

A Sufficient Condition for Uniform Convergence of Trigonometric Series with *p*-Bounded Variation Coefficients

Mateusz Kubiak and Bogdan Szal

Abstract. In this paper we consider trigonometric series with *p*-bounded variation coefficients. We presented a sufficient condition for uniform convergance of such series in case p > 1. This condition is significantly weaker than these obtained in the results on this subject known in the literature.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A30, 42A10.

Keywords. Sine series, Cosine series, Trigonometric series, Embedding relations, Number sequences, *p*-bounded variation sequences.

1. Introduction

It is well known that there is a great number of interesting results in Fourier analysis established by assuming monotonicity of Fourier coefficients. The following classical convergence result can be found in many monographs (see for example [3, 18] or [1]).

Theorem 1. Suppose that $b_n \ge b_{n+1}$ and $b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nx \tag{1.1}$$

is $nb_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

This result has been generalized by weakening the monotonicity conditions of the coefficient (see for example [2, 14]). We present below a historical outline of the generalizations of this theorem.

🕅 Birkhäuser

In 2001 Leindler defined (see [8] and [10]) a new class of sequences named as sequences of Rest Bounded Variation, briefly denoted by RBVS, i.e.,

$$RBVS = \left\{ a := (a_n) \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\Delta_1 a_n| \le C |a_m| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\},\$$

where here and throughout the paper C = C(a) always indicates a constant only depending on a and $\Delta_r a_n = a_n - a_{n+r}$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by MS the class of monotone decreasing sequences, then it is clear that

 $MS \subsetneq RBVS.$

Further, Tikhonov introduced a class of General Monotone Sequences GMS defined as follows (see [16]):

$$GMS = \left\{ a := (a_n) \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{n=m}^{2m-1} |\Delta_1 a_n| \le C |a_m| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

It is clear that

 $RBVS \subsetneq GMS.$

The class of GMS was generalized by Tikhonov (see [15]) and independently by Zhou, Zhou and Yu (see [17]) to the class of Mean Value Bounded Variation Sequences (MVBVS). We say that a sequence $a := (a_n)$ of complex numbers is said to be MVBVS if there exists $\lambda \geq 2$ such that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |\Delta_1 a_k| \le \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/\lambda \rfloor}^{\lambda n} |a_k|$$

holds for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where [x] is the integer part of x. They proved also in [17] that

 $GMS \subsetneq MVBVS.$

Theorem 1 was generalized for the class RBVS in [8], for the class GMS in [16] and for the class MVBVS in [17].

Next, Tikhonov [13, 15, 16] and Leindler [9] defined the class of β —general monotone sequences as follows:

Definition 1. Let $\beta := (\beta_n)$ be a nonnegative sequence. The sequence of complex numbers $a := (a_n)$ is said to be β - general monotone, or $a \in GM(\beta)$, if the relation

$$\sum_{n=m}^{2m-1} |\Delta_1 a_n| \le C\beta_m$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the paper [15] Tikhonov considered i.e. the following examples of the sequences β_n :

(1)
$$_{1}\beta_{n} = |a_{n}|,$$

(2) $_{2}\beta_{n} = \sum_{k=\lfloor n/c \rfloor}^{\lfloor cn \rfloor} \frac{|a_{k}|}{k}$ for some $c > 1$

It is clear that $GM(_{1}\beta) = GMS$. Moreover, Tikhonov showed in [15] that

$$GM(_1\beta) \subsetneq GM(_2\beta) \equiv MVBVS.$$

Tikhonov proved also in [15] the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let a sequence $(b_n) \in GM(_2\beta)$. If $n|b_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then the series (1.1) converges uniformly.

Further, Szal defined a new class of sequences in the following way (see [11]):

Definition 2. Let $\beta := (\beta_n)$ be a nonnegative sequence and r a natural number. The sequence of complex numbers $a := (a_n)$ is said to be (β, r) -general monotone, or $a \in GM(\beta, r)$, if the relation

$$\sum_{n=m}^{2m-1} |\Delta_r a_n| \le C\beta_m$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that $GM(\beta, 1) \equiv GM(\beta)$. Moreover, it is easy to show that the sequence

$$a_n = \frac{\left(-1\right)^n}{n}$$

belongs to $GM(_1\beta, 2)$ and does not belong to $GM(_1\beta)$. This example shows that the class $GM(_1\beta)$ is essentially wider than the class $GM(_1\beta)$. In [11] Szal showed more general relations

$$GM(_2\beta, 1) \subsetneq GM(_2\beta, r)$$

for all r > 1.

