



Liouville-type theorems for fractional Hardy–Hénon systems

Kui Li, Meng Yu and Zhitao Zhang

Abstract. In this paper, we study Liouville-type theorems for fractional Hardy–Hénon elliptic systems with weights. Because the weights are singular at zero, we firstly prove that classical solutions for systems in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ are also distributional solutions in \mathbb{R}^N . Then we study the equivalence between the fractional Hardy–Hénon system and a proper integral system, and we obtain new Liouville-type theorems for supersolutions and solutions by the method of integral estimates and scaling spheres respectively.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J30, 35J75, 35B53.

Keywords. Liouville-type theorem, Fractional-order elliptic system, Hénon–Lane–Emden conjecture, Methods of scaling spheres, Integral system.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the fractional Laplacian operator as a nonlocal operator has been widely used to model diverse physical phenomena, such as anomalous diffusion and quasi-geostrophic flows, turbulence and water waves, molecular dynamics and relativistic quantum mechanics of stars [8, 9, 37]. Moreover, they also appear in mathematical finance [2, 15], elasticity problems [34], obstacle problems [3, 4], phase transition [1] and crystal dislocation [18, 38].

The aim of this paper is to investigate the fractional Hardy–Hénon system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u = |x|^a v^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{s_2} v = |x|^b u^q, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $(-\Delta)^{s_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the fractional Laplacian operator. Throughout this paper, we always suppose $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, q > 0$ and $N \geq 2$. The

This work is supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFA1005601) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (12031015).

fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$, $0 < s < 1$ is defined as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C(N, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \tag{1.2}$$

where $C(N, s) > 0$ is a normalization constant that is often omitted for convenience. The integral in (1.2) is interpreted in the Cauchy principal value sense, that is, as the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of the same integral taken in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)$, i.e., the complementary of the ball of center x and radius ε . This operator is well defined in $\mathcal{L}_{2s} \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{2s} := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The system (1.1) is a natural generalization of the fractional Hardy–Hénon equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = |x|^a u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.3}$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $p > 0$.

As for Liouville-type theorems of (1.3), in the local case $s = 1$, the equation (1.3) is the famous Hardy–Hénon equation

$$-\Delta u = |x|^a u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

Define the Hardy–Sobolev exponent

$$p_S(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{N+2+2a}{N-2}, & N \geq 3, \\ +\infty, & N = 2. \end{cases}$$

When $a \leq -2$, Dupaigne et al. [21] proved that Eq. (1.4) has no positive solutions in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As for the case $a > -2$, Phan and Souplet proposed in [29] the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. Let $N \geq 2$ and $a > -2$. Then Eq. (1.4) has no positive solutions if $0 < p < p_S(a)$.

In [29], authors proved this conjecture for bounded solutions. Moreover, in [25], Li and Zhang solved this conjecture for $N = 3$ and Guo and Wan [20] completely solved this conjecture for $N \geq 3$.

In the nonlocal case $0 < s < 1$, authors in [19] established some Liouville-type theorems for positive solutions when $a > 0$ and $\frac{N+a}{N-2s} < p < \frac{N+a+2s}{N-2s}$ via the method of moving planes in integral forms. In [5], authors proved a nonexistence result for positive solutions in the optimal range of the nonlinearity, that is, when $a > -2s$ and $1 < p < \frac{N+2a+2s}{N-2s}$, and additionally assume $a > -N/2$ if $N < 4s$. Furthermore, they used reduction argument to prove that a bubble solution, which is a fast decay positive radially symmetric solution, exists when $p = \frac{N+2a+2s}{N-2s}$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$, $a > 0$ or $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < a < \frac{2s(N-1)}{1-2s}$.

Returning to the system (1.1), when $s_1 = s_2 = 1$, (1.1) becomes famous Hénon–Lane–Emden elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^a v^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = |x|^b u^q, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Define critical Sobolev hyperbola (see [7, 10])

$$\frac{N+a}{p+1} + \frac{N+b}{q+1} = N-2,$$

and call the pair (p, q) subcritical if $\frac{N+a}{p+1} + \frac{N+b}{q+1} > N-2$. In [6], authors proved that the system (1.5) has positive radially symmetric solutions if and only if the pair (p, q) is above or on the critical Sobolev hyperbola, which implies the following well-known Hénon–Lane–Emden conjecture is valid if all positive solutions of (1.5) are radially symmetric:

Conjecture 1.2. Suppose that (p, q) is subcritical, then system (1.5) has no positive classical solutions.

When $a = b = 0$, this is celebrated Lane–Emden conjecture which has been extensively studied and solved for $N \leq 4$ in [27, 30, 31, 33, 36]. It still remains open for $N \geq 5$. Recently, Li and Zhang [25] proved the Hénon–Lane–Emden conjecture for $N = 3$.

When $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$, there are very few results about Liouville-type theorems for (1.1). In [19], Dou and Zhou proved that when $s_1 = s_2 =: s, a, b \geq 0, \frac{N+a}{N-2s} < p \leq \frac{N+a+2s}{N-2s}, \frac{N+b}{N-2s} < q \leq \frac{N+b+2s}{N-2s}$, the system (1.1) has no nontrivial nonnegative solutions. In [26], authors used moving plane method to prove that when $s_1 = s_2 = s, a, b \geq 0, 1 < p < \frac{N+2s+a}{N-2s}, 1 < q < \frac{N+2s+b}{N-2s}$, the system (1.1) has no nontrivial nonnegative solutions. In [28], authors used scaling sphere method to prove Liouville theorems for the system (1.3) with $s_1 = s_2 = s$. They proved that when $a, b > -2s$ and $0 < p < \frac{N+2s+2a}{N-2s}, 0 < q < \frac{N+2s+2b}{N-2s}$, the system (1.3) has no nontrivial nonnegative solutions. Moreover, they also prove Liouville theorems for higher-order Hénon–Hardy systems, i.e., s is a positive integer. However, since this is out of the scope of our paper, we refer interested readers to that paper.

In this paper, we study Liouville-type theorems of system (1.1) in a more general setting. That is, we assume that a, b might be negative and s_1, s_2 does not necessarily equal, which are not considered in the references mentioned above. We stress that when $a, b < 0$, the weights $|x|^a$ and $|x|^b$ in (1.1) are singular at zero which makes the problem more difficult. Specifically, the regularity of u and v at the origin is not clear which makes the techniques used in the references mentioned above invalid. In order to overcome this difficulty, we firstly need to choose an appropriate function space to give definitions of classical (super-)solutions.

