#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Support Vector Machine-based Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension Detection on Brain MRI

Philipp G. Arnold<sup>1</sup> · Emre Kaya<sup>1</sup> · Marco Reisert<sup>2</sup> · Niklas Lützen<sup>1</sup> · Philippe Dovi-Akué<sup>1</sup> · Christian Fung<sup>3</sup> · Jürgen Beck<sup>3</sup> · Horst Urbach<sup>1</sup>

Received: 1 August 2021 / Accepted: 9 September 2021 / Published online: 19 October 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

### Abstract

**Background and Purpose** To develop a fully automatic algorithm for the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) identification of patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH).

**Material and Methods** A support vector machine (SVM) was trained with structured reports of 140 patients with clinically suspected SIH. Venous sinuses and basal cisterns were segmented on contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MPRAGE (Magnetization Prepared-Rapid Gradient Echo) sequences using a convolutional neural network (CNN). For the segmented sinuses and cisterns, 56 radiomic features were extracted, which served as input data for the SVM. The algorithm was validated with an independent cohort of 34 patients with proven cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks and 27 patients who had MPRAGE scans for unrelated reasons.

**Results** The venous sinuses and the suprasellar cistern had the best discriminative power to separate SIH and non-SIH patients. On a combined score with 2 points, mean SVM score was 1.41 ( $\pm 0.60$ ) for the SIH and 0.30 ( $\pm 0.53$ ) for the non-SIH patients (p < 0.001). Area under the curve (AUC) was 0.91.

**Conclusion** A fully automatic algorithm analyzing a single MRI sequence separates SIH and non-SIH patients with a high diagnostic accuracy. It may help to consider the need of invasive diagnostics and transfer to a SIH center.

**Keywords** Bern score  $\cdot$  Machine learning  $\cdot$  Convolutional neural network  $\cdot$  Cerebrospinal fluid leak  $\cdot$  Magnetic resonance imaging

#### Abbreviations

CNN	Convolutional neural network
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
GT	Ground truth
IPC	Interpeduncular cistern
PPC	Prepontine cistern
SIH	Spontaneous intracranial hypotension

Availability of Data Upon request.

Code Availability Upon request.

Philipp G. Arnold philipp.arnold@uniklinik-freiburg.de

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Neuroradiology, Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Breisacher Str. 64, 79106 Freiburg, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Physics, Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

SSC	Suprasellar cistern
SVM	Support vector machine

# Introduction

Spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) is an orthostatic headache syndrome that in almost all cases is caused by spinal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks [1]. Diagnostic criteria include a CSF pressure <60 mm H<sub>2</sub>O and/or evidence of a CSF leak on imaging [2]; however, only approximately one third of SIH patients have a CSF opening pressure below 60 mm H<sub>2</sub>O, and the CSF opening pressure can, in fact, be normal or even elevated, particularly in patients with a long history of SIH [3, 4], or with large abdominal girth [5].

Among the numerous cranial MRI signs of SIH pachymeningeal enhancement (83%) and engorgement of the venous sinuses (93%) have the highest sensitivity; however, 20–30% of SIH patients are considered to have normal MRI scans [1, 6]. Engorgement of the venous sinuses does not only mean a volume plus but also a change of shape with, e.g. the inferior margin of the midportion of the dominant transverse sinus showing a distended convex appearance called the venous distension sign [7]. Dobrocky et al. 2019 proposed a scoring system which has been later termed the Bern score where pachymeningeal contrast enhancement, engorgement of venous sinuses and effacement of the suprasellar cistern of 4.0 mm or less were shown to be the most important discriminating features and weighted with 2 points each while subdural fluid collection, effacement of the prepontine cistern of 5mm or less, and a mamillopontine distance of 6.5 mm or less were weighted with 1 point each resulting into a maximum score of 9 [8]. Patients with total scores of 2 points or fewer were classified as having a low, with 3-4 points as having an intermediate, and with 5 or more points as having a high probability for a spinal CSF leak; however, some of the features (especially the engorgement of the venous sinuses) are somewhat arbitrary in definition which can lead to a different assessment for different raters. We hypothesized that these and other shape changes of the venous sinuses are better detected by a machine learning algorithm. Therefore, in order to overcome such subjective visual assessments and to avoid timeconsuming distance measurements we sought to develop a fully automatic classifier that discriminates SIH patients and healthy controls.

