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#### Abstract

Using the methods developed for the Bianchi I case we have shown that a boostrap argument is also suitable to treat the future nonlinear stability for reflection symmetric solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov system of Bianchi types II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$. These solutions are asymptotic to the Collins-Stewart solution with dust and the Ellis-MacCallum solution, respectively. We have thus generalized the results obtained by Rendall and Uggla in the case of locally rotationally symmetric Bianchi II spacetimes to the reflection symmetric case. However, we needed to assume small data. For Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ there is no analogous previous result.


## 1. Introduction

A starting point to understand general cosmological models are the homogeneous models. There are a lot of results concerning this subject and in particular two books $[34,39]$ which are an excellent introduction and a great summary of many of the results obtained.

In general the focus has been on the fluid model since it appears (theoretically) relatively natural when dealing with isotropic universes and from observations we also know that the Universe is almost isotropic. However, to have a deeper understanding of the dynamics one should go beyond the study of isotropic universes. General statements may vary then depending on the choice of the matter model. It is also important to note as is pointed out in [9] that a quasi-isotropic epoch is compatible with all Bianchi models and thus it is interesting to study the dynamics of all the different types.

We will deal with the future asymptotics of some homogeneous cosmological models within the so-called Bianchi class A and the matter is described via an ensemble of free-falling particles, also called collisionless matter. For all
the models treated here the fundamental questions are on a firm ground, i.e. future geodesic completeness has been shown for these models [23,24].

Concerning the late-time behaviour of the Universe one believes in the cosmic no-hair conjecture. This conjecture states, roughly speaking, that all expanding cosmological models with a positive cosmological constant approach asymptotically the de Sitter solution. For the Einstein-Vlasov system isotropization could be shown for all non-type IX Bianchi cosmologies [19].

In the absence of a cosmological constant there are also different results concerning the future. For the Einstein-non-linear scalar field system we refer to $[1,7,31,32]$. The late-time behaviour of Bianchi spacetimes with a non-tilted fluid is well understood [17,38], in particular all non-tilted perfect fluid orthogonal Bianchi models except IX with a linear equation of state where $0<\gamma<\frac{2}{3}$ are future asymptotic to the flat FL model [16]. For other values of $\gamma$ one cannot expect isotropization for most of the Bianchi models. However, there is an important characteristic of the future asymptotics for the Bianchi types I, II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$, namely that the spacetimes considered tend to special (self-similar) solutions. For expanding models it is reasonable to expect that the dispersion of the velocities of the particles will decay. The conjecture now is that for the Einstein-Vlasov system the spacetimes of certain Bianchi type will tend to the same special solution as for the corresponding Einstein-dust system.

This has been achieved already for locally rotationally symmetric (LRS) models in the cases of Bianchi I, II, III, VIII and IX [3,14, 26, 29, 30] and for reflection symmetric models in the case of Bianchi I [25]. These results have been obtained using dynamical systems theory.

The Einstein-Vlasov system remains a system of partial differential equations (PDE's) even if one assumes spatial homogeneity. The reason is that although the distribution function written in a suitable frame will not depend on the spatial point, the dependence with respect to the momenta remains (since the Vlasov equation is defined on the mass shell). However, in the results mentioned a reduction to a system of ordinary differential equations was possible due to the additional symmetry assumptions. This is no longer possible if one drops some of these additional symmetries (see [20] for the reasons). Thus if one wants to generalize these results the theory of finite-dimensional dynamical systems is not enough.

Most of the results obtained until now rely on the theory of dynamical systems. Thus one might be tempted to use techniques coming from the theory of infinite-dimensional dynamical systems. The first important difficulty would be to choose the suitable (weighted) norm. Another one is that important theorems which have been used for the finite-dimensional case cannot be used here. All this may work, but this is not the approach taken here.

Here the main tool used is a bootstrap argument which is often used in non-linear PDEs. We will present results concerning the late-time behaviour of some expanding Bianchi A spacetimes with collisionless matter where we have assumed small data. This assumption will be specified later, but roughly it means that the universe is close to the special self-similar solution mentioned earlier and that the velocity dispersion of the particles is small.

The results obtained are as follows: for reflection symmetric Bianchi II and reflection symmetric Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$, we have been able to show that their late-time behaviour remains the same if the LRS condition is dropped. We will show that these spacetimes, reflection symmetric Bianchi II and reflection symmetric Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$, will tend to solutions which are even more symmetric. In the case of Bianchi II we will show that it will become LRS, a Bianchi model whose isometry group of the spatial metric is four-dimensional. In this case there exists a one-dimensional isotropy group and one can show that a spacetime of Bianchi class A admits a four-dimensional isometry group, if and only if two structure constants are equal and if the corresponding metric components are equal as well. Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ cannot be LRS; however, it is compatible with an additional discrete symmetry (Appendix B. 1 of [4]). The analysis of the asymptotics shows that the Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ spacetimes tend to this special class. Note that for $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ there is no corresponding LRS/previous result.

To be more precise, we show that the reflection symmetric solutions of Bianchi type II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ are asymptotic to the Collins-Stewart solution with dust and the Ellis-MacCallum solution, respectively. The asymptotic behaviour of the metric and the energy-momentum tensor is studied in detail.

All the results show that the dust model usually assumed in observational cosmology in the 'matter-dominated' Era is robust. Another way of saying the same is that asymptotically collisionless matter is well approximated by the dust system.

The paper is organized as follows: in the following section we will present the general basic equations, namely the Einstein-Vlasov system. In sections three and four, we explain the symmetry assumptions and deduce the corresponding equations of the Einstein-Vlasov system which are then summarized in Sect. 5. Afterwards, we present some special solutions and their linear stability as a pre-stage of our main argument in Sect. 9: the bootstrap argument. This argument is refined in section nine and leads to our main results. In our last section we have a discussion about our results and present some possible future directions.

## 2. Relativistic Kinetic Theory

### 2.1. The Einstein-Vlasov System

A cosmological model represents a universe at a certain averaging scale. It is described via a Lorentzian metric $g_{\alpha \beta}$ (we will use signature -+++ ) on a manifold $M$ and a family of fundamental observers. The metric is assumed to be time-orientable, which means that at each point of $M$ the two halves of the light cone can be labelled past and future in a way which varies continuously from point to point. This enables to distinguish between future-pointing and past-pointing timelike vectors. This is a physically reasonable assumption from both a macroscopic point of view, e.g. the increase of entropy and also from a microscopic point of view, e.g. the kaon decay. As we already mentioned in the introduction one has also to specify the matter model and this we will do in
this section. The interaction between the geometry and the matter is described by the Einstein field equations (we use geometrized units, i.e. the gravitational constant $G$ and the speed of light in vacuum $c$ are set equal to one):

$$
G_{\alpha \beta}=8 \pi T_{\alpha \beta}
$$

where $G_{\alpha \beta}$ is the Einstein tensor and $T_{\alpha \beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor. For the matter model we will take the point of view of kinetic theory [35]. The sign conventions of [28] are used. Also the Einstein summation convention that repeated indices are to be summed over is used. Latin indices run from one to three and Greek ones from zero to three.

Consider a particle with non-zero rest mass which moves under the influence of the gravitational field. The mean field will be described now by the metric and the components of the metric connection. The wordline $x^{\alpha}$ of a particle is a timelike curve in spacetime. The unit future-pointing tangent vector to this curve is the 4 -velocity $v^{\alpha}$ and $p^{\alpha}=m v^{\alpha}$ is the 4 -momentum of the particle. Let $T_{x}$ be the tangent space at a point $x^{\alpha}$ in the spacetime $M$; then we define the phase space for particles of arbitrary rest masses $P$ to be the following set:

$$
P=\left\{\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{\alpha}\right): x^{\alpha} \in M, p^{\alpha} \in T_{x}, p_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} \leq 0, p^{0}>0\right\}
$$

which is a subset of the tangent bundle $T M=\left\{\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{\alpha}\right): x^{\alpha} \in M, p^{\alpha} \in T_{x}\right\}$. For particles of the same type and with the same rest mass $m$ which is given by the following mass shell relation:

$$
p_{\alpha} p^{\alpha}=-m^{2}
$$

we have the phase space $P_{m}$ for particles of mass $m$ :

$$
P_{m}=\left\{\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{\alpha}\right): x^{\alpha} \in M, p^{\alpha} \in T_{x}, p_{\alpha} p^{\alpha}=-m^{2}, p^{0}>0\right\} .
$$

We will consider from now on that all the particles have equal mass $m$. For how this relates to the general case of different masses, see [3]. We will choose units such that $m=1$ which means that a distinction between velocities and momenta is not necessary. We have then that the possible values for the 4 -momenta are all future pointing unit timelike vectors. These values form the hypersurface

$$
P_{1}=\left\{\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{\alpha}\right): x^{\alpha} \in M, p^{\alpha} \in T_{x}, p_{\alpha} p^{\alpha}=-1, p^{0}>0\right\}
$$

which we will call the mass shell. The collection of particles (galaxies or clusters of galaxies) will be described (statistically) by a non-negative real-valued distribution function $f\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{\alpha}\right)$ on $P_{1}$. This function represents the density of particles at a given spacetime point with given four-momentum. A free particle travels along a geodesic. Consider now a future-directed timelike geodesic parametrized by proper time $s$. The tangent vector is then at any time futurepointing unit timelike. Thus the geodesic has a natural lift to a curve on $P_{1}$ by taking its position and tangent vector. The equations of motion thus define a flow on $P_{1}$ which is generated by a vector field $L$ which is called geodesic
spray or Liouville operator. The geodesic equations are

