## Bohr-Sommerfeld Rules to All Orders
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## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to give a rather simple algorithm which computes the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rules to all orders in the semi-classical parameter $h$ for a semi-classical Hamiltonian $\widehat{H}$ on the real line. The formula gives the highorder terms in the expansion in powers of $h$ of the semi-classical action using only integrals on the energy curves of quantities which are locally computable from the Weyl symbol. The recipe uses only the knowledge of the Moyal formula expressing the star product of Weyl symbols. It is important to note that our method assumes already the existence of Bohr-Sommerfeld rules to any order (which is usually shown using some precise Ansatz for the eigenfunctions, like the WKB-Maslov Ansatz) and the problem we address here is only about ways to compute these corrections. Existence of corrections to any order to Bohr-Sommerfeld rules is well known and can be found for example in [8] and [15] Section 4.5.

Our way to get these high-order corrections is inspired by A. Voros's thesis (1977) [13], [14]. The reference [1], where a very similar method is sketched, was given to us by A. Voros. We use also in an essential way the nice formula of Helffer-Sjöstrand expressing $f(\widehat{H})$ in terms of the resolvent.

## 2 The setting and the main result

Let us give a smooth classical Hamiltonian $H: T^{\star} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where the symbol $H$ admits the formal expansion $H \sim H_{0}+h H_{1}+\cdots+h^{k} H_{k}+\cdots$; following [5] p. 101, we will assume that

- $H$ belongs to the space of symbols $S^{\circ}(m)$ for some order function $m$ (for example $\left.m=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{p}\right)$
- $H+i$ is elliptic
and define $\widehat{H}=\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}(H)$ with $^{1}$

$$
\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}(H) u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{i(x-y) \xi / h} H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y)\left|\frac{d y d \xi}{2 \pi h}\right|
$$

[^0]The operator $\widehat{H}$ is then essentially self-adjoint on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with domain the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

In general, we will denote by $\sigma_{\text {Weyl }}(A)$ the Weyl symbol of the operator $A$.

## The hypothesis:

- We fix some compact interval $I=\left[E_{-}, E_{+}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}, E_{-}<E_{+}$, and we assume that there exists a topological ring $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\partial \mathcal{A}=A_{-} \cup A_{+}$with $A_{ \pm}$a connected component of $H_{0}^{-1}\left(E_{ \pm}\right)$.
- We assume that $H_{0}$ has no critical point in $\mathcal{A}$
- We assume that $A_{-}$is included in the disk bounded by $A_{+}$. If it is not the case, we can always change $H$ to $-H$.

We define the well $W$ as the disk bounded by $A_{+}$.

Definition 1 Let $H_{W}: T^{*} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be equal to $H$ in $W,>E_{+}$outside $W$ and bounded. Then $\widehat{H_{W}}=\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}\left(H_{W}\right)$ is a self-adjoint bounded operator. The semiclassical spectrum associated to the well $W$, denoted by $\sigma_{W}$, is defined as follows:

$$
\left.\left.\sigma_{W}=\operatorname{Spectrum}\left(\widehat{H_{W}}\right) \cap\right]-\infty, E_{+}\right]
$$

The previous definition is useful because $\sigma_{W}$ is independent of $H_{W} \bmod O\left(h^{\infty}\right)$. Moreover, if $\left.\left.H_{0}^{-1}(]-\infty, E^{+}\right]\right)=W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{N}$ (connected components), then

$$
\left.\operatorname{Spectrum}(\widehat{H}) \cap]-\infty, E^{+}\right]=\cup \sigma_{W_{l}}+O\left(h^{\infty}\right)
$$

The spectrum $\sigma_{W} \cap\left[E_{-}, E_{+}\right]$is then given $\bmod O\left(h^{\infty}\right)$ by the following Bohr-Sommerfeld rules

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)=\mathbf{2 \pi n h}
$$

where $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the quantum number and the formal series

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{E})=\sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{E}) \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{j}}
$$

is called the semi-classical action.
Our goal is to give an algorithm for computing the functions $S_{j}(E), E \in I$.
In fact $\exp \left(i \mathcal{S}_{h}(E) / h\right)$ is the holonomy of the WKB-Maslov microlocal solutions of $(\hat{H}-E) u=0$ around the trajectory $\gamma_{E}=H^{-1}(E) \cap \mathcal{A}$.


Figure 1. The phase space.