# Material and Methods

For training of a support vector machine (SVM) algorithm, we analyzed sagittal contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MPRAGE (Magnetization Prepared-Rapid Gradient Echo) sequences of 140 patients (149 MRI scans, female: 91, male: 58, mean age 44±12 years) who were transferred to our clinic with suspected SIH between 2015 and 2020. They then received a standardized MRI protocol of the head and spine on a 1.5 (n=120) or 3 (n=29) Tesla scanner (Avanto/Trio/Prisma, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) and had structured reports with respect to the points of the SIH score proposed by Dobrocky et al. [8]. Of the 149 MRI scans 19 (12.8%) showed engorgement of the venous sinuses, 65 (43.6%) pachymeningeal enhancement, 39 (26.1%) effacement of the suprasellar cistern, 61 (40.9%) effacement of the preportine cistern, 51 (34.2%) reduced mamillopontine distances and 20 (13.4%) subdural fluid collections.

The MRI protocol included axial T2-weighted, axial Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), sagittal 3D-Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) and sagittal contrastenhanced MPRAGE sequences for the head and sagittal 3D T2-weighted SPACE (Sampling Perfection with Application optimized Contrasts using different flip angle Evolution) sequences for the spine.

## Segmentation of Volumes and Training of a Segmentation CNN

#### Venous Sinuses

The superior sagittal sinus was segmented from approximately 1-2 cm behind the coronal suture down to the confluens sinuum. The straight sinus was segmented in its entire length, and both transverse sinuses downwards to the transition to the sigmoid sinuses.

#### **Basal Cisterns**

The preportine cistern was segmented from the pontomesencephalic to the pontobulbar transition in craniocaudal direction and from the right to the left side so that the volume did not reach beyond the ventral pons surface. The suprasellar cistern was segmented from the pituitary gland upwards to the optic chiasm and from the ventral pituitary border to the pituitary stalk. The interpeduncular cisterns were segmented from the pontomesencephalic transition upwards to the mammillary bodies. Lateral borders were the cerebral peduncles (Fig. 1).

For training of the segmentation CNN, the abovementioned structures of 36 patients of the training cohort were manually segmented by an experienced neuroradiologist using the in-house developed postprocessing platform NORA (www.nora-imaging.com). The U-net like neuronal network was implemented using the patchwork framework (https:// bitbucket.org/reisert/patchwork/) and trained on contrastenhanced T1-weighted MPRAGE scans. The CropGenerator was set to 3 dimensions (ndim=3), the patch size to  $32 \times 32 \times 32$  voxels ("patch\_size": [32,32,32]) and the depth to 4 (depth=4). The PatchworkModel itself was trained with 5 epochs (epochs = 5), 1000 iterations (num\_its = 1000) and data augmentation was done with a random rotation angle of the patches dphi = 0.2 ("dphi": 0.2). The output of the CNN is a voxel-wise probability value between 0 and 1 indicating whether the voxel belongs to the respective volume as displayed in Fig. 1.

The accuracy of the CNN segmentation was assessed with Dice coefficients. Thresholds showing the best overlap between manual and CNN segmentation were chosen for subsequent feature extraction (Suppl. Fig. 1a, b). As the Dice coefficient for the straight sinus was worse than that of the other sinuses, it was disregarded in the further analysis. Fig. 1 Midsagittal contrastenhanced MPRAGE (Magnetization Prepared-Rapid Gradient Echo) slice (**a**) with automatically segmented suprasellar cistern (*red*), prepontine cistern (*green*), interpeduncular cistern (*blue*) and superior sagittal sinus (*yellow*) and coronal (**b**) and axial (**c**) projections



## Feature Extraction

Eight radiomic 3D-shape features (major axis length, minor axis length, least axis length, elongation, flatness, surface area to volume ratio, sphericity, and volume) were extracted using the python library pyradiomics [9]. The dominant transverse sinus (ST-D) was determined by comparing the volumes of both transverse sinuses. In summary, we analyzed 32 (4 \* 8) radiomic features for the sinuses, and 8 radiomic features for each cistern.

