



# Correction to: Maternal blood glucose level and offspring glucose–insulin homeostasis: what is the role of offspring adiposity?

Ellen C. Francis<sup>1,2</sup> · Dana Dabelea<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Brandy M. Ringham<sup>1</sup> · Katherine A. Sauder<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Wei Perng<sup>1,2</sup>

Published online: 23 March 2021

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

## Correction to: Diabetologia

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-020-05294-2>

Unfortunately, HbA<sub>1c</sub> values were incorrectly converted from per cent to mmol/mol in this paper. The corrected text is provided below, along with sections of Table 1, showing corrected HbA<sub>1c</sub> data, and Tables 2 and 3, showing corrected estimates of association per 1 mmol/mol HbA<sub>1c</sub>.

Following the amendments to the HbA<sub>1c</sub> values in mmol/mol, the authors would like to note that the magnitude and direction of effects, as well as the *p* values reported, have not changed and that the overall findings of the study remain unaltered.

## Results

### Characteristics

The mean ± SD age of the women at enrolment was 28.7 ± 6.0 years, most were non-Hispanic white (58.6%) and the

median (range) of maternal HbA<sub>1c</sub> was 31.2 mmol/mol (16.9–45.4) (5.0% [3.7–6.3]).

### Assessment of offspring adiposity as mediator

This is likely due to the fact that, despite having a relatively normal distribution and meeting assumptions of multivariate normality, several women in our sample had a similar HbA<sub>1c</sub> (*n* = 98 [28.4%] with HbA<sub>1c</sub> = 32.2 mmol/mol [5.1%] or 31.2 mmol/mol [5.0%]), thereby reducing variability in the explanatory variable.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-020-05294-2>

---

✉ Ellen C. Francis  
Ellen.Francis@CUAnschutz.edu

<sup>1</sup> Lifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

**Table 1** Bivariate associations of maternal and offspring characteristics with maternal HbA<sub>1c</sub>

Characteristic	Overall ( <i>n</i> =345)	HbA <sub>1c</sub>			<i>p</i> value
		Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	
Maternal characteristics					
HbA <sub>1c</sub> , mmol/mol, median (range)	31.2 (16.9–45.4)	29.0 (17.0–30.1)	31.7 (31.2–32.2)	34.4 (33.3–45.5)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> , %, median (range)	5.0 (3.7–6.3)	4.8 (3.7–4.9)	5.1 (5.0–5.1)	5.3 (5.2–6.3)	–

**Table 2** Total effect of maternal HbA<sub>1c</sub> on offspring biomarkers of glucose–insulin homeostasis at age 4–7 years

Biomarker	Model 1		Model 2	
	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Glucose, mmol/l				
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	0.04 (−0.05, 0.13)		0.04 (−0.05, 0.13)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	0.17 (0.08, 0.26)*	<0.001 <sup>a*</sup>	0.16 (0.06, 0.25)*	<0.001 <sup>a*</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.009 (−0.003, 0.020)	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.007 (−0.004, 0.018)	0.23 <sup>b</sup>
1/(fasting insulin), pmol/l				
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	−0.001 (−0.004, 0.003)		0.000 (−0.004, 0.003)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	−0.004 (−0.008, −0.000)*	0.04 <sup>a*</sup>	−0.004 (−0.008, 0.000)	0.06 <sup>a</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.000 (−0.001, −0.000)*	0.04 <sup>b*</sup>	0.000 (−0.001, 0.000)	0.07 <sup>b</sup>
HOMA2-IR				
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	0.05 (−0.05, 0.15)		0.04 (−0.06, 0.14)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	0.09 (−0.00, 0.20)	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.08 (−0.02, 0.19)	0.11 <sup>a</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.008 (−0.005, 0.020)	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.006 (−0.002, 0.019)	0.32 <sup>b</sup>
HOMA2-B				
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	1.10 (−5.65, 7.85)		0.80 (−5.97, 7.58)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	0.99 (−6.11, 8.08)	0.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.62 (−6.52, 7.76)	0.86 <sup>a</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.460 (−0.388, 1.308)	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.411 (−0.447, 1.269)	0.35 <sup>b</sup>