Definition 1.3. Suppose $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1), a, b \in \mathbb{R}, p, q > 0$. We call (u, v) is a positive classical (super-)solution of system (1.1), if $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_1} \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}), v \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_2} \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ with $u, v > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and (u, v) satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u(\geq) = |x|^a v^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ (-\Delta)^{s_2} v(\geq) = |x|^b u^q, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

In other words, solutions which blow up at zero are not in our consideration. And it can be easily seen from definition that positive classical solutions of system (1.1) are also positive classical super-solutions. So, our first main result is concerned with Liouville-type theorems for super-solutions.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $a, b > -N$, $p, q > 0$, $a \leq -2s_1$ or $b \leq -2s_2$, then system (1.1) has no positive classical super-solutions.*

Now we only need consider the case $a > -2s_1$ and $b > -2s_2$. In this case, if $pq \neq 1$, we define

$$\alpha = \frac{2(s_1 + ps_2) + a + pb}{pq - 1}, \quad \beta = \frac{2(s_2 + qs_1) + b + qa}{pq - 1}. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that if $pq \neq 1$ and (u, v) is a solution of system (1.1), then $(R^\alpha u(Rx), R^\beta v(Rx))$ is also a solution of system (1.1) for any $R > 0$, this scaling invariance property is very crucial to our proof.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that $a > -2s_1$ and $b > -2s_2$, $p > 1$ and $q > 1$, $\alpha > N - 2s_1$ or $\beta > N - 2s_2$. Then system (1.1) has no positive classical super-solutions.*

Moreover, if we assume stronger in Theorem 1.5 that $s_1 = s_2$, $p = q$ and $a = b$, we find that if u is a positive classical super-solution of Eq. (1.3), then (u, v) with $u = v$ is a positive classical super-solution of system (1.1). As a result, we have the following Liouville-type theorem for super-solutions to Eq. (1.3), which is also a new result.

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose that $a > -2s$, $p > 1$, $\alpha > N - 2s$. Then Eq. (1.3) has no positive classical super-solutions.*

Our next result is a Liouville-type theorem for classical solutions of system (1.1).

Theorem 1.7. *Assume that $N \geq 2$, $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$, $a > -2s_1$, $b > -2s_2$, $0 < p \leq \frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}$ and $0 < q \leq \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1}$, $(p, q) \neq (\frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}, \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1})$. Suppose (u, v) is a pair of nonnegative classical solution to system (1.1), then $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^N .*

Now we sketch ideas of proofs of main theorems. We argue by contradiction and assume that system (1.1) have nonnegative classical (super-)solutions. As mentioned before, in order to overcome the difficulties arising from singular weights of system (1.1), we firstly prove that nonnegative classical (super-)solutions for system (1.1) are also distributional (super-)solutions (see Lemma 2.1). Then we can prove the equivalence between the system (1.1) and a proper integral system (see Lemma 2.2 for super-solutions and Lemma 3.1 for solutions). Thus, we can directly obtain Theorem 1.4. To prove Theorem 1.5, we utilize the scaling invariance of system (1.1) to establish integral estimates of nonlinearities in a ball (see Lemma 2.3). We emphasize here that, because $(-\Delta)^s$ is a nonlocal operator, we need choose very suitable test

functions when estimating integrals. This appears strikingly different from classical Laplace operator and also becomes more difficult. As for Theorem 1.7, we use scaling spheres method for integral systems to derive better lower bounds for u and v (see Theorem 3.3) and use them to get contradiction. The method of scaling spheres, developed in [16], comes from the method of moving spheres. The method of moving spheres was firstly used by Chen and Li [12] and then developed in [14, 32] and references therein. The method of scaling spheres is essentially a frozen variant of the method of moving spheres. It makes use of the integral representation formula of solutions and the “Bootstrap” technique to derive lower bound estimates of solutions at infinity. This method has been widely used to deal with varieties of problems such as Liouville-type theorem and classification of solutions to many equations or systems. For instance, in [11], authors use this method to establish Liouville-type theorems, integral representation formula and classification results for nonnegative solutions to fractional higher-order equations with general nonlinearities. For more applications, we refer interested readers to Le [22–24], Dai et al. [17], Peng [28] and references therein.

Throughout this paper, $C, C_1, C_2 \dots$ denotes positive constant independent of (u, v) which may be different from line to line. $B_R(x)$ is the open ball with radius R and center x_0 , $\text{supp } f$ denotes the support of a Lebesgue measurable function f . $\mathcal{F}[f]$ denotes the Fourier transform of f .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we establish the equivalence between the system (1.1) and a proper integral system and prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 by integral estimates. Section 3 is devoted to prove Theorem 1.7 by combining the equivalence between the system (1.1) and an integral system with scaling spheres method.

2. Non-existence results for super-solutions

Lemma 2.1. *Let $a, b > -N$ and (u, v) is a positive classical super-solution of system (1.1), then it is also a super-solution in the sense of distribution, i.e., for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^{s_1} \varphi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a v^p \varphi, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s_2} v \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v (-\Delta)^{s_2} \varphi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^b u^q \varphi \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We only prove (2.1), since the proof of (2.2) is similar. Firstly, we claim that, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ with $\varphi \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_1} \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^{s_1} \varphi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a v^p \varphi. \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, we only need to show the first equality holds in (2.3). Take any arbitrary open set Ω_0 compactly contained in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ uniformly bounded in $C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$, converging uniformly to u in Ω_0 and also converging to u in the norm of \mathcal{L}_{2s_1} . By the uniform bound on the

$C^{1,1}$ -norm of u_k in Ω_0 , it can be shown that the integrals converge uniformly in Ω_0 ,

$$(-\Delta)^s u_k \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

But $(-\Delta)^s u_k \rightarrow (-\Delta)^s u$ in the topology of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)'$, the dual of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the uniqueness of the limits, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (-\Delta)^s u_k = (-\Delta)^s u$. Since Ω_0 is arbitrary, this holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. By Parseval's theorem and Fourier definition of the fractional Laplacian [13, p. 3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u \varphi &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u_k \varphi \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^s u_k] \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}[u_k] (|\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi]) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k \mathcal{F}[|\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi]] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k (-\Delta)^s \varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^s \varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

which concludes (2.3).

Now, take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\phi \geq 0$, $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and $\eta = 1$ in B_1 , $\eta = 0$ in B_2^c . Let $\eta_j = 1 - \eta(jx)$ and $\phi_j = \phi \eta_j$. Then $\phi_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u \phi_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a v^p \phi_j. \tag{2.5}$$

Firstly, we intend to prove

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u \phi_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u \phi. \tag{2.6}$$

It is simply followed by Beppo-Levi monotone convergence theorem by observing that the sequence $\phi_j(x) \nearrow \phi(x)$.