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} s}=p^{\alpha} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} p^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} s}=-\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma}
$$

where the components of the metric connection, i.e. $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=g\left(e_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\gamma} e_{\beta}\right)=$ $g_{\alpha \delta} \Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\delta}$ can be expressed in the vector basis $e_{\alpha}$ as [(1.10.3) of [36]]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{\beta}\left(g_{\alpha \gamma}\right)+e_{\gamma}\left(g_{\beta \alpha}\right)+e_{\alpha}\left(g_{\gamma \beta}\right)+\eta_{\gamma \beta}^{\delta} g_{\alpha \delta}+\eta_{\alpha \gamma}^{\delta} g_{\beta \delta}-\eta_{\beta \alpha}^{\delta} g_{\gamma \delta}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutator of the vectors $e_{\alpha}$ can be expressed with the following formula:

$$
\left[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right]=\eta_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}
$$

where $\eta_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}$ are called commutation functions.
The restriction of the Liouville operator to the mass shell is defined as

$$
L=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} p^{a}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^{a}} .
$$

Using the geodesic equations it has the following form:

$$
L=p^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}-\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{a} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^{a}} .
$$

This operator is sometimes also called geodesic spray. If we denote now the phase space density of collisions by $C(f)$, then the Boltzmann equation in curved spacetime in our notation looks as follows:

$$
L(f)=C(f)
$$

describes the evolution of the distribution function. Between collisions the particles follow geodesics. We will consider the collisionless case which is described via the Vlasov equation:

$$
L(f)=0
$$

### 2.2. Energy Momentum Tensor and Characteristics

The unknowns of our system are a 4 -manifold $M$, a Lorentz metric $g_{\alpha \beta}$ on this manifold and the distribution function $f$ on the mass shell $P_{1}$ defined by the metric. We have the Vlasov equation defined by the metric for the distribution function and the Einstein equations. It remains to define the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha \beta}$ in terms of the distribution and the metric. Before that we need a Lorentz invariant volume element on the mass shell. A point of a the tangent space has the volume element $\left|g^{(4)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p^{0} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{2} \mathrm{~d} p^{3}\left(g^{(4)}\right.$ is the determinant of the spacetime metric) which is Lorentz invariant. Now considering $p^{0}$ as a dependent variable, the induced (Riemannian) volume of the mass shell considered as a hypersurface in the tangent space at that point is

$$
\varpi=2 H\left(p^{\alpha}\right) \delta\left(p_{\alpha} p^{\alpha}+m^{2}\right)\left|g^{(4)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p^{0} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{2} \mathrm{~d} p^{3}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Dirac distribution function and $H\left(p^{\alpha}\right)$ is defined to be one if $p^{\alpha}$ is future directed and zero otherwise. We can write this explicitly as

$$
\varpi=\left|p_{0}\right|^{-1}\left|g^{(4)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{2} \mathrm{~d} p^{3} .
$$

Now we define the energy momentum tensor as follows:

$$
T_{\alpha \beta}=\int f\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right) p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} \varpi .
$$

One can show that $T_{\alpha \beta}$ is divergence-free and thus it is compatible with the Einstein equations. For collisionless matter all the energy conditions hold (for details we refer to [27]). In particular, the dominant energy condition is equivalent to the statement that in any orthonormal basis the energy density dominates the other components of $T_{\alpha \beta}$, i.e. $T_{\alpha \beta} \leq T_{00}$ for each $\alpha, \beta$ (P. 91 of [12]). Using the mass shell relation one can see that this holds for collisionless matter. The non-negative sum pressures condition is in our case equivalent to $g_{a b} T^{a b} \geq 0$.

The Vlasov equation in a fixed spacetime can be solved by the method of characteristics:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} X^{a}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=P^{a} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} P^{a}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=-\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{a} P^{\beta} P^{\gamma}
$$

Let $X^{a}\left(s, x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right), P^{a}\left(s, x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right)$ be the unique solution of that equation with initial conditions $X^{a}\left(t, x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right)=x^{a}$ and $P^{a}\left(t, x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right)=p^{a}$. Then the solution of the Vlasov equation can be written as

$$
f\left(x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right)=f_{0}\left(X^{a}\left(0, x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right), P^{a}\left(0, x^{\alpha}, p^{a}\right)\right)
$$

where $f_{0}$ is the restriction of $f$ to the hypersurface $t=0$. It follows that if $f_{0}$ is bounded the same is true for $f$. We will assume that $f$ has compact support in momentum space for each fixed $t$. This property holds if the initial datum $f_{0}$ has compact support and if each hypersurface $t=t_{0}$ is a Cauchy hypersurface. Note that there is no obvious reason why a solution of the Boltzmann equation with compactly supported initial data should have compact support [27].

### 2.3. The Initial Value Problem

Before coming to our symmetry assumption we want to briefly introduce the initial value problem for the Einstein-Vlasov system. For a general introduction to the initial value problem in general relativity we refer to [33] and for the Einstein-Vlasov system in particular we refer to [27]. In general, the initial data for the Einstein-matter equations consist of a metric $g_{a b}$ on the initial hypersurface, the second fundamental form $k_{a b}$ on that hypersurface and some matter data. Thus we have a Riemannian metric $g_{a b}$, a symmetric tensor $k_{a b}$ and some matter fields defined on an abstract three-dimensional manifold $S$.

Solving the initial value problem means embedding $S$ into a four-dimensional $M$ on which are defined a Lorentzian metric $g_{\alpha \beta}$ and matter fields such that $g_{a b}$ and $k_{a b}$ are the pullbacks to $S$ of the induced metric and second fundamental form of the image of the embedding of $S$ while $f$ is the pullback of the matter fields. Finally, $g^{\alpha \beta}$ and $f$ have to satisfy the Einstein-matter equations.

For the Einstein-Vlasov system it has been shown [5] that given an initial data set there exists a corresponding solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system and that this solution is locally unique up to diffeomorphism (see also theorem 1.1 of [27]). The extension to a global theorem has not been achieved
yet. However, if one assumes that the initial data have certain symmetry, this symmetry is inherited by the corresponding solutions (see 5.6 of [10] for a discussion). In particular for the case we will deal with, i.e. expanding Bianchi models (except type IX) coupled to dust or to collisionless matter, the spacetime is future complete (Theorem 2.1 of [24]).

## 3. Bianchi Spacetimes

### 3.1. Definition of Bianchi Spacetimes

We start with the definition of homogeneity of spacetimes taken from chapter 5.1 of [40].

Definition 1. A spacetime ( $M, g_{\alpha \beta}$ ) is said to be (spatially) homogeneous if there exists a one-parameter family of spacelike hypersurfaces $S_{t}$ foliating the spacetime such that for each t and for any points $P, Q \in S_{t}$ there exists an isometry of the spacetime metric, $g_{\alpha \beta}$, which takes $P$ into $Q$.

The basis for the classification of homogeneous spacetimes is the work of Bianchi [2] which was introduced to cosmology by Taub [37]. Here we will use the modern terminology and we define Bianchi spacetimes as follows:

Definition 2. A Bianchi spacetime is defined to be a spatially homogeneous spacetime whose isometry group possesses a three-dimensional subgroup $G$ that acts simply transitively on the spacelike orbits.

Not all homogeneous spacetimes are Bianchi spacetimes. But the only case where $G$ does not act simply transitively or does not possess a subgroup with simply transitive action are the so-called Kantowski-Sachs models. The Bianchi models can be subclassified into two classes [8]: class A and B. Later we will only deal with Bianchi class A; however, all the equations in this s are valid for Bianchi spacetimes in general.

The only Bianchi spacetimes which admit a compact Cauchy hypersurface are Bianchi I and IX. In order to be not that restrictive we will consider locally spatially homogeneous spacetimes. They are defined as follows: consider an initial data set on a three-dimensional manifold $S$. Then this initial data set is called locally spatially homogeneous if the naturally associated data set on the universal covering $\tilde{S}$ is homogeneous. For Bianchi models the universal covering space $\tilde{S}$ can be identified with its Lie group $G$ (see [23,24] for details).