In the paper [11] Szal generalized Theorem 1 by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 3 [11]. Let a sequence $(b_n) \in GM(_2\beta, r)$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n|b_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[r/2]} |b_{r \cdot n+k} - b_{r \cdot n+r-k}| < \infty \text{ for } r \ge 3,$$

then the series (1.1) converges uniformly.

In the paper [4] Kórus defined a new class of sequences in the following way:

Definition 3. The sequence of complex numbers $a := (a_n)$ is in the class $SBVS_2$ (Supremum Bounded Variation Sequence), if the relation

$$\sum_{n=m}^{2m-1} |\Delta_1 a_n| \le \frac{C}{n} \sup_{m \ge b(n)} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} |a_k|$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where (b(n)) is a nonnegative sequence tending monotonically to infinity depending only on a.

In the paper [4] Kórus also proved the following theorem:

Theorem 4. Let a sequence $(b_n) \in SBVS_2$. If $n|b_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then the series (1.1) converges uniformly.

Next Tikhonov and Liflyand defined a class of $GMS_p(\beta)$ in the following way (see [7], [6]):

Definition 4. Let $\beta = (\beta_n)$ be a nonnegative sequence and p a positive real number. We say that a sequence of complex numbers $a = (a_n) \in GMS_p(\beta)$ if the relation

$$\left(\sum_{n=m}^{2m-1} |\Delta_1 a_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C\beta_m$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that $GMS_1(\beta) = GM(\beta)$.

The latest class of sequences was defined by Kubiak and Szal in [5] as follows:

Definition 5. Let $\beta := (\beta_n)$ be a nonnegative sequence, r a natural number and p a positive real number. The sequence of complex numbers $a := (a_n)$ is said to be (p, β, r) – general monotone, or $a \in GM(p, \beta, r)$, if the relation

$$\left(\sum_{n=m}^{2m-1} |\Delta_r a_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C\beta_m$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear that $GM(p,\beta,1) = GMS_p(\beta)$ and $GM(1,\beta,r) = GM(\beta,r)$. Further we will consider the following sequence:

$$_{3}\beta_{n}(q) = \frac{1}{n} \sup_{m \ge b(n)} m \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} |a_{k}|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}, a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty, q > 0$, (b(n)) is a nonnegative sequence such that $b(n) \nearrow$ and $b(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. It is clear that $SBVS_2 = GM(1, _3\beta(1), 1)$. In the further part of our paper we will consider the following series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin(cnx), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(cnx), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e^{icnx},\tag{1.4}$$

where c > 0.

In the paper [5] Kubiak and Szal showed the following embedding relations:

Theorem 5. Let $q > 0, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < p_1 \le p_2$. Then

$$GM(p_1, {}_3\beta(q), r) \subseteq GM(p_2, {}_3\beta(q), r).$$

Theorem 6. Let $p \ge 1$, q > 0, $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1 \le r_2$. If $r_1 | r_2$, then $GM(p, _3\beta(q), r_1) \subseteq GM(p, _3\beta(q), r_2).$

Moreover they proved in [5] the following generalization of Theorem 1:

Theorem 7. Let a sequence $(b_n) \in GM(p, {}_{3}\beta(q), r)$, where $p, q \ge 1, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b(n) \ge n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$n^{2-\frac{1}{p}}|b_n| \to 0 \ as \ n \to \infty \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin\left(\frac{2l\pi}{r}k\right) < \infty, \text{ for } r \ge 3,$$

for all $l = 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}] - 1$ when r is an even number and $l = 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$ when r is an odd number, then the series (1.2) is uniformly convergent.

Theorem 8. Let a sequence $(a_n) \in GM(p, _3\beta(q), r)$, where $p, q \ge 1, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b(n) \ge n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$n^{2-\frac{1}{p}}|a_n| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos\left(\frac{2l\pi}{r}k\right) < \infty,$$

for all $l = 0, 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$, then the series (1.3) is uniformly convergent.

Theorem 9. Let a sequence $(c_n) \in GM(p, _3\beta(q), r)$, where $p, q \ge 1, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b(n) \ge n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$n^{2-\frac{1}{p}}|c_n| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{\left(\frac{2l\pi}{r}k\right)i} < \infty,$$

for all $l = 0, 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$, then the series (1.4) is uniformly convergent.

In this paper we will show that Theorems 7, 8, 9 are true under weakened assumptions in case p > 1.