It is well known that:

- $S_{0}(E)=\int_{\gamma_{E}} \xi d x=\int_{\left\{H_{0} \leq E\right\} \cap W}|d x d \xi|$ is the action integral
- $S_{1}(E)=\pi-\int_{\gamma_{E}} H_{1}|d t|$ includes the Maslov correction and the subprincipal term.

Our main result is:
Theorem 1 If $H$ satisfies the previous hypothesis, we have: for $j \geq 2$,

$$
S_{j}(E)=\sum_{2 \leq l \leq L(j)} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{(l-1)!}\left(\frac{d}{d E}\right)^{l-2} \int_{\gamma_{E}} P_{j, l}(x, \xi)|d t|
$$

where

- $t$ is the parametrization of $\gamma_{E}$ by the time evolution

$$
d x=\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi} d t, d \xi=-\left(H_{0}\right)_{x} d t
$$

- The $P_{j, l}$ 's are locally (in the phase space) computable quantities: more precisely each $P_{j, l}(x, \xi)$ is a universal polynomial evaluated on the partial derivatives $\partial^{\alpha} H(x, \xi)$.
The $P_{j, l}$ 's are given from the Weyl symbol of the resolvent (see Proposition (1)):

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{Weyl}}\left((z-\hat{H})^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{z-H_{0}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h^{j} \sum_{l=2}^{L(j)} \frac{P_{j, l}}{\left(z-H_{0}\right)^{l}}
$$

If $H=H_{0}, S_{2 j+1}(E)=0$ for $j>0$. In that case, the polynomial $P_{j, l}\left(\partial^{\alpha} H\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $l-1$ w.r. to $H$ and the total weight of the derivatives is $2 j$, so that all monomials in $P_{j, l}$ are of the form

$$
\Pi_{k=1}^{l-1} \partial^{\alpha_{k}} H
$$

with $\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}\left|\alpha_{k}\right|=2 j$ and $\forall k,\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \geq 1$.
Remark 1 We have also the following nice formula ${ }^{2}$ (see also [14]): for any $l \geq 2$,

$$
\sum_{j} h^{j} P_{j, l}\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)=\left(H-H_{0}\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)\right)^{\star(l-1)}\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)
$$

where the power $(l-1)$ is taken w.r. to the star product.
Proof. Let us denote $h_{0}=H_{0}\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$. We have

$$
z-\hat{H}=\left(z-h_{0}\right)-\left(\hat{H}-h_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
(z-\hat{H})^{-1}=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(z-h_{0}\right)^{-l}\left(\hat{H}-h_{0}\right)^{l-1}
$$

The formula follows then by identification of both expressions of the Weyl symbol of the resolvent at $\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$.

A less formal derivation is given by applying formula (3) to $f(E)=(E-$ $\left.h_{0}\right)^{l-1}$ and computing Weyl symbols at the point $\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)$.

## 3 Moyal formula

Let us define the Moyal product $a \star b$ of the semi-classical symbols $a$ and $b$ by the rule:

$$
\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}(\mathrm{a}) \circ \operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}(b)=\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}(a \star b)
$$

[^1]We have the well-known "Moyal formula" (see [5]):

$$
a \star b=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{h}{2 i}\right)^{j}\{a, b\}_{j}
$$

where

$$
\{a, b\}_{j}(z)=\left[\left(\partial_{\xi} \partial_{x_{1}}-\partial_{x} \partial_{\xi_{1}}\right)^{j}\left(a(z) \otimes b\left(z_{1}\right)\right)\right]_{\mid z_{1}=z}
$$

with $z=(x, \xi), z_{1}=\left(x_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$.
In particular $\{a, b\}_{0}=a b$ and $\{a, b\}_{1}$ is the usual Poisson bracket.
From the Moyal formula, we deduce the following (see also [14]):
Proposition 1 The Weyl symbol $\sum_{j} h^{j} R_{j}(z)$ of the resolvent $(z-\hat{H})^{-1}$ of $\hat{H}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j} R_{j}(z)=\frac{1}{z-H_{0}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h^{j} \sum_{l=2}^{L(j)} \frac{P_{j, l}}{\left(z-H_{0}\right)^{l}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $P_{j, l}(x, \xi)$ are universal polynomials evaluated on the Taylor expansion of $H$ at the point $(x, \xi)$.

If $H=H_{0}$, only even powers of $j$ occur: $R_{2 j}=0$.
Proof. The proposition follows directly from the evaluation by Moyal formula of the left-hand side of

$$
(z-H) \star\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j} R_{j}\right)=1 .
$$

The important point is that the poles at $z=H$ are at least of multiplicity 2 for $j \geq 1$.

