A singular elliptic equation with natural growth in the gradient and a variable exponent

José Carmona, Pedro J. Martínez-Aparicio and Julio D. Rossi

Abstract. In this paper we consider singular quasilinear elliptic equations with quadratic gradient and a singular term with a variable exponent

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here Ω is an open bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\gamma(x)$ is a positive continuous function and f is positive function that belongs to a certain Lebesgue space. We show, among other results, that there exists a solution in the natural energy space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to this problem when $\gamma(x)$ is strictly less than 2 in a strip around the boundary; while there is no solution in the energy space when there exists $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ with $|\Gamma|_{N-1} > 0$ such that $\gamma(x) > 2$ on Γ . Moreover, since we work by approximation we can analyze the behavior of the approximated solutions u_n in the case in which there is no solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A01, 35B09, 35B45, 35D30, 35J25, 35J60, 35J75.

Keywords. Nonlinear elliptic equations, Singular natural growth gradient terms, Positive solutions, Variable exponent.

1. Introduction

In the framework of quasilinear elliptic equations with quadratic growth in the gradient, here we are concerned with the existence of solutions for the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is an open, bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$), $0 \leq f \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \geq \frac{N}{2}$ satisfying

$$m_\omega(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ess inf} \{f(x) : x \in \omega\} > 0, \quad \forall \omega \subset \subset \Omega_\delta \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Omega_\delta := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\}$, for $\delta > 0$ fixed, and $\gamma(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is a positive function.

If the lower order term is nonsingular, namely

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + g(x, u)|\nabla u|^2 = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

with g a Carathéodory function in $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$, problem (1.3) has been exhaustively studied in [6, 8, 12] with data f in suitable Lebesgue spaces.

In the case in which the lower order term is singular, there are several papers that deal with existence and nonexistence of solutions when γ is a positive constant, namely with the model problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^\gamma} = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

First, existence of solutions for (1.4) was proved in [1, 3, 4] for $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and the uniqueness of solution for $0 < \gamma < 1$ in [5]. We also quote the paper [14]. Specifically, the existence of positive solutions of (1.4) is proved in [9] for $\gamma \leq 1$ provided $0 \neq f \in L^q(\Omega)$ ($q > \frac{2N}{N+2}$) with $f \geq 0$. In [2] it is proved the existence of solution if $\gamma < 2$ when a strong condition on f is assumed (see [17] for the parabolic case). More precisely, it is imposed condition (1.2) in the whole Ω . Moreover nonexistence is proved if $\gamma > 2$ or if $\gamma = 2$ and $\lambda_1(f) > 1$, where $\lambda_1(f)$ denotes the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions and weight $f \in L^q(\Omega)$, ($q > N/2$). In [9] the author proves the same result as in [2] avoiding, in the case $0 < \gamma < 1$, the assumption that f must be strictly positive in compact subsets of Ω (see also [16]). Later, in [19] it is proved the nonexistence of solution assuming only that $\gamma \geq 2$.

In the present paper, we deal with a variable exponent and we analyze how the behavior of $\gamma(x)$ influences the existence and nonexistence of solutions. We may have a region inside Ω where $\gamma(x) < 2$ and another region where $\gamma(x) \geq 2$.

The main goal here is to explain that what matters for existence of solutions is the behaviour of $\gamma(x)$ near the boundary.

The idea to prove the existence result consists in approximating the singular term $s^{-\gamma(x)}$ continuously, such that the non singular approximated problems fall into the framework of [13] and therefore they have finite energy solutions u_n , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove that, for $\gamma(x) < 2$ near the boundary, the approximating solutions u_n converge to a positive solution of (1.1). As $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \geq \frac{N}{2}$ it is easy to prove ([13]) that exist a priori estimates of the solutions u_n in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Observe, that due to singularity of the lower order term, the approximated lower order term blows up as $u_n(x)$ is converging to zero. This is the reason why it is not possible to apply the ideas of [6, 12, 13]

to show the strong convergence of ∇u_n in $L^2(\Omega)$ (and thus the strong convergence of the approximated solutions u_n in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to a solution of (1.1)). The keypoint to overcome this difficulty consists in proving that u_n are uniformly away from zero in every compact set inside Ω . We show here that $\gamma(x)$ must be less than 2 only near the boundary for obtaining this kind of estimate. This principle allows us to prove that the sequence of approximating solutions converges locally to a solution of (1.1).

