



Concentration of solutions for fractional Kirchhoff equations with discontinuous reaction

Zhisu Liu, Vicențiu D. Rădulescu and Ziqing Yuan

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the following fractional Kirchhoff equation with discontinuous nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} \left(\varepsilon^{2\alpha} a + \varepsilon^{4\alpha-3} b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + V(x)u = H(u - \beta)f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ are small parameters, $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ and a, b are positive constants, $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$ is the fractional Laplacian operator, H is the Heaviside function, V is a positive continuous potential, and f is a superlinear continuous function with subcritical growth. By using minimax theorems together with the non-smooth theory, we obtain existence and concentration properties of positive solutions to this non-local system.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J25, 35Q51, 34A36.

Keywords. Fractional Kirchhoff problem, Discontinuous nonlinearity, Heaviside function, Concentration, Variational method.

1. Introduction and results

This paper is devoted to the qualitative analysis of solutions for the fractional Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^3 . We are concerned with the existence and multiplicity of solutions, as well as with concentration properties of solutions for small values of two positive parameters. A feature of this paper is that the reaction has lack of regularity, which allows to consider larger classes of nonlinearities. The main result is described in the final part of this section.

1.1. Overview

In the last decade, the investigation of nonlinear problems involving fractional and non-local operators has achieved an immense popularity. This is due to the fundamental role of such problems in the analysis of several complex phenomena such as phase transition, game theory, image processing, population dynamics, minimal surfaces and anomalous diffusion, as they are the typical outcome of stochastically stabilization of Lévy processes; see, for instance, the monograph [35] for more details. Moreover, such equations and the associated fractional operators allow us to develop a generalization of quantum mechanics and also to describe the motion of a chain or an array of particles that are connected by elastic springs as well as unusual diffusion processes in turbulent fluid motions and material transports in fractured media; for more details, see [13, 14] and the references therein.

The purpose of this paper is to study the existence and concentration of positive solutions for the following fractional Kirchhoff-type equation:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\varepsilon^{2\alpha} a + \varepsilon^{4\alpha-3} b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + V(x)u = H(u - \beta)f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (\text{K})$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and a, b are positive constants, $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ are positive parameters, H is the Heaviside function given by

$$H(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ is the fractional Laplacian defined as $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2\alpha}\mathcal{F}(u))$, where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^3 . The potential $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the following conditions introduced by Rabinowitz in [40]:

(V₀) there exist $V_0, V_\infty > 0$ such that

$$V_0 := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) < \liminf_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} V(y) = V_\infty,$$

and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function fulfilling the following hypotheses:

(f₁) $f(t) = 0$ for all $t < 0$ and $f(t) = o(t^3)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

(f₂) There exists $4 < p < 2_\alpha^* - 1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^p} = 0,$$

where $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, $2_\alpha^* = \frac{6}{3-2\alpha}$ is the fractional critical exponent.

(f₃) The function $t \rightarrow \frac{f(t)}{t^3}$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$.

(f₄) $f(t) \geq \gamma t^\sigma$ for all $t > 0$ with some $\gamma > 0$ and $\sigma \in (3, p - 1)$.

Obviously, it follows from the conditions of (f₁)-(f₃) that

$$F(t) \geq 0, \quad 4F(t) \leq f(t)t, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$.

When $a = 1, b = 0$, (K) reduces to the following fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\varepsilon^{2\alpha}(-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.2}$$

which has been proposed by Laskin [26] in fractional quantum mechanics as a result of extending the Feynman integrals from the Brownian like to the Lévy like quantum mechanical paths. For such a class of fractional and non-local problems, Caffarelli and Silvestre [14] expressed $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ as a Dirichlet–Neumann map for a certain local elliptic boundary value problem on the half-space. This method is a valid tool to deal with equations involving fractional operators to get regularity and handle variational methods. We refer the readers to [22, 43] and to the references therein. Investigated first in [20] via variational methods, there has been a lot of interest in the study of the existence and multiplicity of solutions for (1.2) when V and f satisfy general conditions. We cite [17, 42] with no attempts to provide a complete list of references.

If $\alpha = \varepsilon = 1$ and \mathbb{R}^3 is replaced by bounded domain Ω , then problem (K) formally reduces to the well-known Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a + b \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

related to the stationary analogue of the Kirchhoff–Schrödinger-type equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(a + b \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(t, x, u),$$

where u denotes the displacement, f is the external force, b is the initial tension, and a is related to the intrinsic properties of the string. Equations of this type were first proposed by Kirchhoff [25] in the one-dimensional case, without forcing term and with Dirichlet boundary conditions, in order to describe the transversal free vibrations of a clamped string in which the dependence of the tension on the deformation cannot be neglected. This is a quasilinear partial differential equation; namely, the nonlinear part of the

equation contains as many derivatives as the linear differential operator. The Kirchhoff equation is an extension of the classical d’Alembert wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings. Kirchhoff’s model takes into account the changes in length of the string produced by transverse vibrations. Besides, we also point out that such non-local problems appear in other fields like biological systems, where u describes a process depending on the average of itself; see Alves et al. [1]. The solvability of the Kirchhoff-type equations has been well studied in a general dimension by various authors only after J.-L. Lions [28] introduced an abstract framework to such problems. For more recent results concerning Kirchhoff-type equations in bounded or unbounded domain, we refer, e.g., to [11, 23, 27, 29, 30, 33, 34, 37, 45, 48] and their references.

In the non-local fractional framework, Fiscella and Valdinoci in [21], proposed the following stationary Kirchhoff variational equation with critical growth

$$\begin{cases} M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u = \lambda f(x, u) + |u|^{2^*_{\alpha}-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

which models non-local aspects of the tension arising from measurements of the fractional length of the string. They in [21] obtained the existence of non-negative solutions when M and f are continuous functions satisfying suitable assumptions. After that, some existence and multiplicity results to problem (1.4) were obtained in [9, 10, 38, 39, 46] and their references. Recently, several authors have also been paid attention to the existence and multiplicity of solutions for fractional Kirchhoff equations in \mathbb{R}^N via variational and topological methods. Precisely, Ambrosio and Isernia [7] considered the fractional Kirchhoff problem

$$\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.5}$$

where f is an odd subcritical nonlinearity satisfying the well known Berestycki–Lions assumptions. By minimax arguments, the authors establish a multiplicity result in the radial space $H^{\alpha}_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ when the parameter b is *sufficiently small*. Liu et al. [31] used the monotonicity trick and the profile decomposition to prove the existence of ground states to a fractional Kirchhoff equation with critical nonlinearity in low dimension. In [8], the authors employed penalization method and Lusternik–Schnirelmann category theory to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for a fractional Schrödinger–Kirchhoff equation with subcritical nonlinearities. see also [6, 24] and their references.

Though there have been many works on the existence and concentration of solutions for Kirchhoff-type problem involving continuous nonlinearities, to the best of our knowledge, it seems that no result has been done for the discontinuous case. In the present paper, we will study a class of fractional Kirchhoff-type problem involving discontinuous nonlinearities. We emphasize that since many obstacle problems and free boundary problems may be reduced to partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities [15, 16], the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solutions for the elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearities have been studied in recent years, see [1–5, 41, 47] and their references.

