

The Spectral Shift Function and the Friedel Sum Rule

Mahito Kohmoto, Tohru Koma and Shu Nakamura

Abstract. We study the relationship between the spectral shift function and the excess charge in potential scattering theory. Although these quantities are closely related to each other, they have been often formulated in different settings so far. Here, we first give an alternative construction of the spectral shift function, and then we prove that the spectral shift function thus constructed yields the Friedel sum rule.

1. Introduction

In physical systems, universal nature often reflects the global, geometrical (topological) structure of the system. For example, Gauss’s law in classical electromagnetism is a consequence of the geometrical structure of the three-dimensional Euclidean space. It states that the flux Φ of the electric field \mathbf{E} through any closed surface Σ is proportional to the total charge Q enclosed by the surface Σ :

$$\Phi = \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = CQ$$

with a constant C .

In this paper, we study an analog to Gauss’s law in scattering theory in quantum mechanics. Let us consider a metal with a single impurity at zero temperature. The impurity potential scatters the conduction electrons, and changes their charge distribution. For a fixed Fermi energy \mathcal{E}_F , the “excess charge” $Z(\mathcal{E}_F)$ due to the impurity is defined to be the difference between the total numbers of levels in the Fermi sea with and without the impurity. Then, the excess charge $Z(\mathcal{E}_F)$ equals the total phase shifts $\theta(\mathcal{E}_F)$ of the scattering matrix $S(\mathcal{E}_F)$ for the impurity potential:

$$\theta(\mathcal{E}_F) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \det S(\mathcal{E}_F) = Z(\mathcal{E}_F). \quad (1)$$

This is known as the Friedel sum rule [3–6] in solid state physics [14].

Since the excess charge $Z(\mathcal{E}_F)$ is formally written in terms of the trace of the difference between the spectral projection operators with and without the impurity potential, it is closely related to the spectral shift function (SSF) which was initiated by Lifshitz [17], and then rigorously defined by Krein [16]. We briefly describe the previous construction of the SSF. Let H and H_0 be a pair of self-adjoint operators. Then the SSF $\xi(\cdot)$ is defined as a function on \mathbb{R} satisfying the following property: If $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr} [f(H) - f(H_0)] = - \int f'(\lambda) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Here, we note that this formula fixes $\xi(\cdot)$ up to an additive constant. The SSF is known to exist¹ if, for example, $(H + i)^{-m} - (H_0 + i)^{-m}$ is a trace class operator with some $m > 0$.

Formally, the SSF is written

$$\xi(\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr} [E_H(\lambda) - E_{H_0}(\lambda)], \quad (2)$$

where $E_A(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral projection of a self-adjoint operator A . (This formal expression (2) is nothing but the excess charge!) It is well-known, however, $E_H(\lambda) - E_{H_0}(\lambda)$ is not necessarily in the trace class, even when the above assumption is satisfied [15, 16].

As is well known, there are two standard constructions of the SSF.² The first one is due to Krein who defines the SSF as a locally L^1 function on \mathbb{R} . This construction requires relatively weak assumptions, and the definition is global in λ . However, the existence of $\xi(\lambda)$ for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is not obvious in this construction. The other construction is to compute the difference of the spectral functions. Namely, under certain conditions, one can define

$$\xi'(\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr} [E'_H(\lambda) - E'_{H_0}(\lambda)]$$

for λ in a “regular” energy region. This method is widely used in the semiclassical and microlocal study of the SSF.³ The advantage of this method is that one can study the behavior of $\xi(\lambda)$ in detail locally in λ . On the other hand, $\xi(\lambda)$ is not defined globally in λ , and the method requires slightly stronger assumptions on the perturbation.

We also remark that the behavior of finite-volume spectral shift functions for a large volume is studied in refs. [7–10, 13, 21, 22]. In particular, under a certain condition, a sequence of finite-volume spectral shift functions is shown to converge to the SSF in the infinite-volume limit [7–10].

We propose another construction of the spectral shift function, $\xi(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda; H, H_0)$, for a pair of Hamiltonians, $H = -\Delta + V$ and $H_0 = -\Delta$, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We assume that the potential V satisfies

$$|V(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3)$$

¹ See, e.g., Birman–Yafaev [2] or Yafaev [23, 24].

² See also Pushnitski [19] and references therein for a more sophisticated representation of the SSF.