In order to prove our nonexistence result we follow the ideas in [19] adapted for Sobolev functions vanishing only in a part of the boundary.

Our main results are the following (it is assumed that $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz and we denote by n_e the exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, see the comments before the statement of the main results).

Theorem 1.1. (Existence) *Let $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \geq \frac{N}{2}$ satisfying (1.2) and $\gamma(x) < 2$ on $\partial\Omega$ or $\gamma(x) \leq 2$ on $\partial\Omega$ with $\frac{\partial\gamma(x)}{\partial n_e} > 0$, then there exists $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ a solution to problem (1.1) in the sense of Definition 2.1 below.*

Theorem 1.2. (Nonexistence) *If there exists $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ with $|\Gamma|_{N-1} > 0$ such that $\gamma(x) > 2$ on Γ or $\gamma(x) = 2$ on Γ with $\frac{\partial\gamma(x)}{\partial n_e} \leq 0$ there then (1.1) admits no solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

We remark that what we will use to show existence of a solution is that $\gamma(x) < 2$ for every x in a strip around $\partial\Omega$ inside Ω . Our assumptions on $\gamma(x)$ in Theorem 1.1 guarantee this fact. Note that we can extend the existence result to functions $\gamma(x)$ such that $\gamma(x) < 2$ on $A \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\gamma(x) = 2$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus A$ with $\frac{\partial\gamma(x)}{\partial n_e} > 0$ there.

For the nonexistence part we use that there is an open set $D \subset \Omega$ such that $\gamma(x) \geq 2$ in D and $|\partial D \cap \partial\Omega|_{N-1} > 0$. Remark that the conditions on $\gamma(x)$ assumed in Theorem 1.2 imply the existence of such set D .

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to describe the approximated problems and we prove some properties that we need in the proof of our main results. In Sect. 3 we prove the main results. We analyze the behavior of the solutions to the approximated problems in Sect. 4.

Notations. As usual, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the positive and negative parts given by $s^+ = \max\{s, 0\}$ and $s^- = \min\{s, 0\}$. For any $k > 0$ we set $T_k(s) = \min(k, \max(s, -k))$ and $G_k(s) = s - T_k(s)$. We denote by $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set E in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and by $|\Gamma|_{N-1}$ the $(N-1)$ -dimensional surface measure of Γ . For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, $\|u\|_p$ is the usual norm of a function $u \in L^p(E)$. We equip the standard Sobolev space $H_0^1(E)$ with the usual norm $\|u\| = (\int_E |\nabla u|^2)^{1/2}$.

Moreover, for any $q > 1$, $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$ will be the Hölder conjugate exponent of q , while for any $1 < p < N$, $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ is the Sobolev conjugate exponent of p . As usual, $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the best Sobolev constant, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S} = \sup_{\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}=1} \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)}.$$

Following [12], we set $\varphi_\lambda(s) = se^{\lambda s^2}$, $\lambda > 0$; we will use here that for every $a, b > 0$ we have

$$a\varphi'_\lambda(s) - b|\varphi_\lambda(s)| \geq \frac{a}{2}, \quad (1.5)$$

if $\lambda > \frac{b^2}{4a^2}$. We will also denote by $\varepsilon(n)$ any quantity that goes to 0 as n goes to infinity.

2. Preliminary results

Let us start giving our definition of solution to problem (1.1).

Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a positive solution for (1.1) if $u > 0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \in L^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi,$$

for every $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$.

In order to prove our results the approach is to consider the following approximating problems. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let u_n be the solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_n + \frac{u_n^+ |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n^+ + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Now, we prove some estimates that we will need in what follows.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists at least one positive solution $0 < u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ of the approximating problem (2.1). In addition, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, i.e. there exists $C > 0$ independent of n with*

$$\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 2.3. Standard regularity arguments imply that u_n is Hölder continuous.

Proof. Classical results allow us to deduce that the problem (2.1) has a solution u_n that belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ (see [15]) and to $L^\infty(\Omega)$ (see [18]).