1.2. Main results and strategy

Motivated by the works above, in this paper we aim to study the existence and concentration of positive solutions to the fractional Kirchhoff equation with a discontinuous nonlinearity. In order to study (K), we use the change of variable $x \mapsto \varepsilon x$ and we will look for solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + V(\varepsilon x)u = H(u - \beta)f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{K_{\varepsilon}}$$

Now we state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (V_0) – (V_3) holds. Then, there exist $\varepsilon^*, \beta^* > 0$ such that (K_ε) has a positive solution $u_{\varepsilon,\beta}$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$. Moreover, there exists a maximum point $x_{\varepsilon,\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ of $u_{\varepsilon,\beta}$ such that*

$$\lim_{(\varepsilon,\beta) \rightarrow (0,0)} V(\varepsilon x_{\varepsilon,\beta}) = V_0.$$

For such a $x_{\varepsilon,\beta}$, $v_\varepsilon(x) \equiv u_\varepsilon(x + x_{\varepsilon,\beta})$ converges to a positive ground state solution of

$$\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^\alpha u + V_0 u = f(u), \quad u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Since we deal with the fractional Kirchhoff-type equation with a discontinuous nonlinearity, some estimates are totally different from those used in the mentioned paper. The minimax method and the non-smooth theory are our main approach in present paper, which are motivated by [3, 29, 44]. The main obstacles are as follows.

Firstly, observe that the energy functional is only locally Lipschitz continuous due to the effect of the Heaviside function, so that we are not able to use variational methods for C^1 -functionals. For this item, we have to use the variational framework for non-differentiable functionals which will be introduced in Sect. 2. Secondly, for the case with continuous nonlinearity, one can establish one equivalent relationship between the mountain pass level and infimum of energy functional on Nehari manifold, and then use the Fatou lemma to prove the existence of positive ground state solutions for the corresponding limit equation. However, the method of Nehari manifold does not work for locally Lipschitz continuous functionals, and so some new technique needs to be developed to obtain fine estimates to the mountain pass levels. Finally, with the presence of the Kirchhoff term, the main obstacle arises in getting the compactness of the corresponding locally Lipschitz continuous energy functional. Precisely, this does not hold in general: for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi dx,$$

where $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a (PS)-sequence of the energy functional satisfying $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, it is not clear that weak limits are critical points of energy functional, which is totally different from those in [2, 3, 23]. For this reason, it is necessary to give one specific profile decomposition of the Kirchhoff term $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 dx)^2$ which enables us to establish refined energy estimate to the mountain pass level. Finally, the above information together with the mountain pass geometry behaviors of energy functional helps us to obtain the compactness (see Lemma 3.6).

Throughout this paper, C will denote a generic positive constant. We denote by $|\cdot|_r$ the L^r -norm and use $o(1)$ to denote any quantity which tends to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $\rho > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $B_\rho(z) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - z| \leq \rho\}$. The symbol $' \rightharpoonup'$ stands for the weak convergence in space E and its dual space E^* .

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, the variational setting and some preliminary lemmas are presented. In Sect. 3, we study existence of positive solutions to (K_ε) with $\varepsilon = 1$. Section 4 is devoted by the existence and concentration of positive solutions to (K_ε) .

2. Variational setting

In this section, we outline the variational framework for (K) and recall some preliminary lemmas. First, we fix the notations and we recall some useful preliminary results on fractional Sobolev spaces, see [35].

For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the fractional Sobolev space $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined by

$$H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{3+2\alpha}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

It is known that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2\alpha}} dx dy = C_\alpha^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx,$$

where

$$C_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1 - \cos \zeta_1}{|\zeta|^{3+2\alpha}} d\zeta \right)^{-1}.$$

We endow the space $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

$H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is also the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|\cdot\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ and it is continuously embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $q \in [2, 2_\alpha^*]$. The homogeneous space $D^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is

$$D^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ u \in L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{3+2\alpha}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \right\},$$

and it is also the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{D^{\alpha,2}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$E := \left\{ u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)u^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

be the Hilbert space equipped with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_E := a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)uv dx,$$

and the corresponding induced norm

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)u^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

We now recall some definitions and basic results on the critical point theory of locally Lipschitz continuous functionals as developed by Chang [16], Clarke [18].

Let E be a real Banach space. A functional $I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, $I \in Lip_{loc}(E, \mathbb{R})$ for short, if given $u \in E$ there is an open neighborhood $V := V_u \subset E$ and some constant $K = K_V > 0$ such that

$$|I(v_2) - I(v_1)| \leq K \|v_2 - v_1\|, \quad v_i \in V, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The directional derivative of I at u in the direction of $v \in E$ is defined by

$$I^0(u; v) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{I(u + h + \lambda v) - I(u + h)}{\lambda}.$$

So $I^0(u; \cdot)$ is continuous, convex and its subdifferential at $z \in E$ is given by

$$\partial I^0(u; z) = \{\mu \in E^*; I^0(u; v) \geq I^0(u; z) + \langle \mu, v - z \rangle, v \in E\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing between E^* and E . The generalized gradient of I at u is the set

$$\partial I(u) = \{\mu \in E^*; \langle \mu, v \rangle \leq I^0(u; v), v \in E\}.$$

Since $I^0(u; 0) = 0$, $\partial I(u)$ is the subdifferential of $I^0(u; 0)$. A few definitions and properties will be recalled below. $\partial I(u) \subset E^*$ is convex, non-empty and weak*-compact,

$$\lambda(u) = \min\{\|\mu\|_{E^*}; \mu \in \partial I(u)\},$$

and

$$\partial I(u) = \{I'(u)\}, \quad \text{if } I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R}).$$

A critical point of I is an element $u_0 \in E$ such that $0 \in \partial I(u_0)$ and a critical value of I is a real number c such that $I(u_0) = c$ for some critical point $u_0 \in E$.

By a solution for (K_ε) , we understand as a function $u \in W_{loc}^{2\alpha, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ verifying

$$\begin{cases} (a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx) (-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(\varepsilon x) u \in [\underline{f}_H(u(x)), \bar{f}_H(u(x))] & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (\bar{K})$$

where $f_H(t) = H(t - \beta)f(t)$, $\bar{f}_H(t) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{f}_H(t + \delta)$ and $\underline{f}_H(t) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} f_H(t - \delta)$.

Now, we recall the following mountain pass theorem which was established in Radulescu [41].

Theorem 2.1. ([41]) *Let $I \in Lip_{loc}(E, \mathbb{R})$ with $I(0) = 0$ and satisfying the following hypotheses:*

- (i) *there are $r > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \rho$ for $\|u\| = r$, $u \in E$;*
- (ii) *there exists $e \in E \setminus B_r(0)$ with $I(e) < 0$.*

We set

$$c_\beta = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(s)) > 0,$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.$$

Then, $c \geq \rho$ and there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ verifying $I(u_n) \rightarrow c_\beta$ and $\lambda_n(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in E' .