³ See Robert [21] and references therein.

with some $\alpha > n + 3$ and some $C > 0$, where we have written $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$. The idea for our construction is to show the existence of the boundary value of the perturbation determinant directly using the stationary scattering theory. This is a variation of Krein’s construction, but we can prove that $\xi(\lambda)$ is defined for each $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and continuous in the same region.

As an application, we consider the Friedel sum rule. We first define the finite-volume excess charge $Z_R(\lambda)$ due to the impurity potential V by

$$Z_R(\lambda) := \text{Tr} [\vartheta_R(E_H(\lambda) - E_{H_0}(\lambda))\vartheta_R],$$

where $\vartheta_R(x) = \vartheta(x/R)$ is a cutoff function with a large R and with $\vartheta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\vartheta = 1$ in a neighborhood of $x = 0$. Then we can prove

$$Z(\lambda) := \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} Z_R(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (0, \infty).$$

Namely, the excess charge $Z(\cdot)$ in the infinite-volume limit is equal to the SSF $\xi(\cdot)$. On the other hand, the total phase shift $\theta(\cdot)$ for the scattering matrix $S(\cdot)$ is equal to $\xi(\cdot)$ from the Birman–Krein formula. From these, we obtain that the Friedel sum rule (1) holds for $\mathcal{E}_F \in (0, \infty)$ in arbitrary dimensions.

The present paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we first describe our method to construct the SSF in three or lower dimensions, and then extend it to higher dimensions. In Sect. 3, we prove that the SSF is equal to the excess charge.

2. Construction of the Spectral Shift Function

We construct the SSF for potential scattering theory. First, we describe our abstract scheme for the construction, and then prove the existence of the SSF. Consider a pair of Hamiltonians,

$$H = H_0 + V, \quad H_0 = -\Delta \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We suppose the potential V satisfies the bound (3) with $\alpha > n + 3$. We may allow V to have some singularities, but assume that it is bounded for simplicity. By the invariance principle, we construct $\xi(\lambda)$ as

$$\xi(\lambda; H, H_0) = -\xi((\lambda + M)^{-\ell}; (H + M)^{-\ell}, (H_0 + M)^{-\ell})$$

with some integer $\ell > 0$ and a sufficiently large $M > 0$, where $\xi(\lambda; A, A_0)$ denotes the SSF for a pair A and A_0 . Here, we choose M so that both A and A_0 are bounded. We recall the SSF is defined as

$$\xi(\lambda; A, A_0) = - \lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda + i0} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \log \Delta_{A/A_0}(z),$$

where $\Delta_{A/A_0}(z)$ denotes the perturbation determinant defined by

$$\Delta_{A/A_0}(z) = \det [(A - z)(A_0 - z)^{-1}] \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A_0)).$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta_{A/A_0}(z)$ is well-defined if $A - A_0$ is trace class, and that it is analytic in z . Moreover,

$$\Delta_{A/A_0}^{-1}(z) \Delta'_{A/A_0}(z) = -\text{Tr} [(A - z)^{-1} - (A_0 - z)^{-1}],$$

and $\xi(\lambda; A, A_0) = 0$ if $\lambda > \sup(\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A_0))$ [or if $\lambda < \inf(\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A_0))$]. Hence, we have an expression of the SSF:

$$\xi(\lambda; A, A_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda + i0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\gamma_z} \operatorname{Tr} [(A - w)^{-1} - (A_0 - w)^{-1}] dw, \tag{4}$$

where γ_z denotes a contour in $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ such that $\gamma_z(0) = k > \sup(\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A_0))$ and $\gamma_z(1) = z$. Note that this expression is consistent with the formal formula (2) by virtue of Stone formula.

2.1. Dimensions $n \leq 3$

First, we prove the existence of $\xi(\cdot)$ in dimensions $n \leq 3$. In the next section, we treat the case in dimensions $n \geq 4$. In this section, we set $\ell = 1$, namely,

$$A = (H + M)^{-1}, \quad A_0 = (H_0 + M)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

with a sufficiently large (fixed) $M > 0$ so that both A and A_0 are bounded. Then it is well-known that $A - A_0 \in \mathcal{J}_1$, where \mathcal{J}_p denotes the p th trace ideal.⁴ Hence, $\Delta_{A/A_0}(z)$ is well-defined, and the above definition applies. Now the key estimate of our construction is the following: we denote

$$\mu(z) = (z + M)^{-\ell} = (z + M)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 1. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda + i0} \operatorname{Tr} [(A - \mu(z))^{-1} - (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1}]$$

exists, and the limit is continuous in λ in $(0, \infty)$.