To prove the a priori estimate in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ we take $\varphi = G_k(u_n)$ as test function in (2.1) to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^+ |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n^+ + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} G_k(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_k(u_n).$$

Using the positivity of the lower order term we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_k(u_n).$$

Now, by Stampacchia's method, see [18], it follows from this inequality the existence of $C > 0$ such that that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

Now, we prove the a priori estimate in the Sobolev space. Taking u_n as test function in (2.1) and using Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we arrive to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^+ |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n^+ + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} u_n \\ \leq \|f\|_q \|u_n\|_{q'} \leq C \mathcal{S} \|f\|_q \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the positivity of the lower order term and that q' is the conjugate exponent of q (note that for $q > N/2$ we have $q' < 2^*$) we conclude that the sequence u_n is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ for some $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, taking u_n^- as a test function in (2.1) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n^-|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^+ |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n^+ + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} u_n^- = \int_{\Omega} f u_n^-$$

and as f is nonnegative we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n^-|^2 = \int_{\Omega} f u_n^- \leq 0.$$

Therefore, we deduce that $u_n \geq 0$. Moreover, since

$$-\Delta u_n + n^{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}+2} u_n \geq f$$

the strong maximum principle assures that $u_n > 0$. □

Now we prove that the solutions of the approximated problems u_n are away from zero in every compact subset of Ω . It is in this proof where we appreciate that $\gamma(x)$ must be less than or equal than 2 only near the boundary in order to obtain our existence result.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \geq \frac{N}{2}$ satisfying (1.2) and $\gamma(x) < 2$ on $\partial\Omega$ or $\gamma(x) \leq 2$ on $\partial\Omega$ with $\frac{\partial\gamma(x)}{\partial n_e} > 0$ then there exists $c_\omega > 0$ such that $u_n \geq c_\omega$ for every $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$.*

Proof. Let us consider

$$\Omega_\eta = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \eta\}.$$

Given $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\omega \subset \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta$. The conclusion follows from the fact that there exists $c > 0$ such that $u_n(x) \geq c$ a.e. $x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta$. Note that it is enough to show this for η small.

We will prove this fact in two steps. In the first one we prove that there exists $c > 0$ such that $u_n(x) > c$ for every $x \in \partial(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta)$. Then, in the second step, we will use this inequality to prove the claim in the whole $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta$.

Step 1. We may assume that $\eta < \delta$, where δ is given by (1.2). Since $\gamma(x) < 2$ on $\partial\Omega$ or $\gamma(x) \leq 2$ on $\partial\Omega$ with $\frac{\partial\gamma(x)}{\partial n_e} > 0$ then there exists $\eta_1 \in (0, \delta)$ such that, for every $\eta < \eta_1$ there exists $\gamma_\eta^* < 2$ with

$$0 \leq \gamma(x) \leq \gamma_\eta^* < 2$$

for every $x \in \Omega_\eta \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\frac{\eta}{4}}$. Thus we will assume that $0 < 2\eta < \eta_1 < \delta$ and we also have that $\partial(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta) \subset \omega_1$ with

$$\omega_1 := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \frac{3\eta}{4} < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \frac{5\eta}{4} \right\}.$$

Observe that $\omega_1 \subset\subset W$ where

$$W := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \frac{\eta}{2} < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < 2\eta \right\} \subset \Omega_{2\eta} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\frac{\eta}{2}}.$$

For every $0 < s < C$, with C given by Proposition 2.2, and $x \in W$ we have that

$$\frac{s}{(s + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1}} \leq \frac{(C+1)^{\gamma_{2\eta}^*}}{s^{\gamma_{2\eta}^*}}.$$

Taking

$$h(s) = \frac{(C+1)^{\gamma_{2\eta}^*}}{s^{\gamma_{2\eta}^*}}$$

we have that $0 < u_n \in H^1(W) \cap C(W)$ is a supersolution to the equation

$$-\Delta z + h(z)|\nabla z|^2 = T_1(f) \quad \text{in } W.$$

Therefore, we can use Proposition 2.3 in [2] (note that condition (1.2) implies that $T_1(f)$ satisfies (1.4) of that paper in W and, since $\gamma_{2\eta}^* < 2$, the function h satisfies (1.7) of [2]). We deduce the existence of $c_{\omega_1} > 0$ that $u_n(x) \geq c_{\omega_1}$ for every $x \in \omega_1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 2. Using that, from Step 1, $u_n(x) \geq c_{\omega_1}$ in $\partial(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ we prove now that $u_n \geq c_{\omega_1}$ in $D := \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\eta$.

