**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# Prone Dynamic CT Myelography in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension

## **Diagnostic Need and Radiation Doses**

## Niklas Lützen<sup>1</sup> · Enrique Barvulsky Aleman<sup>1</sup> · Christian Fung<sup>2</sup> · Juergen Beck<sup>2</sup> · Horst Urbach<sup>1</sup>

Received: 3 October 2022 / Accepted: 25 January 2023 / Published online: 3 March 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

**Background and Purpose** The diagnostic work-up in patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) and spinal longitudinal extradural CSF collection (SLEC) on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) comprises dynamic digital subtraction myelography (dDSM) in prone position for leak detection. Dynamic computed tomography (CT) myelography (dCT-M) in prone position follows if the leak is not unequivocally located. A drawback of dCT-M is a high radiation dose. This study evaluates the diagnostic needs of dCT-M examinations and measures to reduce radiation doses.

**Methods** Frequency, leak sites, length and number of spiral acquisitions, DLP and effective doses of dCT-M were retrospectively recorded in patients with ventral dural tears.

**Results** Of 42 patients with ventral dural tears, 8 underwent 11 dCT-M when the leak was not unequivocally shown on digital subtraction myelography. The median number of spiral acquisitions was 4 (range 3–7) and the mean effective radiation dose 30.6 mSv (range 13.1–62.16 mSv) mSv. Five of eight leaks were located in the upper thoracic spine (range C7/Th1–Th2/3). Bolus tracking of intrathecal contrast agent in dCT-M was used to limit the number and length of spiral acquisitions.

**Discussion** A dCT-M in prone position to localize a ventral dural tear is needed in every fifth patient with a SLEC on MRI. It is typically needed when the leak is located in the upper thoracic spine and when patients have broad shoulders. Measures to reduce the radiation dose include bolus tracking or to repeat the DSM with adjusted positioning of patient.

**Keywords** Orthostatic headache  $\cdot$  Spinal CSF leak  $\cdot$  Dural tear  $\cdot$  Digital subtraction myelography  $\cdot$  Spinal longitudinal extradural CSF collection

## Introduction

Spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) is an acquired disease resulting in orthostatic headache, which is in almost all cases caused by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks [1, 2].

Patients with distinct SIH signs on head magnetic resonance imaging (MRI, so-called head-positive) and predominantly ventral spinal longitudinal extradural CSF collection (so-called SLEC-positive) are suggestive to have a ventral dural tear which is typically located with prone dynamic digital subtraction myelography (dDSM) [3]. Ventral dural tears are often located in the upper thoracic spine and difficult to visualize with myelographic techniques, especially when patients have broad shoulders superimposing the spinal canal. In these situations, additional prone dynamic CT myelography (dCT-M) may be needed.

The dCT-M as a technique to localize so-called highflow CSF leaks was introduced in 2003 [4, 5]. At this time patients were lying flat on the CT table. With the use of a custom made tiltable wooden table patients can be placed

<sup>⋈</sup> Niklas Lützen niklas.luetzen@uniklinik-freiburg.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dept. of Neuroradiology, Faculty of Medicine, University Medical Center Freiburg, University of Freiburg, Breisacher Str. 64, 79106 Freiburg, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dept. of Neurosurgery, Medical Center—University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

in an upside down position which helps to control the intrathecal contrast flow toward a possible leak in the upper thoracic spine.

To date, only few data have been published on the radiation dose of dCT-M studies in SIH patients. This article provides detailed data of how often dCT-M, radiation doses and localization of the ventral leaks were acquired. As radiation exposure of dCT-M is ~3 times higher compared to dynamic digital subtraction myelography [6], approaches to reduce radiation exposure are evaluated.

# **Material and Methods**

A retrospective study was carried out of patients fulfilling the diagnostic criteria of SIH who had been evaluated with dynamic subtraction myelography and dynamic CT myelography in prone position [1].

We restricted the time period to 2021–2022 in order to assure that dynamic digital subtraction myelography, which typically is the first invasive diagnostic measure was carried out with a state of the art flat detector unit (Siemens icono biplane, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany).