Remark. We do not prove (or claim) $(A - \mu(\lambda + i0))^{-1} - (A_0 - \mu(\lambda + i0))^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}_1$. We only prove the existence of the limit of the trace.

Now combining Proposition 1 with the formula (4), we obtain an alternative proof of the following result on the SSF:⁵

Corollary 2. *The SSF $\xi(\lambda)$ exists for $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, and $\xi(\cdot)$ is continuous in $(0, \infty)$.*

Throughout the present paper, we fix β so that

$$3/2 < \beta < (\alpha - n)/2, \tag{6}$$

and we define

$$W := \langle x \rangle^\beta (A - A_0) \langle x \rangle^\beta. \tag{7}$$

Proof of Proposition 1. In the present case, we have

$$W = -\langle x \rangle^\beta (H + M)^{-1} V (H_0 + M)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\beta$$

from (5). Therefore, from the assumption (3) on the potential V and the above condition (6) for β , we get $W \in \mathcal{J}_1$ by using the standard commutator computations.

⁴ See, e.g., [20], vol. 3, Appendix 2 to Section XI.3 for the criterion for the trace ideal.

⁵ For the cases $n = 2, 3$, see, e.g., [24], Theorem 9.1.14.

On the other hand, for $z \notin \sigma(H) \cup \sigma(H_0)$, we have

$$(A - \mu(z))^{-1} - (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} = -(A - \mu(z))^{-1}(A - A_0)(A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}_1.$$

Combining this, the definition (7) of W and the above result $W \in \mathcal{J}_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} [(A - \mu(z))^{-1} - (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1}] \\ &= -\text{Tr} [(A - \mu(z))^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} W \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1}] \\ &= -\text{Tr} [W \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} (A - \mu(z))^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now in order to complete the proof, it suffices to show the following lemma. \square

Lemma 3. For $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda + i0} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} (A - \mu(z))^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$$

exists in $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and the limit is continuous in λ in $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. At first we note that there are no positive eigenvalues⁶ under our assumption. Hence, λ is not an eigenvalue. We have

$$A_0 - \mu(z) = (H_0 + M)^{-1} - (z + M)^{-1} = -(z + M)^{-1}(H_0 - z)(H_0 + M)^{-1}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} &= -(z + M)(H_0 + M)(H_0 - z)^{-1} \\ &= -(z + M) - (z + M)^2(H_0 - z)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \mu(z))^{-1} &= -(z + M) - (z + M)^2(H - z)^{-1} \\ &= -(z + M) - (z + M)^2(H_0 - z)^{-1} \\ &\quad + (z + M)^2(H_0 - z)^{-1}V(H - z)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1}(A - \mu(z))^{-1} \\ &= a_0(z) + a_1(z)(H_0 - z)^{-1} + a_2(z)(H_0 - z)^{-2} \\ &\quad + a_3(z)(H_0 - z)^{-1}V(H - z)^{-1} + a_4(z)(H_0 - z)^{-2}V(H - z)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_j(z)$ are polynomials in z . Recall $\beta > 3/2$ in the condition (6) for β . Since

$$\langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}(H_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}, \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}(H_0 - z)^{-2}\langle x \rangle^{-\beta}, \text{ and } \langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}(H - z)^{-1}\langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}$$

(with $\gamma > 1/2$) are bounded and continuous⁷ in a complex neighborhood of λ in \mathbb{C}_+ , we conclude the assertion. \square

⁶ See [12] or [20], vol. 4, Theorem XIII.58.

⁷ See Agmon [1] or Reed–Simon [20], vol. 4, Section XIII.8.

2.2. Dimensions $n \geq 4$

If $n \geq 4$, we set

$$A = (H + M)^{-\ell}, \quad A_0 = (H_0 + M)^{-\ell}$$

with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n/2 - 1 < \ell \leq n/2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A - A_0 &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (H + M)^{-j} V(H_0 + M)^{-\ell-1+j} \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (H_0 + M)^{-j} V(H_0 + M)^{-\ell-1+j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^j (H + M)^{-k} V(H_0 + M)^{-j-1+k} V(H_0 + M)^{-\ell-1+j} \\ &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this procedure ℓ -times, and using the fact⁸ $V(H_0 + M)^{-j} \in \mathcal{J}_p$ for $p > n/(2j)$, we learn that $A - A_0 \in \mathcal{J}_1$. Then the main part of the proof of Proposition 1 can be modified accordingly.

