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#### Abstract

For two subclasses of close-to-star functions we estimate early logarithmic coefficients, coefficients of inverse functions, Hankel determinant $H_{2,2}$ and Zalcman functional $J_{2,3}$. All results are sharp.
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## 1. Introduction

Given $r>0$, let $\mathbb{D}_{r}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r\}$, and let $\mathbb{D}:=\mathbb{D}_{1}$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}:=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$ and $\mathbb{T}:=\partial \mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of all analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be its subclass of $f$ normalized by $f(0):=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0):=1$, i.e., of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad a_{1}:=1, z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ of all univalent functions and $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{S}$ of all starlike functions, namely, $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called close-to-star if there exist $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta} f(z)}{g(z)}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{C S T}$ the class of all close-to-star functions introduced by Reade [30]. Note that $f \in \mathcal{C S T}$ if and only if a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is close-to-convex [15], [12, Vol. II, p. 3]. The class of close-to-star functions and its subclasses were intensively studied by various authors (e.g., MacGregor [25], Sakaguchi [32], Causey and Merkes [4]; for further references, see [12, Vol. II, pp. 97-104]). Given $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{C S T}_{\beta}(g)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{C S T}$ of all $f$ satisfying (1.2). The classes $\mathcal{C S} \mathcal{T}_{0}\left(g_{i}\right), i=1,2,3$, where

$$
g_{1}(z):=\frac{z}{1-z^{2}}, \quad g_{2}(z):=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad g_{3}(z):=z, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

are particularly interesting and were separately studied by authors. In this paper we deal with the classes $\mathcal{C S T}_{0}\left(g_{1}\right)=: \mathcal{S T}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathcal{C S} \mathcal{T}_{0}\left(g_{2}\right)=: \mathcal{S T}(1)$ which elements $f$ in view of (1.2) satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \frac{f(z)}{z}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-z)^{2} \frac{f(z)}{z}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Let us add the inequality (1.4) defines the subclass of the class of functions starlike in the direction of the real axis introduced by Robertson [31]. Moreover, each function $F$ given by (1.3) over the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}$ (i) maps univalently $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain $F(\mathbb{D})$ convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. The concept of convexity in one direction belongs to Roberston [31] (see e.g., [12, p. 199]). Each function $F$ given by (1.3) over the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}$ (1) maps univalently $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain $F(\mathbb{D})$ called convex in the positive the direction of the real axis, i.e., $\{w+i t: t \geq 0\} \subset f(\mathbb{D})$ for every $w \in f(\mathbb{D})[2,8,9,11,20,21]$. Let us remark that the condition (1.4) was generalized by replacing the expression $1-z^{2}$ by the expression $1-\alpha^{2} z^{2}$ with $\alpha \in[0,1]$ in [13].

In this paper we find the sharp estimates of early logarithmic coefficients (Sect. 2), of the Hankel determinant $H_{2,2}$ and of Zalcman functional $J_{2,3}$ (Sect. 3) and of the early inverse coefficients (Sect. 4) of functions in the classes $\mathcal{S T}$ (i) and $\mathcal{S T}$ (1). Since both classes $\mathcal{S T}$ (i) and $\mathcal{S T}$ (1) have a representation using the Carathéodory class $\mathcal{P}$, i.e., the class of functions $p \in \mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

having a positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$, the coefficients of functions in $\mathcal{S T}$ (i) and $\mathcal{S T}$ (1) have a suitable representation expressed by the coefficients of functions in $\mathcal{P}$. Therefore to get the upper bounds of considered functionals our computing is based on parametric formulas for the second and third coefficients
in $\mathcal{P}$. However both classes are rotation non-invariant. Thus to solve discussed problems we will apply a general formula for $c_{3}$ recently found in [7]. The formula (1.7) was proved by Carathéodory [3] (see e.g., [10, p. 41]). The formula (1.8) can be found in [28, p. 166]. The formula (1.9) was shown in a recent paper [7], where the extremal functions (1.11) and (1.12) were computed also. For $c_{1} \geq 0$ the formula (1.9) is due to by Libera and Zlotkiewicz [22,23].