Radiation exposures were recorded from the structured dose reports. The study was approved by the ethics committee (1249/22). Informed consent of the patients was obtained.

Dynamic CT myelography was performed on a 64-row multidetector CT scanner (Somatom Definition 64 AS; Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). The patient was placed on a customized table in a prone and head down position. Spiral acquisition was typically started a few seconds after the beginning of the injection of 15 ml Iomeprol 300M (Imeron 300M, Bracco Imaging, Milano, Italy) with a speed of approximately 2 ml/s. The first spiral acquisition was in a caudo-cranial direction, subsequent acquisitions alternating in cranio-caudal and caudo-cranial directions. Collimation was 0.75 mm, rotation speed 0.3 s, 120 kV reference tube voltage, 280 mAs reference tube current, and four-dimensional automatic real-time dose adjustment technology served as default parameters (CareDose4D, Siemens Healthineers).

Dynamic CT myelography comprises the following steps:

- 1. Placing a spinal needle in the lumbar subarachnoid space either under fluoroscopy in lateral decubitus position or on the CT scanner table in prone position.
- 2. Connection of a 20-mL syringe filled with 15 ml of a nonionic contrast agent (300 mg/ml) to an extension tube and injection of 1–2 ml to confirm the intrathecal needle position.





Fig. 1 Schematic drawing of dynamic CT myelography in prone position

- 3. Transport of the patient in lateral decubitus position from the flat detector unit to the CT scanner and placing the patient on a customized tiltable table in a prone and head down position with an additional pillow in order to compensate the lordosis of the lumbar spine (Fig. 1).
- 4. Acquisition of lateral CT scout of the spine covering the region of interest. The region of interest is determined using the MRI scans focusing on the SLEC sign and on osteophytes visible on MRI and the site suspicious of a ventral contrast egress in the epidural space on the dynamic myelograms.
- Acquisition of 1–5 spiral CT scans during and after the continuous manual injection of contrast with the neuroradiologist wearing an X-ray protective coat and standing behind a mobile acrylic lead glass shielding next to the gantry.

The approach is different from previous publications as the needle is placed under fluoroscopy and not in the CT scanner [7-9].

## **Approaches for Radiation Dose Reduction**

#### Bolus tracking of contrast agent in dCT-M in prone position

As it is unpredictable when the contrast column would overcome the thoracic kyphosis and reach the caudal border of the scanning range, we decided to include bolus tracking of contrast agent with the monitoring slice placed on the top of the thoracic kyphosis (Fig. 2b). The neuroradiologist in the CT room starts the injection of the contrast agent while the monitoring is started at the same time (one slice every second). Outside in the CT monitoring room, the scan is started by an assistant as soon as the contrast agent is visible intrathecally. **Fig. 2** Dynamic digital subtraction myelography failed to detect a CSF leak (**a**). On a lateral scout, a monitor was placed in the mid-thoracic spine (**b**: *arrow*), scan range was from C6 to Th6. A second spiral acquisition in cranio-caudal direction showed no extrathecal contrast (**c**–**e**), while this was visible on a subsequent scan 20 s later at the level Th1/2 (**f**–**h**: *arrows*)



#### Shoulder Lift for Optimal, Overlay-free Imaging in DDSM

# Results

For optimal positioning of patients for dDSM in the angiography suite, they are placed in prone and "swimmer's position", with one arm extended toward the head and the other arm extended along the torso (Fig. 5). The shoulder with the arm extended forward is supported by a kidney cup and an inflatable pump wedge (Fig. 5) that raises the shoulder gradually until the spinal canal is no longer superimposed by the humeral head (Fig. 3c). Out of 42 patients, who had ventral dural tears in an 18month period 8 underwent 11 dCT-M with a median number of 4 (range 3–7) spiral acquisitions and a mean effective radiation dose of 30.6 (range 13.1 to 79.8 mSv) (Table 1). In 34 patients, dDSM was able to show the exact site of leakage at the ventral aspect of the spine. In one patient, even dCT-M did not succeed to find the exact site of leakage (patient #3).

