A Subnormal Completion Problem for Weighted Shifts on Directed Trees, II

George R. Exner, Il Bong Jung, Jan Stochel and Hye Yeong Yun

Abstract. The subnormal completion problem on a directed tree is to determine, given a collection of weights on a subtree, whether the weights may be completed to the weights of a subnormal weighted shift on the directed tree. We study this problem on a directed tree with a single branching point, η branches and the trunk of length 1 and its subtree which is the “truncation” of the full tree to vertices of generation not exceeding 2. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions written in terms of two parameter sequences for the existence of a subnormal completion in which the resulting measures are 2-atomic. As a consequence, we obtain a solution of the subnormal completion problem for this pair of directed trees when $\eta < \infty$. If $\eta = 2$, we present a solution written explicitly in terms of initial data.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B20, 47B37; Secondary 05C20.

Keywords. Subnormal operator, Weighted shift on a directed tree, Subnormal completion problem, 2-Atomic measures.

1. Introduction

The class of unilateral weighted shifts on Hilbert space has been a standard and important source of examples with which to study the properties of bounded linear operators on Hilbert space, including especially the investigation of subnormality (see [18] and [5]). A recently introduced class of weighted shifts on directed trees provides a more extensive collection of objects for study (see e.g., [1–3, 14, 15]). In [10], we initiated the study of a subnormal completion problem for weighted shift operators on directed trees. For a classical weighted shift, the subnormal completion problem is to be given an initial finite sequence of positive weights and to determine whether or not they may be extended to the weights of an injective, bounded, subnormal unilateral weighted shift; such a shift is called a subnormal completion of the initial weight sequence. It should be emphasized that the subnormal

The research of the second author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (2018R1A2B6003660).

completion problem was initiated and solved explicitly for four initial weights by Stampfli in [19]. Almost three decades later the problem was abstractly solved by Curto and Fialkow in [7, 8] (see also [6, 9]). Relatively recently an explicit solution for five initial weights was given in [16].

In the present paper we continue the study of the subnormal completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees. As in [10], we restrict our attention to the directed tree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$ with a single branching point, η branches and the trunk of length κ , and we consider the subnormal completion problem with respect to the subtree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa, p}$, which is the “truncation” of $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$ to vertices of generation not exceeding p . If η is finite and the p -generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$ has a solution for given initial data λ , then we can always find a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ such that the measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$, which are canonically associated with $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ at vertices of the first generation, are at most $\lfloor \frac{\kappa+p+2}{2} \rfloor$ -atomic (see Theorem 2.2). So far as we know, there is no solution of the p -generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$ written in terms of initial data for $p \geq 2$. The only explicit solution is that for the 1-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ (see [10, Theorem 5.1]). In view of the above discussion, if the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ has a solution for given initial data λ , then we can always find a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with the property that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 1- or 2-atomic. In this paper we provide necessary and sufficient conditions written in terms of two parameter sequences for λ to admit a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$. As a consequence, we solve the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ for $\eta < \infty$. The results, taken as a whole, suggest that a complete answer to the subnormal completion problem even for this class of directed trees is at present out of reach.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we provide notation, terminology and results that are needed in this paper. In Sect. 3 we carry out an in-depth analysis of the first two “negative” moments of the Berger measure associated with the Stampfli completion of three increasing weights to the weight sequence for a subnormal unilateral weighted shift (see Lemma 3.6). In Sect. 4 we state and prove the solutions of the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures (the case $\eta = \infty$ is included, see Theorem 4.1) and without any restrictions on supports of the associated measures (only for $\eta < \infty$; see Theorem 4.2). In view of Proposition 4.4(iii), to solve the problem with 2-atomic measures we have to compute the infimum of a quadratic form in η variables subject to some constraints. In Sect. 5 we give a solution of the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with 2-atomic measures written entirely in terms of the initial data (see Theorem 5.2). This confirms the scale of the complexity of this problem.

FIGURE 1. An illustration of the directed tree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$

2. Preliminaries

In this section we sketch briefly the notation and results necessary for the present discussion, but the reader is encouraged to consult [10] for a considerably more complete presentation.

