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#### Abstract

We establish the existence of nontrivial nonnegative solution for the following 0-Dirichlet problem with mean curvature operator in the Minkowski space $$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$ where $\Omega$ is a general bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. By bifurcation and topological methods, we determine the interval of parameter $\lambda$ in which the above problem has nontrivial nonnegative solution according to sublinear or linear nonlinearity at zero.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of nontrivial nonnegative solution of the following problem by bifurcation and topological methods

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a real parameter, $\Omega$ is a general $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geq 1$ and $f: \bar{\Omega} \times[0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a continuous function with $d$ denoting the diameter of $\Omega$ and $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0,+\infty)$.

The study of spacelike submanifolds of codimension one in the flat Minkowski space $\mathbb{L}^{N+1}$ with prescribed mean extrinsic curvature can lead to the type of problems (1.1), where

$$
\mathbb{L}^{N+1}=\left\{(x, t): x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

endowed with the Lorentzian metric

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(d x_{i}\right)^{2}-(d t)^{2}
$$

where $(x, t)$ is the canonical coordinate in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ (see [2]). This kind of problems are originated from differential geometry or classical relativity.

There are a large amount of papers in the literature on the existence and on qualitative properties of solutions for this type of problems; see $[1,10,18]$ for zero or constant curvature, $[3-6,9,15]$ for variable curvature. In particular, Bartnik and Simon [2] proved the existence of one strictly spacelike solution when $\lambda=1$ and $f$ is bounded. Recently, using Leray-Schauder degree argument and critical point theory, the authors of [7] obtained some existence results for positive radial solutions of problem (1.1) with $\lambda=1$ and $\Omega=B_{R}(0):=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<R\right\}$ for some constant $R>0$. In [8], they also established some nonexistence, existence and multiplicity results for positive radial solutions of problem (1.1) with $\lambda f(x, s)=\lambda \mu(|x|) s^{q}$, where $q>1, \mu:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and strictly positive on $(0,+\infty)$. Recently, the author of this paper [11] studied the nonexistence, existence and multiplicity positive radial solutions of problem (1.1) on the unit ball via bifurcation analysis method (see [16]).

By a solution $u$ of problem (1.1) we understand that it is a function which belongs to $C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $|\nabla u|<1$ in $\Omega$ such that problem (1.1) is satisfied. Of course, it is also a strictly spacelike solution (see [2]). For any $u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and fixed $y \in \partial \Omega$, we can see that

$$
u(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t x+(1-t) y) d t=\int_{0}^{1} \nabla u(t x+(1-t) y) \cdot(x-y) d t .
$$

It follows that $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{\infty} d$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the usual sup-norm on $\bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, if $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1), one has that $|u|<d$ in $\Omega$. So we have that $\|u\|_{\infty}<d$ for any solution $u$ of problem (1.1). Let $\Lambda=\lambda \max _{\bar{\Omega} \times[0, d]} f(x, s)$ for any fixed $\lambda>0$. From Theorem 3.5 of [2], we know that $\|\nabla u\|_{\infty}<1$.

Now, we state the following hypothesis on the nonlinearity $f$ :
$\left(H_{f}\right)$ there exists $f_{0} \in(0,+\infty]$ such that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x, s)}{s}=f_{0}
$$

uniformly for $x \in \Omega$.
Let $\lambda_{1}$ denote the first eigenvalue of

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda u & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

It is well known that $\lambda_{1}$ is simple, isolated and the associated eigenfunction has one sign in $\Omega$. Let

$$
X=\left\{u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}): u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}
$$


(a) $f_{0}=1$

(b) $f_{0}=+\infty$

Figure 1. Bifurcation diagrams of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. a $f_{0}=1, \mathbf{b} f_{0}=+\infty$
with the norm $\|u\|=\|\nabla u\|_{\infty}$. From the fact of $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{\infty} d$, it is easy to verify that the norm $\|u\|$ is equivalent to the the usual norm $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|u|+$ $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|\nabla u|$.

The following theorem is the first main result of this paper.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(H_{f}\right)$ hold with $f_{0}=1$. Then there is an unbounded component $\mathscr{C}$ of the set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of problem (1.1) bifurcating from $\left(\lambda_{1}, 0\right)$ such that $\mathscr{C} \subseteq\left(\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times X\right) \cup\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, 0\right)\right\}\right)$.

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that problem (1.1) possesses at least one nontrivial nonnegative solution for any $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$, see (a) of Fig. 1.