## SVM Classifier and SVM Training

For each of the automatically segmented volumes a SVM (python library scikit-learn [10]) was trained to discriminate absent (0) and present (1) SIH findings taking the structured reports as ground truth (GT). To determine the best combination of SVM parameters, i.e. C and gamma for radial basis function (RBF) kernels and C for linear kernels, in respect of area under the curve (AUC) a grid search approach was applied. Preprocessing was done by the StandardScaler to assure normal distribution of the input data. For the RBF kernel gamma was varied between 0.001 and 30 and C between 0.01 and 100, for the linear kernel C was varied between 0.001 and 750. The class\_weight was set to "balanced". To assess the sensitivity and specificity of the SVM results compared to the ground truth a leave-one-out crossvalidation was performed. The assessments of the SVM results in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for engorgement of the venous sinuses (Sinus), effacement of the preportine cistern (PPC), effacement of the suprasellar cistern (SSC) and effacement of the interpeduncular cistern (IPC) are displayed in Table 1. As specificity was low, we disregarded the interpeduncular cistern for the validation. For each SVM a linear kernel with the respective C as shown in Table 1 value was used.

# Results

For validation, we analyzed 34 patients (female: 25, male: 9, mean age  $45 \pm 10$  years) with a CSF leak proven by conventional myelography, CT myelography or digital subtraction myelography (DSM) between 2018 and 2020 and no prior SIH-related treatment and 27 patients (female: 18, male: 9, mean age  $46 \pm 13$  years) who underwent a contrastenhanced T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence for unrelated reasons and had inconspicuous findings. Of the patients 27 (79.4%) of the SIH group had a CSF leak due to ventral dural tears, 5 (14.7%) patients due to leaking meningeal diverticulae, and 2 (5.9%) patients due to CSF venous fistuals. From the controls 27 patients were chosen so that they closely matched the age and the sex distribution of the CSF leak group.

Among the segmented volumes, engorgement of the venous sinuses had the highest discriminative power to separate SIH patients and controls. The best discriminating radiomic features for the venous sinuses were the volume and the surface to volume ratio (Suppl. Fig. 2a and b). For the suprasellar cistern, the best discriminating radiomic features were least axis length and volume (Suppl. Fig. 3). The effacement of the prepontine cistern did not contribute to the discrimination between SIH-patients and normal controls, so it was disregarded for the calculation of the final SVM score. An example for midsagittal contrast-enhanced MPRAGE slices of a patient in the SIH group and the control group are shown in Fig. 2a, b.

 Table 1
 Four-field tables comparing ground truth (GT) with SVM predictions (P)

	Sinus		PPC		SSC		IPC	
<i>n</i> = 149	P=0	P=1	P=0	P=1	P=0	P=1	P=0	P=1
GT=0	97 65%	33 22%	71 48%	17 11%	95 64%	15 10%	70 47%	28 19%
GT = 1	2 1%	17 11%	15 10%	46 31%	8 5%	31 21%	24 16%	27 18%
Sensitivity	74.6%		80.7%		86.4%		71.4%	
Specificity	89.5%		75.4%		79.5%		52.9%	
Accuracy	76.5%		78.5%		84.6%		65.1%	
С	0.01		0.1		1		2.5	

Ground truth (GT)=0 means that the sign was rated as absent in the structured reports, GT=1 as present.

P=0 (absent) and P=1 (present) are the support vector machine (SVM) results.

PPC prepontine cistern, SSC suprasellar cistern, IPC interpeduncular cistern

 Table 2
 Results from the scoring of the validation cohort

Score	Sinus + S	Sinus + SSC		Sinus		SSC		PPC	
	SIH	Controls	SIH	Controls	SIH	Controls	SIH	Controls	
0P	2	20	7	23	13	23	24	12	
1 P	16	6	27	4	21	4	10	15	
2 P	16	1	-	-	_	-	-	_	
Mean	1.41	0.30	0.79	0.15	0.62	0.15	0.29	0.56	

Two spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) patients (5.9%) have 0 points (P), 16 patients (47.1%) 1 point and another 16 patients (47.1%) 2 points (mean:  $1.41 \pm 0.60$ ), 20 non-SIH patients (74.1%) have 0 points, 6 patients (22.2%) 1 point and 1 patient (3.7%) 2 points (mean:  $0.30 \pm 0.53$ ). Engorgement of the venous sinuses have a higher discriminative power than effacement of the suprasellar cistern (SSC). The prepontine cistern (PPC) was eliminated from the calculation of the SVM score due to its low specificity.