Using

$$
(z-H) \star\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j} R_{j}\right)=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j} R_{j}\right) \star(z-H)=1,
$$

and the fact that $\{., .\}_{j}$ are symmetric for even $j$ 's and antisymmetric for odd $j$ 's, we can prove the second statement by induction on $j$.

## 4 The method

Let $f \in C_{o}^{\infty}(I)$ and let us compute the trace $D(f):=\operatorname{Trace}\left(f\left(\widehat{H_{W}}\right)\right) \bmod O\left(h^{\infty}\right)$ in 2 different ways:

1. Using the eigenvalues given by the Bohr-Sommerfeld rules we get:

$$
\operatorname{Trace}\left(f\left(\widehat{H_{W}}\right)\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(S_{h}^{-1}(2 \pi h n)\right)+O\left(h^{\infty}\right)
$$

and, because $f \circ S_{h}^{-1}$ is a smooth function converging in the $C_{o}^{\infty}$ topology to $f \circ S_{0}^{-1}$ we can apply the Poisson summation formula and we get

$$
D(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi h} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(S_{h}^{-1}(u)\right)|d u|+O\left(h^{\infty}\right)
$$

and

$$
D(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi h} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(E) \mathcal{S}_{h}^{\prime}(E)|d E|+O\left(h^{\infty}\right)
$$

or using Schwartz distributions:

$$
\text { (a) } \mathbf{D}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathbf{h}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{E})+\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{h}^{\infty}\right)
$$

2. On the other hand, we compute the Weyl symbol of $f(\widehat{H})$ using HelfferSjöstrand's trick (see [5] p. 93):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\widehat{H})=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{z=x+i y}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}}(z)(z-\widehat{H})^{-1}|d x d y| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ is a quasi-analytic extension of $f$, i.e., $F$ admits the Taylor expansion

$$
F(x+\zeta)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) \zeta^{k}
$$

at any real $x$.
We start with the Weyl symbol of the resolvent (1).
We get then the symbol of $f(\hat{H})$ by putting Equation (1) into (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\hat{H})=\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}\left(f\left(H_{0}\right)+\sum_{j \geq 1, l \geq 2} \frac{h^{j}}{(l-1)!} f^{(l-1)}\left(H_{0}\right) P_{j, l}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The justification of this formal step is done in [5].
We then compute the trace by using

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}(a)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi h} \int_{T^{\star} \mathbb{R}} a(x, \xi)|d x d \xi|
$$

We get:

$$
D(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi h} \int_{T \star \mathbb{R}}\left(f\left(H_{0}\right)+\sum_{j \geq 1, l \geq 2} h^{j} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} f^{(l-1)}\left(H_{0}\right) P_{j, l}\right)|d x d \xi|
$$

We can rewrite using $|d t d E|=|d x d \xi|$ and integrating by parts:
(b) $\mathbf{D}=\frac{1}{2 \pi h}\left(\mathbf{T}(E)+\sum_{\mathrm{j} \geq 1, \mathrm{l} \geq 2} h^{\mathrm{j}} \frac{(-1)^{1-1}}{(1-1)!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dE}}\right)^{1-1} \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}} P_{\mathrm{j}, 1}|\mathrm{dt}|\right)$

So we get, because $l \geq 2$, by identification of $(a)$ and (b), for $j \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}(E)-\sum_{l \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{(l-1)!}\left(\frac{d}{d E}\right)^{l-2} \int_{\gamma_{E}} P_{j, l}|d t|=C_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $C_{j}$ 's are independent of $E$.
Proposition 2 In the previous formula (4), the $C_{j}$ 's are also independent of the operator.

Proof. We can assume that $(0,0)$ is in the disk whose boundary is $A_{-}$. Let us choose an Hamiltonian $K$ which coincides with $H_{W}$ outside the disk bounded by $A_{-}$and with the harmonic oscillator

$$
\hat{\Omega}=\operatorname{Op}_{\text {Weyl }}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)\right)
$$

near the origin. We can assume that $K$ has no other critical values than 0 .
We claim: for all $j \geq 1$,

1. $C_{j}(\hat{K})=C_{j}(\hat{\Omega})$
2. $C_{j}(\hat{H})=C_{j}(\hat{K})$

Both claims come from the following facts: let us give 2 Hamiltonians whose Weyl symbols coincide in some ring $\mathcal{B}$, then
(i) The $P_{j, l}$ are the same for 2 operators in the $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{B}$ where both have the same Weyl symbol, because they are locally computed from the symbols which are the same.
(ii) The $S_{j}(E)$ 's are the same for both operators because they have the same eigenvalues in the corresponding well modulo $O\left(h^{\infty}\right)$ : both operators have the same WKB-Maslov quasi-modes in $\mathcal{B}$.