Lemma 2.2. ([16, 18]) *Let $\{u_n\} \subset E$ and $\{\rho_n\} \subset E^*$ with $\rho_n \in \partial I(u_n)$. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E and $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$ in E^* , then $\rho_0 \in \partial I(u)$.*

Lemma 2.3. ([16, 18]) *Let $R > 0$ and $\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(u)dx$ and $\Psi_R(v) = \int_{B_R(0)} G(v)dx$, where $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s)ds$. Then, $\Psi \in Lip_{loc}(L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$, $\Psi_R \in Lip_{loc}(L^{p+1}(B_R(0)), \mathbb{R})$, $\partial \Psi(u) \in L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\partial \Psi_R(u) \in L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(B_R(0))$. Moreover, if $\rho \in \partial \Psi(u)$ and $\zeta \in \partial \Psi_R(v)$, then*

$$\rho(x) \in [\underline{g}(u(x)), \bar{g}(u(x))] \quad \text{a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

and

$$\zeta(x) \in [\underline{g}(v(x)), \bar{g}(v(x))] \quad \text{a. e. in } B_R(0).$$

Lemma 2.4. (Lions lemma, see [42]) *Assume that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_r(y)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0,$$

*for some $r > 0$. Then, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $s \in (2, 2^*_\alpha)$.*

3. Existence of positive solutions to (K_ε) with $\varepsilon = 1$

The energy functional associated with (K_ε) with $\varepsilon = 1$, $I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$I_\beta(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(u) dx, \quad u \in E,$$

with $F_H(u) = \int_0^u f_H(s) ds$. Obviously, $I_\beta \in Lip_{loc}(H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$.

We now verify the functional I_β satisfies the mountain pass geometry.

Lemma 3.1. *The following properties hold:*

- (i) *there are $r > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $I_\beta(u) \geq \rho$ for $\|u\| = r$, $u \in E$;*
- (ii) *there exists $v \in E \setminus B_r(0)$ with $I_\beta(v) < 0$.*

Proof. (i) Observe from the definition of H that for $\beta > 0$, there exists $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$f_H(t) \leq C_\beta t^p, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.1}$$

So from Sobolev’s imbedding inequality, we infer that

$$I_\beta(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - C \|u\|^{p+1}.$$

Hence, there exist $r, \rho > 0$, independent of β , such that for $\|u\| = r$, $I_\beta(u) \geq \rho > 0$.

(ii) Take $e \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ with $e > 0$ and $\Theta := \text{mes}\{x | e(x) > \beta\} > 0$, then by (f_4) one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta(te) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \|e\|^2 + \frac{bt^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(te) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \|e\|^2 + \frac{bt^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\{te(x) > \beta\}} F(te) - F(\beta) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \|e\|^2 + \frac{bt^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma t^{\sigma+1}}{\sigma+1} \int_{\Theta} |e|^{\sigma+1} dx + F(\beta) \cdot |\text{supt}\{e(x)\}|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$I_\beta(te) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{3.2}$$

So, take $t_0 > 0$ large enough, then $v = t_0 e$ satisfies $v \in E \setminus B_r(0)$ with $I(v) < 0$. □

Combining Lemma 3.1 with Theorem 2.1, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$I_\beta(u_n) \rightarrow c_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\beta(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where c_β is the mountain pass level of the functional I_β . In what follows, we show that sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E .

Lemma 3.2. *The sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E .*

Proof. Set

$$A(u) := \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^2, \quad B(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(u) dx.$$

From now on, we consider $\{u_n\} \subset H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)^*$ such that $\lambda_\beta(u_n) = \|\omega_n\|_{E^*}$ and $\omega_n = A'(u_n) - \rho_n$, where $\{\rho_n\} \subset \partial B(u_n)$. Then,

$$\|u_n\|^2 + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 dx \right)^2 = \langle \omega_n + \rho_n, u_n \rangle. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\rho_n \in [\underline{f}_H(u_n(x)), \overline{f}_H(u_n(x))]$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^3 , then by (1.1) we have

$$\langle \rho_n, u_n \rangle \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underline{f}_H(u_n) u_n dx \geq \int_{\{u_n > \beta\}} f(u_n) u_n dx \geq 4 \int_{\{u_n > \beta\}} F(u_n) dx.$$

It then follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\beta + o_n(1) &= I_\beta(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle \omega_n, u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \langle \rho_n, u_n \rangle - \int_{\{u_n > \beta\}} F_H(u_n) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Recalling (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, up to subsequence, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{u_n\}$) such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } E, \quad u_n \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad s \in (2, 2^*_\alpha). \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{\rho_n\} \subset \partial B(u_n)$ with $\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho_0$ in $L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then,*

$$\rho_0(x) \in [\underline{f}_H(u(x)), \overline{f}_H(u(x))] \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Proof. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E , one has $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \varphi dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 \varphi dx.$$

Recalling Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\rho_0(x) \in [\underline{f}_H(u(x)), \overline{f}_H(u(x))] \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The proof is now complete. □

Lemma 3.4. *As for sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ defined in (3.3), there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and constants $R, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} u_n^2 dx \geq \tau > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that (3.7) does not hold. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s \in (2, 2_\alpha^*)$. By (3.1) and (3.4), there exists $\{\rho_n\} \subset \partial B(u_n)$ with $\rho_n \in [f_{-H}(u_n(x)), \bar{f}_H(u_n(x))]$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n u_n dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{f}_H(u_n) u_n dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{u_n \geq \beta\}} f(u_n) u_n dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

This implies that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in E . Furthermore, $I_\beta(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts $c_\beta > 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Let c_∞ be the mountain pass level associated with the functional $I_\infty : H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$I_\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + V_\infty u^2) dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx. \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that there exists $\beta_1 > 0$ small such that $(1 + \beta^2)c_\beta < c_\infty$ for fixed $\beta \in (0, \beta_1)$, then $u_0 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $u_0 = 0$. The Sobolev’s embedding together with Lemma 4.2 yields $\{y_n\}$ is unbounded. That is, up to subsequence, $|y_n| \rightarrow +\infty$. Let us set $v_n(x) := u_n(x + y_n)$. It is easy to check that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Recalling Lemma 4.2, up to subsequence, there exists $v \in E \setminus \{0\}$ such that for $s \in [1, 2_\alpha^*)$

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } E, \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{3.10}$$