Lemma 1.1. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (1.6), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=2 \zeta_{1}  \tag{1.7}\\
& c_{2}=2 \zeta_{1}^{2}+2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{3}= & 2 \zeta_{1}^{3}+4\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}  \tag{1.9}\\
& -2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}^{2}+2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i \in\{1,2,3\}$.
For $\zeta_{1} \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $c_{1}$ as in (1.7), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+\zeta_{1} z}{1-\zeta_{1} z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\zeta_{1} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta_{2} \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ as in (1.7)-(1.8), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}+\zeta_{1}\right) z+\zeta_{2} z^{2}}{1+\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) z-\zeta_{2} z^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta_{3} \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ as in (1.7)-(1.9), namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(z) \\
& \quad=\frac{1+\left(\overline{\zeta_{2}} \zeta_{3}+\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}+\zeta_{1}\right) z+\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{3}+\zeta_{1} \overline{\zeta_{2}} \zeta_{3}+\zeta_{2}\right) z^{2}+\zeta_{3} z^{3}}{1+\left(\overline{\zeta_{2}} \zeta_{3}+\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) z+\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1} \overline{\zeta_{2}} \zeta_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right) z^{2}-\zeta_{3} z^{3}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Logarithmic Coefficients

Given $f \in \mathcal{S}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}, \log 1:=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numbers $\gamma_{n}$ are called logarithmic coefficients of $f$. Differentiating (2.1) and using (1.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{2}, \quad \gamma_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right), \\
& \gamma_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}+\frac{1}{3} a_{2}^{3}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

As it well known, the logarithmic coefficients play a crucial role in Milin conjecture ([26], see also [10, p. 155]). It is surprising that for the class $\mathcal{S}$ the sharp estimates of single logarithmic coefficients $\mathcal{S}$ are known only for $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, namely,

$$
\left|\gamma_{1}\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|\gamma_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}=0.635 \ldots
$$

and are unknown for $n \geq 3$. Logarithmic coefficients is one of the topic recently being of interest by various authors (e.g., $[1,18,33]$ ).

Logarithmic coefficients can be considered for functions $f$ from the class $\mathcal{A}$ however under the assumption that the branch of logarithm $\mathbb{D} \ni z \mapsto$ $\log f(z) / z$ exists. From (1.4) and (1.5) it follows that $g(z):=f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ for $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i) and $f \in \mathcal{S T}(1)$. However $g(\mathbb{D})$ needs not be necessarily a simply connected domain. Therefore, let $\mathcal{S T}_{0}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{0}(1)$ be the subclasses of $\mathcal{S T}$ (i) and $\mathcal{S T}$ (1) respectively, of all functions $f$ for which the branch $\mathbb{D} \ni z \mapsto \log f(z) / z$ with $\log 1:=0$ exists.

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{S T}_{0}(\mathrm{i})$ is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\left|\gamma_{1}\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|\gamma_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad\left|\gamma_{3}\right| \leq 1
$$

All inequalities are sharp.
Proof. By (1.4) there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (1.6) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-z^{2}\right) \frac{f(z)}{z}=p(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the series (1.1) and (1.6) into (2.3) by equating the coefficients we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=c_{1}, \quad a_{3}=c_{2}+1, \quad a_{4}=c_{1}+c_{3} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality $\left|\gamma_{1}\right| \leq 1$ follows directly from (2.2), (2.4) and (1.7) with sharpness for the function $f$ given by (2.3), where $p$ is as in (1.10).

Substituting (1.7) and (1.8) into (2.4) from (2.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\gamma_{2}\right| & =\frac{1}{2}\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|c_{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{2}+1\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left|2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}+1\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with sharpness for the function $f$ given by (2.3), where $p$ is as in (1.11) with $\zeta_{1}=0$ and any $\zeta_{2} \in \mathbb{T}$.