Given a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *subnormal* if there exists a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ and a normal operator $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $Th = Nh$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$. We refer the reader to [4, 5, 12] for the foundations of the theory of subnormal operators.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of nonnegative integers, positive integers, real numbers, nonnegative real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. Set $\mathbb{N}_2 = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{N}}_2 = \mathbb{N}_2 \cup \{\infty\}$. Define J_ι by

$$J_\iota = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq \iota\} \text{ for } \iota \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\},$$

using the convention that $J_0 = \emptyset$. We write $\text{card}(X)$ for the cardinality of a set X . In what follows, δ_x stands for the Dirac Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$ at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The closed support of a Borel probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is denoted by $\text{supp } \mu$.

A pair $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ is a *directed graph* if V is a nonempty set and E is a subset of $V \times V$. A member of V is a *vertex* of $\mathcal{G}$, and an element of E is called an *edge* of $\mathcal{G}$. For $u \in V$, we set $\text{Chi}(u) = \{v \in V : (u, v) \in E\}$. A member of $\text{Chi}(u)$ is called a *child* of u . A vertex v of $\mathcal{G}$ is called a *root* of $\mathcal{G}$, which we may also write as $v \in \text{Root}(\mathcal{G})$, if there is no vertex u of $\mathcal{G}$ such that (u, v) is an edge of $\mathcal{G}$. We set $V^\circ = V \setminus \text{Root}(\mathcal{G})$. We say that $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$ is a *directed tree* if $\mathcal{T}$ is a directed graph, which is connected, has no circuits and has the property that for each vertex $u \in V$ there exists at most one vertex $v \in V$, called the *parent* of u and denoted here by $\text{par}(u)$, such that $(v, u) \in E$. The reader is referred to [15, 17] for more information on directed trees needed in this paper.

We will consider a certain class of directed trees with a single branching point obtained as follows: given $\eta \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}_2$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the directed tree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa} = (V_{\eta, \kappa}, E_{\eta, \kappa})$ by (see Fig. 1):

FIGURE 2. An illustration of the directed tree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa, p}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\eta, \kappa} &= \{-k : k \in J_{\kappa}\} \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup \{(i, j) : i \in J_{\eta}, j \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\
 E_{\eta, \kappa} &= E_{\kappa} \sqcup \{(0, (i, 1)) : i \in J_{\eta}\} \sqcup \{((i, j), (i, j+1)) : i \in J_{\eta}, j \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\
 E_{\kappa} &= \{(-k, -k+1) : k \in J_{\kappa}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define as well a subtree of $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$ on which we may be given “some of” the weights of a proposed shift as initial data: for $\eta \in \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let the directed tree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa, p} = (V_{\eta, \kappa, p}, E_{\eta, \kappa, p})$ be defined by (see Fig. 2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\eta, \kappa, p} &= \{-k : k \in J_{\kappa}\} \sqcup \{0\} \sqcup \{(i, j) : i \in J_{\eta}, j \in J_p\}, \\
 E_{\eta, \kappa, p} &= E_{\kappa} \sqcup \{(0, (i, 1)) : i \in J_{\eta}\} \sqcup \{((i, j), (i, j+1)) : i \in J_{\eta}, j \in J_{p-1}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Given a directed tree $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$, let $\ell^2(V)$ be the Hilbert space of all square summable complex functions on V equipped with the usual inner product. The family $\{e_u\}_{u \in V}$ defined by

$$e_u(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = u, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad v \in V,$$

is clearly an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(V)$. Given a system $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V^\circ} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, we define the operator S_λ in $\ell^2(V)$ by $S_\lambda f = \Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} f$ for $f \in \ell^2(V)$ such that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} f \in \ell^2(V)$, where $\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}$ acts on functions $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via

$$(\Lambda_{\mathcal{T}} f)(v) = \begin{cases} \lambda_v \cdot f(\text{par}(v)) & \text{if } v \in V^\circ, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \text{ is a root of } \mathcal{T}. \end{cases}$$

We call S_λ the *weighted shift* on the directed tree $\mathcal{T}$ with weights $\{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V^\circ}$. Throughout this paper it is assumed that the resulting operator S_λ is bounded (see [10] or more generally [15] for an approach suitable even for unbounded shifts, and for discussions of when S_λ is indeed bounded). If $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(V))$, in view of [15, (3.1.4)], one may more easily express S_λ by

$$S_\lambda e_u = \sum_{v \in \text{Chi}(u)} \lambda_v e_v.$$

(We adopt the convention that $\sum_{v \in \emptyset} x_v = 0$.) The weighted shifts desired are those which are subnormal operators. According to [15, Theorem 6.1.3 and Notation 6.1.9], the following assertion holds.

