Comparison of three different approaches for anterior knee pain after tibia intramedullary nailing

Ke Lu^{1,2} · Yi-jun Gao² · Qi-rong Dong¹

Received: 25 February 2020 / Accepted: 11 May 2020 / Published online: 27 May 2020
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Dear Editor,

We read the study by Ozcan et al. [1] which compared three different approaches for anterior knee pain after tibia intramedullary nailing with interest. We extend our appreciation to the authors for this excellent work. Figure 2 showed entry point for the suprapatellar approach. However, the lateral view showed that the head of the sleeve is not in close contact with the opening area of the tibia when opening medullary canal via suprapatellar approach. A sharp drill bit can cause iatrogenic injury to the cartilage and other structures in the patellofemoral joint without the proper placement of the sleeve. *European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery* is a very influential journal, and we feel obligated to point this out, to make sure that the readers

know that the images accompanying the article represent a safe technique.

Funding This study was funded by Kunshan Social Development Science and Technology Project (CN) (KS1959), Suzhou Key Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment Technology Project (CN) (LCZX201925) and Suzhou Youth Science and Technology Project (CN) (KJXW2019063).

Conflict of interest The authors report no conflict of interest.

Reference

1. Ozcan C, Turkmen I, Sokucu S. Comparison of three different approaches for anterior knee pain after tibia intramedullary nailing. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-018-0988-6>.

✉ Qi-rong Dong
dongqirong@suda.edu.cn

Ke Lu
sgu8434@sina.com

Yi-jun Gao
gaoyijun2010@163.com

¹ Department of Orthopaedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, 1055 Sanxiang Road, Suzhou 215004, Jiangsu, China

² Department of Joint Surgery, Affiliated Kunshan Hospital of Jiangsu University, Suzhou 215300, Jiangsu, China