2. Main Results

We have the following results:

Theorem 10. Let a sequence $(b_n) \in GM(p, {}_{3}\beta(q), r)$, where $q \ge 1$, p > 1 and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$n\ln n |b_n| \to 0 \ as \ n \to \infty \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin\left(\frac{2l\pi}{r}k\right) < \infty, \text{ for } r \ge 3,$$
(2.2)

for all $l = 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}] - 1$ when r is an even number and $l = 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$ when r is an odd number, then the series (1.2) is uniformly convergent.

Proposition 1. There exist an $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $(b_n) \in GM(p, _3\beta(1), 3)$ for p > 1 with the properties $nb_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $(b_n) \notin GM(1, _3\beta(1), 3)$, for which the series (1.2) is divergent in x_0 .

Theorem 11. Let a sequence $(a_n) \in GM(p, _3\beta(q), r)$, where $q \ge 1$, p > 1 and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$n \ln n |a_n| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos\left(\frac{2l\pi}{r}k\right) < \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $l = 0, 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$, then the series (1.3) is uniformly convergent.

Theorem 12. Let a sequence $(c_n) \in GM(p, {}_{3}\beta(q), r)$, where $q \ge 1$, p > 1 and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$n \ln n |c_n| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{\left(\frac{2l\pi}{r}k\right)i} < \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $l = 0, 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$, then the series (1.4) is uniformly convergent.

Remark 1. It is clear that if a sequence (b_n) satisfies the condition (2.1) then it fulfills the condition (1.5) with p > 1, too. Therefore, from Theorem 10 we get Theorem 7 is case p > 1. The same remark applies to Theorems 11, 8 and Theorems 12, 9, respectively.

3. Lemma

Denote, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and k = 0, 1, 2... by

$$\tilde{D}_{k,r}(x) = \frac{\cos\left(k + \frac{r}{2}\right)x}{2\sin\frac{rx}{2}}, \qquad \qquad D_{k,r}(x) = \frac{\sin\left(k + \frac{r}{2}\right)x}{2\sin\frac{rx}{2}}$$

the Dirichlet type kernels.

Lemma 1 . [11,12] Let $r, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(a_k) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $x \neq \frac{2l\pi}{r}$, then for $m \geq n$

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} a_k \sin(kx) = -\sum_{k=n}^{m} \Delta_r a_k \tilde{D}_{k,r}(x) + \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+r} a_k \tilde{D}_{k,-r}(x) + \sum_{k=n}^{n+r-1} a_k \tilde{D}_{k,-r}(x)$$
(3.1)

and

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} a_k \cos kx = \sum_{k=n}^{m} \Delta_r a_k \tilde{D}_{k,r}(x) - \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+r} a_k \tilde{D}_{k,-r}(x) + \sum_{k=n}^{n+r-1} a_k \tilde{D}_{k,-r}(x).$$
(3.2)

Lemma 2 [5]. Let $r, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a = (a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $x \neq \frac{2l\pi}{r}$, then for $m \ge n$

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} a_k e^{ikx} = \frac{-i}{2\sin\left(\frac{rx}{2}\right)} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{m} \Delta_r a_k e^{-i\left(k+\frac{r}{2}\right)x} - \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+r} a_k e^{-i\left(k-\frac{r}{2}\right)x} + \sum_{k=n}^{n+r-1} a_k e^{-i\left(k-\frac{r}{2}\right)x} \right).$$

Lemma 3. Let $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $p \geq 1$

$$\int_{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+N} \frac{1}{k\ln k} dk \le \ln p.$$

Proof. This inequality is true for p = 1. Consider the function

$$f(p) = \left(n + N^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^p$$

for p > 0. We get:

$$f'(p) \ge \left(n + N^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} n \ln N \ge 0 \text{ for all } p > 0.$$

It means that the function is non-decreasing with respect to p. Thus:

$$n + N = f(1) \le f(p) = \left(n + N^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^p$$
 for $p \ge 1$.

Hence we get that:

$$\ln\left(n+N\right) \le \ln\left(n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p}.$$
(3.3)

Therefore, integrating by substitution with $\ln k = t$ and using (3.3), we get

$$\int_{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+N} \frac{1}{k \ln k} dk = \int_{\ln(n+N)^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{\ln(n+N)} \frac{1}{t} dt = \ln(\ln(n+N)) - \ln(\ln(n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}))$$
$$= \ln\left(\frac{\ln(n+N)}{\ln\left(n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}\right) = \ln\left(p\frac{\ln(n+N)}{\ln\left(n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p}}\right) \le \ln p$$
d the proof is completed.

and the proof is completed.