We take $\phi_k \in C_0^1(\Omega)$, with $\phi_k \geq 0$ and $\text{supp}(\phi_k) \subset\subset D$, as test function in (2.1) and we obtain

$$\int_D \nabla u_n \nabla \phi_k + \int_D \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} \phi_k = \int_D f \phi_k.$$

Thus, by density, for every nonnegative $\phi \in H_0^1(D) \cap L^\infty(D)$ we have

$$\int_D \nabla u_n \nabla \phi + \int_D \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} \phi = \int_D f \phi.$$

Using that

$$\frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} \leq (C+1)^{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}}$$

we obtain, with $c = (C + 1)^{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}$, that

$$\int_D \nabla u_n \nabla \phi + \int_D c \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}} \phi \geq \int_D f \phi,$$

for every $0 \leq \phi \in H_0^1(D) \cap L^\infty(D)$.

Now, consider

$$H_n(s) = \int_1^s \frac{c}{\left(t + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}} dt.$$

If we take in the previous inequality $e^{-H_n(u_n)}(c_{\omega_1} - u_n)^+ \in H_0^1(D) \cap L^\infty(D)$ as test function it follows that

$$- \int_{D \cap \{c_{\omega_1} \geq u_n\}} |\nabla u_n|^2 e^{-H_n(u_n)} \geq \int_D f e^{-H_n(u_n)} (c_{\omega_1} - u_n)^+ \geq 0.$$

Then, $(c_{\omega_1} - u_n)^+ \equiv 0$ and therefore $u_n \geq c_{w_1}$ in D . $\square$

3. Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The result follows from the following steps. First we prove that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ and next that we can pass to the limit in (2.1).

Step 1. $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$. Here we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla(u_n - u)|^2 \phi = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \text{ with } \phi \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Reasoning as in [10], we consider the function $\varphi_\lambda(s)$ defined in (1.5) and we choose $\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)\phi$ as test function in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(u_n - u) \varphi'_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi + \int_\Omega \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi \varphi_\lambda(u_n - u) \\ & + \int_\Omega \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)} \varphi_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi \\ & = \int_\Omega f \varphi_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Since, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, we note that

$$\int_\Omega f \varphi_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi - \int_\Omega \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi \varphi_\lambda(u_n - u) = \varepsilon(n).$$

Moreover, choosing $\omega_\phi \subset \subset \Omega$ with $\text{supp } \phi \subset \omega_\phi$, from Propositions 2.2, 2.4 and the fact that $\gamma(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)} \varphi_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi \\ & \geq -c(\omega_\phi) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)| \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) \varphi'_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi - c(\omega_\phi) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)| \phi \leq \varepsilon(n). \quad (3.2)$$

Adding

$$- \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) \varphi'_\lambda(u_n - u) \phi = \varepsilon(n)$$

to both sides of (3.2) and since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)| \phi &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_n - u)|^2 |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)| \phi \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)| \phi \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_n - u)|^2 |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)| \phi + \varepsilon(n), \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_n - u)|^2 [\varphi'_\lambda(u_n - u) - 2c(\omega_\phi) |\varphi_\lambda(u_n - u)|] \phi \leq \varepsilon(n).$$

Choosing λ such that (1.5) holds with $a = 1$ and $b = 2c(\omega_\phi)$, we conclude that (3.1) is satisfied.

Step 2. We pass to the limit in (2.1). Choosing $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} T_\varepsilon(u_n)$ as test function in (2.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{T_\varepsilon(u_n)}{\varepsilon} \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} \leq \int_{\Omega} f.$$

If we take the limit as ε tends to zero, and we use that $u_n > 0$ in Ω , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} \leq \int_{\Omega} f. \quad (3.3)$$

Since

$$-\Delta u_n = f - \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)},$$

and the right hand side is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$ by the assumptions on f and by (3.3). Then we can apply Lemma 1 of [7] (see also [11]) to deduce that, up to (not relabeled) subsequences, ∇u_n converges to ∇u a.e. in Ω .