With respect to the entire cohort, 27 of 42 ventral leaks were located in the upper thoracic spine ranging from

**Fig. 3** Prone dynamic digital subtraction myelography was repeated as the first examination poorly visualized the upper thoracic spine (**a**, **b**). Special attention was paid to elevate one shoulder on the second examination (**c**). It showed a tiny ventral leak at Th1/2 confirmed by surgery (**d**: *arrow*)



C7/Th1 to Th3/4. Of the additional dynamic CT-M cohort, five of eight leaks were in this location (Table 1, Fig. 4).

Out of eight patients who underwent dCT-M seven were performed with bolus tracking of contrast agent. Whether bolus tracking helped to limit the number and length of spiral acquisitions, cannot be proven.

Out of 34 patients who underwent dDSM 10 were performed with an additional "pump wedge" to lift one shoulder to increase image quality (Fig. 5).

## Discussion

In order to precisely locate ventral dural tears, additional dynamic CT myelography following dynamic digital subtraction myelography in prone position is needed in every fifth patient. The radiation dose is around threefold compared to digital subtraction myelography ([6–9]; Table 2) and measures to reduce it are desirable. The most effective way to avoid a high radiation dose is to avoid dynamic CT myelography and instead to repeat dynamic digital subtraction myelography. Therefore, the patient can be placed in swimmer's position with one shoulder elevated using a so-called pump wedge so that it does not superimpose the



**Fig. 4** Location of 42 ventral leaks: 27 (64%) were in the upper thoracic spine. Prone dynamic CT myelography additional to dynamic subtraction myelography was performed in eight patients: five leaks were located at C7/Th1–Th2/3 (not highlighted separately in this Figure)



**Fig. 5** Patient in prone and swimmer's position in the angiography suite, table tilted head deep. Right shoulder is lifted with a "pump wedge" (*dashed arrow*), gradually inflatable with a pump device (*arrow*)

| Table 1   | Patients with a ventral dural tear that was not detected by dynamic digital subtraction myelography (DSM) in prone position. In these |
|-----------|---|
| patients, | DSM was followed by dynamic CT myelography which revealed the leak, except in patient 3. The table shows the examination frequency,   |
| characte  | ristics, localization of the leak, radiation doses and lists the use of bolus tracking of the contrast agent (in the right column)    |

| Patient | Sex, age<br>(years) | Leak<br>location | kV<br>mA/ref   | Scanning range | Volume CT dose<br>index (mGy)                               | Dose-length prod-<br>uct (mGy×cm)                         | Effective dose<br>(mSv);<br>DLP × 0.017 | Monitoring of contrast agent |
|---------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| 1       | m, 34               | Th1/2            | 100<br>484/300 | C4-Th5         | 21.5,<br>20.88,<br>21.59                                    | 339.2,<br>329.3,<br>340.4                                 | 17.59                                   | +                            |
|         | m, 35               | Th 1/2           | 100<br>572/350 | C7-Th6         | 25.41,<br>23.14,<br>25.23,<br>46.92                         | 378.5,<br>344.5,<br>375.7,<br>529.8                       | 28.22                                   | +                            |
| 2       | m, 36               | Th1/2            | 100<br>502/300 | C4-Th6         | 22.3,<br>22.79,<br>22.39,<br>19.81                          | 403.1,<br>410.0,<br>402.5,<br>565.8                       | 31.55                                   | +                            |
| 3       | m, 56               | Not<br>found     | 80<br>383/330  | Th4-9          | 8.02,<br>7.71,<br>8.59,<br>7.85,<br>6.97                    | 136.5,<br>131.2,<br>53.8,<br>185,<br>160.5                | 13.00                                   | +                            |
|         | m, 56               | Not<br>found     | 100<br>369/300 | Th5-10         | 16.39,<br>15.72,<br>16.39,<br>14.86,<br>12.57               | 208.6,<br>293.5,<br>306.2,<br>283.6,<br>308.7             | 26.28                                   | +                            |
| 4       | m, 54               | Th1/2            | 100<br>611/295 | C6-Th6         | 12.43,<br>27.14,<br>25.45,<br>28.7                          | 256.8,<br>517.9,<br>484.7,<br>486.8                       | 48.73                                   | +                            |
| 5       | f, 41               | Th 9/10          | 100<br>292/495 | Th5-L1         | 12.97,<br>12.39,<br>12.75                                   | 315,10<br>225,58<br>232,55                                | 13,14                                   | -                            |
| 6       | f, 64               | Th12/L1          | 100<br>227/495 | Th9-L1         | 10.08<br>9.91<br>10.08<br>9.46<br>9.37                      | 180,37<br>177,22<br>180,37<br>214,08<br>211,96            | 16,39                                   | -                            |
| 7       | m, 47               | C7/Th1           | 120<br>511/288 | C3-Th2         | 38.84<br>40.97<br>38.77                                     | 523,50<br>552,03<br>522,26                                | 27,16                                   | +                            |
| 8       | f, 59               | Th 2/3           | 120<br>363/288 | C5-Th8         | 16.87<br>27.59<br>27.59<br>33.67<br>27.06<br>27.67<br>26.98 | 283.3<br>562.2<br>561.9<br>350.6<br>620<br>607.2<br>618.8 | 62,16                                   | +                            |
|         | f, 59               | Th 2/3           | 100<br>637/495 | C4-Th7         | 14.75<br>28.3<br>27.5<br>28.2<br>28.16                      | 290.6<br>558.6<br>541.6<br>557.7<br>555.2                 | 43.5                                    | +                            |