## 5 The case of the harmonic oscillator

Proposition 3 For the harmonic oscillator, $C_{1}=\pi$ and, for $j \geq 2, C_{j}=0$.
Proof. If $\hat{\Omega}=\operatorname{Op}_{\text {Weyl }}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)\right)$ is the harmonic oscillator we have:

$$
S_{h}(E)=2 \pi E+\pi h
$$

because $E_{n}=\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) h$ for $n=1, \ldots$.
It remains to compute the $P_{j, l}$ 's. Let us put $\rho=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)$, and

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{Weyl}}\left((z-\hat{\Omega})^{-1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j} R_{j}
$$

It is clear that the $R_{j}$ 's are functions $f_{j}(\rho, z)$ and from Moyal formula we get:

$$
f_{j+2}=-\frac{1}{4(z-\rho)}\left(f_{j}^{\prime}+\rho f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

and by induction on $j$ :
$f_{2 j+1}=0$ and

$$
f_{2 j}(\rho, z)=\sum_{l=2 j+1}^{l=3 j+1} \frac{a_{l, j} \rho^{l-2 j-1}}{(z-\rho)^{l}},
$$

with $a_{j, l} \in \mathbb{R}$. The result comes from

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d E}\right)^{l-2} \int_{\gamma_{E}} \rho^{l-2 j-1}|d t|=0
$$

if $l \geq 2 j+1$.

## 6 The term $S_{2}$

Let us assume first that $H=H_{0}$. From the Moyal formula, we have

$$
R_{2}=-\frac{1}{z-H_{0}}\left\{H_{0}, \frac{1}{z-H_{0}}\right\}_{2}=-\frac{\Delta}{4\left(z-H_{0}\right)^{3}}-\frac{\Gamma}{4\left(z-H_{0}\right)^{4}}
$$

with

$$
\Delta=\left(H_{0}\right)_{x x}\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi \xi}-\left(\left(H_{0}\right)_{x \xi}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma=\left(H_{0}\right)_{x x}\left(\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi}\right)^{2}+\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi \xi}\left(\left(H_{0}\right)_{x}\right)^{2}-2\left(H_{0}\right)_{x \xi}\left(H_{0}\right)_{x}\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi}
$$

A very similar computation can be found in [9] p. 93, formula (0.13).
Using formulae (1) and (4), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(E)=-\frac{1}{8} \frac{d}{d E} \int_{\gamma_{E}} \Delta|d t|+\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{d}{d E}\right)^{2} \int_{\gamma_{E}} \Gamma|d t| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2

- If $H=H_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=-\frac{1}{24} \frac{d}{d E} \int_{\gamma_{E}} \Delta|d t| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- In the general case, we have:

$$
S_{2}=-\frac{1}{24} \frac{d}{d E} \int_{\gamma_{E}} \Delta|d t|-\int_{\gamma_{E}} H_{2}|d t|+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d E} \int_{\gamma_{E}} H_{1}^{2}|d t| .
$$

Formula (5) were obtained in [1], formula (3.12), and formula (6) by Robert Littlejohn [10, 2] using completely different methods.

Proof. $\Gamma d t$ is the restriction to $\gamma_{E}$ of the 1-form $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with

$$
\alpha=\left(\left(H_{0}\right)_{x x}\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi}-\left(H_{0}\right)_{x \xi}\left(H_{0}\right)_{x}\right) d x+\left(\left(H_{0}\right)_{x \xi}\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi}-\left(H_{0}\right)_{\xi \xi}\left(H_{0}\right)_{x}\right) d \xi
$$

Orienting $\gamma_{E}$ along the Hamiltonian flow, we get using Stokes formula:

$$
\int_{\gamma_{E}} \Gamma|d t|=\int_{\gamma_{E}} \alpha=-\int_{D_{E}} d \alpha
$$

where $\partial D_{E}=\gamma_{E}$ and $D_{E}$ is oriented by $d x \wedge d \xi$. We have

$$
d \alpha=-2 \Delta d x \wedge d \xi
$$

and hence:

$$
\int_{\gamma_{E}} \Gamma|d t|=2 \int_{D_{E}} \Delta|d x d \xi|
$$

From $|d t d E|=|d x d \xi|$, we get:

$$
\frac{d}{d E} \int_{D_{E}} \Delta|d x d \xi|=\int_{\gamma_{E}} \Delta|d t| .
$$

So that:

$$
\frac{d}{d E} \int_{\gamma_{E}} \Gamma|d t|=2 \int_{\gamma_{E}} \Delta|d t|
$$

from which Theorem 2 follows easily.