For any $R > 0$, let $\varphi_R \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that $\varphi_R(x) = 1$ in B_R and $\varphi_R(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{B_{2R}\}$, with $0 \leq \varphi_R \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$, where C is a constant independent of R . Since the sequence $\{(\varphi_R v_n)(\cdot - y_n)\}$ is bounded in E , the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} &(a + b\|u_n\|_{D^{2,\alpha}}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (\varphi_R v_n)(\cdot - y_n) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) u_n (\varphi_R v_n)(\cdot - y_n) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n (\varphi_R v_n)(\cdot - y_n) dx + o_n(1), \quad v \in E. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Using the fact that $\rho_n \in [f_{-H}(u_n(x)), \bar{f}_H(u_n(x))]$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n (\varphi_R v_n)(\cdot - y_n) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{f}_H(v_n) v_n \varphi_R dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v_n) v_n \varphi_R dx. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v_n) v_n \varphi_R dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) v \varphi_R dx + o_n(1). \tag{3.13}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (\varphi_R v_n) (\cdot - y_n) dx \\
 &= C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[v_n(x) - v_n(y)]^2 \varphi_R(x)}{|x - y|^{3+2\alpha}} dx dy \\
 & \quad + C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[v_n(x) - v_n(y)] [\varphi_R(x) - \varphi_R(y)]}{|x - y|^{3+2\alpha}} v_n(y) dx dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in E and $|\nabla \varphi_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[v_n(x) - v_n(y)] [\varphi_R(x) - \varphi_R(y)]}{|x - y|^{3+2\alpha}} v_n(y) dx dy \\
 & \leq \|v_n\|_{D^{2,\alpha}}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[\varphi_R(x) - \varphi_R(y)]^2}{|x - y|^{3+2\alpha}} v_n^2(y) dx dy \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \|v_n\|_{D^{2,\alpha}}^2 \left(\frac{C}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|x-y| \leq 4R} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{1+2\alpha}} v_n^2(y) dx dy \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{R} \|v_n\|_{D^{2,\alpha}}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^2(y) dy \int_0^{4R} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha-1}} dr \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{R^\alpha},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where we have used polar coordinate transformation in the third inequality. Taking into account (3.11)-(3.15), by Fatou’s lemma we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a + b \|v\|_{D^{2,\alpha}}^2) C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[v(x) - v(y)]^2 \varphi_R(x)}{|x - y|^{3+2\alpha}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty v^2 \varphi_R dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) v \varphi_R dx + \frac{C}{R^\alpha}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Let $R \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.16), then

$$(a + b \|v\|_{D^{2,\alpha}}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty v^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) v dx. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $v \neq 0$, there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $tv \in \mathcal{N}$ (see [23]), where \mathcal{N} is the Nehari manifold associated with I_∞ given by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{u \in E \setminus \{0\}; I'_\infty(u)u = 0\}.$$

As a consequence, using (f₃) and Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\infty(tv) - \frac{1}{4}I'_\infty(tv)tv &= \frac{t^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v|^2 + V_\infty v^2] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{1}{4}f(tv)tv - F(tv) \right] dx \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4}f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n) \right) dx \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.18}$$

Recalling the definitions of \underline{f}_H and \bar{f}_H , we deduce from (f₁) that there exists $\beta_1 > 0$ such that $f(t) \leq V_0 t^3$ for $t \in (0, \beta_1)$ and then by (3.5) and $c_\beta < c_\infty$, we have for large n

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_n)u_n &= \int_{\{u_n \leq \beta\}} f(u_n)u_n + \int_{\{u_n > \beta\}} f(u_n)u_n \\
 &\leq \beta^2 \|u_n\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underline{f}_H(u_n)u_n \\
 &\leq 4\beta^2(c_\beta + o_n(1)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underline{f}_H(u_n)u_n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.19}$$

for any fixed $\beta \in (0, \beta_1)$. Putting (3.19) into (3.18), by $c_\infty = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u)$ (see [23]) and (3.18), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\infty &\leq I_\infty(tv) - \frac{1}{4}I'_\infty(tv)tv \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|^2 + \beta^2(c_\beta + o_n(1)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4}\underline{f}_H(u_n)u_n - F_H(u_n) \right) dx \right) \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|^2 + \beta^2(c_\beta + o_n(1)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{4}\rho_n u_n - F_H(u_n) \right) dx \right) \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I_\beta(u_n) - \frac{1}{4}(A'(u_n) - \rho_n, u_n) + \beta^2(c_\beta + o_n(1)) \right) \\
 &= (1 + \beta^2)c_\beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $u_0 \geq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$. □

The next result establishes the existence of mountain pass solutions to (K_ε) with $\varepsilon = 1$; that is, there exists $u_0 \in E$ satisfying

$$I_\beta(u_0) = c_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \in \partial I_\beta(u_0),$$

where c_β is the mountain pass level associated with I_β .

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that there exists $\beta_1 > 0$ such that $(1 + \beta^2)c_\beta < c_\infty$ for fixed $\beta \in (0, \beta_1)$, then (K_ε) with $\varepsilon = 1$ has a non-trivial solution $u_0 \in E$, and set $\Lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : u_0(x) = \beta\}$ has null measure. Moreover,*

$$I_\beta(u_0) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\beta(tu_0).$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.5, u_0 given in (3.6) is nonzero. Hence, there exists constant $\mathcal{B} > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\{u_n\}$ has been defined in (3.3). Indeed, we need to prove that

$u_0 \in W_{loc}^{2\alpha, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and u_0 solves

$$\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right) (-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(x)u \in [\underline{f}_H(u(x)), \bar{f}_H(u(x))] \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Since $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is a $(PS)_{c_\beta}$ sequence, there exists $\{\rho_n\} \subset \partial B(u_n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v + V(x)u_n v) dx + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 dx \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n v dx, \quad v \in E, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

with $\rho_n \in [\underline{f}_H(u_n(x)), \bar{f}_H(u_n(x))]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 . It then follows from the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, the definition of H , (f_1) and (f_2) that sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus, up to subsequence, there exists $\rho_0 \in L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho_0 \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{3.21}$$

which implies by (3.6) that for any $v \in E$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [a(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_0 (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v + V(x)u_0 v] dx + b\mathcal{B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_0 (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 v dx. \tag{3.22}$$

Recalling Lemma 3.3, one has $\rho_0 \in [\underline{f}_H(u_0(x)), \bar{f}_H(u_0(x))]$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^3 . From elliptic regularity theory, we deduce that $u \in W^{2\alpha, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. As a consequence, from (3.22), we immediately obtain that u_0 is a non-negative weak solution of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} (a + b\mathcal{B})(-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(x)u = H(u - \beta)f(u) & \text{a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3), \end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

whose energy functional is

$$J_\beta(u) = \frac{a + b\mathcal{B}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(u) dx.$$

By using the Stampacchia theorem, we immediately obtain that $\Lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : u_0(x) = \beta\}$ has null measure for β small enough. Using $v = u_0^- := \min\{u_0, 0\}$ in (3.22) and the fact that $\rho_0 \in [\underline{f}_H(u_0(x)), \bar{f}_H(u_0(x))]$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$(a + b\mathcal{B}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((-\Delta)^\alpha u_0 u_0^- + V(x)|u_0^-|^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0^- dx = 0,$$

which implies that $u_0 \geq 0$ (see [32]). Moreover, we can use an iteration method which was firstly introduced in [12] to prove $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Using Proposition 2.9 in [43] and $2\alpha > 1$, we have $u_0 \in C^{1,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s \in (0, 2\alpha - 1)$. Using the maximum principle in [43], we can conclude that $u > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Note that one of the following cases must occur.

