samhsa-storytelling-guide.pdf. Accessed 25 Oct 2018
- McAdams DP (1993) The stories we live by: personal myths and the making of the self. Guilford Press, New York
- Sommer R (2003) The use of autobiography in psychotherapy. J Clin Psychol 59(2):197–205
- Williams A, Fossey E, Farhall J, Foley F, Thomas N (2018) Recovery after psychosis: qualitative study of service user experiences of lived experience videos on a recovery-oriented website. J Med Internet Res Mental Health 5(2):e37
- 12. Pinfold V, Thornicroft G, Huxley P, Farmer P (2005) Active ingredients in anti-stigma programmes in mental health. Int Rev Psychiatry 17(2):123–131
- Shaw L-K, Homewood J (2015) The effect of eating disorder memoirs in individuals with self-identified eating pathologies. J Nerv Ment Dis 203(8):591–595. https://doi.org/10.1097/ NMD.00000000000000347
- 14. Rennick-Egglestone S, Ramsay A, McGranahan R, Llewellyn-Beardsley J, Hui A, Pollock K, Repper J, Yeo C, Ng F, Roe J, Gillard S, Thornicroft G, Booth S, Slade M (2019) The impact of mental health recovery narratives on recipients experiencing mental health problems: qualitative analysis and change model. PLoS One (in review)
- Jabareen Y (2009) Building a conceptual framework: philosophy, definitions, and procedure. Int J Qual Methods 8(4):49–62
- Curran E, Adamson G, Rosato M, De Cock P, Leavey G (2018) Profiles of childhood trauma and psychopathology: US National Epidemiologic Survey. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 53(11):1207–1219
- Wang J, Lloyd-Evans B, Giacco D, Forsyth R, Nebo C, Mann F, Johnson S (2017) Social isolation in mental health: a conceptual and methodological review. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 52(12):1451–1461
- MacDonald K, Fainman-Adelman N, Anderson KK, Iyer SN (2018) Pathways to mental health services for young people: a systematic review. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 53(10):1005-1038
- Hall JM (2011) Narrative methods in a study of trauma recovery.
 Qual Health Res 21(1):3–13
- Thornhill H, Clare L, May R (2004) Escape, enlightenment and endurance: narratives of recovery from psychosis. Anthropol Med 11(2):181–199
- Price-Robertson R, Obradovic A, Morgan B (2017) Relational recovery: beyond individualism in the recovery approach. Adv Mental Health 15(2):108–120
- 22. Tse S, Ng RM (2014) Applying a mental health recovery approach for people from diverse backgrounds: the case of collectivism and individualism paradigms. J Psychosoc Rehabil Mental Health 1(1):7–13
- Ridgway P (2001) Restorying psychiatric disability: learning from first person recovery narratives. Psychiatr Rehabil J 24(4):335
- Matusek JA, Knudson RM (2009) Rethinking recovery from eating disorders: spiritual and political dimensions. Qual Health Res 19(5):697–707



- Grant A, Leigh-Phippard H, Short N (2015) Re-storying narrative identity: a dialogical study of mental health recovery and survival. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs 22(4):278–286
- Leamy M, Bird V, Le Boutillier C, Williams J, Slade M (2011) Conceptual framework for personal recovery in mental health: systematic review and narrative synthesis. Br J Psychiatry 199(6):445–452
- Bird V, Leamy M, Tew J, Le Boutillier C, Williams J, Slade M (2014) Fit for purpose? Validation of a conceptual framework for personal recovery with current mental health consumers. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 48(7):644–653
- 28. Hudson-Sharp N, Metcalf H (2016) Inequality among lesbian, gay bisexual and transgender groups in the UK: a review of evidence. National Institute for Economic and Social Research. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/inequality-among-lgbt-groups-in-the-uk-a-review-of-evidence. Accessed 26 Sept 2018
- Kuluski K, Ho J, Hans K, Nelson M (2017) Community care for people with complex care needs: bridging the gap between health and social care. Int J Integr Care 17:1–11
- Gunnell D, Wheeler B, Chang S, Thomas B, Sterne J, Dorling D (2012) Changes in the geography of suicide in young men: England and Wales 1981–2005. J Epidemiol Community Health 66:536–543
- Jennings H, Slade M, Bates P, Munday E, Toney R (2018) Best practice framework for Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) in collaborative data analysis of qualitative mental health research: methodology development and refinement. BMC Psychiatry 18(1):213
- Riessman CK (2008) Narrative methods for the human sciences.
 Sage, London
- Spector-Mersel G, Knaifel E (2018) Narrative research on mental health recovery: two sister paradigms. J Mental Health 27:298–306
- Toolan M (2012) Narrative: a critical linguistic introduction. Routledge, London
- Williams CC, Almeida M, Knyahnytska Y (2015) Towards a biopsychosociopolitical frame for recovery in the context of mental illness. Br J Social Work 45(suppl_1):i9–i26
- Slade M, Bird V, Clarke E, Le Boutillier C, McCrone P, Macpherson R, Pesola F, Wallace G, Williams J, Leamy M (2015) Supporting recovery in patients with psychosis through care by community-based adult mental health teams (REFOCUS): a multisite, cluster, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Psychiatry 2(6):503–514
- Bird V, Leamy M, Le Boutillier C, Williams J, Slade M (2014) REFOCUS: promoting recovery in mental health services, 2nd edn. Rethink Mental Illness, London
- Wallace G, Bird V, Leamy M, Bacon F, Le Boutillier C, Janosik M, MacPherson R, Williams J, Slade M (2016) Service user experiences of REFOCUS: a process evaluation of a pro-recovery complex intervention. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 51(9):1275–1284
- Slade M, Amering M, Farkas M, Hamilton B, O'Hagan M, Panther G, Perkins R, Shepherd G, Tse S, Whitley R (2014) Uses and abuses of recovery: implementing recovery-oriented practices in mental health systems. World Psychiatry 13(1):12–20
- Woods A, Hart A, Spandler H (2019) The recovery narrative: politics and possibilities of a genre. Cult Med Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-019-09623-y
- De Wolfe K, Academic TB (2019) Our resistance to recovery stories. https://thepolyphony.org/2019/05/13/our-resistance-to-recovery-narratives/. Accessed 24 May 2019
- 42. Carton T (2017) A welcome antidote to the evangelism of compulsory optimism and resilience. Adv Appl Sociol 7(02):83
- Rennick-Egglestone S, Morgan K, Llewellyn-Beardsley J, Ramsay A, McGranahan R, Gillard S, Hui A, Ng F, Schneider J, Booth S,