Next, we prove

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi. \tag{2.7}$$

By calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j &= (-\Delta)^{s_1} (\phi \eta_j)(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\eta_j \phi)(x) - (\eta_j \phi)(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi(x) \eta_j(x) - \phi(x) \eta_j(y) + \phi(x) \eta_j(y) - \phi(y) \eta_j(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \\ &= \phi(x) (-\Delta)^{s_1} \eta_j(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\eta_j(y) (\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \end{aligned}$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2 \quad (2.8)$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, we choose $R < |x|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{s_1} \eta_j(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\eta_j(x) - \eta_j(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \\ &= \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{\eta_j(x) - \eta_j(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x)} \frac{\eta_j(x) - \eta_j(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \\ &=: I_{11} + I_{12} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

For j sufficiently large and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, $\eta_j = 1$ in $B_R(x)$, so

$$I_{11} = \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{1-1}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

As for I_{12} ,

$$\left| \frac{\eta_j(x) - \eta_j(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x)), \quad (2.11)$$

Combining with the fact $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \eta_j(x) = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (-\Delta)^{s_1} \eta_j(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad (2.12)$$

which implies that $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Similar to the discussion above, we have $I_2 \rightarrow (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, $(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j \rightarrow (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

For R sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_R(0)} u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j - u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi \right| &\leq \int_{B_R(0)} |u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j - u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi| \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^1(B_R(0))} \|(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j - (-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

For $x \in B_R(0)^c$, recall that $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j| &= \left| u \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\phi \eta_j)(x) - (\phi \eta_j)(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \right| \\ &= \left| u \int_{\text{supp } \phi} \frac{(\phi \eta_j)(x) - (\phi \eta_j)(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \right| \\ &\leq |u| \int_{\text{supp } \phi} \frac{C}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{C|u|}{|x|^{N+2s_1}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi_j \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} u(-\Delta)^{s_1} \phi, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

By (2.13) and (2.15), we can conclude (2.7). Finally, by $a > -N$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a v^p \phi_j - |x|^a v^p \phi \right| &\leq \int_{\text{supp } \phi} \left| |x|^a v^p \phi_j - |x|^a v^p \phi \right| \\ &\leq \| |x|^a \|_{L^1(\text{supp } \phi)} \|v^p \phi_j - v^p \phi\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a v^p \phi_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^a v^p \phi. \tag{2.17}$$

Combining (2.5)–(2.7) with (2.17), we can conclude (2.1). □

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $a, b > -N$, $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_1}$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_2}$ are lower semi-continuous. Suppose that (u, v) is a positive classical super-solution of system (1.1), then*

$$u(x) \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^a v^p(y)}{|x - y|^{N-2s_1}} dy, \quad v(x) \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x - y|^{N-2s_2}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.18}$$

For writing convenience, we will choose $C = 1$ in the following use of system (2.18) since it only depends on N, s_1, s_2 and thus does not affect our proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let

$$u_R(x) = \int_{B_R} G_R(x, y) |y|^a v^p dy, \tag{2.19}$$

where $G_R(x, y)$ is the Green’s function on the ball $B_R(0)$ taking the form of

$$G_R(x, y) = \frac{C_{N,s}}{|x - y|^{N-2s_1}} \int_0^{\frac{t_R}{s_R}} \frac{b^{s-1}}{(1 + b)^{N/2}} db, \tag{2.20}$$

with $s_R = \frac{|x-y|^2}{R^2}$ and $t_R = \left(1 - \left|\frac{x}{R}\right|^2\right) \left(1 - \left|\frac{y}{R}\right|^2\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u_R(x) = |x|^a v^p, & x \in B_R(0), \\ u_R(x) = 0, & x \notin B_R(0), \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

in the sense of distribution. Let

$$w_R(x) = u(x) - u_R(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} w_R(x) \geq 0, & x \in B_R(0), \\ w_R(x) \geq 0, & x \notin B_R(0), \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

in the sense of distribution. By the maximum principle [35, Proposition 2.17], we have

$$w_R(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in B_R(0). \tag{2.23}$$

As $R \rightarrow \infty, s_R \rightarrow 0, t_R \rightarrow 1,$

$$\int_0^{\frac{t_R}{s_R}} \frac{b^{s-1}}{(1 + b)^{N/2}} db \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \frac{b^{s-1}}{(1 + b)^{N/2}} db = C.$$

From

$$G_R(x, y) \rightarrow G(x, y) = \frac{C(N, s)}{|x - y|^{N-2s_1}}, \quad R \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$u_R(x) \rightarrow C(N, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^a u^p(y)}{|x - y|^{N-2s_1}} dy, \quad R \rightarrow \infty,$$

we can get the first inequality of (2.18) and the second inequality is followed by the same argument as above. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that (u, v) is a positive super-solution, by Lemma 2.2, u and v satisfy (2.18). When $p, q > 0$ and $a \leq -2s_1$ or $b \leq -2s_2$, $u(x) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^a v^p(y)}{|x - y|^{N-2s_1}} dy = \infty$ or $v(x) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x - y|^{N-2s_2}} dy = \infty$, which is a contradiction. \square

In the following, we establish an integral estimate lemma which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.5. Notice that the scaling invariance of (1.1) stated before Theorem 1.5 is very important to the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $a > -2s_1$ and $b > -2s_2$, $p, q > 1$ and (u, v) is a positive super-solution of system (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(p, q, a, b, N, s)$ such that when $\max\{a, b\} \leq 0$, or $\max\{a, b\} > 0$ and $s_1 = s_2 =: s$,*

$$\int_{B_R} |x|^a v^p \leq CR^{N-2s_1-\alpha}, \quad \int_{B_R} |x|^b u^q \leq CR^{N-2s_2-\beta}, \quad (2.24)$$

when $\max\{a, b\} > 0$ and $s_1 \neq s_2$,

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |x|^a v^p \leq CR^{N-2s_1-\alpha}, \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |x|^b u^q \leq CR^{N-2s_2-\beta}. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. We first prove the case of $R = 1$.