Case 1. $\mathcal{B} = \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2$. Thus, $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $D^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see from (4.5) that u_0 is a non-trivial weak solution of (K_1) . Moreover, by the Hölder inequality and the fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho_n u_n - \rho_0 u_0) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n (u_n - u_0) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho_n - \rho_0) u_0 dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\rho_n\|_{\frac{p+1}{p}} \|u_n - u_0\|_{\frac{p+1}{p+1}}^{p+1} + o_n(1) \\ &\leq C \|\rho_n\|_{\frac{p+1}{p}} \|u_n - u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2\alpha}} \|u_n - u_0\|_2^{p+1 - \frac{3(p-1)}{2\alpha}} + o_n(1) \\ &= o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, letting $v = u_n$ in (3.20) and $v = u_0$ in (3.22), one has

$$\|u_n - u_0\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho_n u_n - \rho_0 u_0) dx + o_n(1) = o_n(1).$$

We conclude that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in E as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2. $\|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 < \mathcal{B}$. Let us claim that there exists $t^* \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} I_\beta(tu_0) = I_\beta(t^*u_0). \tag{3.24}$$

Since $h(t) := I_\beta(tu_0)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous function, h is differentiable almost everywhere. A direct computation shows that there exist $\delta, t_0 > 0$ such that

$$h(t) > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \delta) \quad \text{and} \quad h(t) < 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0, \tag{3.25}$$

which implies that there exists $t^* > 0$ such that (3.24) holds. Let us denote $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ by the set of the points where h' does not exist, we have $|I| = 0$, where $|I|$ denotes the Lebesgue’s measure of I . The claim is proved by showing that

- (a) $h'(t) > 0$ for any $t \in (0, t^*) \cap I^c$ and $h'(t) < 0$ for any $t \in (t^*, +\infty) \cap I^c$, where $t^* \in (0, 1)$.

Using the chain rule for locally Lipschitz continuous function, there is $w \in \partial I_\beta(tu_0)$ such that $h'(t) = \langle w, u_0 \rangle$. That is to say, there exists $\rho_0 \in \partial B(tu_0)$ satisfying

$$h'(t) = t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_0|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) u_0^2 dx \right) + t^3 b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0 dx.$$

It follows from $0 \in \partial J_\beta(u_0)$, $|\Lambda| = 0$ and (3.22) that

$$(a + b\mathcal{B}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_0|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) u_0^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_H(u_0) u_0 dx. \tag{3.26}$$

Based on the above facts, one has

$$h'(t) = t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_H(u_0) u_0 dx - b\mathcal{B} \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 \right) + t^3 b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0 dx,$$

which implies by $|\Lambda| = 0$ and the fact that $\rho_0 \in [\underline{f}_H(tu_0(x)), \bar{f}_H(tu_0(x))]$ a.e in \mathbb{R}^3 that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &\leq t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_H(u_0)u_0 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underline{f}_H(tu_0)u_0 dx \right) + t^3 b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - b\mathcal{B}t \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 \\ &= t^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f_H(u_0)}{t^2} u_0 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f_H(tu_0)}{t^3} u_0 dx + b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \frac{b\mathcal{B}}{t^2} \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 \right) \\ &\leq t^3 \left(\int_{\{u_0 > \beta\}} \frac{f(u_0)}{t^2} u_0 dx - \int_{\{tu_0 > \beta\}} \frac{f(tu_0)}{t^3} u_0 dx + b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \frac{b\mathcal{B}}{t^2} \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Similarly, we can also obtain

$$h'(t) \geq t^3 \left(\int_{\{u_0 > \beta\}} \frac{f(u_0)}{t^2} u_0 dx - \int_{\{tu_0 \geq \beta\}} \frac{f(tu_0)}{t^3} u_0 dx + b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \frac{b\mathcal{B}}{t^2} \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 \right).$$

Define $\mathcal{H} : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}(t) := \int_{\{u_0 > \beta\}} \frac{f(u_0)}{t^2} u_0 dx - \int_{\{tu_0 > \beta\}} \frac{f(tu_0)}{t^3} u_0 dx + b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \frac{b\mathcal{B}}{t^2} \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{H}(1) < 0$ due to $\|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2 < \mathcal{B}$. It follows from (f_1) - (f_3) and (3.27) that there exists $\tilde{t} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{t}) = 0$ and $h'(t) < 0$ for all $t \in (\tilde{t}, +\infty) \cap I^c$. Based on (3.25) and the above facts, h has a global maximum in $t = t^* \in (0, \tilde{t}]$. Thus, conclusion (a) holds and then the claim is true. Recalling the definition of c_β , we obtain

$$c_\beta \leq I_\beta(t^* u_0). \tag{3.28}$$

Observe by $|\Lambda| = 0$ and the definition of H that

$$\int_{\{u_0 \leq \beta\}} F_H(u_0) dx = 0. \tag{3.29}$$

Since t^* is a global maximum point of $h(t)$, there exist sequence $\{t_n\} \subset (t^*, +\infty) \cap I^c$ with $t_n \rightarrow t^*$ and $\rho_n \in \partial B(t_n u_0)$ such that

$$h'(t_n) = t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_0|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)u_0^2 dx \right) + t^3 b \|u_0\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n u_0 dx \leq 0,$$

which implies by (3.28), (3.29), the definition of I_β and $t_n \in (0, 1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\beta &\leq I_\beta(t^*u_0) \\
 &\leq I_\beta(t_n u_0) - \frac{1}{4}h'(t_n)t_n + o_n(1) \\
 &\leq \frac{t_n^2}{4}\|u_0\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(t_n u_0)dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n t_n u_0 dx + o_n(1) \\
 &\leq \frac{t_n^2}{4}\|u_0\|^2 + \int_{\{t_n u_0 \geq \beta\}} \frac{1}{4}f(t_n u_0)t_n u_0 dx + o_n(1) \\
 &\quad - \int_{\{t_n u_0 > \beta\}} [F(t_n u_0) - F(\beta)]dx - \int_{\{t_n u_0 \leq \beta\}} F_H(t_n u_0)dx \\
 &= \frac{t_n^2}{4}\|u_0\|^2 + \int_{\{t_n u_0 \geq \beta\}} \left[\frac{1}{4}f(t_n u_0)t_n u_0 - F(t_n u_0) \right] dx + \int_{\{t_n u_0 \geq \beta\}} F(\beta)dx + o_n(1) \tag{3.30} \\
 &< \frac{1}{4}\|u_0\|^2 + \int_{\{u_0 > \beta\}} \left[\frac{1}{4}f(u_0)u_0 - F(u_0) \right] dx + \int_{\{u_0 > \beta\}} F(\beta)dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4}\|u_0\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{1}{4}f_H(u_0)u_0 - F_H(u_0) \right] dx + \int_{\{u_0 \leq \beta\}} F_H(u_0)dx \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{1}{4}f_H(u_n)u_n - F_H(u_n) \right] dx \right\} \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [I_\beta(u_n) - \frac{1}{4}\langle \omega_n, u_n \rangle] \\
 &< c_\beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Here, ω_n has been defined in Lemma 3.2. We conclude that *Case 2* does not occur. The proof is complete. □

4. Existence and concentration of positive solutions to (K_ε)

Let us consider the following Hilbert space

$$E_\varepsilon := \left\{ u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)u^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [a|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)u^2]dx.$$

The energy functional associated with (K_ε) is given by

$$I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(u)dx, \quad u \in E_\varepsilon.$$