4. Proofs of the Main Results

4.1. Proof of the Theorem 10

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then from (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain:

$$n\ln n \left| b_n \right| < \varepsilon, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\left|\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \sin\left(k\frac{2l\pi}{r}\right)\right| < \varepsilon, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\left|\sum_{k=n}^{n+N} b_k \sin\left(k\frac{2l\pi}{r}\right)\right| < \varepsilon, \tag{4.3}$$

for all $n > N_{\epsilon}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $l = 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}] - 1$ when r is an even number and $l = 1, ..., [\frac{r}{2}]$ when r is an odd number. Denote by

$$\tau_n(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \sin(ckx).$$

We will show that

$$|\tau_n\left(x\right)| \ll \varepsilon \tag{4.4}$$

holds for any $n \ge \max\{N_{\varepsilon}, 2\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\tau_n(0) = 0$ and $\tau_n\left(\frac{\pi}{c}\right) = 0$ it suffices to prove (4.4) for $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{c}$.

First, we will show that (4.4) is valid for $x = \frac{2 l \pi}{rc}$, where *l* is an integer number such that 0 < 2 l < r. Using (4.2) we get

$$\left|\tau_n\left(\frac{2l\pi}{rc}\right)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Now, we prove that (4.4) holds for $\frac{2l\pi}{rc} < x \leq \frac{2l\pi}{rc} + \frac{\pi}{rc}$, where $0 \leq 2l < r$. Let $N^{\frac{1}{p}} := N^{\frac{1}{p}}(x) \geq r$ be a natural number such that

$$\frac{2l\pi}{rc} + \frac{\pi}{c(N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} < x \le \frac{2l\pi}{rc} + \frac{\pi}{cN^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$
(4.5)

Then

$$\tau_n(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} b_k \sin(ckx) + \sum_{k=n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+N} b_k \sin(ckx) + \sum_{k=n+N+1}^{\infty} b_k \sin(ckx)$$
$$= \tau_n^{(1)}(x) + \tau_n^{(2)}(x) + \tau_n^{(3)}(x).$$

Applying Lagrange's mean value theorem to the function $f(x) = \sin(ckx)$ on the interval $\left[\frac{2l\pi}{rc}, x\right]$ we obtain that for each k there exists $y_k \in \left(\frac{2l\pi}{rc}, x\right)$ such that

$$\sin(ckx) - \sin\left(k\frac{2l\pi}{r}\right) = ck\cos(cky_k)\left(x - \frac{2l\pi}{rc}\right).$$

Using this we get

$$\tau_n^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} ckb_k \cos(cky_k) \left(x - \frac{2l\pi}{rc}\right) + \sum_{k=n}^{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} b_k \sin\left(k\frac{2l\pi}{r}\right)$$
$$= \tau_n^{(1.1)}(x) + \tau_n^{(1.2)}(x).$$

From (4.3) we have

$$\left|\tau_n^{(1.2)}(x)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

By (4.5) and (4.1)

$$\left|\tau_{n}^{(1.1)}\left(x\right)\right| \leq \left(x - \frac{2l\pi}{rc}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} ck \left|b_{k}\right| \leq \left(x - \frac{2l\pi}{rc}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} \frac{ck \ln k}{\ln k} \left|b_{k}\right|$$
$$< \left(x - \frac{2l\pi}{rc}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} \frac{c\varepsilon}{\ln k} \leq \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{\ln 2}.$$

Using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\left|\tau_{n}^{(2)}(x)\right| = \left|\sum_{k=n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+N} b_{k}\sin(ckx)\right| \le \sum_{k=n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+N} \frac{k\ln k}{k\ln k} \left|b_{k}\right| \ll \varepsilon \int_{n+N^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+N} \frac{1}{k\ln k} dk$$
$$\le \varepsilon \ln p.$$

If $(b_n) \in GM(p, {}_{3}\beta(q), r)$, then using Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau_n^{(3)}(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^j(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)-1} b_k \sin(ckx) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{-1}{2\sin(crx/2)} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^j(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)-1} (b_k - b_{k+r}) \cos\left(k + \frac{r}{2}\right) cx \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1} b_k \cos\left(k - \frac{r}{2}\right) cx - \left. \sum_{k=2^j(n+N+1)}^{2^j(n+N+1)+r-1} b_k \cos\left(k - \frac{r}{2}\right) cx \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2 \left| \sin(crx/2) \right|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^j(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)-1} |b_k - b_{k+r}| + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1} |b_k| \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=2^j(n+N+1)}^{2^j(n+N+1)+r-1} |b_k| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further applying the Hölder inequality with p > 1, the inequality $\frac{rc}{\pi}x - 2l \le \left|\sin\frac{rcx}{2}\right| \left(x \in \left[\frac{2l\pi}{rc}, \frac{2l\pi}{rc} + \frac{\pi}{rc}\right] \text{ and } 0 \le 2l < r\right), (4.5) \text{ and } (4.1)$, we obtain