In order to modify the proof of Lemma 3, we use

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 - \mu(z) &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (z + M)^{-j} (H_0 - z)(H_0 + M)^{-\ell-1+j} \\ &= -(H_0 - z)(H_0 + M)^{-1} L_0(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(z) = (z + M)^{-\ell}$, and we have written

$$L_0(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (z + M)^{-j} (H_0 + M)^{-\ell+j}.$$

Since $\Re(z + M) > M$ if $z \sim \lambda > 0$, $L_0(z)$ is invertible. In consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} &= -L_0^{-1}(z)(H_0 + M)(H_0 - z)^{-1} \\ &= -L_0^{-1}(z) [1 + (z + M)(H_0 - z)^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

We also write

$$L(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (z + M)^{-j} (H + M)^{-\ell+j}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} (A - \mu(z))^{-1} \\ &= L_0^{-1}(z) \left\{ a_0(z) + a_1(z)(H_0 - z)^{-1} + a_2(z)(H_0 - z)^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_3(z)(H_0 - z)^{-1} V(H - z)^{-1} + a_4(z)(H_0 - z)^{-2} V(H - z)^{-1} \right\} L^{-1}(z) \end{aligned}$$

⁸ See Footnote 4.

with some polynomials $a_j(z)$ in z . Moreover, using the standard weight estimates,

$$\langle x \rangle^\gamma (H_0 + M)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}, \quad \langle x \rangle^\gamma (H + M)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\gamma} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

we can carry out the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3. Consequently, we have:

Proposition 4. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda + i0} \text{Tr} [(A - \mu(z))^{-1} - (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1}]$$

exists, and the limit is continuous in λ in $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, the SSF $\xi(\lambda)$ exists for $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, and $\xi(\cdot)$ is continuous in $(0, \infty)$.

3. The Friedel Sum Rule

In solid state physics [14], the difference of the number of the states given by the right-hand side of (2) has been often called the excess charge. In this section, we define the excess charge, and show that it is equivalent to the SSF. Besides, the SSF is equal to the total phase shift $\theta(\lambda)$ which is given by

$$e^{2\pi i \theta(\lambda)} = \det S(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where $S(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix. By the invariance principle and the Birman–Krein formula [2], we have

$$\theta(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda; H, H_0) = -\xi(\mu(\lambda); A, A_0)$$

with $\theta(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda < \sigma(H)$. Therefore, the excess charge is equal to the total phase shift. This is nothing but the Friedel sum rule.

To begin with, we introduce a cutoff function $\vartheta_R(x) = \vartheta(x/R)$ with a large $R > 0$ and with $\vartheta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\vartheta = 1$ in a neighborhood of $x = 0$. Then the excess charge is defined by

$$Z(\lambda) := \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr} [\vartheta_R(E_H(\lambda) - E_{H_0}(\lambda))\vartheta_R],$$

where $E_A(\lambda)$ denotes the spectral projection: $\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(A)$. We want to show that the above limit exists, and that it is equivalent to the SSF under certain assumptions.

We denote

$$Z_R(\lambda) = \text{Tr} [\vartheta_R(E_H(\lambda) - E_{H_0}(\lambda))\vartheta_R]$$

for $\lambda > 0$. Using the notation of Sect. 2, we recall that

$$E_H(\lambda) = 1 - E_A(\mu(\lambda)) = - \lim_{z \rightarrow \mu(\lambda) + i0} \text{Im} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_z} (A - w)^{-1} dw$$

in the strong sense. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \vartheta_R(E_H(\lambda) - E_{H_0}(\lambda))\vartheta_R \\ &= - \lim_{z \rightarrow \mu(\lambda) + i0} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_z} \vartheta_R [(A - w)^{-1} - (A_0 - w)^{-1}] \vartheta_R dw \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \mu(\lambda) + i0} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_z} [\vartheta_R(A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} W \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} \vartheta_R] dw \end{aligned}$$

in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 1. The integrand $[\dots]$ in the right-hand side of the second equality is of the trace class, and is continuous in w up to the boundary. Actually, $W \in \mathcal{J}_1$ as we proved in the preceding section, and we also have, in the same way, that $\vartheta_R(A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ and $\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} \vartheta_R$ have norm limits as $w \rightarrow \mu(\lambda) + i0$. Thus we learn that

$$Z_R(\lambda) = \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{\mu(\lambda)}} \operatorname{Tr} [\vartheta_R(A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} W \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} \vartheta_R] dw.$$