### 3.2. Description of Bianchi Spacetimes via the Metric Approach

A Bianchi spacetime admits a Lie algebra of Killing vector fields with basis $\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{3}$ and structure constants $C_{a b}^{c}$, such that

$$
\left[\mathbf{k}_{a}, \mathbf{k}_{b}\right]=-C_{a b}^{c} \mathbf{k}_{c} .
$$

The Killing vector fields $\mathbf{k}_{a}$ are tangent to the group orbits which are called surfaces of homogeneity. If one chooses a unit vector field $n$ normal to the group orbits we have a natural choice for the time coordinate $t$ such that the
group orbits are given by a constant $t$. This unit normal is invariant under the group, i.e.

$$
\left[\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}_{a}\right]=0
$$

One can now choose a triad of spacelike vectors $e_{a}$ that are tangent to the group orbits

$$
g\left(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_{a}\right)=0
$$

and that commute with the Killing vector fields

$$
\left[\mathbf{e}_{a}, \mathbf{k}_{b}\right]=0
$$

A frame $\left\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_{a}\right\}$ chosen in this way is called a left-invariant frame and it is generated by the right-invariant Killing vector fields. Since $\mathbf{n}$ is hypersurface orthogonal the vector fields $\mathbf{e}_{a}$ generate a Lie algebra with structure constants $\eta_{a b}^{c}$. It can be shown that this Lie algebra is in fact equivalent to the Lie algebra of the Killing vector fields. Thus one can classify the Bianchi spacetimes using either the structure constants or the spatial commutation functions of the basis vectors. The remaining freedom in the choice of the frame is a time-dependent linear transformation, which can be used to introduce a set of time-independent spatial vectors $\mathbf{E}_{a}$ :

$$
\left[\mathbf{E}_{a}, \mathbf{n}\right]=0
$$

The corresponding commutation functions are then constant in time and one can make them equal to the structure constants:

$$
\left[\mathbf{E}_{a}, \mathbf{E}_{b}\right]=C_{a b}^{c} \mathbf{E}_{c}
$$

This is our choice which is sometimes called the metric approach. If $\mathbf{W}^{a}$ denote the 1-forms dual to the frame vectors $\mathbf{E}_{a}$ the metric of a Bianchi spacetime takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{4} g=-\mathrm{d} t^{2}+g_{a b}(t) \mathbf{W}^{a} \mathbf{W}^{b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{a b}$ (and all other tensors) on $G$ will be described in terms of the frame components of the left-invariant frame which has been introduced. A dot above a letter will denote a derivative with respect to the cosmological time $t$.

## 3.3. $3+1$ Decomposition of the Einstein Equations

We will use the $3+1$ decomposition of the Einstein equations as made in [28]. Comparing our metric (2) with (2.28) of [28] we have that $\alpha=1$ and $\beta^{a}=0$ which means that the lapse function is the identity and the shift vector vanishes. There the abstract index notation is used. We can interpret the quantities as being frame components. For details we refer to chapter 2.3 of [28]. There are different projections of the energy momentum tensor which are important

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =T^{00} \\
j_{a} & =T_{a}^{0} \\
S_{a b} & =T_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ is the energy density and $j_{a}$ is the matter current.

The second fundamental form $k_{a b}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{g}_{a b}=-2 k_{a b} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Einstein equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k}_{a b}=R_{a b}+k k_{a b}-2 k_{a c} k_{b}^{c}-8 \pi\left(S_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} S\right)-4 \pi \rho g_{a b} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the notations $S=g^{a b} S_{a b}, k=g^{a b} k_{a b}$, and $R_{a b}$ is the Ricci tensor of the three-dimensional metric. The evolution equation for the mixed version of the second fundamental form is (2.35) of [28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k}_{b}^{a}=R_{b}^{a}+k k_{b}^{a}-8 \pi S_{b}^{a}+4 \pi \delta_{b}^{a}(S-\rho) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the constraint equations, since $k$ only depends on the time variable we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
R-k_{a b} k^{a b}+k^{2} & =16 \pi \rho  \tag{6}\\
\nabla^{a} k_{a b} & =8 \pi j_{b} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ is the Ricci scalar curvature.
Another useful relation concerns the determinant $g$ of the induced metric [(2.30) of [28]]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\log g)=-2 k \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the trace of (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k}=R+k^{2}+4 \pi S-12 \pi \rho \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (6) one can eliminate the energy density and (9) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k}=\frac{1}{4}\left(k^{2}+R+3 k_{a b} k^{a b}\right)+4 \pi S \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if one substitutes for the Ricci scalar with (6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k}=k_{a b} k^{a b}+4 \pi(S+\rho) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4. Time Origin Choice and New Variables

Now with the $3+1$ formulation our initial data are $\left(g_{i j}\left(t_{0}\right), k_{i j}\left(t_{0}\right), f\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$, i.e. a Riemannian metric, a second fundamental form and the distribution function of the Vlasov equation, respectively, on a three-dimensional manifold $S\left(t_{0}\right)$. This is the initial data set at $t=t_{0}$ for the Einstein-Vlasov system.

We assume that $k<0$ for all time following [23] (see comments below Lemma 2.2 of [23]). This enables us given $k\left(t_{0}\right)$ to set without loss of generality $t_{0}=-2 / k\left(t_{0}\right)$, since future geodesic completeness is known and one can always add an arbitrary constant to the time origin. The reason for this choice will become clear later and is of technical nature.

We will now introduce several new variables to use the ones which are common in Bianchi cosmologies and to be able to compare results. We can
decompose the second fundamental form introducing $\sigma_{a b}$ as the trace-free part:

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{a b} & =\sigma_{a b}-H g_{a b}  \tag{12}\\
k_{a b} k^{a b} & =\sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}+3 H^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Hubble parameter

$$
H=-\frac{1}{3} k
$$

we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{a}^{b}=\frac{\sigma_{a}^{b}}{H} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma_{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\Sigma_{2}^{2}+\Sigma_{3}^{3}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \Sigma_{-}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}\left(\Sigma_{2}^{2}-\Sigma_{3}^{3}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We define also

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega & =8 \pi \rho / 3 H^{2}  \tag{17}\\
q & =-1-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}  \tag{18}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \tau}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =H \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The time variable $\tau$ is dimensionless and sometimes very useful. From (6) we obtain the constraint equation:

$$
\frac{1}{6 H^{2}}\left(R-\sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}\right)=\Omega-1
$$

and from (10) the evolution equation for the Hubble variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(H^{-1}\right)=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{R}{H^{2}}+\frac{3}{H^{2}} \sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}\right)+\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the last two equations with (5) we obtain the evolution equations for $\Sigma_{-}$and $\Sigma_{+}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\Sigma}_{+}=H\left[\frac{2 R-3\left(R_{2}^{2}+R_{3}^{3}\right)}{6 H^{2}}-\Sigma_{+}\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi}{3 H^{2}}\left(3 S_{2}^{2}+3 S_{3}^{3}-2 S\right)\right]  \tag{21}\\
& \dot{\Sigma}_{-}=H\left[\frac{R_{3}^{3}-R_{2}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{3} H^{2}}-\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \Sigma_{-}+\frac{4 \pi\left(S_{2}^{2}-S_{3}^{3}\right)}{\sqrt{3} H^{2}}\right] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.5. Vlasov Equation with Bianchi Symmetry

Since we use a left-invariant frame, $f$ will not depend on $x^{a}$ and the Vlasov equation takes the form

$$
p^{0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}-\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{a} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{a}}=0
$$

It turns out that the equation simplifies if we express $f$ in terms of $p_{i}$ instead of $p^{i}$, what we can do due to the mass shell relation

$$
p^{0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}-\Gamma_{a \beta \gamma} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{a}}=0
$$

Because of our special choice of frame the metric has the simple form (2). This has the consequence that only the spatial components of the metric connection remain and that the first three terms of (1) vanish. Due to the fact that we are contracting and the antisymmetry of the structure constant we finally arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\left(p^{0}\right)^{-1} C_{b a}^{d} p^{b} p_{d} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{a}}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (23) it is also possible to define the characteristic curve $V_{a}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} V_{a}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left(V^{0}\right)^{-1} C_{b a}^{d} V^{b} V_{d} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $V_{i}(\bar{t})=\bar{v}_{i}$ given $\bar{t}$. Note that if we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=g^{i j} V_{i} V_{j} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the antisymmetry of the structure constants we have with (24):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} V}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(g^{i j}\right) V_{i} V_{j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also write down the components of the energy momentum tensor in our frame:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{00}=\int f\left(t, p^{a}\right) p^{0} \sqrt{g} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{2} \mathrm{~d} p^{3}  \tag{27}\\
& T_{0 j}=-\int f\left(t, p^{a}\right) p_{j} \sqrt{g} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{2} \mathrm{~d} p^{3}  \tag{28}\\
& T_{i j}=\int f\left(t, p^{a}\right) p_{i} p_{j}\left(p^{0}\right)^{-1} \sqrt{g} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{2} \mathrm{~d} p^{3} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Bianchi a Spacetimes

### 4.1. Definition and Classification of Bianchi a Spacetimes

In the last chapter we have presented the Einstein-Vlasov system with Bianchi symmetry. However, our results concern only a special class of the Bianchi spacetimes, namely that of class $A$.

Definition 3. A Bianchi A spacetime is a Bianchi spacetime whose three-dimensional Lie algebra has traceless structure constants, i.e. $C_{b a}^{a}=0$.