Using the same argument as Lemma 3.1, we can prove that $I_{\varepsilon,\beta}$ has the corresponding mountain pass geometry. The mountain pass level of $I_{\varepsilon,\beta}$ is denoted by $c_{\varepsilon,\beta}$, where is defined by

$$c_{\varepsilon,\beta} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(\gamma(s)) > 0,$$

where

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E_\varepsilon) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.$$

4.1. Existence

Define $I_{V_0} : H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_{V_0}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + V_0 u^2) dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx,$$

where $V_0 \in (0, V_\infty)$. There exists a positive function $v \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see [31]) such that $I'_{V_0}(v) = 0$ and $I_{V_0}(v) = c_{V_0}$, where c_{V_0} is the mountain pass level. Define the corresponding manifold of I_{V_0} by

$$\mathcal{N}_{V_0} := \{u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) : I'_{V_0}(u)u = 0\},$$

then $c_{V_0} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}} I_{V_0}(u)$. For any $R > 0$, let $\varphi_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that $\varphi_R(x) = 1$ in $B_R(0)$ and $\varphi_R(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{B_{2R}(0)\}$, with $0 \leq \varphi_R \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$, where C is a constant independent of R . Denote by v_R the function

$$v_R(x) := \varphi_R(x)v(x).$$

It is not hard to show that

$$v_R \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For each $R > 0$, there exists $t_R > 0$ such that

$$I_{V_0}(t_R v_R) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_{V_0}(t v_R).$$

Thus, $I'_{V_0}(t_R v_R) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t_R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_R|^2 + V_0 v_R^2) dx + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_R|^2 dx \right)^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(t_R v_R)}{t_R^3 v_R^3} v_R^4 dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

which implies by $I'_{V_0}(v) = 0$ that $t_R \rightarrow 1$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, it is obvious that $t_R v_R \rightarrow v$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. It then follows from $c_{V_0} < c_\infty$ that there exist $\delta > 0, R > 0$ such that

$$c_{V_0} + \delta < c_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad I_{V_0}(t_R v_R) < c_{V_0} + \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly to Lemma 3.1, there exists $t_* > 0$ such that $I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(t_* t_R v_R) < 0$ uniformly for $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ small enough. Let us consider $\gamma(t) = t t_* t_R v_R$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and then $\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon$. By the definition of $c_{\varepsilon,\beta}$, we have

$$c_{\varepsilon,\beta} \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(\gamma(t)) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(t t_* t_R v_R) = I_{\varepsilon,\beta}(\bar{t} t_* t_R v_R) \tag{4.3}$$

for some $\bar{t} > 0$ which depends on parameters ε, β, R . It is easy to prove that for each $R > 0$ given, there exist positive constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 < \bar{t} < C_2$ for $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ small enough. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(0) = V_0$. For any $\sigma > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq V(\varepsilon x) - V_0 < \sigma$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $x \in B_{2R}(0)$. It then follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)(t_R v_R)^2 dx < \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V_0 + \sigma)(t_R v_R)^2 dx.$$

By virtue of the above facts, from (f_1) - (f_3) , we deduce that there exist $C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\varepsilon, \beta} &\leq I_{V_0}(t_R v_R) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (t_R v_R)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{2R} \cap \{t_R v_R \leq \beta\}} F(t_R v_R) dx + \int_{B_{2R} \cap \{t_R v_R > \beta\}} F(\beta) dx \\ &\leq c_{V_0} + C_R \sigma + \bar{C}_R \beta^4, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where C_R, \bar{C}_R are independent of ε, β . Thus, there exist $\sigma, \beta^* > 0$ small enough that

$$(1 + \beta^2)c_{\varepsilon, \beta} \leq (1 + \beta^2)[c_{V_0} + C_R \sigma + \bar{C}_R \beta^4] < c_\infty$$

for any $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.6 that (K_ε) has a non-trivial solution $u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ small enough. Moreover, $I_{\varepsilon, \beta}(u_{\varepsilon, \beta}) = c_{\varepsilon, \beta}$. \square

4.2. Concentration

Assume that $u_{\varepsilon, \beta}$ is the solution of equation (K_ε) obtained above. Then, there exists $\rho_{\varepsilon, \beta} \in L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon, \beta}$ solves

$$\begin{cases} (a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx) (-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(\varepsilon x)u = \rho_{\varepsilon, \beta} & \text{a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

with $\rho_{\varepsilon, \beta} \in [\underline{f}_H(u_{\varepsilon, \beta}), \bar{f}_H(u_{\varepsilon, \beta})]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 . Take $\varepsilon_n, \beta_n \rightarrow 0$ arbitrarily, we denote by $u_n = u_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}$ and $\rho_n = \rho_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}$.

It is important to compare the minimax levels c_{V_0} and $c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}$ in our arguments.

Lemma 4.1.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n} = c_{V_0} > 0.$$

Proof. Due to the arbitrariness of σ in (4.4), we deduce immediately that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n} \leq c_{V_0}$. Now it suffices to verify that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n} \geq c_{V_0}. \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, we assume on the contrary that there exist positive integer N large and $\delta > 0$ small such that $c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n} \leq c_{V_0} - \delta$ for all $n > N$. From Lemma 3.6 and the definition of $c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}$, we have

$$c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n} = I_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}) = \max_{h>0} I_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}(h u_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}) < c_{V_0} - \delta$$

for any fixed $n > N$. Again by the definition of c_{V_0} , we know that $c_{V_0} \leq \max_{h>0} I_{V_0}(h u_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n})$. It follows from the fact that $V_0 \leq V(\varepsilon_n x)$ for all given $n > N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ that

$$c_{V_0} - \delta > \max_{h>0} I_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}(h u_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}) \geq \max_{h>0} I_{V_0}(h u_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}) \geq c_{V_0},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, (4.6) holds and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.2. *There exist a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and constants $R, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} u_n^2 dx \geq \tau > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that the conclusion does not hold. Using the similar arguments as in Lemma 3.2, we have that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It then follows from Lemma 2.4 that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s \in (2, 2^*)$. By virtue of (f_1) and (f_2) , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(u_n) \leq \varepsilon|u_n| + C_\varepsilon|u_n|^p$. So from the definition of F_H and the fact that $\rho_n \in [f_H(u_n), \bar{f}_H(u_n)]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 , we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(u_n) dx \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n u_n dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 + \|u_n\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4, \\ c_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n} + o(1) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.1. The proof is complete. \square

It is known that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Take $v_n := u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v \neq 0$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ a.e., in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then, v_n solves the following equation

$$\begin{cases} (a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_n|^2 dx) (-\Delta)^\alpha v_n + V_n(x)v_n = \tilde{\rho}_n & \text{a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ v_n \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad v_n > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

with $V_n(x) = V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_n(x) \in [f_H(v_n(x)), \bar{f}_H(v_n(x))]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma 4.3. *$\{v_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow y^* \in \Theta$, where $\Theta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 | V(x) = V_0\}$.*