The condition of $f_{0}=1$ shows that $f$ is linear at 0 and $f(x, 0)=0$ for any $x \in \Omega$. As for sublinear case at 0 , i.e., $f_{0}=+\infty$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(H_{f}\right)$ holds with $f_{0}=+\infty$. Then there is an unbounded component $\mathscr{C}$ of the set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of problem (1.1) emanating from $(0,0)$ such that $\mathscr{C} \subseteq\left(\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times X\right) \cup\{(0,0)\}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2 gives that problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial nonnegative solution for any $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, see (b) of Fig. 1. Clearly, Theorem $1.2 \mathrm{im}-$ proves the corresponding results of [7] even in the case of $\lambda=1$ or $\Omega=B_{R}(0)$. Moreover, one has $f_{0}=+\infty$ if $f$ is bounded. So if $f$ is bounded and $\lambda=1$, problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial nonnegative solution, which is essentially the conclusion of Theorem 3.6 of [2]. So Theorem 1.2 also improves the corresponding results of [2].

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Sect. 2, we prove Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For any $t \in(0,1]$, we first consider the following auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-t|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=g(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for a given $g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Letting $v=\sqrt{t} u$, problem (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^{2}}}\right)=\sqrt{t} g(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\ v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

By Theorem 3.6 of [2], we know that there exists a unique strictly spacelike solution $v \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ to problem (2.2) which is denoted by $\Psi(\sqrt{t} g)$. So $u=v / \sqrt{t}$ is the unique solution of problem (2.1).

We also consider the following auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=h(x) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.3}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for a given $h \in L^{p /(p-1)}(\Omega)$, where $p \in\left(1,2^{*}\right]$ with

$$
2^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2 N}{N-2} \text { if } N \geq 3 \\
+\infty \text { if } N=1,2
\end{array}\right.
$$

By an argument similar to that of Theorem 8.3 of [14], we can easily show that there is a unique weak solution $u$ to problem (2.3) for every given $h \in$ $L^{p /(p-1)}(\Omega)$. Let $\Phi(h)$ denote the unique solution to problem (2.3) for a given $h \in L^{p /(p-1)}(\Omega)$. Clearly, $\Phi: L^{p /(p-1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is continuous and linear. Moreover, from Theorem 8.34 of [14] we know that if $h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ problem (2.3) has a unique solution in $C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ with some constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$. So $\Phi: L^{\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ is completely continuous and linear.

For any $g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, define

$$
G(t, g)= \begin{cases}\frac{\Psi(\sqrt{t} g)}{\sqrt{t}} & \text { if } t \in(0,1] \\ \Phi(g) & \text { if } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Then we can show that:
Lemma 2.1. $G:[0,1] \times C(\bar{\Omega}) \longrightarrow X$ is completely continuous.
Proof. We first show the continuity of $G$. For any $g_{n}, g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $t_{n}, t \in[0,1]$ with $g_{n} \rightarrow g$ in $C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $t_{n} \rightarrow t$ in $[0,1]$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, it suffices to show that $u_{n}:=G\left(t_{n}, g_{n}\right) \rightarrow u:=G(t, g)$ in $X$.

If $t>0$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $t_{n}>t / 2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 3.6 of [2], $u_{n} \sqrt{t_{n}}:=v_{n}, u \sqrt{t}:=v \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq$ $1-\theta<1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some positive constant $\theta$ which only depends on $g$ and $\Omega$. Theorem 13.7 of [14] gives an a priori estimate for $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$. So, up to a subsequence, there exists $w \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. From Lemma 1.3 of [2] we have that $w$ is the maximum point of

$$
I(w)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\sqrt{1-|\nabla w|^{2}}-\sqrt{t} g(x) w\right) d x
$$

in $\mathscr{C}(\Omega):=\left\{w \in C^{0,1}(\Omega): w=0\right.$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $|\nabla w| \leq 1$ a.e. in $\left.\Omega\right\}$. Further, Proposition 1.1 of [2] implies that $w$ is also the unique maximum point of
$I$ in $\mathscr{C}(\Omega)$. So we have that $w=v$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. It follows that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

If $t=0$ and there exists a subsequence $t_{n_{i}}$ of $t_{n}$ such that $t_{n_{i}}=0$, then $u_{n_{i}}=G\left(t_{n_{i}}, g_{n_{i}}\right)=\Phi\left(g_{n_{i}}\right) \rightarrow \Phi(g)=u$ in $X$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$. So next we can assume that $t=0$ and $t_{n}>0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Theorem 3.6 of [2] we know that problem (2.2) has only trivial solution $v=0$ when $t=0$. Then reasoning as the above, we can show that $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Note that $u_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}+\frac{\nabla_{i} v_{n} \nabla_{j} v_{n}}{1-\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}\right) \nabla_{i j} u_{n}=g_{n}(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.4}\\ u_{n}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