$S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(V))$ is subnormal if and only if for any $u \in V$, there exists (a unique compactly supported) Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$, denoted by μ_u^λ , such that

$$\|S_\lambda^n e_u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^n d\mu_u^\lambda(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2.1)$$

The characterizations of subnormality of S_λ on the directed tree $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$ can be found in [15, Corollary 6.2.2].

Matters are in hand for the statement of the fundamental problem considered. Let $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$ be a subtree of a directed tree $\hat{\mathcal{T}} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ and let $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V^\circ}$ be a system of positive real numbers. We say that a weighted shift $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ with weights $\hat{\lambda} = \{\hat{\lambda}_v\}_{v \in \hat{V}^\circ} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ is a *subnormal completion* of λ on $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ if $S_{\hat{\lambda}} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\hat{V}))$, $\lambda \subseteq \hat{\lambda}$, i.e., $\lambda_v = \hat{\lambda}_v$ for all $v \in V^\circ$, and $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is subnormal. If such a completion exists, we may sometimes say λ admits a subnormal completion on $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$. The *subnormal completion problem* for $(\mathcal{T}, \hat{\mathcal{T}})$ consists of seeking necessary and sufficient conditions for a system $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V^\circ} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ to have a subnormal completion on $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$.

Following [10], the subnormal completion problem for $(\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa,p}, \mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa})$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, is called the *p-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$* (sometimes abbreviated to *p-generation SCP on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$*). In this particular case, our initial data takes the form

$$\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V_{\eta,\kappa,p}^\circ} = \{\lambda_{-\kappa+1}, \dots, \lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i \in J_\eta} \cup \dots \cup \{\lambda_{i,p}\}_{i \in J_\eta}.$$

The following result, which gives the measure-theoretic way of solving the *p-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$* , is a consequence of [10, Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 4.9].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V_{\eta,\kappa,p}^\circ} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) λ admits a subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$,
- (ii) there exist Borel probability measures $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\eta$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s^n d\mu_i(s) = \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \lambda_{i,j}^2, \quad n \in J_{p-1}, \quad i \in J_\eta, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_i(s) = 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^{k+1}} d\mu_i(s) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad k \in J_{\kappa-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^{\kappa+1}} d\mu_i(s) \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\sup_{i \in J_\eta} \sup \sup \mu_i < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\eta$ are as in (ii), then there exists a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$ such that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \mu_i$ for all $i \in J_\eta$.

In [10, Theorem 5.1] we gave an explicit solution of the 1-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ written in terms of initial data. As shown in [10, Theorem 6.2], the 1-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$, where $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, reduces to seeking necessary and sufficient conditions for a system $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V_{\eta,\kappa,1}^\circ} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ to have a 2-generation flat subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$. It was also proved in [10, Theorem 8.3] that the problem of finding a p -generation flat subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$ can be solved by using the well-known solutions of the subnormal completion problem for unilateral weighted shifts given in [6–9, 16, 19]. However, in most cases these solutions are not written explicitly in terms of initial data. This means that we have no explicit (i.e., written in terms of initial data) solution of the p -generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$ for $p \geq 2$, even when $\kappa = 1$. It is the right moment to make the following important observation which is implicitly contained in the proof of [10, Theorem 7.1].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V_{\eta,\kappa,p}^\circ} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. If λ admits a subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$, then it admits a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$ such that*

$$\text{card}(\text{supp } \mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa + p + 2}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad i \in J_\eta,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor = \min \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : n \leq x < n + 1\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. Applying [6, Theorems 5.1(iii) and 5.3(iii)] and arguing as in the proof of the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) of [10, Theorem 7.1], we may assume without loss of generality that for every $i \in J_\eta$, the measure ρ_i appearing in the proof of the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) of [10, Theorem 7.1] satisfies the following condition:

$$\text{card}(\text{supp } \rho_i) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa + p + 2}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ and } \text{supp } \rho_i \subseteq (0, \infty).$$

Next, by arguing as in the proof of the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) of [10, Theorem 7.1], we get a subnormal completion with the desired property. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that if $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ has a solution for a given data $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V_{\eta,1,2}^\circ} \subseteq (0, \infty)$, then one can always find a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with the property that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 1- or 2-atomic.