Proof. For each v_n , choosing $t_n > 0$ such that $\bar{v}_n := t_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, we deduce from $0 \in \partial I_{\varepsilon_n, \eta_n}(u_n)$ and Lemma 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V_0}(t_n v_n) &\leq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v_n|^2 + V_n(x)v_n^2 dx + \frac{t_n^4}{4} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_n|^2 dx \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_H(t_n v_n) dx \\ &= I_{\varepsilon_n, \eta_n}(t_n u_n) \leq I_{\varepsilon_n, \eta_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows from $I_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) \geq c_{V_0}$ that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) = c_{V_0}$. We can show that $\{t_n\}$ is bounded. Indeed, since $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2$ is bounded uniformly for n , we can easy obtain $I_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ when $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$. This is not possible since $I_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) \geq c_{V_0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\{t_n\}$ is bounded. Up to subsequence, we assume that $t_n \rightarrow t \geq 0$. If $t = 0$, then $\bar{v}_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$, because $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, $I_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts $c_{V_0} > 0$. So $\bar{v}_n := t_n v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$. By uniqueness, we deduce $\bar{v} = tv$. Using Ekeland’s variational principle in [19], we can prove that $\{\bar{v}_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ is a Palais–Smale sequence of I_{V_0} (see Lemma 5.1 in [32]), that is,

$$I_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0} \quad I'_{V_0}(\bar{v}_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (4.8)$$

Although I_{V_0} is of C^1 class, we can still use the similar arguments as in Lemma 3.6 to obtain $\bar{v}_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, and so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let us show that $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n$ is bounded. If not, then

$|y_n| \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from $\bar{v}_n \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, the Fatou Lemma and Lemma 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} &\leq I_{V_0}(\bar{v}) < I_{V_\infty}(tv) - \frac{1}{4}I'_{V_0}(tv)tv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{a}{4} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} tv|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}V_\infty - \frac{1}{4}V_0 \right) t^2 v^2 \right] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(f(tv)tv - \frac{1}{4}F(tv) \right) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} t_n v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) - \frac{1}{4}V_0 \right) t_n^2 v_n^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f(t_n v_n)t_n v_n - \frac{1}{4}F_H(t_n v_n)) dx \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n, \beta_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So $\{y_n\}$ is bounded. Up to subsequence, $y_n \rightarrow y^*$. Moreover, since $f(t) = 0$ for all $t \leq 0$, we have as $\beta \rightarrow 0$

$$\underline{f}_H(t), \bar{f}_H(t) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < 0, \\ f(t), & \text{if } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, based on the facts that $\tilde{\rho}_n(x) \in [\underline{f}_H(v_n(x)), \bar{f}_H(v_n(x))]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$, using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that for any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\rho}_n \eta dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) \eta dx, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For each $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we then deduce from (4.7) and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left((a + b\|v_n\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v_n (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \eta dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_n(x) v_n \eta dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\rho}_n \eta dx \right) \\ &= (a + b\|v\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \eta dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(y^*) v \eta dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) \eta dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the limit v of sequence $\{v_n\}$ solves the equation

$$(a + b\|v\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^2)(-\Delta)^\alpha v + V(y^*)v = f(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.9}$$

Define the functional

$$I_{y^*}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u|^2 + V(y^*)u^2) dx + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|_{D^{\alpha,2}}^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u) dx.$$

If $V(y^*) > V_0$, then we can get a contradiction by similar arguments as above. So $V(y^*) = V_0$ and $I_{y^*}(v) = c_{V_0}$. That is to say, v is a positive ground state solutions of (4.9). The proof is complete. \square

We use the Moser iteration method [36] to prove L^∞ -estimate for problem (4.7).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u_n \in E_{\varepsilon_n}$ be a solution of problem (K_{ε_n}) with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$. Then, $v_n = u_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ uniformly for n .*

Proof. Since $|\Lambda^*| = 0$ with $\Lambda^* := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid v_n(x) = \beta\}$, our argument is similar to that in Lemma 3.2 of [6]. So we omit the details of the proof. \square

Now, we claim that there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|v_n\|_\infty \geq c > 0$. Otherwise, $\|v_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (f_1) - (f_3) and the definition of f_H , for n large enough, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [a|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}v_n|^2 + V_0v_n^2]dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{V_0}{2}v_n^2dx,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, from Lemma 4.4 there exist $c, C > 0$ independent of n such that

$$c \leq \|v_n\|_\infty \leq C. \tag{4.10}$$

Observe from (4.7) that v_n solves

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\alpha v_n + v_n = \chi_n & \text{a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ v_n \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad v_n > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\chi_n(x) := \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v_n|^2 dx \right)^{-1} [\tilde{\rho}_n - V_n(x)v_n] + v_n$$

and $V_n(x) = V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_n(x) \in [f_H(v_n(x)), \bar{f}_H(v_n(x))]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 . From (4.10) and the definitions of f_H, \bar{f}_H , we deduce that $\{\tilde{\rho}_n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the fact that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we see that there exists $\tilde{\rho}^*(x) \in [f_H(v(x)), \bar{f}_H(v(x))]$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 , such that $\tilde{\rho}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}^*$ in $L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and then $\tilde{\rho}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}^*$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s \in [\frac{p+1}{p}, \infty)$. Hence, there exists $\chi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\chi_n \rightarrow \chi \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \forall q \in [2, +\infty),$$

and there exists $C > 0$ independent of n such that $\|\chi_n\|_\infty \leq C$. Based on the above, v_n can be expressed as

$$v_n(x) := (\mathcal{K} * \chi_n)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{K}(x-z)\chi_n(z)dz,$$

where \mathcal{K} is the Bessel kernel and satisfies the following properties (see [20]):

- (1) \mathcal{K} is positive, radially symmetric and smooth in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$,
- (2) there is $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{3+2\alpha}}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$,
- (3) $\mathcal{K} \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $r \in [1, \frac{3}{3-2\alpha})$.

Arguing as in Theorem 3.4 in [20], we have that

$$v_n(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $c > 0$ given in (4.10), we can find $R > 0$ such that $v_n(x) < c$ for all $|x| \geq R$ and uniformly for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let x_n denote the maximum point of v_n , then $|x_n| \leq R$. Moreover, we have $z_n = x_n + \tilde{y}_n$ where z_n is one maximum point of v_n . It is easy to check from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\varepsilon_n z_n = \varepsilon_n x_n + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y^* \in \Theta.$$

By the continuity of V , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\varepsilon_n z_n) = V(y^*) = V_0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Funding Z. Liu was supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 11701267), and the Hunan Natural Science Excellent Youth Fund (Grant No. 2020JJ3029), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan, Grant No. CUG2106211; CUGST2). The research of V.D. Rădulescu was supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Research, Innovation and

Digitization, CNCS/CCCDI-UEFISCDI, project number PCE 137/2021, within PNCDI III. Z. Yuan was partially supported by the Scientific Research fund of Hunan provincial Education Department (Grant No. 20B524).