Using Fatou lemma in (3.3), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \leq \int_{\Omega} f.$$

Therefore, to conclude the proof we only have to show that u is a distributional solution of the problem (2.1). We begin by passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the equation satisfied by u_n , that is, in

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)} \phi = \int_{\Omega} f \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

First of all, the weak convergence of u_n to u implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, if we fix $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$, then, by Propositions 2.2, 2.4 and since $\gamma(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, we get

$$\frac{u_n}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1}} \leq c(\omega), \quad \forall n > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \forall x \in \omega.$$

Consequently, if $E \subset \omega$ it follows that

$$\int_E \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)} \leq c(\omega) \int_E |\nabla u_n|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Since u_n is strongly compact in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ and there exist $n_\varepsilon, \delta_\varepsilon$ such that for every $E \subset \omega \subset\subset \Omega$ with $\text{meas}(E) < \delta_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{c(\omega)}, \quad \forall n \geq n_\varepsilon.$$

In conclusion, by (3.5), we see that $\text{meas}(E) < \delta_\varepsilon$ implies

$$\int_E \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_\varepsilon,$$

i.e., the sequence

$$\frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)}$$

is equiintegrable. This, together with its a.e. convergence to $\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}}$, implies by Vitali's theorem that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)} \phi = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Therefore, using the above limit and (3.4) we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \phi = \int_{\Omega} f \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

as we wanted to show. $\square$

Now we prove our nonexistence result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From our hypothesis, we may assume that $\Gamma = \partial D \cap \partial \Omega$ with $D \subset \Omega$ open such that $\gamma(x) \geq 2$ for every $x \in D$.

We prove the result using the ideas of [19]. Assume on the contrary that there exists some $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ solution of (1.1) with $u > 0$ a.e. in Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} dx < +\infty.$$

Since $\gamma(x) \geq 2$, we know in D that

$$\int_D \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(u + \varepsilon)^2} \leq \int_D \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \leq c \int_D \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} < +\infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_D |\nabla(\ln(u + \varepsilon) - \ln(\varepsilon))|^2 \leq C_3, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Denoting $z_\varepsilon = |\ln(u + \varepsilon) - \ln(\varepsilon)|$, we have that $z_\varepsilon \in H^1(D)$ with $z_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial D \cap \partial\Omega$. Now we observe that there exists a constant C_4 such that

$$\int_D g^2 \leq C_4 \int_D |\nabla g|^2 \tag{3.6}$$

for any function $g \in H^1(D)$ with $g = 0$ on Γ . To see this fact, we argue by contradiction. Assume that there is a sequence g_n such that $\int_D |\nabla g_n|^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_D g_n^2 = 1$. Then g_n converges strongly in $H^1(D)$ to a function g_0 that verifies $\int_D |\nabla g_0|^2 = 0$ (hence, g_0 is a constant) $\int_D g_0^2 = 1$ and $g_0 = 0$ on Γ , a contradiction. Thus, using the generalized Poincaré's inequality (3.6) we get

$$\int_D z_\varepsilon^2 \leq C_4 \int_D |\nabla z_\varepsilon|^2 \leq C_4 C_3 := C_5, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Denote $E_n = \{x \in D : u(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\{x \in D; u(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n,$$

which implies that

$$0 < |D| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E_n|$$

and then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|E_{n_0}| > 0$. We deduce

$$\left| \ln\left(\frac{1}{n_0} + \varepsilon\right) - \ln(\varepsilon) \right|^2 \cdot |E_{n_0}| \leq \int_{E_{n_0}} |\ln(u + \varepsilon) - \ln(\varepsilon)|^2 \leq C_5,$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, therefore

$$\left| \ln\left(\frac{1}{n_0} + \varepsilon\right) - \ln(\varepsilon) \right|^2 \leq \frac{C_5}{|E_{n_0}|} < +\infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Now, as ε goes to zero, we obtain a contradiction. □

4. Behavior of the approximating solutions u_n

In this section we analyze the behavior of the solutions of the approximating problems (2.1) in the case in which there is no solution in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

We consider here the case $\bar{\Omega} = \bar{D}_1 \cup \bar{D}_2$, where $D_1, D_2 \subset \Omega$ are open sets with $|\partial D_2 \cap \partial\Omega|_{N-1} > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(x) &< 2 && \text{for every } x \in D_1, \\ \gamma(x) &\geq 2 && \text{for every } x \in \bar{D}_2.\end{aligned}$$

This will be referred as condition (H).