$$\left|\tau_{n}^{(3)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\frac{rc}{\pi}x - 2l} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=2^{j}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)-1} \left|b_{k} - b_{k+r}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\}$$

$$\begin{split} & \left(\sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)-1}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1}1\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j}(n+N+1)+r-1}|b_{k}| + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1}|b_{k}|\right) \\ & \leq \frac{(N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C\left(2^{j}(n+N+1)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{2^{j}(n+N+1)} \sup_{k=2^{j}(2^{j}(n+N+1))} m\right. \\ & \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=m}^{2m-1}|b_{k}|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j}(n+N+1)+r-1}|b_{k}| + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1}|b_{k}| \right) \\ & = \frac{(N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C}{(2^{j}(n+N+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{m\geq b(2^{j}(n+N+1))} m^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \left(\sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{k\ln k |b_{k}|}{k\ln k}\right)^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+N+1)+r-1}^{2^{j}(n+N+1)+r-1} \frac{k\ln k |b_{k}|}{k\ln k} \\ & + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)} \frac{k\ln k |b_{k}|}{k\ln k} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon(N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C}{(2^{j}(n+N+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{m\geq b(2^{j}(n+N+1))} m^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j}(n+N+1)+r-1} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+N+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+N+1)+r-1} \frac{1}{k} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon(N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C}{(2^{j}(n+N+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{m\geq b(2^{j}(n+N+1))} \left(m^{1-\frac{1}{q}}m^{-1}m^{\frac{1}{q}}\right) \\ & + \frac{3}{2}r \left(2^{j}(n+N+1)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Elementary calculations give:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\tau_n^{(3)}\left(x\right)\right| &< \frac{\varepsilon (N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(2^j (n+N+1)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(C+\frac{3}{2}r\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon (N+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(C+\frac{3}{2}r\right)}{r \left((n+N+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^j \leq \frac{\varepsilon \left(C+\frac{3}{2}r\right)}{r} \frac{1}{1-2^{-\frac{1}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove that (4.4) is true for $\frac{2l\pi}{rc} + \frac{\pi}{rc} \le x < \frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc}$, where $0 < 2(l+1) \le r$.

Let $M^{\frac{1}{p}} := M^{\frac{1}{p}}(x) \ge r$ be a natural number such that

$$\frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc} - \frac{\pi}{cM^{\frac{1}{p}}} \le x < \frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc} - \frac{\pi}{c(M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$
(4.6)

Then

$$\tau_n(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} b_k \sin(ckx) + \sum_{k=n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+M} b_k \sin(ckx) + \sum_{k=n+M+1}^{\infty} b_k \sin(ckx)$$
$$= \tau_n^{(4)}(x) + \tau_n^{(5)}(x) + \tau_n^{(6)}(x).$$

Applying Lagrange's mean value theorem to the function $f(x) = \sin(ckx)$ on the interval $\left[x, \frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc}\right]$ we obtain that for each k there exists $z_k \in \left(x, \frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc}\right)$ such that

$$\sin\left(k\frac{2(l+1)\pi}{r}\right) - \sin(ckx) = ck\cos(ckz_k)\left(\frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc} - x\right)$$

Using this we get

$$\tau_n^{(4)}(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} ckb_k \cos(ckz_k) \left(\frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc} - x\right) + \sum_{k=n}^{n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} b_k \sin\left(k\frac{2(l+1)\pi}{r}\right) = \tau_n^{(4.1)}(x) + \tau_n^{(4.2)}(x).$$

From (4.2) we have

$$\left|\tau_n^{(4.2)}(x)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

By (4.6) and (4.1)

$$\left|\tau_{n}^{(4.1)}(x)\right| \leq \left(\frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc} - x\right) \sum_{k=n}^{n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} ck |b_{k}| \leq \frac{\pi}{M^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{k=n}^{n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} \frac{k \ln k}{\ln k} |b_{k}| \leq \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{\ln 2}.$$

Using Lemma 3 we get

$$\left|\tau_{n}^{(5)}(x)\right| = \left|\sum_{k=n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+M} b_{k}\sin(ckx)\right| \le \sum_{k=n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+M} \frac{k\ln k}{k\ln k} |b_{k}| \ll \varepsilon \int_{n+M^{\frac{1}{p}}}^{n+M} \frac{1}{k\ln k} dk$$
$$\le \varepsilon \ln p.$$