3. Preparatory Lemmas

One of the goals of this paper is to explore when initial data $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V_{\eta,1,2}^\circ}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1,2}$ admits a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each of the measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ (see (2.1)) is 2-atomic (under present circumstances, the measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ may be taken to be 1- or 2-atomic due to Theorem 2.2). We first require some background on the Stampfli completion of three increasing weights to the weight sequence for a subnormal unilateral weighted shift (cf. [19]).

In [19] the author gives an explicit construction of the completion of an initial finite sequence of three weights x, y, z satisfying $0 < x < y < z$ to the

FIGURE 3. An illustration of a 2-generation SCP on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with λ_0 , $\{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i \in J_\eta}$ and $\{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i \in J_\eta}$ as given data

sequence of positive weights $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ for a (bounded, injective) subnormal unilateral weighted shift W_α . This includes a construction of the associated Berger measure of W_α (i.e., a unique Borel probability measure ξ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_n := \alpha_0^2 \dots \alpha_{n-1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^n d\xi(t)$), which turns out to be 2-atomic. The completion sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, which is called the *Stampfli completion* of (x, y, z) , is customarily denoted by $(x, y, z)^\wedge$; it is known that the moment sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ (with $\gamma_0 = 1$) for W_α satisfies a recursion.

Definition 3.1. The Berger measure of W_α will be called the *Berger measure associated with the Stampfli completion* $(x, y, z)^\wedge$ of (x, y, z) and denoted by $\xi_{x,y,z}$.

One may see [6–8] for an alternative approach to these same results. We note also that one may consider the cases $0 < x < y = z$ (yielding a 2-atomic measure with an atom at zero) and $0 < x = y = z$, and this last case yields a completion whose Berger measure is 1-atomic.

Our technique will be to try to choose y_i and z_i , which will become respectively $\hat{\lambda}_{i,3}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{i,4}$ of the completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$, in such a way that the Berger measures associated to $(x_i, y_i, z_i)^\wedge$ will become the $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ and have good properties (and of course they will automatically be 2-atomic). Figure 3 summarizes the task and our notation.

We begin by calculating the first two “negative” moments of the Berger measure associated with the Stampfli completion $(x, y, z)^\wedge$ of (x, y, z) .

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $0 < x < y < z$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) = \frac{x^4 - 2x^2y^2 + y^2z^2}{x^2y^2(z^2 - y^2)} = \frac{1}{x^2} \left[\frac{1 - 2\frac{y^2}{x^2} + \frac{y^2}{x^2} \frac{z^2}{x^2}}{\frac{y^2}{x^2} \left(\frac{z^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^2}{x^2} \right)} \right] \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) &= \frac{-x^6 + y^2z^4 + x^4(y^2 + 2z^2) + x^2(y^4 - 4y^2z^2)}{x^4(y^3 - yz^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^4} \left[\frac{-1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2} \cdot \frac{z^4}{x^4} + \frac{y^2}{x^2} + \frac{2z^2}{x^2} + \frac{y^4}{x^4} - \frac{4y^2}{x^2} \cdot \frac{z^2}{x^2}}{\frac{y^2}{x^2} \left(\frac{z^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^2}{x^2} \right)^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. To simplify notation, set $\xi = \xi_{x,y,z}$. By [7, Example 3.14], we have

$$\xi = \rho \delta_{s_0} + (1 - \rho) \delta_{s_1},$$

where $\rho = \frac{s_1 - x^2}{s_1 - s_0}$, $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 - \sqrt{\psi_1^2 + 4\psi_0})$ and $s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \sqrt{\psi_1^2 + 4\psi_0})$ with $\psi_0 = -x^2 y^2 \frac{z^2 - y^2}{y^2 - x^2}$ and $\psi_1 = y^2 \frac{z^2 - x^2}{y^2 - x^2}$. Straightforward computations now yield (3.1) and (3.2). $\square$

Next we investigate the function f which comes from the expression appearing on the right-hand side of the second equality in (3.1).