Case (1). $\max\{a, b\} > 0$ and $s_1 = s_2 = s$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $a = \max\{a, b\} > 0$. Choose $\tilde{\varphi} > 0$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue of the equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \varphi = \lambda |x|^a \varphi, & \text{in } B_2, \\ \varphi = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

On the one hand, by Lemma 2.1 and Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \tilde{\varphi} &\leq \int_{B_2} (-\Delta)^s v \tilde{\varphi} = \int_{B_2} v (-\Delta)^s \tilde{\varphi} = \lambda_1 \int_{B_2} |x|^a v \tilde{\varphi} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \tilde{\varphi} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^a \tilde{\varphi} \right)^{1-1/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \tilde{\varphi} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

On the other hand, in the similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \tilde{\varphi} &\leq \int_{B_2} (-\Delta)^s u \tilde{\varphi} = \int_{B_2} u (-\Delta)^s \tilde{\varphi} = \lambda_1 \int_{B_2} |x|^a u \tilde{\varphi} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \tilde{\varphi} \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^{(a-b/q)(q/q-1)} \tilde{\varphi} \right)^{1-1/q} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \tilde{\varphi} \right)^{1/q}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

By (2.27) and (2.28), we have

$$\int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \tilde{\varphi} \leq C, \quad \int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \tilde{\varphi} \leq C. \tag{2.29}$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^a v^p \leq C \int_{B_1} |x|^a v^p \tilde{\varphi} \leq C \int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \tilde{\varphi} \leq C, \tag{2.30}$$

and

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^b u^q \leq C \int_{B_1} |x|^b u^q \tilde{\varphi} \leq C \int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \tilde{\varphi} \leq C. \tag{2.31}$$

Case (2). $\max\{a, b\} \leq 0$.

Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_2)$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta = 1$ in B_1 . By Lemma 2.1 and convexity of $\eta \mapsto \eta^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \eta^m &\leq \int_{B_2} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u \eta^m = \int_{B_2} u (-\Delta)^{s_1} \eta^m \leq C \int_{B_2} u \eta^{m-1}, \\
 \int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \eta^m &\leq \int_{B_2} (-\Delta)^{s_2} v \eta^m = \int_{B_2} v (-\Delta)^{s_2} \eta^m \leq C \int_{B_2} v \eta^{m-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

By Hölder inequality and (2.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \eta^m &\leq C \int_{B_2} u \eta^{m-1} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \eta^m \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^{-b/(q-1)} \eta^{m-q/(q-1)} \right)^{1-1/q} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \eta^m \right)^{1/q}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \eta^m &\leq C \int_{B_2} v \eta^{m-1} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \eta^m \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^{-a/(p-1)} \eta^{m-p/(p-1)} \right)^{1-1/p} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \eta^m \right)^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Combining (2.33) with (2.34), we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \eta^m\right)^{1-\frac{1}{pq}} \leq C, \quad \left(\int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \eta^m\right)^{1-\frac{1}{pq}} \leq C, \tag{2.35}$$

which implies

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^a v^p \leq \int_{B_2} |x|^a v^p \eta^m \leq C, \quad \int_{B_1} |x|^b u^q \leq \int_{B_2} |x|^b u^q \eta^m \leq C. \tag{2.36}$$

Case (3). $\max\{a, b\} > 0$ and $s_1 \neq s_2$.

Here, we choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_{\frac{3}{4}} \setminus B_{\frac{1}{4}})$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta = 1$ in $B_1 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and repeat the argument in case (2).

Since $q\alpha = \beta + 2s_2 + b$, $p\beta = \alpha + 2s_1 + a$ and $(R^\alpha u(Rx), R^\beta v(Rx))$ is also a super-solution, replacing u and v by $R^\alpha u(Rx)$ and $R^\beta v(Rx)$ in the above inequality respectively and changing variables yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |x|^b u^q &= R^{N-2s_2-\beta} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} |x|^b (R^\alpha u(Rx))^q \leq CR^{N-2s_2-\beta}, \\ \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |x|^a v^p &= R^{N-2s_1-\alpha} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}} |x|^a (R^\beta v(Rx))^p \leq CR^{N-2s_1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Suppose that (u, v) is a positive super-solution and $\alpha > N - 2s_1$. By Lemma 2.3, we can get two kinds of integral estimates (2.24) or (2.25).

In the case of (2.24), recalling that $\alpha > N - 2s_1$,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} |x|^a v^p = 0.$$

It follows that $v \equiv 0$ and $u \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction.

In the case of (2.25), by (2.18), we have, for $|x| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &\geq \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} v^p(y) dy \\ &\geq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_1}} \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |y|^a v^p(y) dy \\ &=: \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Furthermore, again by (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\frac{|x|}{2}}(x)} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq C|x|^{2s_2-N} \int_{B_{\frac{|x|}{2}}(x)} |x|^b|y|^{q(2s_1-N)} dy \\
 &= C|x|^{b-q(N-2s_1)+2s_2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.39}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{R \leq |x| < 2R} |x|^a v^p dx &\geq \int_{R \leq |x| < 2R} |x|^a |x|^{pb-pq(N-2s_1)+2ps_2} dx \\
 &\geq CR^{(pq-1)(\alpha-N+2s_1)} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.40}$$

which contradicts with (2.25). □

3. Non-existence result for solutions

In this section, we only need consider the case of $a > -2s_1, b > -2s_2$ and $p, q > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_1}, v \in \mathcal{L}_{2s_2}$ is lower semi-continuous. Suppose that (u, v) is a non-negative classical solution of system (1.1). Then*

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^\alpha v^p(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} dy, \quad v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.
 \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.2. Assume that $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{L}_{2s}$ with $u \geq 0$ and $(-\Delta)^s u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, then maximum principle implies that $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N or $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.1, we can get that $u(0) > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N if $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. As a result, $u > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N or $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

Proof. With minor changes of the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can get that there exist constants $C_1, C_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x) &= C_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^\alpha v^p(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \\
 v(x) &= C_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.2}$$

Next, we will show $C_1 = 0$. If not, we assume that $C_1 > 0$, by (3.2), $u \geq C_1$ and

$$v \geq C_2 + C_1^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy = +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that $C_2 = 0$. □

3.1. Scaling Sphere Methods

We consider the following integral system

$$\begin{cases} u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^\alpha v^p(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} dy, \\ v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy. \end{cases}
 \tag{3.3}$$

Suppose that $u, v \geq 0$ satisfy the system (3.3) but u, v is not identically zero. From Remark 3.2, we can see that (u, v) is actually a positive solution in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, we can show that (u, v) satisfy the following lower bound:

$$u(x) \geq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_1}}, \quad v(x) \geq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_2}} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed, since $u, v > 0$ satisfy the system (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &\geq C \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} v^p(y) dy \\ &\geq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_1}} \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}} |y|^a v^p(y) dy \\ &= \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_1}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $|x| \geq 1$. Similarly, we also have

$$v(x) \geq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2s_2}} \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Next, we will apply the scaling spheres method for integral systems to show the following lower bound estimates for a positive solution (u, v) which contradict with the integral system (3.3).