In that case there is a unique symmetric matrix, called commutator matrix with components $\nu^{i j}$ such that the structure constants can be written as follows (Lemma 19.3 of [33]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{b c}^{a}=\varepsilon_{b c d} \nu^{d a} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation rule of the commutator matrix under a change of basis of the Lie algebra can be used to classify the Bianchi class A Lie algebras. It is possible to diagonalize $\nu$ and the diagonal elements $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$ can be used to classify the different Bianchi types of class A (for details see chapter 19.1 of [33]). We will study Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$. For Bianchi II we have that the only non-vanishing element of $\nu$ is $\nu_{1}=1$; thus from (30) we see that for Bianchi II the only non-vanishing structure constants are

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{23}^{1}=1=-C_{32}^{1} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ the only non-vanishing elements of $\nu$ are $\nu_{2}=1$ and $\nu_{3}=-1$; thus the only non-vanishing structure constants are

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{31}^{2}=1=-C_{13}^{2}, \quad C_{21}^{3}=1=-C_{12}^{3} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2. Reflection Symmetry and Vanishing Tilt

We will assume an additional symmetry, namely the reflection symmetry such that the matter current vanishes. This will be a restriction to the diagonal case. The reflection symmetry has been defined in (2.10) of [25] for the case of Bianchi I, but one can define this for other Bianchi types as well with the difference that the distribution function now will depend in general on the time variable

$$
f\left(t, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=f\left(t,-p_{1},-p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=f\left(t, p_{1},-p_{2},-p_{3}\right)
$$

Suppose that the distribution function is initially reflection symmetric and the metric and the second fundamental form are initially diagonal. Then from (28)-(29) we see that the energy-momentum tensor is diagonal as well. From (3) and (5) we can see that the metric and the second fundamental will remain diagonal. This symmetry implies in particular that there is no matter current, which means that there is no 'tilt'. Initial data with symmetry lead to solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov equations with symmetry; for a proof of this fact we refer to 9.2-9.3 of [28].

### 4.3. Some Formulas for the Diagonal Case

Now we will introduce formulas which are valid in the diagonal case. There $(i j k)$ denotes a cyclic permutation of (123) and the Einstein summation convention is suspended for the first two formulas. Let us define

$$
n_{i}=\nu_{i} \sqrt{\frac{g_{i i}}{g_{j j} g_{k k}}}
$$

The Ricci tensor is given by (11a) of [4]:

$$
R_{i}^{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left[n_{i}^{2}-\left(n_{j}-n_{k}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

We define

$$
N_{i}=\frac{n_{i}}{H} .
$$

In the diagonal case we have

$$
\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}=\frac{1}{6} \frac{\sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}}{H^{2}}
$$

from which follows that the constraint equation can be written in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}=\Omega-1-\frac{1}{6 H^{2}} R \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we proceed to use them for the cases of Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$.
4.3.1. Expressions for Diagonal Bianchi II. For Bianchi II we have then

$$
R_{1}^{1}=-R_{2}^{2}=-R_{3}^{3}=-R=\frac{1}{2} n_{1}^{2}
$$

From the constraint equation (33) we obtain

$$
\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}=1-\Omega-\frac{1}{12} N_{1}^{2}
$$

and from (20) we obtain the equation for the evolution of $H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(H^{-1}\right)=\frac{3}{2}-\frac{N_{1}^{2}}{24}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the evolution equation for $\Sigma_{+}$and $\Sigma_{-}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\Sigma}_{+}=H\left[\frac{1}{3} N_{1}^{2}-\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \Sigma_{+}+\frac{4 \pi}{3 H^{2}}\left(S_{2}^{2}+S_{3}^{3}-2 S_{1}^{1}\right)\right]  \tag{35}\\
& \dot{\Sigma}_{-}=H\left[-\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \Sigma_{-}+\frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{3} H^{2}}\left(S_{2}^{2}-S_{3}^{3}\right)\right] . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition (3) for the second fundamental form the evolution equation for $n_{1}^{2}$ follows:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(n_{1}^{2}\right)=2\left(-4 \sigma_{+}-H\right) n_{1}^{2}
$$

In terms of $N_{1}^{2}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(N_{1}^{2}\right)=-2 N_{1}^{2} H\left(4 \Sigma_{+}+1+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{N}_{1}=-N_{1} H\left(4 \Sigma_{+}+1+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write the equations (35)-(37) with $\tau$ and $q$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{+}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{3} N_{1}^{2}-(2-q) \Sigma_{+}+\frac{4 \pi}{3 H^{2}}\left(S_{2}^{2}+S_{3}^{3}-2 S_{1}^{1}\right)  \tag{38}\\
\Sigma_{-}^{\prime} & =-(2-q) \Sigma_{-}+\frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{3} H^{2}}\left(S_{2}^{2}-S_{3}^{3}\right)  \tag{39}\\
N_{1}^{\prime} & =N_{1}\left(q-4 \Sigma_{+}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that these equations are the same as (6.21) of [38] with $\gamma=1$ if one sets $S=0$ in (38)-(40).
4.3.2. Expressions for Diagonal Bianchi $\mathbf{V I}_{\mathbf{0}}$. For Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ we have then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{1}=R & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2}-n_{3}\right)^{2} \\
R_{2}^{2} & =-R_{3}^{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2}^{2}-n_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The constraint equation (33) is

$$
\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}=1-\Omega-\frac{1}{12}\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

and the evolution equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t}\left(H^{-1}\right)=\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{24}\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}} \\
& \dot{\Sigma}_{+}=H\left[-\frac{1}{6}\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2}-\Sigma_{+}\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi}{3 H^{2}}\left(S_{2}^{2}+S_{3}^{3}-2 S_{1}^{1}\right)\right] \\
& \dot{\Sigma}_{-}=H\left[\frac{N_{3}^{2}-N_{2}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{3}}-\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \Sigma_{-}+\frac{4 \pi\left(S_{2}^{2}-S_{3}^{3}\right)}{\sqrt{3} H^{2}}\right] \\
& \dot{N}_{2}=-N_{2} H\left(-2 \Sigma_{+}-2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}+1+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right) \\
& \dot{N}_{3}=-N_{3} H\left(-2 \Sigma_{+}+2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}+1+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In analogy to Bianchi II these equations can be compared with (6.9)(6.10) of [38] setting $N_{1}$ to zero and using the definition of $q$ (18).

## 5. Special Solutions

In this section we present some special solutions which will be important, since we will show that the late-time asymptotics of the Bianchi types considered behave like them in a sense which will be specified later. We start with the Kasner solution which is the general Bianchi I vacuum solution to motivate also the concept of generalized Kasner exponents.

### 5.1. The Kasner Solution and Generalized Kasner Exponents

The Kasner solution [18] is the general Bianchi I vacuum solution; thus all components of the energy-momentum tensor and the scalar curvature $R$ vanish. From the constraint equation one obtains

$$
\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}=1
$$

which is known as the Kasner circle. The metric components are

$$
g_{i j}=\operatorname{diag}\left(t^{2 p_{1}}, t^{2 p_{2}}, t^{2 p_{3}}\right)
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=1 \\
& p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

One can easily compute that the Hubble variable is $H=\frac{1}{3} t^{-1}$.
For more general spacetimes let $\lambda_{i}$ be the eigenvalues of $k_{i j}$ with respect to $g_{i j}$, i.e. the solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(k_{j}^{i}-\lambda \delta_{j}^{i}\right)=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
p_{i}=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{k}
$$

as the generalized Kasner exponents. They satisfy the first but in general not the second Kasner relation.

### 5.2. The Collins-Stewart Solution

Another special solution which will play an important role is the Collins-Stewart solution [6, p. 430] with dust ( $\gamma=1$ ) which has Bianchi II symmetry:

$$
g_{C S}=\operatorname{diag}\left(2 t,(2 t)^{3 / 2},(2 t)^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

The Hubble parameter is $H=\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}$ and the energy density $8 \pi \rho_{C S}=\frac{5}{4} t^{-2}$. The values of the variables which have been introduced previously are

$$
\Sigma_{+}=\frac{1}{8} ; \quad \Sigma_{-}=0 ; \quad \Omega=\frac{15}{16} ; \quad N_{1}=\frac{3}{4}
$$

### 5.3. The Ellis-MacCallum Solution

In the case of Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ there is a dust solution with diagonal metric discovered by Ellis and MacCallum [8, pp. 124-125]:

$$
g_{E M}=\operatorname{diag}\left(t^{2}, t^{1}, t^{1}\right)
$$

The Hubble parameter is $H=\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}$ as in the Collins-Stewart solution, but the energy density $8 \pi \rho_{E M}=t^{-2}$ is different. Here the values of the introduced variables are

$$
\Sigma_{+}=-\frac{1}{4} ; \quad \Sigma_{-}=0 ; \quad N_{1}=0 ; \quad N_{2}=-N_{3}=\frac{3}{4} ; \quad \Omega=\frac{3}{4}
$$

The generalization of this solution to different values of $\gamma$ is called Collins solution.

