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#### Abstract

We exhibit a new proof, relying on bivariant theory, that the nilpotent cone is rationally smooth. Our approach enables us to prove a slightly more general statement.
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## 1. Introduction

In [2] Borho and MacPherson proved that the nilpotent cone is a rational homology manifold. The proof relies on the celebrated Decomposition Theorem by Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber [1] and on the Springer's theory of Weyl group representations (see [2] and the references therein).

The aim of this paper is to present a new proof, in our opinion conceptually very simple, based on the bivariant theory founded by Fulton and MacPherson in [4]. Actually, our approach enables us to prove a slightly more general statement (see Remark 2.4 below). By bivariant theory we intend the topological bivariant homology theory with coefficients in a Noetherian commutative ring with identity $\mathbb{A}[4$, pp. 32,83 and p. 86, Corollary 7.3.4].

That the nilpotent cone is a rational homology manifold can be seen as an easy consequence of a characterization of homology manifolds we recently proved in [3, Theorem 6.1]: given a resolution of singularities $\pi: \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of a quasi-projective variety $\mathcal{N}$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is a homology manifold if and only if there exists a bivariant class of degree one for $\pi$. A bivariant class of degree one for
$\pi$ is an element $\eta \in H^{0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{N})$ such that the induced Gysin homomorphism $\eta_{0}: H^{0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{N})$ sends $1_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ to $1_{\mathcal{N}}$.

## 2. The Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let $\pi^{\prime}: \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$ be a projective morphism between complex quasiprojective nonsingular varieties of the same dimension. Assume that $\pi^{\prime}$ is generically finite, of degree $\delta$. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbf{g}$ be a closed irreducible subvariety. Consider the induced fibre square diagram:

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}:=\mathcal{N} \times{ }_{\mathbf{g}} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is irreducible and nonsingular and $\pi$ is birational, then $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-homology manifold for every Noetherian commutative ring with identity $\mathbb{A}$ for which $\delta$ is a unit.

Proof. Since $\pi^{\prime}: \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$ is a projective morphism between complex quasiprojective nonsingular varieties of the same dimension, it is a local complete intersection morphism of relative codimension 0 [4, p. 130]. Let

$$
\theta^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} \mathbf{g}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D_{c}^{b}(\mathbf{g})}\left(R \pi^{\prime}{ }_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)
$$

be the orientation class of $\pi^{\prime}\left[4\right.$, p. 131]. Let $\theta_{0}^{\prime}: H^{0}(\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}) \rightarrow H^{0}(\mathbf{g})$ be the induced Gysin map. It is clear that $\theta_{0}^{\prime}\left(1_{\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}}\right)=\delta \cdot 1_{\mathbf{g}} \in H^{0}(\mathbf{g})$, where $\delta$ is the degree of $\pi^{\prime}$. Therefore, if we denote by

$$
\theta:=i^{*} \theta^{\prime} \in H^{0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{N}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D_{c}^{b}(\mathcal{N})}\left(R \pi_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}\right)
$$

the pull-back of $\theta^{\prime}$, then $\delta^{-1} \cdot \theta$ is a bivariant class of degree one for $\pi[3,2$. Notations, (ii)]. At this point, our claim follows by [3, Theorem 6.1]. For the Reader's convenience, let us briefly summarize the argument.

Since $\delta^{-1} \cdot \theta$ is a bivariant class of degree one for $\pi$, it follows that $\left(\delta^{-1} \cdot \theta\right) \circ \pi^{*}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ in $D_{c}^{b}(\mathcal{N})$, i.e. that $\delta^{-1} \cdot \theta$ is a section of the pull-back $\pi^{*}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow R \pi_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[3$, Remark 2.1, $(i)]$. Hence, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a direct summand of $R f_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ in $D_{c}^{b}(\mathcal{N})$ [3, Lemma 3.2] and so we have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R f_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathcal{K} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $\operatorname{set} \nu=\operatorname{dim} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{N}$ and let $[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}] \in H_{2 \nu}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$ be the fundamental class of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. We have:

$$
[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}] \in H_{2 \nu}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}) \cong H^{-2 \nu}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow p t .) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D_{c}^{b}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\nu], D\left(\mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\nu]\right)\right)
$$

where $D$ denotes Verdier dual. Therefore, $[\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}]$ corresponds to a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\nu] \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\nu]\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose induced map in hypercohomology is nothing but the duality morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}: x \in H^{\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow x \cap[\tilde{\mathcal{N}}] \in H_{2 \nu-\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is nonsingular (actually it suffices that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$ homology manifold), the morphisms (2) and (3) are isomorphisms. The first one induces an isomorphism

$$
R \pi_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\nu] \rightarrow D\left(R \pi_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\nu]\right)
$$

which in turn, via decomposition (1), induces two projections

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}[\nu] \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}[\nu]\right), \quad \mathcal{K}[\nu] \rightarrow D(\mathcal{K}[\nu]) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making explicit the isomorphism induced in cohomology and homology by (1), one may prove [3, Corollary 5.1] that $\mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ is the direct sum of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, where

$$
P_{1}: H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H_{2 \nu-\bullet}(\mathcal{N}) \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2}: \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{K}[\nu]) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(D(\mathcal{K}[\nu]))
$$

are the maps induced in hypercohomology by the projections (4). It follows that $P_{1}$ is an isomorphism, because so is $\mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$, and this holds true when restricting to every open subset $U$ of $\mathcal{N}$. For instance (see also [3, Corollary 5.1]), if $\widetilde{U}=\pi^{-1}(U)$, the vanishing of the morphism $\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(\mathcal{K}_{U}[\nu]\right) \rightarrow H_{2 \nu-\bullet}(U)$ derives from projection formula [4, p. 26, G4, (ii)]:

$$
\pi_{*}\left([\widetilde{U}] \cap \lambda_{*} w\right)=\pi_{*}\left(\delta^{-1} \theta^{*}[U] \cap \lambda_{*} w\right)=\delta^{-1}\left(\theta_{*} \lambda_{*} w\right) \cap[U]=0, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(\mathcal{K}_{U}[\nu]\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{*}$ is the morphism induced in hypercohomology by $\mathcal{K}_{U}[\nu] \rightarrow R \pi_{*} \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{U}}[\nu]$.
Therefore, we have $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}[\nu] \cong D\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{N}}[\nu]\right)$, which is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-homology manifold.

Remark 2.2. Observe that, as a scheme, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ could also be nonreduced, but what matters is that, for the usual topology, it is a nonsingular variety [4, p. 32, 3.1.1].

Corollary 2.3. The nilpotent cone is a rational homology manifold.
Proof. Let $\pi: \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the Springer resolution of the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}$. It extends to a generically finite projective morphism $\pi^{\prime}: \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$, known as the Grothendieck simultaneous resolution, between complex quasi-projective nonsingular varieties of the same dimension [2, p. 49]. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 applies.

Remark 2.4. If the Grothendieck simultaneous resolution $\pi^{\prime}: \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$ has degree $\delta$, by Theorem 2.1 we deduce that the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-homology manifold for every Noetherian commutative ring with identity $\mathbb{A}$ for which $\delta$ is a unit. For instance, for the variety $\mathcal{N}$ of nilpotent matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have $\delta=n!$. Therefore, in this case, $\mathcal{N}$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}_{h}$-homology manifold for every integer $h$ relatively prime with $n!$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
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