In particular, this implies the existence of $Z_R(\lambda)$. We show

Theorem 5. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} Z_R(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda; H, H_0).$$

Proof. From (4), (8) and the above representation of $Z_R(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_R(\lambda) - \xi(\lambda; H, H_0) \\ &= Z_R(\lambda) + \xi(\mu(\lambda); A, A_0) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{\mu(\lambda)}} \operatorname{Tr} [W \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} (\vartheta_R^2 - 1) (A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}] dw. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in $w \in \gamma_{\mu(\lambda)}$. If w is away from $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A_0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \\ &= \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\beta (\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (1 - \vartheta_R^2)) (A - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \\ &\leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - w)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\beta\| \cdot \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (1 - \vartheta_R^2)\| \cdot \|(A - w)^{-1}\| = O(R^{-\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

locally uniformly in w . Thus, it suffices to consider the case $w \sim \mu(\lambda) \pm i0$.

As well as in Sect. 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (A_0 - \mu(z))^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (A - \mu(z))^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \\ &= (\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} L_0(z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\beta) \times \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} [1 + (z + M)(H_0 - z)^{-1}] (1 - \vartheta_R^2) \\ &\quad \times [1 + (z + M)(H - z)^{-1}] \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \times (\langle x \rangle^\beta L(z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to show

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (H - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

if $z \sim \lambda \pm i0$ in $\mathbb{C}_\pm = \{z \mid \pm \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (H - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \\ &= \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \\ & \quad - \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (H_0 - z)^{-1} V (H - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5 now follows from the next lemma. □

Lemma 6. *There exist \mathcal{U} : a neighborhood of $\lambda \pm i0$ in \mathbb{C}_\pm , $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\| \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \| \leq CR^{-\varepsilon}$$

for $\beta > 3/2$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. We consider the case $\lambda + i0$ only. The other case is similar. It is easy to observe that it suffices to show

$$\| \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) \eta(H_0) (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \| \leq CR^{-\varepsilon},$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty))$ such that $\eta = 1$ in a neighborhood of λ . In order to show this, we use a Mourre-type microlocal resolvent estimate of Isozaki–Kitada [11]. See also [18]. We apply their result with $H = H_0$. Let $\rho_\pm \in C^\infty([-1, 1])$ such that

$$\rho_+(t) + \rho_-(t) = 1; \quad \rho_\pm(t) = 0 \quad \text{if } \pm t < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

We also set δ and ε so that

$$\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \beta - 1; \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \delta - \frac{1}{2}.$$

We write

$$p_\pm(x, \xi) = R^\varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-\varepsilon} \{1 - [\vartheta_R(x)]^2\} \rho_\pm(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) \eta(|\xi|^2),$$

where $\hat{x} = x/|x|$. We quantize p_\pm by the usual Kohn–Nirenberg pseudodifferential operator calculus:

$$P_\pm f(x) = p_\pm(x, D_x) f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int p_\pm(x, \xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then we have

$$(1 - \vartheta_R^2) \eta(H_0) = R^{-\varepsilon} \langle x \rangle^\varepsilon (P_+ + P_-),$$

and $p_-(x, \xi)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 (or Theorem 1.2) of [11], uniformly in $R > 1$, and we obtain

$$\| \langle x \rangle^\delta P_-(H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta} \| \leq C \quad \text{for } z \sim \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Similarly, we can apply the same argument to $(\langle x \rangle^\varepsilon P_+ \langle x \rangle^{-\varepsilon})^*$ instead of P_- . In fact, $(\langle x \rangle^\varepsilon P_+ \langle x \rangle^{-\varepsilon})^*$ is also a pseudodifferential operator, and its symbol can be computed by asymptotic expansions, up to an error of $O(\langle x \rangle^{-\infty})$. Let $\tilde{p}_+(x, \xi)$ be the symbol of $(\langle x \rangle^\varepsilon P_+ \langle x \rangle^{-\varepsilon})^*$. Then it has the same support property with $p_+(x, \xi)$. In particular, we can show

$$\tilde{p}_+(x, \xi) = p_+(x, \xi) + i(\partial_x \cdot \partial_\xi) p_+(x, \xi) - i\partial_\xi p_+(x, \xi) \cdot (\varepsilon x / \langle x \rangle^2) + O(\langle x \rangle^{-2}).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\varepsilon P_+ \langle x \rangle^{\delta - \varepsilon}\| \leq C \quad \text{for } z \sim \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

as well. Combining these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} (1 - \vartheta_R^2) \eta(H_0) (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \\ &= R^{-\varepsilon} \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\varepsilon (P_+ + P_-) (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \\ &\leq R^{-\varepsilon} \left\{ \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-(\delta - \varepsilon)}\| \cdot \|\langle x \rangle^\delta P_- (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\langle x \rangle^{-\beta} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\varepsilon P_+ \langle x \rangle^{\delta - \varepsilon}\| \cdot \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\delta - \varepsilon)} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}\| \right\} \\ &\leq CR^{-\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for $z \sim \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. □