## 6. Einstein-Dust with Small Data

### 6.1. Einstein-Dust System

Let us present briefly the Einstein-Euler system. We will consider the isentropic case where the matter fields are the energy density $\rho$ and the four-velocity $u^{\alpha}$ which is a unit timelike vector. The equation of state $P=f(\rho)$ relates the pressure $P$ with the energy density. The energy-momentum tensor is

$$
T_{\alpha \beta}=(\rho+P) u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}+P g_{\alpha \beta}
$$

and the equations of motion are equivalent to the condition that the energymomentum tensor is divergence-free. The Einstein-dust system is then obtained via the condition $P=0$. It can be seen as a very singular solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system. Formally the system can be obtained from the Einstein-Vlasov system choosing $f$ to be of the form

$$
f\left(t, x^{a}, p^{a}\right)=\left|u_{0}\right|\left|g^{(4)}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\left(t, x^{a}\right) \delta\left(p^{a}-u^{a}\right)
$$

where $u_{0}$ is obtained via the mass shell relation. The relation of the Einsteindust system to the Einstein-Vlasov system is in general subtle and we refer to [22] for more information on that. Here we will look at the special solutions of the corresponding Einstein-dust systems to obtain some intuition about the Einstein-Vlasov system. It is easy to see that the special solutions are equilibrium points. The stability of these equilibrium points has already been studied (see for instance [38]). For the case of Bianchi I there even exists a general expression [(11-1.12) of [13]], where one can see that isotropization occurs. Actually for all the Bianchi cases we study here, Liapunov functions have been found, such that besides the stability also the global behaviour is known. In this section we will start dealing with estimates. $C$ will denote an arbitrary constant and $\epsilon$ a small and strictly positive constant. They both may appear several times in different equations or inequalities without being the same constant.

### 6.2. Linearization of Einstein-Dust Around Collins-Stewart

Let us look at the stability of the Collins-Stewart solution with dust. For the Collins-Stewart solution we have $\left(\Sigma_{+}=\frac{1}{8}, \Sigma_{-}=0, N_{1}=\frac{3}{4}\right)$ which is an equilibrium point of the system (38)-(40) with $S=0$. Let us translate the equilibrium point to the origin by introducing the variables $\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}=\Sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{8}$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{-}=\Sigma_{-}$and $\tilde{N}_{1}=N_{1}-\frac{3}{4}$. The linearization is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\tilde{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\tilde{N}_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{93}{64} & 0 & \frac{63}{128} \\
0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{87}{32} & 0 & -\frac{3}{64}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\tilde{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\tilde{N}_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The variable $\Sigma_{-}$decouples and we obtain

$$
\Sigma_{-}=\Sigma_{-}\left(\tau_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)}
$$

The rest of the system

$$
\binom{\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}}{\tilde{N}_{1}}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-93 / 64 & 63 / 128  \tag{42}\\
-87 / 32 & -3 / 64
\end{array}\right)\binom{\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}}{\tilde{N}_{1}}
$$

has eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{1 / 2}=-\frac{3}{4}\left(1 \mp i \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right)
$$

Translated to our time variable means that the expected estimates for the Vlasov case are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{8} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
N_{1}-\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\Sigma_{-} & =O\left(t^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whether this is true we do not know at this point. We will start proving estimates in the next chapter. Here we have obtained these estimates just to get a hint about the non-linear behaviour. For instance, it could be the case that the variable $\Sigma_{-}$has the same decay as the other variables. However, these estimates will turn out to be not sufficient. In addition to assume that we are close to the special solutions, we will have to assume that we are close to the dust case. This is done via a momentum bound. We have a number (different from zero) of particles at possibly different momenta and we define $P$ as the supremum of the absolute value of these momenta at a given time $t$ :

$$
P(t)=\sup \left\{\left.|p|=\left(g^{a b} p_{a} p_{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\rvert\, f(t, p) \neq 0\right\}
$$

A bound on that quantity can be used for estimates on $S / H^{2}$ as we show now. Consider an orthonormal frame and denote the components of the spatial part of the energy-momentum tensor in this frame by $\widehat{S}_{a b}$. The components can be bounded by

$$
\widehat{S}_{a b} \leq P^{2}(t) \rho
$$

so we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widehat{S}}{\rho} \leq 3 P^{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Ricci scalar is non-positive, it follows from (6) using the trace-free part of the second fundamental form that

$$
16 \pi \rho=6 H^{2}+R-\sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
16 \pi \rho \leq 6 H^{2}
$$

Thus from (43) we obtain that

$$
\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}} \leq \frac{3}{2} P^{2}
$$

For $V$ due to (25) and introducing the Collins-Stewart solution we have

$$
\dot{V}=-t^{-1} g^{11} V_{1}^{2}-\frac{3}{2} t^{-1}\left(g^{22} V_{2}^{2}+g^{33} V_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

We see that $\dot{V} \leq-t^{-1} V$ which implies that the following holds:

$$
P=O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

### 6.3. Linearization of Einstein-Dust Around Ellis-MacCallum

For $S=0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{+}^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{6}\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2}-\Sigma_{+}(2-q)  \tag{44}\\
\Sigma_{-}^{\prime} & =\frac{N_{3}^{2}-N_{2}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{3}}-(2-q) \Sigma_{-}  \tag{45}\\
N_{2}^{\prime} & =N_{2}\left(2 \Sigma_{+}+2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}+q\right)  \tag{46}\\
N_{3}^{\prime} & =N_{3}\left(2 \Sigma_{+}-2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}+q\right) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

with $q=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{24}\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}\right)$.
For the Ellis-MacCallum solution we have $\left(\Sigma_{+}=-\frac{1}{4}, \Sigma_{-}=0, N_{2}=\right.$ $-N_{3}=\frac{3}{4}$ ) which is an equilibrium point of the system (44)-(47). Introducing $\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}=\Sigma_{+}+\frac{1}{4}, \tilde{\Sigma}_{-}=\Sigma_{-}, \tilde{N}_{2}=N_{2}-\frac{3}{4}$ and $\tilde{N}_{3}=N_{3}+\frac{3}{4}$. The linearization is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}  \tag{48}\\
\tilde{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\tilde{N}_{2} \\
\tilde{N}_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{21}{16} & 0 & -\frac{15}{32} & \frac{15}{32} \\
0 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \\
\frac{15}{16} & \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{3} & -\frac{3}{32} & \frac{3}{32} \\
-\frac{15}{16} & \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{3} & \frac{3}{32} & -\frac{3}{32}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\tilde{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\tilde{N}_{2} \\
\tilde{N}_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1 / 2}=-\frac{3}{4}(1 \pm i \sqrt{3}) \\
& \lambda_{3 / 4}=-\frac{3}{4}(1 \pm i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Translated to our time variable the expected estimates for the Vlasov case are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{+}+\frac{1}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\Sigma_{-} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
N_{2}-\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
N_{3}+\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the same procedure as in the Bianchi II case, using now the EllisMacCallum solution we arrive at

$$
P=O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

## 7. The Bootstrap Argument

The argument which will lead us to our main conclusions is a bootstrap argument, a kind of continuous induction argument. The argument will work as follows (see 10.3 of [28] for a detailed discussion): one has a solution of the evolution equations and assumes that the norm of that function depends continuously on the time variable. Assuming that one has small data initially at $t_{0}$, i.e. the norm of our function is small, one has to improve the decay rate of the norm such that the assumption that $\left[t_{0}, T\right)$ with $T<\infty$ is the maximal interval on which a solution with bounded norm corresponding to the prescribed initial data exists would lead to a contradiction. This is a way to obtain global existence for small data. In our case global existence is already clear, but if the argument works we also obtain information about how the solution behaves asymptotically which is our goal. The interval we look at is $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ and we will present the estimates assumed in the following for the different cases. All prefactors on the right-hand side are positive and as small as we want.

### 7.1. Bootstrap Assumptions

A first task is to find the suitable bootstrap assumptions. We choose a slightly slower decay for the anisotropy and the curvature variables than in the linearized cases with the hope that using the central equations we are able to obtain the same decay as in the linearized case. For the estimate of $P$ we start with a slower decay than the ones obtained in Sect. 6 as well. The assumption of small data here is in the sense that our solutions are not "far away" from our special solutions. In general, to improve an estimate the corresponding evolution equation will be integrated. The assumptions made for the different Bianchi cases exclude the vacuum case, since the values of $\Omega$ due to the constraint equation are near the corresponding values of $\Omega$ of the special solutions, thus far from being zero.

