12. Matoba M, Kitadate M, Kondou T et al (2009) Depiction of hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma with 64-MDCT: comparison of moderate- and high concentration contrast material with and without saline flush. AJR Am J Roentgenol 193:738–744
13. Foley DW, Mallisee TA, Hohenwalter MD et al (2000) Multiphase hepatic CT with a multirow detector CT scanner. AJR Am J Roentgenol 175:679–685
14. Ichikawa T, Kitamura T, Nakajima H et al (2002) Hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma: can double arterial phase imaging with multidetector CT improve tumor depiction in the cirrhotic liver? AJR Am J Roentgenol 179(3):751–758
16. Schima W, Kulinna C, Ba-Ssalamah A et al (2005) Multidetektor-CT (MDCT) der Leber. Radiologe 45:15–23
17. Guan Y, Zheng X, Zhou X et al (2004) Multidetector CT in evaluating blood supply of hepatocellular carcinoma after transcatheter arterial chemoembolization. World J Gastroenterol 10(14):2127–2129
18. Vogt FM, Antoch G, Veit P et al (2007) Morphologic and functional changes in nontumorous liver tissue after radiofrequency ablation in an in vivo model: comparison of 18F-FDG PET/CT, MRI, ultrasound, and CT. J Nucl Med 48(11):1836–1844
19. Atassi B, Bangash AK, Salem R et al (2008) Multimodality Imaging following 90Y radioembolization: a comprehensive review and pictorial essay. Radiographics 28:81–99
21. Kalender WA, Perman WH, Vetter JR et al (1986) Evaluation of a prototype dual-energy computed tomographic apparatus. I. Phantom studies. Med Phys 13:334–339
22. Zhang L, Peng J, Wu S et al (2010) Liver virtual non-enhanced CT with dual-source, dual-energy CT: a preliminary study. Eur Radiol 20:2257–2264
23. De Cecco CN, Buffa V, Fedeli Y et al (2010) Dual energy CT (DECT) of the liver: conventional versus virtual unenhanced images. Eur Radiol 20:2870–2875
24. Petersilka M, Bruder H, Krauss B et al (2008) Technical principles of dual source CT. Eur J Radiol 68:362–368
25. Yeh BM, Shepherd JA, Wang ZJ et al (2009) Dual-energy and low-kVp CT in the abdomen. AJR Am J Roentgenol 193:47–54
28. Fletcher JG, Takahashi N, Hartman R et al (2009) Dual-energy and dual-source CT: is there a role in the abdomen and pelvis? Radiol Clin North Am 47:41–57
29. Veit-Haibach P, Treyer V, Strobel K et al (2010) Feasibility of integrated CT-liver perfusion in routine FDG-PET/CT. Abdom Imaging 35(5):528–536
32. Bellomi M, Rizzo S, Travaini LL, Bazzi L et al (2007) Role of multidetector CT and FDG-PET/CT in the diagnosis of local and distant recurrence of resected rectal cancer. Radiol Med 112(5):681–690
34. Niekel MC, Bipat S, Stoker J et al (2010)Diagnostic imaging of colorectal liver metastases with CT, MR imaging, FDG PET, and/or FDG PET/CT: a meta-analysis of prospective studies including patients who have not previously undergone treatment. Radiology 257(3):674–684
35. Dirisamer A, Halpern BS, Schima W et al (2008) Dual-time-point FDG-PET/CT for the detection of hepatic metastases. Mol Imaging Biol 10(6):335–340
36. Soyka JD, Veit-Haibach P, Strobel K et al (2008) Staging pathways in recurrent colorectal carcinoma: is contrast-enhanced 18F-FDG PET/CT the diagnostic tool of choice? J Nucl Med 49(3):354–361
38. Park JW, Kim JH, Kim SK et al (2008) Prospective evaluation of 18F-FDG and 11C-acetate PET/CT for detection of primary and metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. J Nucl Med 49:1912–1921
42. Talbot JN, Fartoux L, Balogova S et al (2010) Detection of hepatocellular carcinoma with PET/CT: a prospective comparison of 18F-fluorocholine and 18F-FDG in patients with cirrhosis or chronic liver disease. J Nucl Med 51(11):1699–1706
43. Antoch G, Vogt FM, Veit P et al (2005) Assessment of liver tissue after radiofrequency ablation: findings with different imaging procedures. J Nucl Med 46(3):520–525
44. Kuehl H, Antoch G, Stergar H et al (2008) Comparison of FDG-PET, PET/CT and MRI for follow-up of colorectal liver metastases treated with radiofrequency ablation: initial results. Eur J Radiol 67(2):362–371

Das vollständige Literaturverzeichnis ...

... finden Sie in der html-Version dieses Beitrags im Online-Archiv auf der Zeitschriftenhomepage www.DerRadiologe.de

Erratum

Radiologe 2011 · 51:679
DOI 10.1007/s00117-011-2226-7
Online publiziert: 15. August 2011

C. Greis
Medizinisches Marketing Ultraschall, Bracco Imaging Deutschland GmbH, Konstanz

Erratum zu: Technische Grundlagen der Kontrastsonographie im Überblick und Ausblick in die Zukunft

In der gedruckten Version dieses Beitrags fehlen einige Textpassagen. Sie finden den vollständigen Beitrag als SUPPLEMENTAL im elektronischen Volltextarchiv unter http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00117-011-2226-7.

Wir bitten, dieses Versehen zu entschuldigen.

Die Redaktion

Korrespondenzadresse

Dr. C. Greis
Medizinisches Marketing Ultraschall,
Bracco Imaging Deutschland GmbH,
Max-Stromeyer-Str. 116, 78467 Konstanz
christian.greis@bracco.com

Radiologe (2011) 51:456–461
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00117-010-2099-1