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Alves, C., Corrêa, F.: On existence of solutions for a class of problem involving a nonlinear operator. *Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **8**, 43–56 (2001)
- [2] Alves, C., Figueiredo, G., Nascimento, R.: On existence and concentration of solutions for an elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity via penalization method. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **65**, 19–40 (2014)
- [3] Alves, C., Nascimento, R.: Existence and concentration of solutions for a class of elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearity in \mathbb{R}^N . *Math. Scand.* **112**, 129–146 (2013)
- [4] Alves, C., Santos, J., Gonçalves, J.: On multiple solutions for multivalued elliptic equations under Navier boundary conditions. *J. Convex Anal.* **03**, 627–644 (2011)
- [5] Alves, C., Yuan, Z., Huang, L.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for discontinuous elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N , preprint
- [6] Ambrosio, V.: Concentrating solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff equation with critical growth. *Asymptotic Analysis* **116**, 249–278 (2020)
- [7] Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T.: A multiplicity result for a fractional Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^3 with a general nonlinearity. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **20**, 1750054 (2018)
- [8] Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T.: Concentration phenomena for a fractional Schrödinger-Kirchhoff type problem. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**, 615–645 (2018)
- [9] Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T., Rădulescu, V.D.: Concentration of positive solutions for a class of fractional p -Kirchhoff type equations. *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **151**, 601–651 (2021)
- [10] Autuori, G., Fiscella, A., Pucci, P.: Stationary Kirchhoff problems involving a fractional elliptic operator and a critical nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **125**, 699–714 (2015)
- [11] Azzollini, A.: The elliptic Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^3 perturbed by a local nonlinearity. *Differ. Integral Equat.* **25**, 543–554 (2012)
- [12] Barrios, B., Colorado, E., Servadei, R., Soria, F.: A critical fractional equation with concave-convex power nonlinearities. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **32**, 875–900 (2015)
- [13] Caffarelli, L.: Non-local diffusions, drifts and games. *Nonlinear Partial Differ. Equ.* **7**, 37–52 (2012). ((Abel Symposia))
- [14] Caffarelli, L., Silvestre, L.: An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. PDE* **32**, 1245–1260 (2007)
- [15] Chang, K.: The obstacle problem and partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33**, 117–146 (1980)
- [16] Chang, K.: Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **80**, 102–129 (1981)
- [17] Chang, X., Wang, Z.: Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity. *Nonlinearity* **26**, 479–494 (2013)
- [18] Clarke, F.: *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*. Wiley, NY (1983)
- [19] Ekeland, I.: On the variational principle. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **47**, 324–353 (1974)
- [20] Felmer, P., Quaas, A., Tan, J.: Positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **142**, 1237–1262 (2012)
- [21] Fiscella, A., Valdinoci, E.: A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator. *Nonlinear Anal.* **94**, 156–170 (2014)
- [22] Frank, R., Lenzmann, E.: Uniqueness and non degeneracy of ground states for $(-\Delta)^s + Q - Q^{\alpha+1} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . *Acta Math.* **210**, 261–318 (2013)

- [23] He, X., Zou, W.: Existence and concentration behavior of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differ. Equ.* **252**, 1813–1834 (2012)
- [24] He, X., Zou, W.: Multiplicity of concentrating solutions for a class of fractional Kirchhoff equation. *Manuscripta Math.* **158**, 159–203 (2019)
- [25] Kirchhoff, G.: *Mechanik*. Teubner, Leipzig (1883)
- [26] Laskin, N.: Fractional Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 05618 (2022)
- [27] Li, G., He, Y.: Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differ. Equ.* **257**, 566–600 (2014)
- [28] Lions, J.-L.: On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, In: *Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations*. In: *Proceedings of International Symposium Inst. Mat. Univ. Fed. Rio de Janeiro Rio de Janeiro* (1997). In: *North-Holland Math. Stud.* **30** (1978), 284–346
- [29] Liu, Z., Guo, S.: Existence and concentration of positive ground states for a Kirchhoff equation involving critical Sobolev exponent. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **66**, 747–769 (2015)
- [30] Liu, Z., Guo, S.: Existence of positive ground state solutions for Kirchhoff type problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **120**, 1–13 (2015)
- [31] Liu, Z., Squassina, M., Zhang, J.: Ground states for fractional Kirchhoff equations with critical nonlinearity in low dimension. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **24**, 50 (2017)
- [32] Liu, Z., Zhang, J.: Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the fractional Schrödinger-Poisson systems with critical growth. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **23**, 1515–1542 (2017)
- [33] Liu, Z., Lou, Y., Zhang, J.: A perturbation approach to studying sign-changing solutions of Kirchhoff equations with a general nonlinearity. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **201**, 1229–1255 (2022)
- [34] Ma, T., Rivera, J.: Positive solutions for a nonlinear nonlocal elliptic transmission problem. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **16**, 243–248 (2003)
- [35] Molica Bisci, G., Rădulescu, V., Servadei, R.: *Variational Methods for Nonlocal Fractional Problems*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016)
- [36] Moser, J.: A new proof of De Giorgi’s theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **13**, 457–468 (1960)
- [37] Perera, K., Zhang, Z.: Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index. *J. Differ. Equ.* **221**, 246–255 (2006)
- [38] Pucci, P., Saldi, S.: Critical stationary Kirchhoff equations in \mathbb{R}^3 involving nonlocal operators. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam* **32**, 1–22 (2016)
- [39] Pucci, P., Xiang, M., Zhang, B.: Existence and multiplicity of entire solutions for fractional p-Kirchhoff equations. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **5**, 27–55 (2016)
- [40] Rabinowitz, P.: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **43**, 270–291 (1992)
- [41] Rădulescu, V.: Mountain pass theorems for nondifferentiable functions and applications. *Proc. Japan. Acad. (A)* **69**, 193–198 (1993)
- [42] Secchi, S.: Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 031501 (2013)
- [43] Silvestre, L.: Hölder estimates for solutions of integro-differential equations like the fractional Laplace. *Indiana Univ. J. Math.* **55**, 1155–1174 (2006)
- [44] Wang, X.: On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **153**, 229–244 (1993)
- [45] Wu, X.: Existence of nontrivial solutions and high energy solutions for Schrödinger-Kirchhoff-type equations in \mathbb{R}^N . *Nonlinear Anal. RWA* **12**, 1278–1287 (2011)
- [46] Xiang, M., Zhang, B., Guo, X.: Infinitely many solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff type problem via Fountain Theorem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **120**, 299–313 (2015)
- [47] Yuan, Z., Yu, J.: Existence of solutions for Dirichlet elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **197**, 111848 (2020)
- [48] Zhang, Z., Perera, K.: Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via invariant sets of descent flow. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **317**, 456–463 (2006)

Zhisu Liu
Center for Mathematical Sciences/School of Mathematics and Physics
China University of Geosciences
Wuhan 430074 Hubei
People’s Republic of China
e-mail: liuzhisu@cug.edu.cn

Vicențiu D. Rădulescu
Faculty of Applied Mathematics
AGH University of Science and Technology
30-059 Kraków
Poland
e-mail: radulescu@inf.ucv.ro

Vicențiu D. Rădulescu
Department of Mathematics
University of Craiova
200585 Craiova
Romania

Vicențiu D. Rădulescu
China-Romania Research Center in Applied Mathematics
Craiova
Romania

Ziqing Yuan
Department of Mathematics
Shaoyang University
Shaoyang Hunan 422000
People's Republic of China
e-mail: junjyuan@sina.com

(Received: March 5, 2022; accepted: August 28, 2022)