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $N \geq 2, s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1), a > -2s_1, b > -2s_2, 0 < p \leq \frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}$ and $0 < q \leq \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1}, (p, q) \neq (\frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}, \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1})$. Suppose that (u, v) is a pair of nonnegative solution to system (3.3), then it satisfies the following lower bound estimates: for $|x| \geq 1$, if $pq < 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &\geq C_{\kappa_u} |x|^{\kappa_u}, \quad \forall \kappa_u < \frac{(a + 2s_1) + p(b + 2s_2)}{1 - pq}, \\ v(x) &\geq C_{\kappa_v} |x|^{\kappa_v}, \quad \forall \kappa_v < \frac{(b + 2s_2) + q(a + 2s_1)}{1 - pq}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

If $pq \geq 1$,

$$u(x) \geq C_\kappa |x|^\kappa, \quad v(x) \geq C_\kappa |x|^\kappa, \quad \forall \kappa < +\infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Given any $\lambda > 0$, define the Kelvin transform of u, v centered at 0 by

$$u_\lambda(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-2s_1} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right), \quad v_\lambda(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-2s_2} v\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$w_{\lambda,u}(x) := u_\lambda(x) - u(x), \quad w_{\lambda,v}(x) := v_\lambda(x) - v(x). \tag{3.10}$$

We will first show that, for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$w_{\lambda,u} \geq 0, \quad w_{\lambda,v} \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in B_\lambda(0) \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Step 1. Start dilating the sphere from near $\lambda = 0$

Define

$$B_{\lambda,u}^- := \{x \in B_\lambda(0) \setminus \{0\} | w_{\lambda,u} < 0\}, \quad B_{\lambda,v}^- := \{x \in B_\lambda(0) \setminus \{0\} | w_{\lambda,v} < 0\}. \tag{3.12}$$

We will show that, for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$B_{\lambda,u}^- = B_{\lambda,v}^- = \emptyset. \tag{3.13}$$

Since $u, v \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution to integral system (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^a v^p(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} dy + \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^a}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_a} v_\lambda^p dy, \\ v(x) &= \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^b u^q(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} dy + \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^b}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_b} u_\lambda^q dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\tau_a := N + 2s_1 + 2a - p(N - 2s_2)$, $\tau_b := N + 2s_2 + 2b - q(N - 2s_1)$. u_λ and v_λ satisfy the integral system for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$

$$\begin{cases} u_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_a} v_\lambda^p dy, \\ v_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_b} u_\lambda^q dy. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\lambda(x) &= \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^a}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_1}} v^p(y) + \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_a} v_\lambda^p(y) dy, \\ v_\lambda(x) &= \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^b}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_2}} u^q(y) + \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_b} u_\lambda^q(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.14) and (3.16), we can derive that, for $x \in B_{\lambda,u}^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> w_{\lambda,u}(x) = u_\lambda(x) - u(x) \\ &= \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \left(\frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} - \frac{|y|^a}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_1}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_a} v_\lambda^p(y) - v^p(y) \right) dy \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\lambda,v}^-} \left(\frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} - \frac{|y|^a}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_1}} \right) \\ &\quad \max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda,v}(y) dy \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\lambda,v}^-} \frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} \max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda,v}(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

In the third line of (3.17), we have used the fact that if $y \in B_{\lambda,v}^-$, then

$$\max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} = v^{p-1}(y)$$

if $p \geq 1$ and $\max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} = v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)$ if $p \leq 1$. Similarly, for $x \in B_{\lambda,v}^-$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &> w_{\lambda,v}(x) = v_\lambda(x) - v(x) \\
 &= \int_{B_{\lambda,u}(0)} \left(\frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} - \frac{|y|^b}{\left|\frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y\right|^{N-2s_2}} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{\tau_b} u_\lambda^p(y) - u^p(y) \right) dy \\
 &\geq \int_{B_{\lambda,u}^-} \left(\frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} - \frac{|y|^b}{\left|\frac{|y|}{\lambda}x - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}y\right|^{N-2s_2}} \right) \\
 &\quad \max\{u^{q-1}(y), u_\lambda^{q-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda,u}(y) dy \\
 &\geq \int_{B_{\lambda,u}^-} \frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} \max\{u^{q-1}(y), u_\lambda^{q-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda,u}(y) dy. \tag{3.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality, (3.17) and (3.18), we have, for any $\max\{\frac{N}{N-2s_1}, \frac{N}{N-2s_2}\} < r < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{\lambda,u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} &\leq C \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda,v} \|_{L^{\frac{Nr}{N+2rs_1}}(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} \\
 &\leq C \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} \|w_{\lambda,v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,v}^-)}, \tag{3.19}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{\lambda,v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} &\leq C \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}(y), u_\lambda^{q-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda,u} \|_{L^{\frac{Nr}{N+2rs_2}}(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} \\
 &\leq C \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}(y), u_\lambda^{q-1}(y)\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} \|w_{\lambda,u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,u}^-)}. \tag{3.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.4) implies that

$$\inf_{x \in B_{\lambda,u}(0) \setminus \{0\}} u_\lambda(x) \geq C \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in B_{\lambda,v}(0) \setminus \{0\}} v_\lambda(x) \geq C \tag{3.21}$$

for any $\lambda \leq 1$, and so

$$\sup_{x \in B_{\lambda,u}(0) \setminus \{0\}} u_\lambda^{q-1}(x) \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in B_{\lambda,v}(0) \setminus \{0\}} v_\lambda^{p-1}(x) \leq C \tag{3.22}$$

whenever $p \leq 1, q \leq 1$ and $\lambda \leq 1$.

It follows from (3.19) and (3.20) that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{\lambda,v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} &\leq C \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}(y), u_\lambda^{q-1}(y)\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} \\
 &\quad \times \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} \|w_{\lambda,v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,v}^-)}. \tag{3.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $a, b > -2s$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}(y), u_\lambda^{q-1}(y)\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} \\
 & \quad \times \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}(y), v_\lambda^{p-1}(y)\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} \leq \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < \epsilon_0$. Thus,

$$\|w_{\lambda,u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} = \|w_{\lambda,v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} = 0, \tag{3.25}$$

which implies that $B_{\lambda,u}^- = B_{\lambda,v}^- = \emptyset$ for all $0 < \lambda \leq \epsilon_0$.