### 7.1.1. Bootstrap Assumptions for Bianchi II.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{8}\right| & \leq A_{+}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \\
\left|\Sigma_{-}\right| & \leq A_{-}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\
\left|N_{1}-\frac{3}{4}\right| & \leq A_{c}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \\
P & \leq A_{m}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.1.2. Bootstrap Assumptions for Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Sigma_{+}+\frac{1}{4}\right| & \leq A_{+}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \\
\left|\Sigma_{-}\right| & \leq A_{-}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \\
\left|N_{2}-\frac{3}{4}\right| & \leq A_{c 1}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|N_{3}+\frac{3}{4}\right| \leq A_{c 2}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \\
P \leq A_{m}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}}
\end{array}
$$

### 7.2. Estimate of the Mean Curvature

The first variable we estimate is the trace of the second fundamental form or equivalently the Hubble variable. Let us rewrite (20):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(H^{-1}\right)=\frac{3}{2}+D \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
D=\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{R}{H^{2}}+\frac{3}{H^{2}} \sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}\right)+\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}}
$$

Integrating (20) and since $t_{0}=\frac{2}{3} H^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ [this choice was made in section (3.4)]

$$
H(t)=\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} t+I}=\frac{2}{3} t^{-1} \frac{1}{1+\frac{2}{3} I t^{-1}}
$$

with

$$
I=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} D(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Now for Bianchi II

$$
D_{I I}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}}-\frac{N_{1}^{2}}{24}
$$

and for Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$

$$
D_{V I_{0}}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi S}{3 H^{2}}-\frac{1}{24}\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2} .
$$

It turns out that in all cases $D$ is small, in particular from the different bootstrap assumptions we obtain for Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D| \leq \epsilon_{2 / 3}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{2}=C\left(A_{+}+A_{-}^{2}+A_{c}+A_{m}^{2}\right) \\
& \epsilon_{3}=C\left(A_{+}+A_{-}^{2}+A_{c 1}+A_{c 2}+A_{m}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We arrive at

$$
\frac{2}{3} t^{-1} I=O\left(\epsilon_{2 / 3} t^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)
$$

The results for the Hubble variable is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}\left(1+O\left(\epsilon_{2 / 3} t^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)\right) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also obtain an estimate for the determinant using the estimate of $H$ and integrating (8) in both directions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(t_{0}\right) t^{4-\epsilon} \leq g(t) \leq C\left(t_{0}\right) t^{4+\epsilon} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.3. Estimate of the Metric

Consider the following equation in the sense of components:

$$
\bar{g}^{a b}=t^{\frac{p}{q}} g^{a b} .
$$

In particular, we will consider the components $g^{22}$ and $g^{33}$, which means that for Bianchi II $\frac{p}{q}=\frac{3}{2}$ and for Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0} \frac{p}{q}=1$. We will show that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t^{-\gamma} \bar{g}^{a b}\right)=t^{-\gamma-1} \bar{g}^{a b}\left(-\gamma+\frac{p}{q}+\frac{\dot{g}^{a b}}{g^{a b}} t\right) \leq-\eta t^{-\gamma-1} \bar{g}^{a b}
$$

with $\eta$ positive with the help of the bootstrap assumptions and choosing $\gamma$ in a suitable way. This means then that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t^{-\gamma} \bar{g}^{a b}\right) \leq 0
$$

which implies what we wanted to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{a b}(t) \leq t_{0}^{-\gamma+\frac{p}{q}} g^{a b}\left(t_{0}\right) t^{-\frac{p}{q}+\gamma} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the covariant components one can do the same by defining $\bar{g}_{a b}=t^{-\frac{p}{q}} g_{a b}$. One obtains

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t^{\gamma} \bar{g}_{a b}\right)=t^{\gamma-1} \bar{g}_{a b}\left(\gamma-\frac{p}{q}+\frac{\dot{g}_{a b}}{g_{a b}} t\right) \geq \eta t^{\gamma-1} \bar{g}^{a b}
$$

For the last step one can actually use the same $\gamma$ as for the contravariant components since $\dot{g}_{a b} g^{a b}=-g_{a b} \dot{g}^{a b}$. In other words once (53) is shown, we also have

$$
g_{a b}(t) \leq t_{0}^{\gamma-\frac{p}{q}} g_{a b}\left(t_{0}\right) t^{\frac{p}{q}-\gamma}
$$

From the definitions made one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{g}^{11} & =2 g^{11} H\left(-1+2 \Sigma_{+}\right)  \tag{54}\\
\dot{g}^{22} & =2 g^{22} H\left(-1-\Sigma_{+}-\sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}\right)  \tag{55}\\
\dot{g}^{33} & =2 g^{33} H\left(-1-\Sigma_{+}+\sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}\right) . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have with (51) for the components $g^{22}$ and $g^{33}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta & =\gamma+2 H t\left(1+\Sigma_{+} \pm \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}\right)-\frac{p}{q} \\
& =\gamma+\frac{4}{3}\left(1+O\left(\epsilon_{2 / 3} t^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)\right)\left(1+\Sigma_{+} \pm \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{-}\right)-\frac{p}{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

In both Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$

$$
\frac{4}{3}\left(1+\Sigma_{+}\right)-\frac{p}{q}=O\left(A_{+}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)
$$

which enables us to choose $\gamma$ in such a way that $\eta$ is positive. Different values of $\Sigma_{+}$correspond to different exponents in the components of the metric.

Using the estimates of $g^{22}$ and $g^{33}$, we obtain then the estimate for the other component of the metric $g_{11}$ via the estimate of the determinant. We could also proceed directly from (54).

Summarizing this means that asymptotically up to a positive constant which depends only on $t_{0}$ the components (and their inverses) of the metrics $g_{I I}$ for Bianchi II and $g_{V I_{0}}$ for Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ have the same decay up to an $\epsilon$ as the corresponding components of the Collins-Stewart and Ellis-MacCallum solution, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\left(t_{0}\right) t^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{g_{I I}}{g_{C S}} \leq C\left(t_{0}\right) t^{+\epsilon} \\
& C\left(t_{0}\right) t^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{g_{V I_{0}}}{g_{E M}} \leq C\left(t_{0}\right) t^{+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.4. Estimate of $\boldsymbol{P}$

We can express the derivative of the metric as follows:

$$
\dot{g}^{b f}=2 H\left(\Sigma_{a}^{b}-\delta_{a}^{b}\right) g^{a f}
$$

It follows from (24) and using (26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}=\dot{g}^{b f} V_{b} V_{f} & =2 H\left(\Sigma_{a}^{b}-\delta_{a}^{b}\right) g^{a f} V_{b} V_{f} \\
& =2 H\left(\Sigma_{1}^{1} g^{11} V_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2} g^{22} V_{2}^{2}+\Sigma_{3}^{3} g^{33} V_{3}^{2}\right)-2 H V
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum of $\Sigma_{1}^{1}, \Sigma_{2}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}^{3}$ is for Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ equal to $\frac{1}{4}+O\left(t^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)$. Thus

$$
\dot{V} \leq 2 H V\left(-\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon t^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)
$$

Using now the estimate of $H$ and integrating

$$
V \leq V\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t / t_{0}\right)^{-1+\epsilon}
$$

from which follows

$$
P \leq P\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t / t_{0}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} .
$$

Choosing $P\left(t_{0}\right) \leq A_{m} t_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ we arrive at

$$
P \leq A_{m} t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}
$$

which is an improvement of the bootstrap assumption and which has the consequence that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S}{H^{2}} \leq C t^{-1+\epsilon} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.5. Closing Bianchi II

Until now we have estimates for $H$ and for $P$ in the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$. We need to improve the other variables. Although Bianchi II and Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ are more complicated, the main argument will be the same as in Bianchi I [21].

### 7.5.1. Estimate for $\Sigma_{-}$.

Case I. If $\left|\Sigma_{-}\right| \leq A_{-}(1+t)^{-1+\epsilon}$ holds, there nothing more to do since this is a better estimate then the one assumed.

Case IIa. Assume now $\Sigma_{-}>A_{-}(1+t)^{-1+\epsilon}$. Define $t_{2}$ as the smallest number not smaller than $t_{0}$ with the property $\Sigma_{-} \geq A_{-}(1+t)^{-1+\epsilon}$. Since we are assuming that $\Sigma_{-}>0$ we can divide (36) by $\Sigma_{-}$:

$$
\frac{\dot{\Sigma}_{-}}{\Sigma_{-}}=H\left[-\left(3+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^{2}}\right)+\Sigma_{-}^{-1} \frac{8 \pi}{2 \sqrt{3} H^{2}}\left(S_{2}^{2}-S_{3}^{3}\right)\right]
$$

With (50), (51), the fact that $S_{2}^{2}-S_{3}^{3} \leq S,(57)$ and our assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\dot{\Sigma}_{-}}{\Sigma_{-}} \leq-t^{-1}(1-\xi) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is as small as we want. This variable $\xi$ contains a term of type $A_{-}^{-1} A_{m}^{2}$, but $A_{-}$and $A_{m}$ can be chosen independently as small as needed. Also the $\epsilon$ coming from $S$ has to be chosen bigger than the $\epsilon$ coming from $\Sigma_{-}$. Note that it becomes clear here why we had to improve $P$ to arrive at (57). Integrating (58) between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$

$$
\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \Sigma_{-}\left(t_{2}\right) t_{2}^{1-\xi} t_{1}^{-1+\xi}
$$

Assume $t_{2}=t_{0}$ then

$$
\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \Sigma_{-}\left(t_{0}\right) t_{0}^{1-\xi} t_{1}^{-1+\xi} \leq A_{-} t_{1}^{-1+\xi}
$$

since $\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{0}\right)$ can be chosen in such a way that the last inequality holds.
Case IIb. If $t_{2}>t_{0}$ then by continuity $\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{2}\right) \leq A_{-}(1+t)^{-1+\epsilon}$ which means that

$$
\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq A_{-}(1+t)^{\epsilon-\xi} t_{1}^{-1+\xi} \leq A_{-} t_{1}^{-1+\xi}
$$

if $\epsilon$ is chosen to be smaller than $\xi$. The argument for the case that $\Sigma_{-}$is negative is the same, just define $\bar{\Sigma}_{-}=-\Sigma_{-}$and use $S_{3}^{3}-S_{2}^{2} \leq S$. This means that we could improve our bootstrap assumption to

$$
\left|\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \leq A_{-} t_{1}^{-1+\xi}
$$