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be a real function on $\Omega = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : v > u > 1\}$ given by*

$$f(u, v) = \frac{1 - 2u + uv}{u(v - u)}, \quad (u, v) \in \Omega.$$

Then $f(\Omega) = (1, \infty)$ and for any $(u, v) \in \Omega$ and $r \in (1, \infty)$,

$$f(u, v) = r \quad \text{if and only if} \quad v = \frac{1 - 2u + ru^2}{(r - 1)u}. \quad (3.3)$$

Further, for any $u \in (1, \infty)$, the map $\varphi_u : (1, \infty) \rightarrow (u, \infty)$ defined by

$$\varphi_u(r) = \frac{1 - 2u + ru^2}{(r - 1)u}, \quad r \in (1, \infty), \quad (3.4)$$

is a bijection.

Proof. It is a routine matter to verify that for any $u \in (1, \infty)$, the function φ_u is a well-defined bijection from $(1, \infty)$ to (u, ∞) . Clearly, the function f is well defined. Since $(u, \varphi_u(r)) \in \Omega$ and $f(u, \varphi_u(r)) = r$ for all $r \in (1, \infty)$ and $u \in (1, \infty)$, we deduce that $(1, \infty) \subseteq f(\Omega)$. Next, a simple argument shows that $f(\Omega) \subseteq (1, \infty)$, so $f(\Omega) = (1, \infty)$. It is a computation to show that (3.3) holds. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 below provides more information on the behavior of the first “negative” moment of the Berger measure appearing in Lemma 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < x < y$. Then for any $z \in (y, \infty)$, there exists a unique $r \in (1, \infty)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) = r \frac{1}{x^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Conversely, for any $r \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a unique $z \in (y, \infty)$ such that (3.5) holds; the number z is determined by the formula $v = \varphi_u(r)$ with $u = \frac{y^2}{x^2}$ and $v = \frac{z^2}{x^2}$.

Proof. Making the substitutions $u = \frac{y^2}{x^2}$ and $v = \frac{z^2}{x^2}$, we see that $(u, v) \in \Omega$ and

$$\frac{1}{x^2} \left[\frac{1 - 2\frac{y^2}{x^2} + \frac{y^2}{x^2} \frac{z^2}{x^2}}{\frac{y^2}{x^2} (\frac{z^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^2}{x^2})} \right] = \frac{1}{x^2} f(u, v).$$

Now applying Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 completes the proof. $\square$

The expression on the right-hand side of the second equality in (3.2) leads to the function g which appears in a lemma below. The proof of this lemma follows from straightforward computations via Lemma 3.3. The details are left to the reader.

Lemma 3.5. *Let Ω be as in Lemma 3.3 and g be a real function on Ω given by*

$$g(u, v) = \frac{-1 + u \cdot v^2 + u + 2v + u^2 - 4u \cdot v}{u(v - u)^2}, \quad (u, v) \in \Omega.$$

For $r \in (1, \infty)$, let h_r be a real function on $(1, \infty)$ defined by¹

$$h_r(u) = g(u, \varphi_u(r)), \quad u \in (1, \infty),$$

where φ_u is as in (3.4). Then the following statements hold for each $r \in (1, \infty)$:

- (i) $h_r(u) = \frac{1-2r+r^2u}{u-1}$, $u \in (1, \infty)$,
- (ii) $\lim_{u \rightarrow 1+} h_r(u) = \infty$,
- (iii) $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} h_r(u) = r^2$,
- (iv) $h_r((1, \infty)) = (r^2, \infty)$ and $h_r: (1, \infty) \rightarrow (r^2, \infty)$ is a bijection.

Putting together the last three lemmas, we obtain the following crucial lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $0 < x < y < z$, there exist $r, \vartheta \in (1, \infty)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) = r \frac{1}{x^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) = \vartheta r^2 \frac{1}{x^4}. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, for any $x \in (0, \infty)$ and any $r, \vartheta \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $x < y < z$ satisfying (3.6) and (3.7).