Step 2. Dilate the sphere S_λ until $\lambda = +\infty$ to derive lower bound estimates on (u, v)

Based on Step 1, now we dilate the sphere S_λ outward as long as (3.11) holds. Let

$$\lambda_0 := \sup\{\lambda > 0 \mid w_{\mu,u} \geq 0 \text{ and } w_{\mu,v} \geq 0 \text{ in } B_\mu(0) \setminus \{0\}, \forall 0 < \mu \leq \lambda\}. \tag{3.26}$$

In the following, we will prove that $\lambda_0 = +\infty$. If not, $\lambda_0 < +\infty$, we first prove that

$$w_{\lambda_0,u} > 0 \text{ and } w_{\lambda_0,v} > 0 \tag{3.27}$$

for all $x \in B_{\lambda_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, if we assume that $w_{\lambda_0,u} = w_{\lambda_0,v} = 0$, we have, for any $x \in B_{\lambda_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & = w_{\lambda_0,u}(x) = u_{\lambda_0}(x) - u(x) \\
 & = \int_{B_{\lambda_0}} \left(\frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} - \frac{|y|^a}{\left|\frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y\right|^{N-2s_1}} \right) \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_a} - 1 \right) v^p(y) dy > 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & = w_{\lambda_0,v}(x) = v_{\lambda_0}(x) - v(x) \\
 & = \int_{B_{\lambda_0}} \left(\frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} - \frac{|y|^b}{\left|\frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y\right|^{N-2s_2}} \right) \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_b} - 1 \right) u^q(y) dy > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

So, there exists a $x_0 \in B_{\lambda_0} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $w_{\lambda_0,u}(x_0) > 0$ or $w_{\lambda_0,v}(x_0) > 0$. Assume that $w_{\lambda_0,u}(x_0) > 0$, by the second equality of (3.29), we have $w_{\lambda_0,v}(x_0) > 0$. By continuity, there exists $\delta > 0$, a constant $l_u, l_v > 0$ such that

$$B_\delta(x_0) \subset B_{\lambda_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}, \quad w_{\lambda_0,u} \geq l_u > 0 \text{ and } w_{\lambda_0,v} \geq l_v > 0 \tag{3.30}$$

From (3.30) and the system (3.14) and (3.16), we have, for any $x \in B_{\lambda_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{\lambda_0,u}(x) & = u_{\lambda_0}(x) - u(x) \\
 & = \int_{B_{\lambda_0}(0)} \left(\frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} - \frac{|y|^a}{\left|\frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y\right|^{N-2s_1}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_a} v_{\lambda_0}^p(y) - v^p(y) \right) dy \\
& \geq \int_{B_{\lambda_0}(0)} \left(\frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} - \frac{|y|^a}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_1}} \right) \\
& \quad \min\{v^{p-1}(y), v_{\lambda_0}^{p-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda_0, v}(y) dy \\
& \geq \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \left(\frac{|y|^a}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1}} - \frac{|y|^a}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_1}} \right) \\
& \quad \min\{v^{p-1}(y), v_{\lambda_0}^{p-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda_0, v}(y) dy \\
& > 0, \tag{3.31}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{\lambda_0, v}(x) &= v_{\lambda_0}(x) - v(x) \\
&= \int_{B_{\lambda_0}(0)} \left(\frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} - \frac{|y|^b}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_2}} \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_b} u_{\lambda_0}^q(y) - u^q(y) \right) dy \\
& \geq \int_{B_{\lambda_0}(0)} \left(\frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} - \frac{|y|^b}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_2}} \right) \\
& \quad \min\{u^{q-1}(y), u_{\lambda_0}^{q-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda_0, u}(y) dy \\
& \geq \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \left(\frac{|y|^b}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2}} - \frac{|y|^b}{\left| \frac{|y|}{\lambda_0}x - \frac{\lambda_0}{|y|}y \right|^{N-2s_2}} \right) \\
& \quad \min\{u^{q-1}(y), u_{\lambda_0}^{q-1}(y)\} w_{\lambda_0, u}(y) dy \\
& > 0, \tag{3.32}
\end{aligned}$$

which verify (3.27).

Next, we will show that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $w_{\lambda, u} \geq 0$ and $w_{\lambda, v} \geq 0$ in $B_\lambda(0) \setminus \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon]$. Once this is proved, we can obtain a contradiction with (3.26).

By (3.31) and (3.32), there exists a $0 < \eta < \lambda_0$ small enough and $\tilde{l}_u, \tilde{l}_v > 0$, such that, for any $x \in \overline{B_\eta(0)} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{\lambda_0, u}(x) &\geq \tilde{l}_u > 0, \\
w_{\lambda_0, v}(x) &\geq \tilde{l}_v > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

We fix $0 < r_0 < \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$ small enough, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}, v_\lambda^{p-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(A_{\lambda_0+r_0, 2r_0})} \\
 & \quad \times \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}, u_\lambda^{q-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(A_{\lambda_0+r_0, 2r_0})} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.34}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is the same as in (3.23) and $A_{\lambda_0+r_0, 2r_0}$ is defined as

$$A_{\lambda_0+r_0, 2r_0} := \{x \in B_{\lambda_0+r_0}(0) \mid |x| > \lambda_0 - r_0\}. \tag{3.35}$$

By (3.17) and (3.18), we can also deduce that for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + r_0]$ and $\max\{\frac{N}{N-2s_1}, \frac{N}{N-2s_2}\} < r < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{\lambda, u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, u}^-)} & \leq C \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}, v_\lambda^{p-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(B_{\lambda, v}^-)} \|w_{\lambda, v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, v}^-)}, \\
 \|w_{\lambda, v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, v}^-)} & \leq C \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}, u_\lambda^{q-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(B_{\lambda, u}^-)} \|w_{\lambda, u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, u}^-)}. \tag{3.36}
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{\lambda, u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, u}^-)} & \leq C \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}, v_\lambda^{p-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(B_{\lambda, v}^-)} \\
 & \quad \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}, u_\lambda^{q-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(B_{\lambda, u}^-)} \|w_{\lambda, u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, u}^-)}, \\
 \|w_{\lambda, v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, v}^-)} & \leq C \| |x|^a \max\{v^{p-1}, v_\lambda^{p-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(B_{\lambda, v}^-)} \\
 & \quad \| |x|^b \max\{u^{q-1}, u_\lambda^{q-1}\} \|_{L^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(B_{\lambda, u}^-)} \|w_{\lambda, v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda, v}^-)}. \tag{3.37}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.30) and (3.33), we can deduce that

$$m_u := \inf_{x \in \overline{B_{\lambda_0-r_0}(0)} \setminus \{0\}} w_{\lambda_0, u}(x) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad m_v := \inf_{x \in \overline{B_{\lambda_0-r_0}(0)} \setminus \{0\}} w_{\lambda_0, v}(x) > 0. \tag{3.38}$$

Since u and v are uniformly continuous on arbitrary $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for example, $K = \overline{B_{4\lambda_0}(0)}$, we claim that, there exists a $0 < \varepsilon_1 < r_0$ small enough, satisfying that for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_1]$,

$$w_{\lambda, u}(x) \geq \frac{m_u}{2} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{\lambda, v}(x) \geq \frac{m_v}{2} > 0, \quad x \in \overline{B_{\lambda_0-r_0}(0)} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3.39}$$