By (2.2) and (2.4) we have

$$
6 \gamma_{3}=c_{1}^{3}-3 c_{1} c_{2}+3 c_{3}
$$

Hence and by (1.7)-(1.9) we get

$$
3 \gamma_{3}=2 \zeta_{1}^{3}-3\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{1} \zeta_{2}^{2}+3\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3}
$$

where $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i=1,2,3$. Thus by setting $x:=\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \in[0,1]$ and $y:=\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \in[0,1]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
3\left|\gamma_{3}\right| & \leq 2 x^{3}+3\left(1-x^{2}\right) x y^{2}+3\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right) \\
& =2 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+3-3\left(1-x^{2}\right)(1-x) y^{2} \\
& \leq 2 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+3 \leq 3, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left|\gamma_{3}\right| \leq 1$ with sharpness for the function $f$ given by (2.3), where $p$ is as in (1.12) with $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}=0$ and any $\zeta_{3} \in \mathbb{T}$.
Theorem 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{0}(1)$ is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\left|\gamma_{1}\right| \leq 2, \quad\left|\gamma_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad\left|\gamma_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}(1+\sqrt{2})
$$

All inequalities are sharp.
Proof. By (1.5) there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (1.6) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-z)^{2} \frac{f(z)}{z}=p(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the series (1.1) and (1.6) into (2.5) by equating the coefficients we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=c_{1}+2, \quad a_{3}=3+2 c_{1}+c_{2}, \quad a_{4}=4+3 c_{1}+2 c_{2}+c_{3} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality $\left|\gamma_{1}\right| \leq 2$ follows directly from (2.2), (2.6) and (1.7) with sharpness for the function $f$ given by (2.5), where $p$ is as in (1.10).

Substituting (1.7) and (1.8) into (2.6) from (2.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\gamma_{2}\right| & =\frac{1}{2}\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|c_{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{2}+1\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left|2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}+1\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with sharpness for the function $f$ given by (2.3), where $p$ is as in (1.11) with $\zeta_{1}=0$ and any $\zeta_{2} \in \mathbb{T}$.

By (2.2) and (2.6) we have

$$
6 \gamma_{3}=2+c_{1}^{3}+3 c_{3}-3 c_{1} c_{2} .
$$

Hence and by (1.7)-(1.9) we get

$$
3 \gamma_{3}=1+\zeta_{1}^{3}-3\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{1} \zeta_{2}^{2}+\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3}
$$

where $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i=1,2,3$. Thus by setting $x:=\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \in[0,1]$ and $y:=\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \in[0,1]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
3\left|\gamma_{3}\right| & \leq 1+x^{3}-3\left(1-x^{2}\right) x y^{2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right) \\
& =2-x^{2}+x^{3}+\left(1-x^{2}\right)(3 x-1) y^{2}=: F(x, y) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $F(1 / 3, y)=52 / 27$. Moreover for $x \in(1 / 3,1]$ and $x \in[0,1 / 3)$ we get

$$
F(x, y) \leq F(x, 1) \leq 1+3 x-2 x^{3} \leq 1+\sqrt{2}, \quad y \in[0,1]
$$

and

$$
F(x, y) \leq F(x, 0) \leq 2-x^{2}+x^{3} \leq 2, \quad y \in[0,1]
$$

respectively. Thus by $(2.7),\left|\gamma_{3}\right| \leq(1+\sqrt{2}) / 3$ with sharpness for the function $f$ given by (2.3), where $p$ is as in (1.12) with $\zeta_{1}=1 / \sqrt{2}, \zeta_{2}=\mathrm{i}$ and any $\zeta_{3} \in \mathbb{T}$.

## 3. Zalcman Functional and Hankel Determinant

Now we compute the sharp upper bound of the Zalcman functional $J_{2,3}(f):=$ $a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}$ being a special case of the generalized Zalcman functional $J_{n, m}(f):=$ $a_{n} a_{m}-a_{n+m-1}, n, m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$, which was investigated by Ma [24] for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ (see also [29] for relevant results on this functional). We will find also the sharp bound of the second Hankel determinant $H_{2,2}(f)=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}$. Both functionals $J_{2,3}$ and $H_{2,2}$ have been studied recently by various authors (see e.g., $[5,6,14,16,17,19,27])$.

Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i) is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq 2
$$

The inequality is sharp with the extremal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.4) by using (1.7)-(1.9) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| & =\left|c_{1} c_{2}-c_{3}\right| \\
& =2\left|\zeta_{1}^{3}+2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}^{2}-\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3}\right| \\
& \leq 2\left[\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{3}+2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\zeta_{1}\right|\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}-\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right]\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
& =2\left[1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{3}-2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|\right)\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{3}\right) \leq 2,
\end{align*}
$$

with sharpness for the function (3.1).
To find sharp estimate for $H_{2,2}$ over $\mathcal{S T}$ (i) we use the following lemma.

## Proposition 3.2.

$$
\left|4 z^{2}-4 z-1\right| \leq \begin{cases}1+4|z|-4|z|^{2}, & |z| \leq(-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2  \tag{3.3}\\ \sqrt{2}\left(1+4|z|^{2}\right), & (-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2 \leq|z| \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since the inequality (3.3) clearly holds for $z=0$, assume that $z=r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ with $0<r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$. A simple computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|4 z^{2}-4 z-1\right|^{2}=\varphi(\cos \theta) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined by

$$
\varphi(x):=-16 r^{2} x^{2}-8 r\left(4 r^{2}-1\right) x+16 r^{4}+24 r^{2}+1
$$

Note that $\varphi^{\prime}(x)=0$ occurs only when $x=\left(1-4 r^{2}\right) /(4 r)=: x_{0}$.
When $r \leq(-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2$, we have $x_{0}>1$ or $1-4 r-4 r^{2}>0$. Therefore

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(x) \geq 8 r\left(1-4 r-4 r^{2}\right)>0, \quad x \in[-1,1] .
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(1)=\left(1+4 r-4 r^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that the inequality (3.3) holds for $|z| \leq(-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2$.

When $(-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2 \leq r \leq 1$, we have $x_{0} \in[-1,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x) \leq \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=2\left(1+4 r^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad x \in[-1,1] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.6) we see that the inequality (3.3) holds for $(-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2 \leq|z| \leq 1$.

Theorem 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i) is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{28}{3} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality is sharp with the extremal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z\left(3+z+3 z^{2}\right)}{3\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.4) by using (1.7)-(1.9)we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}= & c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}-2 c_{2}-1 \\
= & 4 \zeta_{1}^{2}-4 \zeta_{1}-1-4\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}-4\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}^{2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& +4 \zeta_{1}\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i=1,2,3$. Let $x:=\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \in[0,1]$ and $y=\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \in[0,1]$.
Assume first that $x \in\left[0, x_{0}\right]$, where $x_{0}:=(-1+\sqrt{2}) / 2$. Then by (3.9) and Proposition 3.2 for $y \in[0,1]$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & 1+8 x-4 x^{2}-4 x^{3}+4\left(1-x^{2}\right) y \\
& +4 x\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{2}=: F(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, for each $x \in\left[0, x_{0}\right]$, the function $[0,1] \ni y \mapsto F(\cdot, y)$ is increasing and therefore for $y \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y) \leq F(x, 1)=9+4 x-12 x^{2} \leq \frac{28}{3}=9.333 \ldots \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $x \in\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$. Then by (3.9) and Proposition 3.2 for $y \in[0,1]$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & \sqrt{2}+4 x+4 \sqrt{2} x^{2}-4 x^{3}+4\left(1-x^{2}\right) y \\
& +4\left(1-x^{2}\right)(1-x) y^{2}=: G(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(1, y)=5 \sqrt{2}=7.071 \ldots, \quad y \in[0,1] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for each $x \in\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$, the function $[0,1] \ni y \mapsto G(\cdot, y)$ is increasing and therefore for $y \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(x, y) & \leq G(x, 1)=8+\sqrt{2}-4(2-\sqrt{2}) x^{2} \\
& \leq-2+8 \sqrt{2}=9.133 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (3.10) and (3.11) it follows that the inequality (3.7) is true. Equality in (3.7) holds for the function $f$ given by (2.3), where $p$ is given by (1.12) with $\zeta_{1}:=1 / 6$ and $\zeta_{2}=\zeta_{3}:=1$, i.e., for the function (3.8).