Proof. Assume $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is such that $0 < x < y < z$. Set $u = \frac{y^2}{x^2}$ and $v = \frac{z^2}{x^2}$. Then $(u, v) \in \Omega$. By Lemma 3.3, $r := f(u, v) \in (1, \infty)$ and $v = \varphi_u(r)$, so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \frac{1}{x^2} f(u, v) = r \frac{1}{x^2},$$

which gives (3.6). In turn, by Lemma 3.5(iv), $h_r(u) \in (r^2, \infty)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \frac{1}{x^4} g(u, v) = \frac{1}{x^4} h_r(u),$$

which implies that (3.7) holds for some $\vartheta \in (1, \infty)$.

Suppose now that $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $r, \vartheta \in (1, \infty)$. Since $\vartheta r^2 \in (r^2, \infty)$, we infer from Lemma 3.5(iv) that there exists $u \in (1, \infty)$ such that $h_r(u) = \vartheta r^2$. Set $v = \varphi_u(r)$. Then $(u, v) \in \Omega$ and

$$g(u, v) = h_r(u) = \vartheta r^2. \quad (3.8)$$

¹In view of Lemma 3.3, the definition of h_r is correct.

Setting $y = x\sqrt{u}$ and $z = x\sqrt{v}$, we see that $0 < x < y < z$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \frac{1}{x^4} g(u, v) \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \vartheta r^2 \frac{1}{x^4},$$

which gives (3.7). Since $v = \varphi_u(r)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \frac{1}{x^2} f(u, v) = \frac{1}{x^2} f(u, \varphi_u(r)) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} r \frac{1}{x^2},$$

which implies (3.6). $\square$

4. The 2-Generation SCP on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$

We begin by solving the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures (the case $\eta = \infty$ is included).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\eta \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^\eta \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic,
- (ii) there exist sequences $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\eta, \{\vartheta_i\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{i \in J_\eta} \frac{\vartheta_i r_i - 1}{(\vartheta_i - 1)r_i} \lambda_{i,2}^2 < \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} = 1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta \vartheta_i r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, if (i) holds, then $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}(\{0\}) = 0$ for all $i \in J_\eta$ and

$$\lambda_0^2 < \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} < \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} < 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \sup_{i \in J_\eta} \lambda_{i,2}^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. We concentrate on proving the case when $\eta = \infty$. If $\eta < \infty$, the proof simplifies. In particular, the statement (4.1) can be dropped.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ be a subnormal completion of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\infty,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_i := \mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic. It follows from [15, Corollary 6.2.2(ii)] that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_i(s) = 1, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\mu_i(s) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \quad (4.6)$$

Applying (2.1) to $u = e_{i,1}$ and using the Berger–Gellar–Wallen theorem (see [11, 13]), we deduce that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the unilateral weighted shift $W_{\alpha^{(i)}}$ with weights $\alpha^{(i)} = \{\hat{\lambda}_{i,n+2}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is subnormal and μ_i is the Berger measure of $W_{\alpha^{(i)}}$. It follows from (4.5) that μ_i cannot have an atom at zero. Hence

each μ_i has two atoms in $(0, \infty)$. As a consequence, $\lambda_{i,2} < \hat{\lambda}_{i,3} < \hat{\lambda}_{i,4}$ and $\mu_i = \xi_{\lambda_{i,2}, \hat{\lambda}_{i,3}, \hat{\lambda}_{i,4}}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [10, Lemma 2.3] and [7, Example 3.14, Theorem 3.9(iii)], respectively). Applying Lemma 3.6 to $x = x_i := \lambda_{i,2}$, $y = y_i := \hat{\lambda}_{i,3}$ and $z = z_i := \hat{\lambda}_{i,4}$, we deduce that there exist sequences $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq (1, \infty)$ and $\{\vartheta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_i(s) = r_i \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,2}^2}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\mu_i(s) = \vartheta_i r_i^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,2}^4}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.8)$$

According to the proof of Lemma 3.6, the sequences $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{\vartheta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are constructed via the following process:

$$y_i = x_i \sqrt{u_i}, z_i = x_i \sqrt{v_i}, v_i = \varphi_{u_i}(r_i) \text{ and } h_{r_i}(u_i) = \vartheta_i r_i^2 \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $0 < x_i < y_i < z_i$ and $1 < u_i < v_i$. (4.9)

(Recall that by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, the functions $\varphi_{u_i}: (1, \infty) \rightarrow (u_i, \infty)$ and $h_{r_i}: (1, \infty) \rightarrow (r_i^2, \infty)$ are bijections.) Combining (4.5) with (4.7), we obtain (4.2). In turn, (4.6) and (4.8) imply (4.3). To get (ii), it remains to prove (4.1).