Vol. 78 (2023)

If $(b_n) \in GM(p, {}_{3}\beta(q), r)$, then by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau_{n}^{(6)}(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{j(n+M+1)-1}}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)-1} b_{k} \sin(ckx) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{-1}{2\sin(crx/2)} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{j(n+M+1)}}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)-1} (b_{k} - b_{k+r}) \cos\left(\left(k + \frac{r}{2}\right) cx\right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1} b_{k} \cos\left(k - \frac{r}{2}\right) cx - \left. \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j}(n+M+1)+r-1} b_{k} \cos\left(\left(k - \frac{r}{2}\right) cx\right) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2 \left| \sin(crx/2) \right|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)-1} |b_{k} - b_{k+r}| + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1} |b_{k}| \\ &+ \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+M+1)+r-1}^{2^{j}(n+M+1)+r-1} |b_{k}| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, applying the Hölder inequality with p > 1, then using Lemma 1, the inequality

 $2(l+1) - \frac{rc}{\pi}x \le \left|\sin\frac{rcx}{2}\right| \left(x \in \left[\frac{2\,l\pi}{rc} + \frac{\pi}{rc}, \frac{2(l+1)\pi}{rc}\right] \text{ and } 0 < 2(l+1) \le r\right),$ (4.6) and (4.1), we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \tau_n^{(6)} \left(x \right) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2(l+1) - \frac{rc}{\pi} x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^{j+1}(n+M+1)-1\\ \sum_{k=2^j(n+M+1)} |b_k - b_{k+r}|^p \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \left(\sum_{k=2^j(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)-1} 1 \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{k=2^j(n+M+1)}^{2^j(n+M+1)+r-1} |b_k| + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1} |b_k| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{(M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C \left(2^j(n+M+1) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{2^j(n+M+1)} \sup_{m \ge b(2^j(n+M+1))} m \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=m}^{2^{m-1}} |b_k|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ & + \sum_{k=2^j(n+M+1)}^{2^j(n+M+1)+r-1} |b_k| + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1} |b_k| \right\} = \frac{(M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \\ & \left\{ \frac{C}{(2^j(n+M+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{m \ge b(2^j(n+M+1))} m^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k=m}^{2^{m-1}} \left(\frac{k\ln k |b_k|}{k\ln k} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & + \sum_{k=2^j(n+M+1)}^{2^j(n+M+1)+r-1} \frac{k\ln k |b_k|}{k\ln k} + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1} \frac{k\ln k |b_k|}{k\ln k} \right\} \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon(M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C}{(2^j(n+M+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{m \ge b(2^{j}(n+M+1))} m^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \right\} \end{split}$$

Results Math

$$\left(\sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \sum_{k=2^{j}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j}(n+M+1)+r-1} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=2^{j+1}(n+M+1)}^{2^{j+1}(n+M+1)+r-1} \frac{1}{k}\right\}.$$

Elementary calculations give

$$\begin{split} \left| \tau_n^{(6)} \left(x \right) \right| &< \frac{\varepsilon (M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{C}{\left(2^j (n+M+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{m \ge b(2^j (n+M+1))} \right. \\ & \left(m^{1-\frac{1}{q}} m^{-1} m^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) + r \left(2^j (n+M+1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{2} r \left(2^j (n+M+1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon (M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(2^j (n+M+1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(C + \frac{3}{2} r \right) \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon (M+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(C + \frac{3}{2} r \right)}{r \left((n+M+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^j \leq \frac{\varepsilon \left(C + \frac{3}{2} r \right)}{r} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{p}}}. \end{split}$$

Joining the obtained estimates the uniform convergence of series (1.2) follows and thus the proof is complete. $\hfill \Box$

4.2. Proof of Proposition 1

Let for $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{n\ln(n+1)}, \text{ when } n = 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{n\ln(n+1)}, \text{ when } n = 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{n\ln(n+1)}, \text{ when } n = 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } n \neq 0 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{1}{(n-3)\ln(n-2)} + \frac{1}{n^{1+\frac{1}{p}}\ln(n+1)}, \text{ when } n = 0 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

First, we prove that $(a_n) \in GM(p, _3\beta(1), 3)$ for p > 1. Let

 $\begin{aligned} A_n &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \le k \le 2n - 1 \text{ and } k = 1 \pmod{3} \}, \\ B_n &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \le k \le 2n - 1 \text{ and } k = 2 \pmod{3} \}, \\ C_n &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \le k \le 2n - 1 \text{ and } k = 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } k \ne 0 \pmod{6} \}, \\ D_n &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \le k \le 2n - 1 \text{ and } k = 0 \pmod{6} \}. \end{aligned}$