- Pinfold V, Davidson L, Franklin D, Bradstreet S, Arbour S, Slade M (2019) Mental health recovery narratives and their impact on recipients: systematic review and narrative synthesis. Can J Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743719846108
- 44. Williams J, Leamy M, Bird V, Le Boutillier C, Norton S, Pesola F, Slade M (2015) Development and evaluation of the INSPIRE measure of staff support for personal recovery. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 50(5):777–786
- Davidson L, Lynn L (2013) Beyond the storms: reflections on personal recovery in Devon. Devon Partnership NHS Trust, Devon
- Schrank B, Bird V, Rudnick A, Slade M (2012) Determinants, self-management strategies and interventions for hope in people with mental disorders: systematic search and narrative review. Soc Sci Med 74(4):554–564
- 47. Bentall RP, de Sousa P, Varese F, Wickham S, Sitko K, Haarmans M, Read J (2014) From adversity to psychosis: pathways and mechanisms from specific adversities to specific symptoms. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 49(7):1011–1022
- Cooke A, Basset T, Bentall R, Boyle M, Cupitt C, Dillon J (2014) Understanding psychosis and schizophrenia. British Psychological Society, London
- Longden E, Sampson M, Read J (2016) Childhood adversity and psychosis: generalised or specific effects? Epidemiol Psychiatric Sci 25(4):349–359
- 50. Johnstone L, Boyle M, Cromby J, Dillon J, Harper D, Kinderman P, Longden E, Pilgrim D, Read J (2018) The power threat meaning framework: towards the identification of patterns in emotional distress, unusual experiences and troubled or troubling behaviour, as an alternative to functional psychiatric diagnosis. British Psychological Society, Leicester
- Cooke A (2017) Understanding psychosis and schizophrenia: why people sometimes hear voices, believe things that others find strange, or appear out of touch with reality... and what can help. British Psychological Society, Leicester
- 52. Sweeney A, Clement S, Filson B, Kennedy A (2016) Traumainformed mental healthcare in the UK: what is it and how can we further its development? Mental Health Rev J 21(3):174–192
- Norrick NR (2005) The dark side of tellability. Narrat Inquiry 15(2):323–343
- Ochs E, Capps L (2009) Living narrative: creating lives in everyday storytelling. Harvard University Press, Boston
- 55. Baldwin C (2005) Narrative, ethics and people with severe mental illness. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 39(11–12):1022–1029
- 56. Mitchell M, Hollingshead K, Coppersmith G (2015) Quantifying the language of schizophrenia in social media. In: Proceedings of the 2nd workshop on computational linguistics and clinical psychology: from linguistic signal to clinical reality, pp 11–20
- Lysaker PH, Clements CA, Plascak-Hallberg CD, Knipscheer SJ, Wright DE (2002) Insight and personal narratives of illness in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Interpers Biol Process 65(3):197–206
- Roe D, Hasson-Ohayon I, Kravetz S, Yanos PT, Lysaker PH (2008) Call it a monster for lack of anything else: narrative insight in psychosis. J Nerv Ment Dis 196(12):859
- Perlin ML (2002) You have discussed lepers and crooks: sanism in clinical teaching. Clin Law Rev 9:683
- Poole J, Jivraj T, Arslanian A, Bellows K, Chiasson S, Hakimy H, Pasini J, Reid J (2012) Sanism, 'mental health', and social work/ education: a review and call to action. Intersect Glob J Social Work Anal Res Polity Pract 1(1):20–36
- Fricker M (2007) Epistemic injustice: power and the ethics of knowing. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- 62. Sutton-Brown CA (2014) Photovoice: a methodological guide. Photogr Cult 7(2):169–185
- Stickley T, Wright N, Slade M (2018) The art of recovery: outcomes from participatory arts activities for people using mental health services. J Ment Health 27(4):367–373



- Ravelin T, Kylmä J, Korhonen T (2006) Dance in mental health nursing: a hybrid concept analysis. Issues Mental Health Nursing 27(3):307–317
- 65. Carless D, Douglas K (2008) Narrative, identity and mental health: how men with serious mental illness re-story their lives through sport and exercise. Psychol Sport Exerc 9(5):576–594
- Kerr DJ, Deane FP, Crowe TP (2019) Narrative identity reconstruction as adaptive growth during mental health recovery: a narrative coaching boardgame approach. Front Psychol. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00994
- 67. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (2014) Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults: treatment and managementvol (NICE Clinical Guidelines, No. 178) 2, psychosis and schizophrenia in adults. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, London
- Bleakley A (2005) Stories as data, data as stories: making sense of narrative inquiry in clinical education. Med Educ 39(5):534–540
- Bal M (2009) Narratology: Introduction to the theory of narrative.
 University of Toronto Press, Toronto
- 70. Rhodes P, De Jager A (2014) Narrative studies of recovery: a critical resource for clinicians. Clin Psychol 18(3):99–107