7.5.2. Bootstrap Assumptions for the Other Time Variable. We have found that for the estimates in the following section it was useful, although not essential, to use the other time variable $\tau$. Using the estimate of the Hubble variable (51) in the definition of $\tau$ (19) we have

$$
\tau-\tau_{0}=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{2}{3} t^{-1}\left(1+O\left(\epsilon t^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

After integrating and observing that $\tau_{0}$ and $t_{0}$ are constants we arrive at

$$
t^{-\frac{2}{3}}=t_{0}^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\tau+\tau_{0}+\xi}
$$

where $\xi$ is small: $\xi=O\left(\epsilon\left(t^{-\frac{3}{8}}+t_{0}^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right)\right)$. So the bootstrap assumptions can be translated to the time variable $\tau$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}\right| & <C A_{+} e^{-\frac{9}{16} \tau} \\
\left|\tilde{\Sigma}_{-}\right| & <C A_{-} e^{-\frac{9}{8} \tau} \\
\left|\tilde{N}_{1}\right| & <C A_{c} e^{-\frac{9}{16} \tau} \\
P & <C A_{m} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \tau} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have an estimate of $H$ in both directions one can go also back from an estimate in terms of $\tau$ to an estimate of $t$ just by a multiplication by a constant which will not be relevant.
7.5.3. Estimate for $\Sigma_{+}$and $N_{1}$. Define

$$
\binom{\hat{\Sigma}_{+}}{\hat{N}_{1}}=\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{I I}}^{-1}\binom{\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}}{\tilde{N}_{1}}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{I I}}$ is the matrix of eigenvectors of the linearized system (42). Then we have

$$
\binom{\hat{\Sigma}_{+}}{\hat{N}_{1}}^{\prime}=-\frac{3}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \\
-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{\Sigma}_{+}}{\hat{N}_{1}}+O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right)\binom{1}{1}
$$

since $O\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\tilde{N}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{\Sigma}_{-}^{2}+P^{2}\right)=O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right)$. Multiplying the first equation by $\hat{\Sigma}_{+}$and the second by $\hat{N}_{1}$ and adding both we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\right) & =-\frac{3}{2}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}+\tilde{N}_{1}\right) O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\log \left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\right)\right] & =-\frac{3}{2}+\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}+\tilde{N}_{1}\right)\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assume now that

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\tilde{N}_{1}^{2}>\left(A_{+}^{2}+A_{c}^{2}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{3}{2}+\xi\right) \tau}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}>C\left(A_{+}^{2}+A_{c}^{2}\right) e^{\left(-\frac{3}{2}+\xi\right) \tau} \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\left[\log \left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \leq-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon e^{\left(-\frac{1}{16}-\xi\right) \tau}
\end{gathered}
$$

From which follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{N}_{1}^{2} & \leq\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right) e^{\left(-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon\right)\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+\hat{N}_{1}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{-1+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\tilde{N}_{1}^{2} \leq C\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+\tilde{N}_{1}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{-1+\epsilon}
$$

Making now the same argument as in the end of the estimate of $\Sigma_{-}$we arrive at improved estimates for $\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}$and $\tilde{N}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}\right| \leq \tilde{\Sigma}_{+}\left(t_{0}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \\
& \left|\tilde{N}_{1}\right| \leq \tilde{N}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. for $\Sigma_{+}$and $N_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{8}\right| \leq A_{+}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \\
& \left|N_{1}-\frac{3}{4}\right| \leq A_{c}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have closed now the bootstrap argument. Note that for this last improvement of the estimates $\Sigma_{+}$and $N_{1}$ we did not use the improved estimates for $\Sigma_{-}$and $P$.

### 7.6. Closing Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$

This case is analogous to Bianchi II. The bootstrap assumptions with the variable $\tau$ read

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}\right|<C A_{+} e^{-\frac{9}{16} \tau} \\
&\left|\tilde{\Sigma}_{-}\right|<C A_{-} e^{-\frac{9}{16} \tau} \\
&\left|\tilde{N}_{2}\right|<C A_{c 1} e^{-\frac{9}{16} \tau} \\
&\left|\tilde{N}_{3}\right|<C A_{c 2} e^{-\frac{9}{16} \tau} \\
& P<C A_{m} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \tau} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case what remains are the estimates for $\Sigma_{+}, \Sigma_{-}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$. In terms of the transformed linearization

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\hat{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\hat{N}_{2} \\
\hat{N}_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{M}_{\text {VI0 }}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\tilde{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\tilde{N}_{2} \\
\tilde{N}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\text {VI0 }}$ is the matrix of eigenvectors of the linearized system (48) we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\hat{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\hat{N}_{2} \\
\hat{N}_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{3}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\
\sqrt{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\Sigma}_{+} \\
\hat{\Sigma}_{-} \\
\hat{N}_{2} \\
\hat{N}_{3}
\end{array}\right)+O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

since $O\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\tilde{N}_{2}^{2}+\tilde{N}_{3}^{2}+\tilde{\Sigma}_{-}^{2}+P^{2}\right)=O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right)$. As in the Bianchi II case, we arrive with the same procedure at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\log \left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{\Sigma}_{-}^{2}\right)\right] & =-\frac{3}{2}+\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{+}+\tilde{\Sigma}_{-}\right)\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{\Sigma}_{-}^{2}\right)^{-1} O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\log \left(\hat{N}_{2}^{2}+\hat{N}_{3}^{2}\right)\right] & =-\frac{3}{2}+\left(\tilde{N}_{2}+\tilde{N}_{3}\right)\left(\hat{N}_{2}^{2}+\hat{N}_{3}^{2}\right)^{-1} O\left(A_{m}^{2} e^{-\tau}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this means that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\left[\log \left(\hat{\Sigma}_{+}^{2}+\hat{\Sigma}_{-}^{2}\right)\right] \leq-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon e^{\left(-\frac{1}{16}-\xi\right) \tau}
$$

and a similar expression for $N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ such that in the end we arrive at the estimates we wanted to obtain. In this case as well it was not necessary to use the improved estimate of $P$.

### 7.7. Results of the Bootstrap Argument

Since we have improved all estimates we have closed the bootstrap argument. Let us summarize the results obtained in this chapter in the following propositions:

Proposition 1. Consider any $C^{\infty}$ solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system with reflection and Bianchi II symmetry and with $C^{\infty}$ initial data. Assume that $\left|\Sigma_{+}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{8}\right|,\left|\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|,\left|N_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{4}\right|$ and $P\left(t_{0}\right)$ are sufficiently small. Then at late times the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & =\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)\right) \\
\Sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{8} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
\Sigma_{-} & =O\left(t^{-1+\epsilon}\right) \\
N_{1}-\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
P(t) & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2. Consider any $C^{\infty}$ solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system with reflection Bianchi $V I_{0}$ symmetry and with $C^{\infty}$ initial data. Assume that $\left|\Sigma_{+}\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\right|,\left|\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|,\left|N_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{4}\right|,\left|N_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{4}\right|$ and $P\left(t_{0}\right)$ are sufficiently small. Then at late times the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & =\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)\right) \\
\Sigma_{+}+\frac{1}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
\Sigma_{-} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
N_{2}-\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
N_{3}+\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
P(t) & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next chapter we will improve the estimates such that we can get rid of the $\epsilon$. However, the results stated here in this section represent in fact the core of our results.