In this case Theorem 1.2 assures that there is no solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ of (1.1). We explain what occurs with the approximations u_n in the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume (1.2) for every $\delta > 0$ and that condition (H) is satisfied. Then the weak limit u of the sequence u_n satisfies that $0 < u$ in D_1 , $u \equiv 0$ in D_2 , $u \in H_0^1(D_1) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and u satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} = f & \text{in } D_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D_1. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, there exists a Radon measure $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ supported in D_2 such that, in the sense of distributions,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \chi_{D_1} = f - \nu_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Observe that the sequence u_n of solutions of (2.1) weakly converges in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ (using the Sobolev's estimate proved in Proposition 2.2). Moreover, Proposition 2.4 is valid for $\omega \subset\subset D_1$ (observe that what we use in that result is that $\gamma(x) < 2$ for every x in a strip around $\partial\Omega$ inside Ω) and, in particular, $u > 0$ in D_1 . Even more, as in (3.3) we have that

$$\nu_n = \frac{u_n |\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)+1} (1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u_n|^2)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^1(\Omega),$$

therefore, the result of [11] yields that (up to subsequences) ∇u_n converges to ∇u almost everywhere in Ω . Thus there exists a positive Radon measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ in the weak-* topology of measures. Since we can use Fatou lemma to obtain that $\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \in L^1(D_1)$ we can even assume that $\nu = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} \chi_{D_1}(x) + \nu_0$, where ν_0 is a nonnegative bounded Radon measure on Ω .

Now we claim that $u = 0$ in $\bar{D}_2$. Indeed, if $D = \{x \in \bar{D}_2 : u(x) > 0\}$ and $|D| > 0$ then, since $\gamma(x) \geq 2$ in $\bar{D}_2$ we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.2

(observe that $u \in H^1(D)$ and $u = 0$ on a subset of ∂D of positive measure). For example, if $D = D_2$ then $u = 0$ on $\partial D_2 \cap \partial\Omega$. As another example, we mention that if $\overline{D} \subset D_2$ then $u = 0$ on ∂D . Thus we reach a contradiction and the claim is proved.

As a consequence $u \in H_0^1(D_1)$ and, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can pass to the limit in the approximating problems to prove (4.1). In addition, (4.2) follows from the weak-* convergence of ν_n . Finally, in order to prove that ν_0 is supported in D_2 we observe that, taking $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D_1)$ as test function in (4.2) and (4.1) and subtracting we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\nu_0 = 0.$$

On the other hand, taking $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D_2)$ as test function in (4.2) and using that $u = 0$ in D_2 we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\nu_0 = \int_{\Omega} f \phi.$$

□

Remark 4.2. We remark that when we consider (H) in the case $\partial D_2 \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ we have proved that the weak limit u of the sequence u_n satisfies that $0 < u$ in Ω and it is a solution to (1.1). This is a consequence of the fact that we have $\gamma(x) < 2$ in a strip near the boundary of Ω , and hence the approximations converge to a solution to (1.1) as was proved in Theorem 1.1.

The case in which $\partial D_2 \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ with $|\partial D_2 \cap \partial\Omega|_{N-1} = 0$ is left open.

Remark 4.3. Finally we point out that, as in [2] or [9], the above results can be generalized to a more general class of differential operators. More precisely we can consider

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(M(x, u)\nabla u) + Q(x, u) \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^{\gamma(x)}} = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $M(x, s)$ a matrix with coefficients $m_{i,j}(x, s)$, such that Q and $m_{i,j}$ are Carathéodory functions, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ and for some positive constants a, b, α, β it is satisfied that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < a \leq Q(x, s) \leq b, \quad s > 0, \\ 0 < \alpha|\xi|^2 \leq M(x, s)\xi \cdot \xi, \quad |M(x, s)| \leq \beta, \quad s > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

Research supported by MICINN Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain under grant MTM2012-31799 and Junta de Andalucía FQM-194 (J. Carmona) and FQM-116 (P. J. Martínez-Aparicio).