For this, we show that under the circumstances of (4.9) the following assertion holds:

$$\Xi := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup \sup \xi_{x_i, y_i, z_i} < \infty \iff \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i^2 \frac{\vartheta_i r_i - 1}{(\vartheta_i - 1)r_i} < \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Indeed, according to [7, Example 3.14], we have

$$\sup \sup \xi_{x_i, y_i, z_i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{1,i} + \sqrt{\psi_{1,i}^2 + 4\psi_{0,i}} \right), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\psi_{0,i} = -x_i^2 y_i^2 \frac{z_i^2 - y_i^2}{y_i^2 - x_i^2}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\psi_{1,i} = y_i^2 \frac{z_i^2 - x_i^2}{y_i^2 - x_i^2} \stackrel{(4.9)}{=} x_i^2 u_i \frac{v_i - 1}{u_i - 1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.12)$$

Since $\psi_{0,i} < 0$ and $\psi_{1,i}^2 + 4\psi_{0,i} > 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce from (4.11) that

$$\Xi < \infty \iff \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_{1,i} < \infty. \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.9), $h_{r_i}(u_i) = \vartheta_i r_i^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so Lemma 3.5(i) leads to

$$u_i = \frac{1 - 2r_i + \vartheta_i r_i^2}{(\vartheta_i - 1)r_i^2}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.14)$$

Using the identity $v_i = \varphi_{u_i}(r_i)$ and (3.4), we get

$$v_i - 1 = \frac{(u_i - 1)(r_i u_i - 1)}{(r_i - 1)u_i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.15)$$

Combining (4.12), (4.14) and (4.15), we see that

$$\psi_{1,i} = \frac{x_i^2}{r_i - 1} (r_i u_i - 1) = x_i^2 \frac{\vartheta_i r_i - 1}{(\vartheta_i - 1)r_i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This together with (4.13) yields (4.10). It follows from [15, Eg. (6.3.9)] that $\Xi < \infty$. Hence, by (4.10), (4.1) is satisfied, which shows that (ii) holds.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose now that (ii) holds. Applying the “moreover” part of Lemma 3.6 to $x = x_i := \lambda_{i,2}$, $r = r_i$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta_i$, we deduce that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $(y_i, z_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $x_i < y_i < z_i$ such that (4.7) and (4.8) hold with $\mu_i = \xi_{x_i, y_i, z_i}$. According to the proof of Lemma 3.6, the sequences $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are constructed via the procedure (4.9). It follows from (4.1) and (4.10) that

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup \sup \mu_i < \infty. \quad (4.16)$$

Since in general $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s d\xi_{x,y,z}(s) = x^2$ whenever $0 < x < y < z$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s d\mu_i(s) = \lambda_{i,2}^2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.17)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_i(s) \stackrel{(4.7)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} 1, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\mu_i(s) \stackrel{(4.8)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \vartheta_i r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \stackrel{(4.3)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \quad (4.19)$$

Putting together the conditions (4.16), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19), and applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\infty,1}$ such that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \mu_i = \xi_{x_i, y_i, z_i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence, each $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic, which gives (i).

Now we prove the “moreover” part. Suppose (i) is satisfied. The fact that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}(\{0\}) = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is a direct consequence of [10, Theorem 3.5(i)]. Notice that the second inequality in (4.4) follows from (4.3) while the third and the fourth can be deduced from (4.2). Thus, it remains to prove the first inequality in (4.4). It follows from [10, Theorem 4.9(i)] that $\lambda_0^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\lambda_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2$. Then, by the “moreover” part of [10, Proposition 7.6], we see that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \delta_c$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_{i,1}^2$, which contradicts our assumption that each $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic. $\square$