The fact of $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq 1-\theta<1$ guarantees that the above problem is a priori uniformly elliptic. So Theorem 13.7 of [14] implies an a priori estimate for $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$. Further, by the argument of [14, Theorem 11.4], we can see that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$ for some positive constant $C$. So, up to a subsequence, there exists $w \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta w=g(x) & \text { in } \Omega \\ w=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Hence, one has that $w=\Phi(g)=G(0, g)=u$. Furthermore, we obtain that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Now we show the compactness of $G$. It is enough to show that $G$ satisfies
(a) $G(t, \cdot)$ is compact for any $t \in[0,1]$;
(b) for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\| G\left(t_{1}, g\right)-$ $G\left(t_{2}, g\right) \|<\varepsilon$ when $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta$ with any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$.
Clearly, $G(t, \cdot)$ is compact for any $t \in[0,1]$. So we only need to show (b). Suppose, by contradiction, that there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0, g_{0} \in(\bar{\Omega})$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, existing $t_{n}^{\prime}, t_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in[0,1]$ with $\left|t_{n}^{\prime}-t_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|<1 / n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G\left(t_{n}^{\prime}, g_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{n}^{\prime \prime}, g_{0}\right)\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, up to a subsequence, we have $t_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow t_{0} \in[0,1]$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. It implies that $t_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow t_{0} \in[0,1]$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.5), in view of the continuity of $G$, we have that

$$
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|G\left(t_{n}^{\prime}, g_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{n}^{\prime \prime}, g_{0}\right)\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{0}
$$

which is a contradiction.
For any fixed $\lambda$, consider the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.6}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, problem (2.6) is equivalent to the operator equation $u=\Psi(\lambda u):=$ $\Psi_{\lambda}(u)$. From Lemma 2.1 we see that $\Psi_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow X$ is complete continuous.

Moreover, by virtue of Lemma 2.1, we can obtain the following topological degree jumping result.

Lemma 2.2. For any $r>0$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-\Psi_{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \quad \text { if } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right), \\
-1 \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\delta\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $\delta>0$.
Proof. Since $\lambda_{1}$ is isolated, we can choose $\delta$ small enough such that there has not any eigenvalue of problem (1.2) in ( $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\delta$ ).

We first show the Leray-Schauder degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G(t, \lambda \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right)$ is well defined for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}+\delta\right) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\}$ and $t \in[0,1]$. It is obvious for $t=0$. So it is enough to show that $u=G(t, \lambda u)$ has no solution with $\|u\|=r$ for $r$ sufficiently small and any $t \in(0,1]$. Otherwise, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n}=\Psi_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{t} u_{n}\right) / \sqrt{t}$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Let $w_{n}:=$ $u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, then by an argument similar to that of Lemma 2.1, we can show that for some convenient subsequence $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and $w$ verifies problem (1.2) with $\|w\|=1$. This implies that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.2), which is a contradiction.

Now from the invariance of the degree under homotopies and Lemma 2.1 we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-\Psi_{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G(1, \lambda \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\left(I-G(0, \lambda \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(I-\lambda \Phi, B_{r}(0), 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Phi$ is compact and linear, by Theorem 8.10 of [13], we have that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-\lambda \Phi, B_{r}(0), 0\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right), \\ -1 & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\delta\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-\Psi_{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right), \\ -1 & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\delta\right) .\end{cases}
$$

This completes the proof.
Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\xi: \Omega \times[0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$
f(x, s)=s+\xi(x, s)
$$

with

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\xi(x, s)}{s}=0
$$

uniformly for $x \in \Omega$. Let us consider

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda u+\lambda \xi(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.7}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

as a bifurcation problem from the trivial solution axis.

Define

$$
F(\lambda, u)=\lambda u+\lambda \xi(x, u)+\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)
$$

for any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$. Then, by some simple calculations, we have that

$$
F_{u}(\lambda, 0) v=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\lambda, t v)}{t}=\lambda v+\Delta v
$$

It follows that if $(\mu, 0)$ is a bifurcation point of problem (2.7), $\mu$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.2).

