

## Erratum to “Divisors of Bernoulli Sums”, 51 no. 1–2, 141–179

Michel Weber

**Abstract.** We give the correct computation here. The computation of the multiplicative function  $\rho_k(D)$  in Proposition 2.10 was incorrect.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** Primary 11M06; Secondary 11M99, 11A25, 60G50.

**Keywords.** Bernoulli random variables, iid, Theta functions, divisors.

The computation of the multiplicative function  $\rho_k(D)$  in Proposition 2.10 was incorrect. In place we have

**Proposition 0.1.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  only, such that for any positive integers  $n, m$  and  $D$*

$$\left| \mathbb{P}\{D|B_n B_m\} - \frac{1}{D 2^{m-n}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-n} C_{m-n}^k \rho_k(D) \right| \leq C_\varepsilon \left( \frac{D^{1+\varepsilon}}{n} \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$\rho_k(D) = \begin{cases} \prod_{p|D} p^{\lfloor \frac{v_p(D)}{2} \rfloor} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{v_p(k) < v_p(D)/2} (2p^{v_p(k)}) \cdot \prod_{v_p(k) \geq v_p(D)/2} p^{\lfloor \frac{v_p(D)}{2} \rfloor} & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

And  $\mathbb{P}\{D|B_n B_m\} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m-n} \sqrt{D}} + \frac{2^{\omega(D)(m-n)}}{D} + C_\varepsilon \frac{D^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Further, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  only, such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{d|B_n, \delta|B_m\} \leq C(m-n) \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}}{d\delta} + C_\varepsilon \frac{(d\delta)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

A modification of the computation of the quantity  $B_1^1$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is necessary. Let us first indicate how to compute  $\rho_k(D)$ . Assume  $1 \leq k \leq m-n$ . It suffices to compute  $\rho_k(p^r)$ . First observe for  $r \geq 1$  that  $\rho_k(p^r) = 2$  if  $p \nmid k$ . If  $p|k$ , the solutions of the equation  $p^r | y(y+k)$  are of type  $y = p^s Y$ , with  $(Y, p) = 1$ . Suppose  $r \geq 4$  and put  $r' = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ . We have  $\rho_k(p^r) = \#\{1 \leq y \leq p^r : p^r | y(y+k)\}$ . There is no solution if  $(y, p) = 1$ , and apart from the trivial solution  $y = p^r$ , the

other solutions are of type  $y = p^s Y$ ,  $(Y, p) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq s < r$ . We distinguish three cases: (i)  $r' < s < r$  (ii)  $s = r'$  (iii)  $1 \leq s < r'$ .

(i) Then  $p^r | y(y+k)$  means  $p^{r-s} | p^s Y + k$ , which is possible if and only if  $r-s \leq v_p(k)$ . Thus  $\max(r' + 1, r - v_p(k)) \leq s < r$ . We have  $Y \leq p^{r-s}$ ,  $(Y, p^{r-s}) = 1$ . Their number is  $\phi(p^{r-s}) = p^{r-s}(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function, and the corresponding number of solutions is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\max(r'+1, r-v_p(k)) \leq s \leq r-1} p^{r-s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) &= p^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \wedge v_p(k)} \frac{p^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \wedge v_p(k)} - 1}{p - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= p^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor \wedge v_p(k)} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The other cases are treated similarly, and one find for (ii):  $p^{r'}$  solutions if  $r$  is odd and  $p^{r'}(1 - \frac{1}{p})$  if  $r$  is even. For (iii), the only case providing solutions is  $s = v_p(k) < r'$ , providing then  $p^{v_p(k)}$  solutions. The cases  $r = 2, 3$  are easily checked. Therefore for  $r \geq 2$

$$\rho_k(p^r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \nmid k, \\ 2p^{v_p(k)} & \text{if } v_p(k) < \frac{r}{2}, \\ p^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} & \text{if } v_p(k) \geq \frac{r}{2}. \end{cases}$$

If  $k = 0$ , the number of solutions is:  $\#\{Y \leq p^{r-s} : (Y, p) = 1\} = \phi(p^{r-s})$ , and so  $\rho_0(p^r) = p^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ .

We deduce for any positive integer  $D$  and any integer  $k$ ,  $\rho_k(D) \leq 2^{\omega(D)}(k \wedge \sqrt{D})$  and  $\rho_0(D) \leq \sqrt{D}$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-n} \frac{C_{m-n}^k \rho_k(D)}{2^{m-n} D} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m-n} \sqrt{D}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} \frac{C_{m-n}^k 2^{\omega(D)} k}{2^{m-n} D} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m-n} \sqrt{D}} + \frac{2^{\omega(D)}(m-n)}{D},$$

the second assertion follows. For the last assertion, notice that  $\mathbb{P}\{d|B_n, \delta|B_m\} > 0$  only if  $m-n \geq (d, \delta)$ , since  $\mathbb{P}\{d|B_n, \delta|B_m\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{d|B_n\} \mathbb{P}\{(d, \delta)|B_{m-n}\}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{d|B_n, \delta|B_m\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\{d|B_n, \delta|B_n\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{d\delta | (B_n^2 + B_n(B_m - B_n)), B_m - B_n > 0\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{[d, \delta]|B_n\} + \frac{1}{2^n 2^{m-n}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} C_{m-n}^k \frac{1}{d\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{d\delta-1} \sum_{h=0}^n C_n^h e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{d\delta} (h^2 + kh)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} C_{m-n}^k \frac{1}{d\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{d\delta-1} \sum_{h=0}^n C_n^h e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{d\delta} (h^2 + kh)} \right| &\leq \frac{C_\varepsilon (d\delta)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{2^{m-n}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} \frac{\rho_k(d\delta)}{d\delta} \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon \frac{(d\delta)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \frac{1}{2^{m-n}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}(k \wedge \sqrt{d\delta})}{d\delta} \\
 &\leq C_\varepsilon \frac{(d\delta)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}}{\sqrt{n}} + (m-n) \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}}{d\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce  $\mathbb{P}\{d|B_n, \delta|B_m\} \leq C(m-n) \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}}{d\delta} + C_\varepsilon \frac{(d\delta)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}}{\sqrt{n}}$ , as claimed.

For the sum  $B_1^1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta \\ d, \delta \in \mathcal{D}}} \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}}{d\delta} \leq 2 \sum_{D \leq (nm)^\theta} \#\{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta : D = d\delta\} \cdot \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D}.$$

Given  $d$ , if  $D = d\delta$ , at most one choice of  $\delta$  is possible. Thus  $\#\{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta : D = d\delta\} \leq \#\{d, \delta : D = d\delta\} \leq d(D)$ . As  $d(D) \leq C_c D^c \leq C_c n^c$ , if  $D$  large, say  $D \geq \Delta_c$ , we get

$$\sum_{D < \Delta_c} \#\{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta : D = d\delta\} \cdot \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D} \leq \sum_{D < \Delta_c} d(D) \cdot \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D} \leq K(\Delta_c),$$

whereas

$$\sum_{\Delta_c \leq D \leq (nm)^\theta} \#\{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta : D = d\delta\} \cdot \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D} \leq C_c n^c \sum_{D \leq 2n^{2\theta}} \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D}.$$

As  $F(x) := \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{2^{\omega(k)}}{k} = \mathcal{O}(x(\log x)^2)$ , by Abel summation, we deduce  $\sum_{2 \leq D \leq N} \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D} \leq C'(\log N)^3$ . Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta \\ d, \delta \in \mathcal{D}}} \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}}{d\delta} \leq \sum_{D \leq (nm)^\theta} \frac{2^{\omega(D)}}{D} \leq C_\theta (\log nm)^3.$$

For  $n$  large

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1^1 &= \sum_{i \leq n \leq j} \sum_{n < m \leq n+n^H} \sum_{\substack{d \leq n^\theta, \delta \leq m^\theta \\ d, \delta \in \mathcal{D}}} \frac{2^{\omega(d\delta)}(m-n)}{d\delta} \\
 &\leq C_{\theta,c} \sum_{i \leq n \leq j} n^c \sum_{n < m \leq n+n^H} (m-n)(\log nm)^3 \\
 &\leq C_{\theta,c} \sum_{i \leq n \leq j} n^c (\log 2n^2)^3 \sum_{n < m \leq n+n^H} (m-n) \leq C_{\theta,c} \sum_{i \leq n \leq j} n^{2H+c} (\log 2n^2)^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thereby for  $n$  large,  $B_1 \leq C_{\theta,c} \sum_{i \leq n \leq j} n^{12c}$ .

An improvement of these results was recently obtained using a slightly simplified approach. This will be published elsewhere.

**References**

- [1] M. Weber, *Divisors of Bernoulli sums*, Result. Math. **51** (2007), no. 1–2, 141–179.

Michel Weber  
U.F.R. de Mathématique (IRMA)  
Université Louis-Pasteur et C.N.R.S.  
7 rue René Descartes  
F-67084 Strasbourg Cedex  
France  
e-mail: [weber@math.u-strasbg.fr](mailto:weber@math.u-strasbg.fr)