 Table 3
 SVM score vs. results from human reading (HR) for the validation cohort

	SVM score = $0$	SVM score = $1$	SVM score = $2$
HR = 0	1 (2.9%)	2 (5.9%)	0 (0%)
HR = 1	1 (2.9%)	9 (26.4%)	5 (14.7%)
HR = 2	0 (0%)	5 (14.7%)	11 (32.4%)

Confusion matrix for support vector machine (SVM) score compared to the results from the human reading (HR): 21 patients (61.8%) had the same score in human reading and SVM score, 13 patients (38.2%) had a score differing by one point, no patients had a score differing by 2 points. The mean score from human reading was  $1.38 \pm 0.64$  and the mean score of the SVM algorithm was  $1.41 \pm 0.60$  (p = n.s.)

The final SVM score consists of the sum of the Sinus and SSC score, leading to a maximum score of 2 (1 point for engorgement of the venous sinuses, 1 for effacement of the suprasellar cistern) and is shown in Fig. 3a for the validation cohort. The prepontine cistern was eliminated from the calculation of the SVM score due to its low specificity. The results for the SVM (i.e. Sinus+SSC), Sinus, SSC and PPC score are shown in Table 2.

The mean SVM score was 1.41 (±0.60) for the SIH and 0.30 (±0.53) for the non-SIH patients (Mann-Whitney U-test, p < 0.001). The area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was 0.91 (Fig. 3b). For comparison, we reviewed the structured reports of the 34 SIH patients which showed a good agree-

ment between the SVM score and the results from the human reading as can be seen in the confusion matrix (Table 3).

# Discussion

In this study, we showed the feasibility of an automated approach of classifying head MRI scans to identify patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH). A single MRI sequence, namely a contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence, which is part of our standard MRI protocol was analyzed [11]. As the segmentation of the considered volumes (superior sagittal sinus, both transverse sinuses, suprasellar cistern) is done by a computer there is no variability between raters allowing for an objective and unbiassed MRI assessment. This could be e.g. helpful in the follow-up of SIH patients and whether they show a benefit from treatment such as epidural blood patches.

Patients with proven CSF leaks in the validation cohort had as underlying causes ventral dural tears, meningeal diverticulae, and CSF venous fistulas in a proportion similar to previous reports [12, 13].

In contrast to the 9-point score proposed by Dobrocky et al. which has been termed the Bern score later on [8, 14], we used a 2-point score. This simplification is due to

Fig. 2 Sagittal contrast-enhanced MPRAGE (Magnetization Prepared-Rapid Gradient Echo) slices in a 34-year-old man with SIH due to a ventral dural tear at TH1/2 (a) and a 60-year-old man with an ocular myasthenia and a normal MRI (b). SVM-based SIH score is 2 in  $(\mathbf{a})$  and 0 in  $(\mathbf{b})$ 

а 2!

Count

5

0



Fig. 3 a SVM score for the validation cohort b ROC curve for SVM score consisting of the sum of the Sinus and SSC score. AUC is 0.91

the facts that effacements of the interpeduncular and prepontine cisterns are not accurately identified by the SVM algorithm and the trained CNN cannot accurately segment subdural effusions and pachymeningeal enhancement yet; however, there are other anatomical structures such as the pituitary gland which are more easily to segment and likely have good discriminating radiomic features (e.g. its superior contour) deserving further training and validation.

A limitation of our study is that the SVM algorithm was trained with structured reports of 19 independent neuroradiological physicians with an unknown intrarater and interrater variability; however, if only patients with proven CSF leaks and healthy controls would have been used for training, the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis would likely be higher. So, training with a higher number of proven CSF leaks and analyzing additional anatomic structures responsive to CSF volume changes are future tasks.

A limitation of any automated approach is that it will fail to detect patients with (MRI signs of) intracranial hypertension who suddenly develop a CSF leak. Moreover, whether patients with very severe brain sagging are identified is not clear yet.