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank the following people for discussions and correspondence: Jacques Friedel, Arne Jensen and Hal Tasaki. SN is partially supported by JSPS Grant Kiban (A) 21244008 (2009-2013).

References

- [1] Agmon, S.: Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **4** (2)(2), 151–218 (1975)
- [2] Birman, M.Sh., Yafaev, D.R.: The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Kreĭn and their further development. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **4**(5), 833–870 (1993)
- [3] Friedel, J.: The distribution of electrons round impurities in monovalent metals. *Phil. Mag.* **43**, 153–189 (1952)
- [4] Friedel, J.: Electric structure of primary solid solutions in metals. *Adv. Phys.* **3**, 446–507 (1954)
- [5] Friedel, J.: Structure électronique des impuretés dans les métaux. *Ann. Phys.* **9**, 158–202 (1954)
- [6] Friedel, J.: Metallic alloys. *Nuovo Cimento Suppl.* **7**, 287–311 (1958)
- [7] Gesztesy, F., Nichols, R.: Weak convergence of spectral shift functions for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. arXiv:1111.0095 (Preprint)
- [8] Gesztesy, F., Nichols, R.: An abstract approach to weak convergence of spectral shift functions and applications to multi-dimensional Schrödinger operators. arXiv:1111.0096 (Preprint)
- [9] Hislop, P.D., Müller, P.: The spectral shift function for compactly supported perturbations of Schrödinger operators on large bounded domains. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **138**, 2141–2150 (2010)
- [10] Hislop, P.D., Müller, P.: Uniform convergence of spectral shift functions. arXiv:1007.2670 (preprint)
- [11] Isozaki, H., Kitada, H.: A remark on the micro-local resolvent estimates for two body Schrödinger operators. *Publ. RIMS* **21**, 889–910 (1985)

- [12] Kato, T.: Growth properties of solutions of the reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **12**, 403–425 (1959)
- [13] Kirsch, W.: Small perturbations and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on large bounded domains. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **101**, 509–512 (1987)
- [14] Kittel, C.: *Quantum theory of solids*, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York (1987)
- [15] Kostrykin, V., Makarov, K.: On Krein’s example. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **136**(6), 2067–2071 (2008)
- [16] Krein, M.G.: On the trace formula in perturbation theory (Russian). *Math. Sbornik N.S.* **33**(75), 597–626 (1953)
- [17] Lifshitz, I.M.: On a problem in perturbation theory. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **7**(1), 171–180 (1952) (Russian)
- [18] Moure, E.: Opérateurs conjugués et propriétés de propagation. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **91**, 279–300 (1983)
- [19] Pushnitski, A.: The spectral shift function and the invariance principle. *J. Funct. Anal.* **183**(2), 269–320 (2001)
- [20] Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*. vols. I–IV, pp. 1972–1978. Academic Press, New York
- [21] Robert, D.: Semiclassical asymptotics for the spectral shift function. In: *Differential Operators and Spectral Theory*, American Mathematical Society Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 189, pp. 187–203. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999)
- [22] Robert, D., Sordani, V.: Trace formulas and Dirichlet-Neumann problems with variable boundary: the scalar case. *Helv. Phys. Acta* **69**(2), 158–176 (1996)
- [23] Yafaev, D.R.: *Mathematical scattering theory. General theory*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 105. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1992)
- [24] Yafaev, D.R.: *Mathematical scattering theory. Analytic theory*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 158. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2010)

Mahito Kohmoto

The Institute for Solid State Physics

The University of Tokyo

5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa

Chiba 277-8581, Japan

e-mail: kohmoto@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Tohru Koma

Department of Physics

Gakushuin University

Mejiro, Toshima-ku

Tokyo 171-8588, Japan

e-mail: tohru.koma@gakushuin.ac.jp

Shu Nakamura

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences

University of Tokyo

3-8-1 Komaba

Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

e-mail: shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Communicated by Jan Dereziński.

Received: April 18, 2012.

Accepted: October 30, 2012.