Multiple numbers in the 6th and 7th column indicate the values of each CT scan

f female, m male, C cervical, Th thoracal, L lumbar, mGy milligray, mSv millisievert, DLP dose-length product

| Study              | Patients     | Ventral leak location    | Volume CT dose index<br>(mGy) | Dose-length product<br>(mGy × cm)                      | Effective dose<br>(mSv) |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Luetmer 2003 [4]   | n=4          | n.r.                     | 21.11                         | n.r.   | n.r.                    |
| Luetmer 2012 [10]  | n = 24       | n.r.                     | n.r.                          | n.r.   | n.r.                    |
| Thielen 2015 [7]   | <i>n</i> =14 | 3 cervical, 11 throracic | 21.4 per scan with 3–6 scans  | n.r.   | 70.6 (21.5–182.9)       |
| Dobrocky 2018 [9]  | <i>n</i> =10 | n.r.                     | 107 (12–246)                  | 1347 (550–3750)  | 24.3 (9.9–67.6)         |
| Nicholson 2021 [6] | n=33         | n.r.                     | 38.1 (10.2–103.7)             | 1184.9 (185.5–4848)                                    | 19.7 (3.2-82.4)         |
| Study              | Patients     | Ventral leak location    | Fluoroscopy time (min)        | Total kerma area prod-<br>uct (mGy × cm <sup>2</sup> ) | Effective dose<br>(mSv) |
| Nicholson 2021 [6] | n=42         | n.r.                     | 4.7 (1.8–8.1)                 | 34,053 (2932–88,374)                                   | 13 (2.6–31.7)           |
|                    |              |                          |                               |  |                         |

 Table 2
 Radiation doses in dynamic CT myelography. For comparison, a dynamic digital subtraction myelography study is provided (bottom line)

The values in the brackets indicate the ranges

n number, n.r. not reported, mGy milligray, mSv millisievert

spinal canal (Figs. 3, 4 and 5); however, this algorithm is only promising when the patients do not have broad shoulders or when spinal MRI suggests the leak to be located in the middle or lower thoracic spine. As two thirds of ventral dural tears are located in the upper thoracic spine, dynamic CT myelography in prone position will remain an indispensable tool in the work-up of SIH patients. Bolus tracking of contrast agent with placing the monitoring slice on the top of the thoracic kyphosis may help to reduce the number and length of spiral acquisitions although this cannot be proven from the small number of patients in this retrospective study.

Use of iterative reconstructions in CT examinations is a common means to reduce radiation dose [11]. Whether it is helpful to reduce the tube voltage to better use the iodine contrast or to use dual energy CT are further open questions [12].