Using elementary calculations we get

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |a_k - a_{k+3}|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left\{ \sum_{k \in A_n} |a_k - a_{k+3}|^p + \sum_{k \in B_n} |a_k - a_{k+3}|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\{ \sum_{k \in A_n} \left| \frac{3}{k \ln(k+1)} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k \in C_n} |a_k - a_{k+3}|^p + \sum_{k \in D_n} |a_k - a_{k+3}|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\{ \sum_{k \in A_n} \left| \frac{3}{k \ln(k+1)} \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{3}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right|^p + \sum_{k \in B_n} \left| \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &+ \sum_{k \in C_n} \left| \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}\ln(k+4)} \right|^p \\ &+ \sum_{k \in D_n} \left| \frac{1}{(k-3)\ln(k-2)} + \frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{1}{p}}\ln(k+4)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{k \in A_n} 3^p \left| \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right|^p + \sum_{k \in B_n} \left| \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right|^p \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k \in D_n} \left(\left| \frac{1}{(k-3)\ln(k-2)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} + \frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{1}{p}}\ln(k+1)} \right| \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} . \end{split}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right| &= \frac{|(k+3)\ln(k+4) - k\ln(k+1)|}{k(k+3)\ln(k+1)\ln(k+4)} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{3k}{k+1} + 3\ln(k+4)}{k(k+3)\ln(k+1)\ln(k+4)} \\ &\leq \frac{6\ln(k+4)}{k^2\ln(k+1)\ln(k+4)} = \frac{6}{k^2\ln(k+1)} \end{split}$$

for $k\geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{(k-3)\ln(k-2)} - \frac{1}{(k+3)\ln(k+4)} \right| &= \frac{\left| (k+3)\ln(k+4) - (k-3)\ln(k-2) \right|}{(k-3)(k+3)\ln(k-2)\ln(k+4)} \\ &\leq \frac{(k-3)\left| \ln(k+4) - \ln(k-2) \right| + 6\ln(k+4)}{(k-3)(k+3)\ln(k-2)\ln(k+4)} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{6(k-3)}{k-2} + 6\ln(k+4)}{(k-3)(k+3)\ln(k-2)\ln(k+4)} \\ &\leq \frac{12}{(k-3)(k+3)\ln(k-2)} \leq \frac{48}{k^2\ln(k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Results Math

for $k \ge 6$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |a_k - a_{k+3}|^p \end{cases}^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in A_n} 3^p \left(\frac{6}{k^2 \ln(k+1)}\right)^p + \sum_{k \in B_n} \left(\frac{6}{k^2 \ln(k+1)}\right)^p \\ + \sum_{k \in C_n} \left(\frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \ln(k+1)}\right)^p + \sum_{k \in D_n} \left(\frac{48}{k^2 \ln(k+1)} + \frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \ln(k+1)}\right)^p \end{cases}^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 49 \begin{cases} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \ln(k+1)}\right)^p \end{cases}^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 49 \frac{1}{n^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \ln(n+1)} n^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = 49 \frac{1}{n \ln(n+1)} \le 147 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} |a_k| \le 147 \frac{1}{n} \sup_{m \ge b(n)} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} |a_k|. \end{cases}$$

Hence $(a_n) \in GM(p, _3\beta(1), 3)$. Now, we will show that $(a_n) \notin GM(1, _3\beta(1), 3)$. We have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |a_k - a_{k+3}| \ge \sum_{k \in C_n} |a_k - a_{k+3}| = \sum_{k \in C_n} \left| \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k \ln(k+1)} \right| = \frac{1}{(k+3)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \ln(k+4)} \ge \frac{1}{(k+3)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \ln(k+4)} \frac{1}{12} \ge \frac{1}{48(n+3)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ln(n+4)}.$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sup_{m \ge b(n)} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} |a_k| \le C \frac{1}{n}.$$

Therefore, the inequality

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n} |a_k - a_{k+3}| \le C \frac{1}{n} \sup_{m \ge b(n)} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} |a_k|.$$

can not be satisfied if $n \to \infty$.