## Conclusion

We propose a simple, fully automatic SVM algorithm that analyzes contrast-enhanced MPRAGE sequences to identify SIH patients with a high diagnostic accuracy. It may help to consider the need of invasive diagnostics and transfer to a SIH center.

Supplementary Information The online version of this article (https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00062-021-01099-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Author Contribution All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation and data collection were performed by P. G. Arnold, E. Kaya, N. Lützen, P. Dovi-Akué, C. Fung, J. Beck and H. Urbach. Data analysis was performed by P. G. Arnold and M. Reisert. The first draft of the manuscript was written by P. G. Arnold and H. Urbach and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** P. G. Arnold, E. Kaya, M. Reisert, N. Lützen, P. Dovi-Akué, C. Fung, J. Beck and H. Urbach declare that they have no competing interests.

**Ethical standards** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants or on human tissue were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional (EK 357/20) and/or national research committee and with the 1975 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study (consent to participate waived by IRB).

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4. 0/.

## References

- 1. Schievink WI. Spontaneous spinal cerebrospinal fluid leaks and intracranial hypotension. JAMA. 2006;295:2286–96.
- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1–211.

- Häni L, Fung C, Jesse CM, Ulrich CT, Miesbach T, Cipriani DR, Dobrocky T, Z'Graggen WJ, Raabe A, Piechowiak EI, Beck J. Insights into the natural history of spontaneous intracranial hypotension from infusion testing. Neurology. 2020;95:e247–55.
- Beck J, Fung C, Ulrich CT, Fiechter M, Fichtner J, Mattle HP, Mono ML, Meier N, Mordasini P, Z'Graggen WJ, Gralla J, Raabe A. Cerebrospinal fluid outflow resistance as a diagnostic marker of spontaneous cerebrospinal fluid leakage. J Neurosurg Spine. 2017;27:227–34.
- Kranz PG, Tanpitukpongse TP, Choudhury KR, Amrhein TJ, Gray L. How common is normal cerebrospinal fluid pressure in spontaneous intracranial hypotension? Cephalalgia. 2016;36:1209–17.
- Urbach H. Intracranial hypotension: clinical presentation, imaging findings, and imaging-guided therapy. Curr Opin Neurol. 2014;27:414–24.
- Farb RI, Forghani R, Lee SK, Mikulis DJ, Agid R. The venous distension sign: a diagnostic sign of intracranial hypotension at MR imaging of the brain. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2007;28:1489–93.
- Dobrocky T, Grunder L, Breiding PS, Branca M, Limacher A, Mosimann PJ, Mordasini P, Zibold F, Haeni L, Jesse CM, Fung C, Raabe A, Ulrich CT, Gralla J, Beck J, Piechowiak EI. Assessing Spinal Cerebrospinal Fluid Leaks in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension With a Scoring System Based on Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings. JAMA Neurol. 2019;76:580–7.
- van Griethuysen JJM, Fedorov A, Parmar C, Hosny A, Aucoin N, Narayan V, Beets-Tan RGH, Fillion-Robin JC, Pieper S, Aerts HJWL. Computational Radiomics System to Decode the Radiographic Phenotype. Cancer Res. 2017;77:e104–7.
- Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V, Vanderplas J, Passos A, Cournapeau D, Brucher M, Perrot M, Duchesnay É. Scikit-learn: machine learning in Python. J Mach Learn Res. 2011;12:2825–30.
- Urbach H, Fung C, Dovi-Akue P, Lützen N, Beck J. Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2020;117:480–7.
- Schievink WI, Maya MM, Jean-Pierre S, Nuño M, Prasad RS, Moser FG. A classification system of spontaneous spinal CSF leaks. Neurology. 2016;87:673–9.
- Farb RI, Nicholson PJ, Peng PW, Massicotte EM, Lay C, Krings T, terBrugge KG. Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: A Systematic Imaging Approach for CSF Leak Localization and Management Based on MRI and Digital Subtraction Myelography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2019;40:745–53.
- 14. Brinjikji W, Savastano LE, Atkinson JLD, Garza I, Farb R, Cutsforth-Gregory JK. A Novel Endovascular Therapy for CSF Hypotension Secondary to CSF-Venous Fistulas. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2021;42:882–7.