Theorem 3.4. If $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (1) is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq 20
$$

The inequality is sharp with the extremal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z(1+z)}{(1-z)^{3}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.6), by using (1.7) and the inequality $\left|c_{1} c_{2}-c_{3}\right| \leq 2$ which was proved in (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| & =\left|2+4 c_{1}+2 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} c_{2}-c_{3}\right| \\
& \leq 2+4\left|c_{1}\right|+2\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{1} c_{2}-c_{3}\right| \leq 20
\end{aligned}
$$

with sharpness for the function (3.12).
Theorem 3.5. If $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (1) is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq 17 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality is sharp with the extremal function (3.12).

Proof. From (2.6) by using (1.7)-(1.9)we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}= & -1-2 c_{1}-c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{1} c_{2}-2 c_{2}-c_{2}^{2}+c_{1} c_{3}+2 c_{3} \\
= & -1-4 \zeta_{1}-8 \zeta_{1}^{2}-4 \zeta_{1}^{3}-4\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}  \tag{3.14}\\
& -4\left(1+\zeta_{1}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \overline{\zeta_{1}} \zeta_{2}^{2} \\
& +4\left(1+\zeta_{1}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Set $x:=\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \in[0,1]$ and $y=:\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \in[0,1]$. By (3.14) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & 5+8 x+4 x^{2}+4\left(1-x^{2}\right) y \\
& -4\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2} y^{2}=: F(x, y), \quad x, y \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(1, y)=17, \quad y \in[0,1] . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $x \in[0,1)$. Then for $y \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=4\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left[1-2\left(1-x^{2}\right) y\right]=0
$$

iff $y=1 / 2\left(1-x^{2}\right)=: y_{0}$. Since $y_{0} \geq 1$ for each $x \in[1 / \sqrt{2}, 1)$, so then the function $[0,1] \ni y \mapsto F(\cdot, y)$ is increasing and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y) \leq F(x, 1)=5+8 x+8 x^{2}-4 x^{4} \leq 17, \quad y \in[0,1] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in[0,1 / \sqrt{2})$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x, y) & \leq F\left(x, y_{0}\right)=F\left(x, \frac{1}{2\left(1-x^{2}\right)}\right) \\
& =6+8 x+4 x^{2} \leq 8+4 \sqrt{2}=13.656 \ldots, \quad y \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (3.15) and (3.16) it follows that the inequality (3.13) is true. Equality in (3.13) holds for the function $f$ defined by (3.12).

## 4. Inverse Coefficients

Since $\mathcal{S T}$ (i) is a compact class and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ for every $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i), there exists $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that every $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i) is invertible in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{0}}$. Thus there exists $\delta>0$ such that the inverse function $\hat{f}$ of $f_{\mid \mathbb{D}_{r_{0}}}$ has a series expansion in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_{n} w^{n}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i) of the form (1.1) the following relations hold (see e.g., [12, Vol. I, p. 57])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}=-a_{2}, \quad \beta_{3}=2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}, \quad \beta_{4}=-5 a_{2}^{3}+5 a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar situation holds for the class $\mathcal{S T}(1)$.
Theorem 4.1. If $\hat{f}$ is the inverse function of $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (i) of the form (4.1), then
(i) $\left|\beta_{2}\right| \leq 2$;
(ii) $\left|\beta_{3}\right| \leq 7$;
(iii) $\left|\beta_{4}\right| \leq 30$.