References

- [1] Arcoya, D., Barile, S., Martínez-Aparicio, P.J.: Singular quasilinear equations with quadratic growth in the gradient without sign condition. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **350**, 401–408 (2009)
- [2] Arcoya, D., Carmona, J., Leonori, T., Martínez-Aparicio, P.J., Orsina, L., Pettita, F.: Existence and nonexistence of solutions for singular quadratic quasilinear equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **246**, 4006–4042 (2009)
- [3] Arcoya, D., Carmona, J., Martínez-Aparicio, P.J.: Elliptic obstacle problems with natural growth on the gradient and singular nonlinear terms. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **7**, 299–317 (2007)
- [4] Arcoya, D., Martínez-Aparicio, P.J.: Quasilinear equations with natural growth. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **24**, 597–616 (2008)
- [5] Arcoya, D., Segura de León, S.: Uniqueness of solutions for some elliptic equations with a quadratic gradient term. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **10**(2), 327–336 (2010)
- [6] Bensoussan, A., Boccardo, L., Murat, F.: On a nonlinear P.D.E. having natural growth terms and unbounded solutions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **5**, 347–364 (1988)
- [7] Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T.: Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. *J. Funct. Anal.* **87**, 149–169 (1989)
- [8] Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T.: Strongly nonlinear elliptic equations having natural growth terms and L^1 data. *Nonlinear Anal.* **19**, 573–579 (1992)
- [9] Boccardo, L.: Problems with singular and quadratic gradient lower order terms. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **14**, 411–426 (2008)
- [10] Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T., Murat, F.: *A unified presentation of two existence results for problems with natural growth*. In: *Progress in Partial Differential Equations: The Metz Surveys*, vol. 2, pp. 127–137 (1992) (Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 296, Longman Science and Technology, Harlow, 1993)
- [11] Boccardo, L., Murat, F.: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **19**, 581–597 (1992)
- [12] Boccardo, L., Murat, F., Puel, J.-P.: Existence de solutions non bornées pour certaines équations quasi-linéaires. *Portugaliae Math.* **41**, 507–534 (1982)
- [13] Boccardo, L., Murat, F., Puel, J.-P.: L^∞ estimate for some nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations and application to an existence result. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **23**, 326–333 (1992)
- [14] Giachetti, D., Murat, F.: An elliptic problem with a lower order term having singular behaviour. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9)* **2**(2), 349–370 (2009)

- [15] Leray, J., Lions, J.L.: Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques non linéaires par les méthodes de Minty–Browder. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **93**, 97–107 (1965)
- [16] Martínez-Aparicio, P.J.: Dirichlet problems with quadratic gradient. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* (9) **2**(3), 559–574 (2009)
- [17] Martínez-Aparicio, P.J., Petitta, F.: Parabolic equations with nonlinear singularities. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(1), 114–131 (2011)
- [18] Stampacchia, G.: *Equations Élliptiques du Second Ordre à Coefficients Discontinus*, vol. 35.45, p. 326. Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal (1966)
- [19] Zhou, W., Wei, X., Qin, X.: Nonexistence of solutions for singular elliptic equations with a quadratic gradient term. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 5845–5850 (2012)

José Carmona

Departamento de Matemáticas

Universidad de Almería

Ctra. Sacramento s/n, La Cañada de San Urbano

04120 Almería

Spain

e-mail: jcarmona@ual.es

Pedro J. Martínez-Aparicio

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada y Estadística

Campus Alfonso XIII

Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena

30203 Murcia

Spain

e-mail: pedroj.martinez@upct.es

Julio D. Rossi

Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN UBA

Ciudad Universitaria

Pab 1, 1428 Buenos Aires

Argentina

e-mail: jrossi@dm.uba.ar

Received: 14 May 2015.

Accepted: 22 August 2015.