## 8. Main Results

### 8.1. Arzela Ascoli

Until now we have obtained estimates which show that the decay rates of the different variables are up to an $\epsilon$ the decay rates one obtains from the linearization. We want to use the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. We will show the boundedness of the relevant variables and their derivatives. The variables $\Sigma_{-}$, $\Sigma_{+}, N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ corresponding to the different Bianchi cases are bounded uniformly due to the constraint equation. In particular

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{+}^{2}+\Sigma_{-}^{2} & \leq 1 \\
N_{1}^{2} & \leq 12 \\
\left(N_{2}-N_{3}\right)^{2} & \leq 12 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $N_{3}$ is negative. The Hubble variable $H$ and its derivative are bounded as one can see from the estimates and (20). We have also obtained with the bootstrap argument that $P$, which is non-negative, decays which means that $S / H^{2}$ is bounded. From the estimates obtained it is clear that $g^{a b}$ and its derivative are bounded. Now having a look at the central equations we see that the derivatives of $\Sigma_{-}, \Sigma_{+}, N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ are also bounded uniformly. If we can bound the derivative of $S$ also the second derivatives of $\Sigma_{-}, \Sigma_{+}$, $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ and $H$ are bounded. For this purpose, it is convenient to express the components of the energy momentum tensor in terms of integrals of the covariant momenta:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{a b} g^{a b} & =\int f(t, p) p_{a} p_{b} g^{a b}\left(1+g^{c d} p_{c} p_{d}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \\
& =\int f(t, p) V(1+V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The only term of the time derivative of $S$ which could cause problems is the time derivative of the distribution function since $\dot{V}$ and $\dot{g}^{a b}$ can be bounded by $V$ and $g^{a b}$, respectively, and we know that $S$ itself is bounded since $S / H^{2}$ is. The term with the time derivative of the distribution function can be handled with the Vlasov equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \dot{f}(t, p) V(1+V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \\
& =-\int\left(p^{0}\right)^{-1} C_{b a}^{d} p^{b} p_{d} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{a}} V(1+V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts we obtain a term which can be bounded by $S$. Note that the momenta grow in the worst case with $t^{\gamma}$ and that $p_{0}$ is also bounded from below since the particles are assumed to have mass. Now all the relevant quantities are bounded. Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence tending to infinity and let $\left(\Sigma_{-}\right)_{n}(t)=$ $\Sigma_{-}\left(t+t_{n}\right),\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)_{n}(t)=\Sigma_{+}\left(t+t_{n}\right),\left(N_{1}\right)_{n}(t)=N_{1}\left(t+t_{n}\right),\left(N_{2}\right)_{n}(t)=N_{2}(t+$ $\left.t_{n}\right),\left(N_{3}\right)_{n}(t)=N_{3}\left(t+t_{n}\right), H_{n}(t)=H\left(t+t_{n}\right)$ and $S_{n}(t)=S\left(t+t_{n}\right)$. Using the bounds already listed, the Arzela-Ascoli theorem can be applied. This implies that, after passing to a subsequence, $\left(\Sigma_{-}\right)_{n},\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)_{n}\left(N_{1}\right)_{n},\left(N_{2}\right)_{n},\left(N_{3}\right)_{n}, H_{n}$ and
$S_{n}$ converge uniformly on compact sets to a limit $\left(\Sigma_{-}\right)_{\infty},\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)_{\infty}\left(N_{1}\right)_{\infty},\left(N_{2}\right)_{\infty}$, $\left(N_{3}\right)_{\infty}, H_{\infty}$ and $S_{\infty}$, respectively. The first derivative of these variables converges to the corresponding derivative of the limits since we have been able to bound the derivative of $S$ in the last section. Going to this limit it is easy to see that the variable $D$ of Eq. (49) is zero and consequently,

$$
H_{\infty}=\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}
$$

From (54)-(56) we see that for Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ we obtain the optimal decay rates for the metric and for its derivative. This implies that we obtain the optimal decay rates for $P$. Since $S / H^{2}$ is zero asymptotically we obtain the same estimates for $\Sigma_{-}, \Sigma_{+}, N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ as in the Einstein-dust case. Introducing this estimates in (49), we also obtain the optimal estimate for $H$. Let us summarize the estimates.

### 8.2. Optimal Estimates

Theorem 1. Consider any $C^{\infty}$ solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system with reflection and Bianchi II symmetry and with $C^{\infty}$ initial data. Assume that $\left|\Sigma_{+}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{8}\right|,\left|\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|,\left|N_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{4}\right|$ and $P\left(t_{0}\right)$ are sufficiently small. Then at late times the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & =\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \\
\Sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{8} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\Sigma_{-} & =O\left(t^{-1}\right) \\
N_{1}-\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
P(t) & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Consider any $C^{\infty}$ solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system with reflection Bianchi $V I_{0}$ symmetry and with $C^{\infty}$ initial data. Assume that $\left|\Sigma_{+}\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\right|,\left|\Sigma_{-}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|,\left|N_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{4}\right|,\left|N_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{4}\right|$ and $P\left(t_{0}\right)$ are sufficiently small. Then at late times the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & =\frac{2}{3} t^{-1}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \\
\Sigma_{+}+\frac{1}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\Sigma_{-} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
N_{2}-\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
N_{3}+\frac{3}{4} & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
P(t) & =O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the cases Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ we are also able to obtain the optimal estimate for the metrics:

Corollary 1. Consider the same assumptions as in the previous theorem concerning Bianchi II and $V I_{0}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{I I} & =t \operatorname{diag}\left(K_{1}, t^{1 / 2} K_{2}, t^{1 / 2} K_{3}\right) \\
g_{V I_{0}} & =t \operatorname{diag}\left(t K_{4}, K_{5}, K_{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $K_{n}=C_{n}+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ and where $C_{1}-C_{6}$ are independent of time. The corresponding result for the inverse metric also holds.

We see that the error in the metrics comes from the error in $\Sigma_{+}$.

### 8.3. Kasner Exponents

From (12) we see that the eigenvalues (41) of the second fundamental form with respect to the induced metric are also the solutions of

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{j}^{i}-\left[\lambda-\frac{1}{3} k\right] \delta_{j}^{i}\right)=0
$$

Let us define the eigenvalues of $\sigma_{i j}$ with respect to $g_{i j}$ by $\hat{\lambda}_{i}$, we have that

$$
\widehat{\lambda}_{i}=\lambda_{i}-\frac{1}{3} k
$$

Note that $\Sigma_{i}\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}$. In the cases Bianchi II and $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$, since everything is diagonal the Kasner exponents are easy to calculate. Using the optimal estimates for $\Sigma_{+}, \Sigma_{-}$and $H$ and the fact that the sum of the generalized Kasner exponents is equal to one, we finally arrive at the generalized Kasner exponents for Bianchi II which are $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right)$ and for Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ in both cases up to an error of order $O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. Let us summarize these results:

Corollary 2. Consider the same assumptions as in the previous theorem concerning Bianchi II and $V I_{0}$ respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{I I} & =p_{C S}+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
p_{V I_{0}} & =p_{E M}+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the error in the Kasner exponents comes from the error in $H$.

### 8.4. Estimates of the Energy Momentum Tensor

Before coming to the estimates of the energy momentum tensor we show that for Bianchi II $V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$ become constants, something similar can be done for Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$. Define $E=g^{22} V_{2}^{2}+g^{33} V_{3}^{2}$; then

$$
\dot{E}=\dot{g}^{22} V_{2}^{2}+\dot{g}^{33} V_{3}^{2} \leq 2 H\left(-1-\Sigma_{+}+\sqrt{3}\left|\Sigma_{-}\right|\right) E .
$$

Integrating

$$
\log \left[E / E\left(t_{0}\right)\right]=-\frac{3}{2} \log t / t_{0}+O\left(\epsilon\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}+t_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)
$$

We have the following inequality for $E$ :

$$
E \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Since the components of the metric $g^{22}$ and $g^{33}$ tend to the corresponding components of the Collins-Stewart solution we see that $V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$ become constant asymptotically. The same is true in the case of the Ellis-MacCallum solution. Now since $f\left(t_{0}, p\right)$ has compact support on $p$, we obtain that there exists a constant C such that

$$
f(t, p)=0 \quad\left|p_{i}\right| \geq C
$$

Let us denote by $\hat{p}$ the momenta in an orthonormal frame. Since $f(t, \hat{p})$ is constant along the characteristics we have

$$
|f(t, \hat{p})| \leq\left\|f_{0}\right\|=\sup \left\{\left|f\left(t_{0}, \hat{p}\right)\right|\right\}
$$

Putting these facts together we arrive at the estimates which we summarize in the following:

Corollary 3. Consider the same assumptions as in the previous theorem concerning Bianchi II. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\rho_{C S}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \\
S_{i j} & \leq C\left|f_{0}\right| t^{-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4. Consider the same assumptions as in the previous theorem concerning Bianchi $V I_{0}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\rho_{E M}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \\
S_{i j} & \leq C\left|f_{0}\right| t^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The error in the energy density comes from the error in $H$.
Remark. From the corollaries one can estimate the quotient $S_{i j} / \rho$ which is $O\left(t^{-1}\right)$. That this quotient vanishes asymptotically means that the matter behaves as dust asymptotically as expected.

## 9. Conclusions and Outlook

The results concerning Bianchi II generalize the results obtained in [30]. For Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ even for the reflection symmetric case there is no analogous previous result. The reason is that it is not compatible with the LRS-symmetry. Thus our result concerning Bianchi $\mathrm{VI}_{0}$ shows clearly that the methods developed are powerful in the sense that one can obtain results which where out of reach with the techniques developed until now. However, in contrast to [30] our results are restricted to the case of small data. In order to remove this assumption the Liapunov functions discovered for the fluid model could be helpful. In the case of Bianchi II the future asymptotics are known globally even in the tilted case [15].

We also hope to extend these results to the case without reflection symmetry in a future publication.

In our argument we have used the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, but only at the end. Thus there exist a lot of estimates where one has control over the
constants involved. Probably this could help for a numerical analysis of the Einstein-Vlasov equation which is quite difficult.

Another path of generalizing our results could be the extension to higher dimensions. For the vacuum case geodesic completeness was shown for some homogeneous models in higher dimensions [11]. The work on homogeneous spacetimes in higher dimensions may also shed some light on the inhomogeneous case in four spacetime dimensions.
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