In order to prove this, we observe that (3.38) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x|^{N-2s_1} u(x) - \lambda_0^{N-2s_1} u(x^{\lambda_0}) & \geq m_u \lambda_0^{N-2s_1}, \quad |x| \geq \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\lambda_0 - r_0}, \\
 |x|^{N-2s_2} v(x) - \lambda_0^{N-2s_2} v(x^{\lambda_0}) & \geq m_v \lambda_0^{N-2s_2}, \quad |x| \geq \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\lambda_0 - r_0}. \tag{3.40}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the reflection of x about the sphere $\partial B_\lambda(0)$ is defined by $x^\lambda := \frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Since u, v are uniformly continuous on $\overline{B_{4\lambda_0}(0)}$, by (3.40), there exists a $0 < \varepsilon_1 < r_0$ small enough such that for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|x|^{N-2s_1}u(x) - \lambda^{N-2s_1}u(x^\lambda) &\geq \frac{m_u}{2}\lambda^{N-2s_1}, & |x| &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_0 - r_0}, \\
|x|^{N-2s_2}v(x) - \lambda^{N-2s_2}v(x^\lambda) &\geq \frac{m_v}{2}\lambda^{N-2s_2}, & |x| &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_0 - r_0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

which verify (3.39). By (3.41), we can see that for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_1]$,

$$B_{\lambda,u}^- \subset A_{\lambda_0+r_0,2r_0} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\lambda,v}^- \subset A_{\lambda_0+r_0,2r_0}. \tag{3.42}$$

Therefore, by (3.34) and (3.37),

$$\|w_{\lambda,u}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,u}^-)} = \|w_{\lambda,v}\|_{L^r(B_{\lambda,v}^-)} = 0, \tag{3.43}$$

which means for $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_1]$, $B_{\lambda,u}^- = B_{\lambda,v}^- = \emptyset$. That is,

$$w_{\lambda,u} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{\lambda,v} \geq 0 \quad x \in B_{\lambda(0)} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{3.44}$$

which contradicts with (3.26). Now, there holds for any $0 < \lambda < +\infty$ and $|x| \geq \lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x) &\geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-2s_1} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right), \\
v(x) &\geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-2s_2} v\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

For $|x| \geq 1$, choose $\lambda := \sqrt{|x|}$, then for any $0 < \lambda < +\infty$ and $|x| \geq \lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x) &\geq \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s_1}{2}}} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right), \\
v(x) &\geq \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s_2}{2}}} v\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

There exists a $C_0 > 0$ such that for $|x| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x) &\geq \left(\min_{|x|=1} u(x)\right) \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s_1}{2}}} =: \frac{C_0}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s_1}{2}}}, \\
v(x) &\geq \left(\min_{|x|=1} v(x)\right) \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s_2}{2}}} =: \frac{C_0}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s_2}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

Now, we use the bootstrap iteration argument to improve the lower bound estimate (3.47). Let $\mu_{0,u} := \frac{N-2s_1}{2}$ and $\mu_{0,v} := \frac{N-2s_2}{2}$, by (3.47) and (3.16), for $|x| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x) &\geq \int_{2|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s_1} |y|^{p\mu_{0,v}-a}} dy \\
&\geq \frac{C_0}{|x|^{N-2s_1}} \int_{2|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} \frac{1}{|y|^{p\mu_{0,v}-a}} dy \\
&= \frac{C_0}{|x|^{N-2s_1}} \int_{2|x|}^{4|x|} r^{N-1-p\mu_{0,v}+a} dr \\
&\geq \frac{C_1}{|x|^{p\mu_{0,v}-(a+2s_1)}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(x) &\geq \int_{2|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s_2} |y|^{q\mu_{0,u}-b}} dy \\
 &\geq \frac{C_0}{|x|^{N-2s_2}} \int_{2|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} \frac{1}{|y|^{q\mu_{0,u}-b}} dy \\
 &= \frac{C_0}{|x|^{N-2s_2}} \int_{2|x|}^{4|x|} r^{N-1-q\mu_{0,u}+b} dr \\
 &\geq \frac{C_1}{|x|^{q\mu_{0,u}-(b+2s_2)}}, \tag{3.49}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu_{1,u} := p\mu_{0,v} - (a+2s_1)$, $\mu_{1,v} := q\mu_{0,u} - (b+2s_2)$. Because $0 < p < \frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}$ and $0 < q < \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1}$, we have $\mu_{1,u} < \mu_{0,u}$ and $\mu_{1,v} < \mu_{0,v}$. So, we have obtained a better lower bound estimate

$$u(x) \geq \frac{C_1}{|x|^{\mu_{1,u}}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) \geq \frac{C_1}{|x|^{\mu_{1,v}}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1. \tag{3.50}$$

For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, define

$$\mu_{k+1,u} := p\mu_{k,v} - (a + 2s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{k+1,v} := q\mu_{k,u} - (b + 2s_2). \tag{3.51}$$

Repeating the iteration process above, we can obtain

$$u(x) \geq \frac{C_k}{|x|^{\mu_{k,u}}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) \geq \frac{C_k}{|x|^{\mu_{k,v}}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1. \tag{3.52}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{k+2,u} &= pq\mu_{k,u} - p(b + 2s_2) - (a + 2s_1), \\
 \mu_{k+2,v} &= pq\mu_{k,v} - q(a + 2s_1) - (b + 2s_2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

For $pq < 1$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{2k,u} &\rightarrow \frac{(a + 2s_1) + p(b + s_2)}{pq - 1}, \\
 \mu_{2k,v} &\rightarrow \frac{(b + 2s_2) + q(a + s_1)}{pq - 1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

and for $pq \geq 1$, we have $\mu_{2k,u} \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\mu_{2k,v} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Assume that system (1.1) has a pair of nonnegative solution (u, v) , for $0 < p \leq \frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}$ and $0 < q \leq \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1}$, $(p, q) \neq (\frac{N+2s_1+2a}{N-2s_2}, \frac{N+2s_2+2b}{N-2s_1})$, the lower bound estimates in Theorem 3.3 contradicts with the following integrability of (u, v)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^p(x)}{|x|^{N-2s_1-a}} dx &= u(0) < +\infty, \\
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^q(x)}{|x|^{N-2s_2-b}} dx &= v(0) < +\infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Therefore, we must have $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . \square

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions.

Author contributions All authors wrote the main manuscript text and reviewed the manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This work is supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFA1005601) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (12031015).