# Limitations

We are aware that a retrospective study with changing the technique and scanning parameters over the time is far away from a controlled trial; however, the need to exactly locate ventral dural tears in patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension is evident and many leaks have been missed for years [13, 14]. Thus, it is important to report on the technical challenges associated with the work-up of these patients.

# Conclusion

The use of dCT-M has a high radiation dose but is sometimes indispensable in the search for ventral dural leaks in SIH patients. Its usage should be limited whenever possible by the less dose-intensive dDSM, where special positioning techniques of the patient may help to increase detectability of leaks. In addition, bolus tracking of contrast agent is an approach to potentially reduce the number of CT scans and therefore radiation dosages.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** N. Lützen, E. Barvulsky Aleman, C. Fung, J. Beck and H. Urbach declare that they have no competing interests.

**Ethical standards** All procedures performed in the studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4. 0/.

## References

- 1. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1–211.
- Schievink WI, Maya MM, Jean-Pierre S, Nuño M, Prasad RS, Moser FG. A classification system of spontaneous spinal CSF leaks. Neurology. 2016;87:673–9.
- Luetzen N, Dovi-Akue P, Fung C, Beck J, Urbach H. Spontaneous intracranial hypotension: diagnostic and therapeutic workup. Neuroradiology. 2021;63:1765–72.

- Luetmer PH, Mokri B. Dynamic CT myelography: a technique for localizing high-flow spinal cerebrospinal fluid leaks. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2003;24:1711–4.
- Ph L, Km S, Lj E, Ch H, Re C, Fe D. When should I do dynamic CT myelography? Predicting fast spinal CSF leaks in patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2012;33(4):690. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2849.
- Nicholson PJ, Guest WC, van Prooijen M, Farb RI. Digital subtraction myelography is associated with less radiation dose than CTbased techniques. Clin Neuroradiol. 2021;31:627–31.
- Thielen KR, Sillery JC, Morris JM, Hoxworth JM, Diehn FE, Wald JT, Rosebrock RE, Yu L, Luetmer PH. Ultrafast dynamic computed tomography myelography for the precise identification of high-flow cerebrospinal fluid leaks caused by spiculated spinal osteophytes. J Neurosurg Spine. 2015;22:324–31.
- Kranz PG, Luetmer PH, Diehn FE, Amrhein TJ, Tanpitukpongse TP, Gray L. Myelographic Techniques for the Detection of Spinal CSF Leaks in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2016;206:8–19.
- 9. Dobrocky T, Mosimann PJ, Zibold F, Mordasini P, Raabe A, Ulrich CT, Gralla J, Beck J, Piechowiak EI. Cryptogenic Cerebrospinal

Fluid Leaks in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: Role of Dynamic CT Myelography. Radiology. 2018;289:766–72.

- Luetmer PH, Schwartz KM, Eckel LJ, Hunt CH, Carter RE, Diehn FE. When should I do dynamic CT myelography? Predicting fast spinal CSF leaks in patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2012;33:690–4.
- Komlosi P, Zhang Y, Leiva-Salinas C, Ornan D, Patrie JT, Xin W, Grady D, Wintermark M. Adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction reduces patient radiation dose in neuroradiology CT studies. Neuroradiology. 2014;56:187–93.
- Houk JL, Marin DM, Malinzak MD, Kranz PG, Amrhein TJ. Dual energy CT for the identification of CSF-venous fistulas and CSF leaks in spontaneous intracranial hypotension: report of four cases. Radiol Case Rep. 2022;17:1824–9.
- Nicoletti T, Modoni A, Gaudino S, Frisullo G. Ventral longitudinal Intraspinal fluid collection presenting as upper limb amyotrophy. Eur Neurol. 2018;80:126–7.
- 14. Lützen N, Zeitlberger A, Beck J, Urbach H. Teaching neuroimages: dynamic digital subtraction myelography discloses a ventral CSF leak in a patient with upper limb Amyotrophy. Clin Neuroradiol. 2022; https://doi.org/10.1007/s00062-022-01210-w.