All inequalities are sharp with the extremal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z(1+\mathrm{i} z)}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-\mathrm{i} z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substituting (2.4) into (4.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}=-c_{1}, \quad \beta_{3}=2 c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}-1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{4}=-5 c_{1}^{3}+5 c_{1} c_{2}+4 c_{1}-c_{3} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.4) and (1.7) the inequality (i) follows immediately. From (4.4) with (1.7) and (1.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{3}\right| & =\left|2 c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}-1\right|=\left|6 \zeta_{1}^{2}-2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}-1\right| \\
& \leq 6\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}+\left.2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)| | \zeta_{2}|+1 \leq 4| \zeta_{1}\right|^{2}+3 \leq 7
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we prove (iii). By (4.5) and (1.7)-(1.9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{4}\right|= & \mid-22 \zeta_{1}^{3}+8 \zeta_{1}+16\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2} \\
& +2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{1} \zeta_{2}^{2}-2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3} \mid \\
& \leq 2+8 x-2 x^{2}+22 x^{3}+16 x\left(1-x^{2}\right) y-2(1-x)^{2}(1+x) y^{2} \\
= & F(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i=1,2,3, x:=\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \in[0,1]$ and $y:=\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \in[0,1]$.
Note first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(1, y)=30, \quad y \in[0,1] . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $x \in[0,1)$. Then for $y \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=4\left(1-x^{2}\right)[4 x-(1-x) y]=0
$$

iff $y=4 x /(1-x)=: y_{0}$. Since $y_{0} \geq 1$ for each $x \in[1 / 5,1)$, so then the function $[0,1] \ni y \mapsto F(\cdot, y)$ is increasing and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y) \leq F(x, 1)=26 x+4 x^{3} \leq 30, \quad y \in[0,1] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in[0,1 / 5)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(x, y) & \leq F\left(x, y_{0}\right)=F\left(x, \frac{4 x}{1-x}\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& =2+72 x+30 x^{2}-10 x^{3} \leq \frac{438}{25}=15.52, \quad y \in[0,1]
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by (4.6)-(4.8) it follows that the inequality in (iii) is true.
All inequalities are sharp with the extremal function (4.3).
Theorem 4.2. If $\hat{f}$ is the inverse function of $f \in \mathcal{S T}$ (1) of the form (4.1), then
(i) $\left|\beta_{2}\right| \leq 4$;
(ii) $\left|\beta_{3}\right| \leq 23$;
(iii) $\left|\beta_{4}\right| \leq 156$.

All inequalities are sharp with the extremal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z(1+z)}{(1-z)^{3}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substituting (2.6) into (4.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}=-c_{1}-2, \quad \beta_{3}=2 c_{1}^{2}+6 c_{1}-c_{2}+5 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{4}=-5 c_{1}^{3}-20 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{1}+5 c_{1} c_{2}+8 c_{2}-c_{3}-14 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.10) and (1.7) the inequality (i) follows immediately. From (4.10) with (1.7) and (1.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{3}\right| & =\left|2 c_{1}^{2}+6 c_{1}-c_{2}+5\right|=\left|6 \zeta_{1}^{2}+12 \zeta_{1}-2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}+5\right| \\
& \leq 6\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}+12\left|\zeta_{1}\right|+2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)+5=4|\zeta|^{2}+12|\zeta|+7 \leq 23
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we prove (iii). By (4.11) and (1.7)-(1.9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{4}\right|= & \mid-22 \zeta_{1}^{3}-64 \zeta_{1}^{2}-56 \zeta_{1}-14+16\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{2} \\
& +16\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}+2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \bar{\zeta}_{1} \zeta_{2}^{2} \\
& -2\left(1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|\zeta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \zeta_{3} \mid \\
\leq & 22 x^{3}+62 x^{2}+56 x+16+16\left(1-x^{2}\right)(1+x) y \\
& -2\left(1-x^{2}\right)(1-x) y^{2}=: F(x, y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, i=1,2,3, x:=\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \in[0,1]$ and $y:=\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \in[0,1]$.
Note first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(1, y)=156, \quad y \in[0,1] . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $x \in[0,1)$. Then for $y \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=4\left(1-x^{2}\right)[4(1+x)-(1-x) y=0
$$

iff $y=4(1+x) /(1-x)=: y_{0}$. Since $y_{0} \geq 1$ for each $x \in(0,1)$, so the function $[0,1] \ni y \mapsto F(\cdot, y)$ is increasing and therefore

$$
F(x, y) \leq F(x, 1)=4 x^{3}+48 x^{2}+74 x+30 \leq 156, \quad y \in[0,1]
$$

Hence and from (4.12) it follows that the inequality in (iii) is true.
All inequalities are sharp with the extremal function (4.9).
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