For any $s \in[0,1]$, we consider the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda u+\lambda s \xi(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.8}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Then problem (2.8) is equivalent to

$$
u=\Psi(\lambda u+\lambda s \xi(x, u)):=F_{\lambda}(s, u) .
$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, $F_{\lambda}:[0,1] \times X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. In particular, $H_{\lambda}:=F_{\lambda}(1, \cdot): X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

Let

$$
\widetilde{\xi}(x, w)=\max _{0 \leq s \leq w}|\xi(x, s)| \text { for any } x \in \Omega .
$$

Then $\widetilde{\xi}$ is nondecreasing with respect to $w$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{w \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\widetilde{\xi}(x, w)}{w}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further it follows from (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\xi(x, u)}{\|u\|}\right| \leq \frac{\widetilde{\xi}(x, u)}{\|u\|} \leq \frac{\widetilde{\xi}\left(x,\|u\|_{\infty}\right)}{\|u\|} \leq d \frac{\widetilde{\xi}(x, d\|u\|)}{d\|u\|} \rightarrow 0 \text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.
By (2.10) and an argument similar to that of Lemma 2.2, we can show that the Leray-Schauder degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(I-F_{\lambda}(s, \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right)$ is well defined for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}+\delta\right) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\}$. From the invariance of the degree under homotopies we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-H_{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(I-F_{\lambda}(1, \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(I-F_{\lambda}(0, \cdot), B_{r}(0), 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\left(I-\Psi_{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(I-H_{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right) \\ -1 & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\delta\right)\end{cases}
$$

By the global bifurcation Theorem of [17], there exists a continuum $\mathscr{C}$ of nontrivial solution of problem (1.1) bifurcating from ( $\lambda_{1}, 0$ ) which is either unbounded or $\mathscr{C} \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\} \times\{0\}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Since $(0,0)$ is the only solution of problem (1.1) for $\lambda=0$ and 0 is not an eigenvalue of problem (1.2), so $\mathscr{C} \cap$ $(\{0\} \times X)=\emptyset$. By Lemma 1.2 of [2], we have $u \geq 0$ for any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathscr{C}$.

We claim that $\mathscr{C} \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\} \times\{0\}\right)=\emptyset$. Otherwise, there exists a nontrivial solution sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in \mathscr{C}$ and $\mu \neq \lambda_{1}$ such that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \mu$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Let $w_{n}:=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, by (2.10) and an argument similar to that of Lemma 2.1, we can show that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and $w$ verifies problem (1.2) with $\|w\|=1$. It follows that $\mu=\lambda_{1}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathscr{C}$ is unbounded. The fact of $\|u\|<1$ for any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathscr{C}$ implies that the projection of $\mathscr{C}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is unbounded.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, on the basis of Theorem 1.1, we prove Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$
f^{n}(x, s)= \begin{cases}n s, & s \in\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right] \\ \left(f\left(x, \frac{2}{n}\right)-1\right) n s+2-f\left(x, \frac{2}{n}\right), & s \in\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}\right) \\ f(x, s), & s \in\left[\frac{2}{n},+\infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, we can see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f^{n}(x, s)=f(x, s)$ and $f_{0}^{n}=n$. Now, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla u|^{2}}}\right)=\lambda f^{n}(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists a sequence unbounded continua $\mathscr{C}_{n}$ of the set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of problem (3.1) emanating from $\left(\lambda_{1} / n, 0\right)$ such that

$$
\mathscr{C}_{n} \subseteq\left(\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times X\right) \cup\left\{\left(\lambda_{1} / n, 0\right)\right\}\right)
$$

Taking $z^{*}=(0,0)$, clearly $z^{*} \in \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathscr{C}_{n}$. The compactness of $\Psi$ implies that $\left(\cup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathscr{C}_{n}\right) \cap B_{R}$ is pre-compact. Lemma 2.5 of [12] implies that $\mathscr{C}=\limsup \operatorname{sum}_{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathscr{C}_{n}$ is unbounded and connected such that $z^{*} \in \mathscr{C}$.

For any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathscr{C}$, the definition of superior limit (see [19]) shows that there exists a sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{n}$ such that $\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow(\lambda, u)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Clearly, one has that

$$
u_{n}=\Psi\left(\lambda_{n} f^{n}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we get that

$$
u=\Psi(\lambda f(x, u)) .
$$

It follows that $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1). Thus, $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1) for any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathscr{C}$. Clearly, $u$ is nonnegative for any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathscr{C}$ because $u_{n} \geq 0$ in $\Omega$.

Next we show that $u$ is nontrivial for any $(\lambda, u) \in \mathscr{C} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$. It is sufficient to show that $\mathscr{C} \cap((0,+\infty) \times\{0\})=\emptyset$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $\mu>0$ such that $(\mu, 0) \in \mathscr{C}$. There exists $N_{0}$ such that $\mu>\lambda_{1} / n$ for any $n>N_{0}$. It follows that $(\mu, 0) \notin \mathscr{C} n$ for any $n>N_{0}$. So $(\mu, 0) \notin \mathscr{C}$, an absurd.
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