Now, we will show that the series (1.2) is divergent in
$$x_0 = \frac{2}{3}\pi$$
. We have

$$\sum_{k=6}^{6N+5} a_k \sin(kx_0) = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=0}^5 a_{6k+l} \sin\left((6k+l)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) = \sum_{k=1}^N (a_{6k} \sin(4\pi) + a_{6k+1} \sin\left((6k+1)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) + a_{6k+2} \sin\left((6k+2)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) + a_{6k+3} \sin\left((6k+3)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) + a_{6k+4} \sin\left((6k+4)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) + a_{6k+5} \sin\left((6k+5)\frac{2}{3}\pi\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \left(a_{6k+1} \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) + a_{6k+2} \left(-\sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right)\right) + a_{6k+4} \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) + a_{6k+5} \left(-\sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right)\right)\right) = \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[(a_{6k+1} - a_{6k+2}) + (a_{6k+4} - a_{6k+5})\right]$$

$$= \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{3}{(6k+1)\ln(6k+2)} - \frac{1}{(6k+2)\ln(6k+3)}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{(6k+4)\ln(6k+5)} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)\ln(6k+6)}\right)\right]$$

$$\geq \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{3}{(6k+2)\ln(6k+2)} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)\ln(6k+5)}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{(6k+5)\ln(6k+5)} - \frac{1}{(6k+5)\ln(6k+5)}\right) \right]$$

$$= \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{2}{(6k+2)\ln(6k+2)} + \frac{2}{(6k+5)\ln(6k+5)}\right)$$

$$\geq 4\sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{(6k+5)\ln(6k+5)} - \infty \text{ as } N \to \infty.$$
This ends our proof.

This ends our proof.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 11

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 10. The only difference is that we use (2.3) and (3.2) instead of (2.2) and (3.1), respectively.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 12

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 10. The only difference is that we use (2.4) and Lemma 2 instead of (3.1) and Lemma 1, respectively.

Funding The authors have not disclosed any funding.

Data Availability Statement Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest and the manuscript has no associated data.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- [1] Bari, N.K.: Trigonometric Series. Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1961)
- Belov, A.S.: Remarks on mean convergence (boundedness) of partial sums of trigonometric series. Math. Notes 71(6), 739–748 (2002)
- [3] Chaundy, T.W., Jolliffe, A.E.: The uniform convergence of a certain class of trigonometrical series. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 15, 214–216 (1916)
- [4] Kórus, P.: Remarks on the uniform and L¹-convergence of trigonometric series. Acta Math. Hung. 128, 369–380 (2010)
- [5] Kubiak, M., Szal, B.: Uniform convergence of trigonometric series with pbounded variation coefficients. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 27(1), 89–110 (2020)
- [6] Liflyand, E., Tikhonov, S.: The Fourier Transforms of General Monotone Functions. Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Trends in Mathematics, pp. 373–391. Birkhäuser, Basel (2009)
- [7] Liflyand, E., Tikhonov, S.: A concept of general monotonicity and applications. Math. Nachr. 284(8–9), 1083–1098 (2011)
- [8] Leindler, L.: On the uniform convergence and boundedness of a certain class of sine series. Anal. Math. 27, 279–285 (2001)
- [9] Leindler, L.: A new extension of monotone sequence and its application. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7(1), 7 (2006)
- [10] Leindler, L.: A new class of numerical sequences and its applications to sine and cosine series. Anal. Math. 28(4), 279–286 (2002)
- [11] Szal, B.: A new class of numerical sequences and its applications to uniform convergence of sine series. Math. Nachr. 284(14–15), 1985–2002 (2011)
- [12] Szal, B.: On L-convergence of trigonometric series. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 373, 449–463 (2011)
- [13] Tikhonov, S.: On uniform convergence of trigonometric series. Mat. Zametki, 81(2) (2007), 304–310, translation in Math. Notes 81(2), 268–274 (2007)
- [14] Telyakovskii, S.A.: Uniform convergence of trigonometric series with rarely changing coefficients. Math. Notes **70**(3–4), 553–559 (2001)
- [15] Tikhonov, S.: Best approximation and moduli of smoothness: computation and equivalence theorems. J. Approx. Theory 153, 19–39 (2008)

- [16] Tikhonov, S.: Trigonometric series with general monotone coefficients. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326(1), 721–735 (2007)
- [17] Zhou, S., Zhou, P., Yu, D.: Ultimate generalization to monotonicity for uniform convergence of trigonometric series. Sci. China Math. 53(7), 1853–1862 (2010)
- [18] Zygmund, A.: Trigonometric Series, vol. I. University Press, Cambridge (1959)

Mateusz Kubiak and Bogdan Szal Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics University of Zielona Góra Szafrana 4a 65-516 Zielona Góra Poland e-mail: M.Kubiak@wmie.uz.zgora.pl; B.Szal@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Received: January 31, 2023. Accepted: August 25, 2023.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.