## 7 Quantum numbers

Theorem 3 The quantum number " $n$ " in the Bohr-Sommerfeld rules corresponds exactly to the nth eigenvalue in the corresponding well, i.e., the nth eigenvalue of $\widehat{H_{W}}$.

Proof. It is clear that the labelling of the eigenvalues of $\widehat{H_{W}}$ is invariant by homotopies leaving the symbol constant in $\mathcal{A}$. We can then change $\widehat{H_{W}}$ to $\hat{K}$ for which the result is clear because the quantization rules give then exactly all eigenvalues.

## 8 Extensions

### 8.1 2d phase spaces

The method applies to any 2 d phase space using only 3 things:

- The star product
- The fact that the trace of operators is given by $(1 / 2 \pi h) \times$ (the integral of their symbols)
- An example where you know enough to compute the $C_{j}^{\prime}$ s

The power of our method is that it avoids the use of any Ansatz. Maslov contributions come only from the computation of an explicit example.

### 8.2 The cylinder $\mathbf{T}^{\star}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$

In that case, we replace the hypothesis by the following:

- We fix some compact interval $I=\left[E_{-}, E_{+}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}, E_{-}<E_{+}$, and we assume there exists a topological ring $\mathcal{A}$, homotopic to the zero section of $T^{\star}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$, such that $\partial \mathcal{A}=A_{-} \cup A_{+}$with $A_{ \pm}$a connected component of $H^{-1}\left(E_{ \pm}\right)$.
- We assume that $H$ has no critical point in $\mathcal{A}$
- We assume that $A_{-}$is "below" $A_{+}$(see Figure 2).


Figure 2. The cylinder.

We will use the Weyl quantization for symbols which are of period 1 in $x$. Then Theorem 1 holds. The only change is $S_{1}$ which is now 0 . The proof is the same except that the reference operator is now $\frac{h}{i} \partial_{x}$ instead of the harmonic oscillator.

### 8.3 Other extensions

It would be nice to extend the previous method to the case of Toeplitz operators on two-dimensional symplectic phase spaces, in the spirit of [3] and [4], and to the case of systems starting from the analysis in [6].

As remarked by Littlejohn, our method does not obviously extend to semiclassical completely integrable systems $\widehat{H_{1}}, \ldots, \widehat{H_{d}}$ with $d \geq 2$ degrees of freedom. The reason for that is that, using the same lines, we will get only the jacobian determinant of the $d \mathrm{BS}$ actions which is not enough to recover the actions even up to constants.

## 9 Relations with KdV

Let us consider the periodic Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}=-\partial_{x}^{2}+q(x)$ with $q(x+1)=$ $q(x)$. Let us denote by $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3}<\lambda_{4} \leq \cdots$ the eigenvalues of the periodic problem for $\hat{H}$. Then the partition function

$$
Z(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda_{n}}
$$

admits, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, the following asymptotic expansion

$$
Z(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} t+\cdots+a_{j} t^{j}+\cdots\right)+O\left(t^{\infty}\right)
$$

where the $a_{j}$ 's are of the following form

$$
a_{j}=\int_{0}^{1} A_{j}\left(q(x), q^{\prime}(x), \ldots, q^{(l)}(x), \ldots\right)|d x|
$$

where the $A_{j}$ 's are polynomials. The $a_{j}$ 's are called the Korteweg-de Vries invariants because they are independent of $u$ if $q_{u}(x)=Q(x, u)$ is a solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. See [11], [12] and [16].

Let us translate the previous objects in the semi-classical context: we have $Z\left(h^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\exp \left(-h^{2} \hat{H}\right)\right)$ and $h^{2} \hat{H}$ is the semi-classical operator of order 0 whose Weyl symbol is $\xi^{2}+h^{2} q(x)$. If we put $f(E)=e^{-E}$, the partition function is exactly a trace of the form used in our method except that $E \rightarrow e^{-E}$ is not compactly supported. Nevertheless, the similarity between both situations is rather clear.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Contrary to the usual notation, we denote by $\left|d x_{1} \cdots d x_{n}\right|$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in order to avoid confusions related to orientations problems.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ I learned this formula from Laurent Charles