Availability of data and materials Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Alberti, G., Bellettini, G.: A nonlocal anisotropic model for phase transitions. I. The optimal profile problem. *Math. Ann.* **310**(3), 527–560 (1998)
- [2] Applebaum, D.: *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Volume 116 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009)
- [3] Barrios, B., Figalli, A., Ros-Oton, X.: Free boundary regularity in the parabolic fractional obstacle problem. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **71**(10), 2129–2159 (2018)

- [4] Barrios, B., Figalli, A., Ros-Oton, X.: Global regularity for the free boundary in the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian. *Am. J. Math.* **140**(2), 415–447 (2018)
- [5] Barrios, B., Quaas, A.: The sharp exponent in the study of the nonlocal Hénon equation in \mathbb{R}^n : a Liouville theorem and an existence result. *Calc. Var. Part. Differ. Equ.* **59**(4), 22 (2020)
- [6] Bidaut-Veron, M.F., Giacomini, H.: A new dynamical approach of Emden–Fowler equations and systems. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **15**(11–12), 1033–1082 (2010)
- [7] Bonheure, D., Moreira dos Santos, E., Ramos, M.: Symmetry and symmetry breaking for ground state solutions of some strongly coupled elliptic systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **264**(1), 62–96 (2013)
- [8] Bouchaud, J.-P., Georges, A.: Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications. *Phys. Rep.* **195**(4–5), 127–293 (1990)
- [9] Caffarelli, L.A., Vasseur, A.: Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation. *Ann. Math. (2)* **171**(3), 1903–1930 (2010)
- [10] Calanchi, M., Ruf, B.: Radial and non radial solutions for Hardy–Hénon type elliptic systems. *Calc. Var. Part. Differ. Equ.* **38**(1–2), 111–133 (2010)
- [11] Cao, D., Dai, W., Qin, G.: Super poly-harmonic properties, Liouville theorems and classification of nonnegative solutions to equations involving higher-order fractional Laplacians. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **374**(7), 4781–4813 (2021)
- [12] Chen, W., Li, C.: A necessary and sufficient condition for the Nirenberg problem. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**(6), 657–667 (1995)
- [13] Chen, W., Li, Y., Ma, P.: *The Fractional Laplacian*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack (2020)
- [14] Chen, W., Li, Y., Zhang, R.: A direct method of moving spheres on fractional order equations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **272**(10), 4131–4157 (2017)
- [15] Cont, R., Tankov, P.: *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes*, Volume 91-02 of Chapman Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. Chapman Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2004)
- [16] Dai, W., Qin, G.: Liouville type theorems for fractional and higher order Hénon–Hardy type equations via the method of scaling spheres. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac079>
- [17] Dai, W., Qin, G., Zhang, Y.: Liouville type theorem for higher order Hénon equations on a half space. *Nonlinear Anal.* **183**, 284–302 (2019)
- [18] Dipierro, S., Figalli, A., Valdinoci, E.: Strongly nonlocal dislocation dynamics in crystals. *Commun. Part. Differ. Equ.* **39**(12), 2351–2387 (2014)
- [19] Dou, J., Zhou, H.: Liouville theorems for fractional Hénon equation and system on \mathbb{R}^n . *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **14**(5), 1915–1927 (2015)

- [20] Guo, Z., Wan, F.: Further study of a weighted elliptic equation. *Sci. China Math.* **60**(12), 2391–2406 (2017)
- [21] Dupaigne, L., Ponce, A.C.: Singularities of positive supersolutions in elliptic PDEs. *Sel. Math. (N.S.)* **10**(3), 341–358 (2004)
- [22] Le, P.: Method of scaling spheres for integral and polyharmonic systems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **298**, 132–158 (2021)
- [23] Le, P.: Liouville theorem for Hénon–Hardy systems in the unit ball. *Rev. Mat. Compl.* **36**(3), 827–840 (2023)
- [24] Le, P.: Liouville theorem for fractional Hénon–Lane–Emden systems on a half space. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A.* **150**(6), 3060–3073 (2020)
- [25] Li, K., Zhang, Z.: Proof of the Hénon–Lane–Emden conjecture in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differ. Equ.* **226**(1), 202–226 (2019)
- [26] Ma, P., Li, Y., Zhang, J.: Symmetry and nonexistence of positive solutions for fractional systems. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **17**(3), 1053–1070 (2018)
- [27] Mitidieri, E.: Nonexistence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^N . *Differ. Integr. Equ.* **9**(3), 465–479 (1996)
- [28] Peng, S.: Liouville theorems for fractional and higher-order Hénon–Hardy systems on \mathbb{R}^N . *Complex Var. Ellipt. Equ.* **66**(11), 1839–1863 (2021)
- [29] Phan, Q.H., Souplet, P.: Liouville-type theorems and bounds of solutions of Hardy–Hénon equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **252**(3), 2544–2562 (2012)
- [30] Poláčik, P., Quittner, P., Souplet, P.: Singularity and decay estimates in super-linear problems via Liouville-type theorems. I. Elliptic equations and systems. *Duke Math. J.* **139**(3), 555–579 (2007)
- [31] Souplet, P.: The proof of the Lane–Emden conjecture in four space dimensions. *Adv. Math.* **221**(5), 1409–1427 (2009)
- [32] Reichel, W., Zou, H.: Non-existence results for semilinear cooperative elliptic systems via moving spheres. *J. Differ. Equ.* **161**(1), 219–243 (2000)
- [33] Serrin, J., Zou, H.: Non-existence of positive solutions of Lane–Emden systems. *Differ. Integr. Equ.* **9**(4), 635–653 (1996)
- [34] Signorini, A.: Questioni di elasticità non linearizzata e semilinearizzata. *Rend. Mat. Appl.* **18**(5), 95–139 (1959)
- [35] Silvestre, L.: Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **60**(1), 67–112 (2007)
- [36] Souto, M.A.S.: A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions of nonlinear cooperative elliptic systems. *Differ. Integr. Equ.* **8**(5), 1245–1258 (1995)
- [37] Tarasov, V.E., Zaslavsky, G.M.: Fractional dynamics of systems with long-range interaction. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **11**(8), 885–898 (2006)

- [38] Toland, J.F.: The Peierls–Nabarro and Benjamin–Ono equations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **145**(1), 136–150 (1997)

Kui Li
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Zhengzhou University
Henan 450001
People's Republic of China
e-mail: likui@zzu.edu.cn

Meng Yu
Institut für Mathematik
Goethe-Universität Frankfurt
Frankfurt am Main 60054
Germany
e-mail: yumeng161@mails.ucas.ac.cn

Zhitao Zhang
HLM, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100190
People's Republic of China
e-mail: zzt@math.ac.cn

and

School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100049
People's Republic of China

and

School of Mathematical Sciences
Jiangsu University
Zhenjiang 212013
People's Republic of China

Received: 6 August 2023.

Revised: 2 November 2023.

Accepted: 7 November 2023.