Model 1 adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, child's sex and age at assessment; Model 2 included the same adjustments as Model 1, and was additionally adjusted for pre-pregnancy BMI

<sup>a</sup> *p* trend

<sup>b</sup> *p* difference

\*Statistical significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$

T1, tertile 1; T2, tertile 2; T3, tertile 3

**Table 3** Total and direct effects of maternal HbA<sub>1c</sub> on offspring biomarkers of glucose–insulin homeostasis at age 4–7 years and comparison of mediation by per cent fat mass (%FM) at birth, during childhood (age 4–7 years) and cumulatively from birth to childhood

Biomarker	Total effect		Natural direct effect			
	No adjustment for %FM	<i>p</i> value	Adjusted for %FM at birth	Adjusted for %FM at age 4–7 years	Adjusted for cumulative %FM (at birth + age 4–7 years)	<i>p</i> value
	β (95% CI)		β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	
Glucose, mmol/l						
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	0.04 (−0.05, 0.13)	<0.001 <sup>ab</sup>	0.05 (−0.04, 0.14)	0.04 (−0.05, 0.13)	0.05 (−0.04, 0.13)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	0.17 (0.08, 0.26)*	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.17 (0.08, 0.27)*	0.16 (0.07, 0.26)*	0.17 (0.08, 0.26)*	<0.001 <sup>ab</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.009 (−0.003, 0.020)		0.010 (−0.002, 0.021)	0.008 (−0.003, 0.020)	0.009 (−0.002, 0.0021)	0.12 <sup>b</sup>
1/(fasting insulin), pmol/l						
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	−0.001 (−0.004, 0.003)	0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	−0.001 (−0.004, 0.003)	−0.000 (−0.004, 0.003)	−0.000 (−0.004, 0.003)	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	−0.004 (−0.008, −0.000)*	0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	−0.004 (−0.008, −0.000)*	−0.004 (−0.007, 0.000)	−0.003 (−0.007, 0.001)	0.09 <sup>a</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	−0.000 (−0.001, −0.000)*	0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	−0.001 (−0.001, −0.000)*	−0.000 (−0.001, 0.000)	−0.000 (−0.001, 0.000)	0.07 <sup>b</sup>
HOMA2-IR						
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	0.05 (−0.05, 0.15)	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.04 (−0.05, 0.14)	0.04 (−0.06, 0.13)	0.03 (−0.06, 0.13)	0.23 <sup>a</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	0.09 (−0.00, 0.20)	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.08 (−0.02, 0.19)	0.07 (−0.03, 0.17)	0.06 (−0.04, 0.16)	0.51 <sup>b</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.008 (−0.005, 0.020)		0.006 (−0.006, 0.019)	0.006 (−0.006, 0.018)	0.004 (−0.008, 0.016)	
HOMA2-B						
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T2 vs T1	1.10 (−5.65, 7.85)	0.78 <sup>ab</sup>	0.72 (−5.98, 7.43)	0.44 (−6.14, 7.03)	0.10 (−6.45, 6.65)	0.71 <sup>a</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> T3 vs T1	0.99 (−6.11, 8.08)	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	−0.01 (−7.10, 7.09)	−0.74 (−7.70, 6.22)	−1.61 (−8.57, 5.35)	0.68 <sup>b</sup>
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (per 1 mmol/mol)	0.460 (−0.388, 1.308)		0.310 (−0.544, 1.163)	0.340 (−0.489, 1.169)	0.176 (−0.658, 1.010)	

Model 1 adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, child’s sex and age at assessment

<sup>a</sup> *p* trend

<sup>b</sup> *p* difference

\*Statistical significance at α = 0.05

T1, tertile 1; T2, tertile 2; T3, tertile 3