Now we are ready to solve the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$ without any restrictions on the supports of the resulting measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^\eta \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$,
(ii) there exists a sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq [1, \infty)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} = 1, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \begin{cases} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} & \text{if } r_i = 1 \text{ for every } i \in J_{\eta}, \\ < \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} & \text{if } r_i > 1 \text{ for some } i \in J_{\eta}. \end{cases} \quad (4.21)$$

Moreover, if $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is a subnormal completion of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 1- or 2-atomic, then (4.20) and (4.21) hold for some $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq [1, \infty)$ such that

$$r_i = 1 \text{ (resp. } r_i > 1) \text{ if and only if } \mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} \text{ is 1-atomic (resp. 2-atomic)}. \quad (4.22)$$

Conversely, if (ii) holds, then λ admits a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ which satisfies (4.22).

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.2, the statement (i) is equivalent to the fact that λ admits a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 1- or 2-atomic. Hence, in the proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), we can define the partition (A, B) of J_{η} as follows

$$A = \{i \in J_{\eta} : \mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} \text{ is 1-atomic}\} \text{ and } B = \{i \in J_{\eta} : \mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} \text{ is 2-atomic}\}.$$

By [15, Corollary 6.2.2(ii)] the measures $\mu_i = \mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$, $i \in J_{\eta}$, satisfy (2.2)–(2.4) with $\kappa = 1$ and $p = 2$. If $B = \emptyset$, then it is easily seen that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \delta_{\lambda_{i,1}^2}$ for every $i \in J_{\eta}$ and so (4.20) and (4.21) hold with $r_i = 1$ for all $i \in J_{\eta}$. If $B \neq \emptyset$, then by combining reasonings used above and in the proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Theorem 4.1, we get two sequences $\{r_i\}_{i \in B}$, $\{\vartheta_i\}_{i \in B} \subseteq (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in A} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} + \sum_{i \in B} r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} = 1, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\sum_{i \in A} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} + \sum_{i \in B} \vartheta_i r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \quad (4.24)$$

Since $\vartheta_i > 1$ for any $i \in B$, we see that (4.23) and (4.24) imply (4.20) and (4.21) with $r_i = 1$ for $i \in A$. Putting all of this together yields (ii) and (4.22).

To prove the converse implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), we will define the family $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in J_{\eta}}$ of Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying (2.2)–(2.5) with $\kappa = 1$ and $p = 2$. First, we define the partition (A', B') of J_{η} by

$$A' = \{i \in J_{\eta} : r_i = 1\} \text{ and } B' = \{i \in J_{\eta} : r_i > 1\}.$$

If $B' = \emptyset$, then the probability measures $\mu_i = \delta_{\lambda_{i,2}^2}$, $i \in J_{\eta}$, satisfy (2.2)–(2.5). If $B' \neq \emptyset$, then we proceed as follows. By (4.21) there exists $\tau \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in A'} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} + \tau \sum_{i \in B'} r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} < \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \quad (4.25)$$

FIGURE 4. Mixed completions discussed in Example 4.3

If $i \in A'$, then we set $\mu_i = \delta_{\lambda_{i,2}^2}$. If $i \in B'$, then we define μ_i as in the proof of the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 4.1 with $\vartheta_i = \tau$. As in that proof, we verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s d\mu_i(s) &= \lambda_{i,2}^2, \quad i \in J_\eta, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_i(s) &= \sum_{i \in A'} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} + \sum_{i \in B'} r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} \stackrel{(4.20)}{=} 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\mu_i(s) &= \sum_{i \in A'} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} + \sum_{i \in B'} \vartheta_i r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \stackrel{(4.25)}{<} \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.2)–(2.5) hold. Now, by applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \mu_i$ for every $i \in J_\eta$, which gives (i). Clearly, the measures $\{\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} : i \in A'\}$ are 1-atomic while the measures $\{\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} : i \in B'\}$ are 2-atomic. This completes the proof of the “moreover” part and the proof of the theorem. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 4.2 that the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ may have a solution admitting simultaneously 1- and 2-atomic measures. Below we give an example showing that the problem on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ may have a solution of this kind with distinct atoms.

Example 4.3. Consider the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with the initial data $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^2 \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^2 \subseteq (0, \infty)$, where $\lambda_0 \in (0, \infty)$ is arbitrary and the remaining weights are given by (see Fig. 4)

$$\lambda_{1,1} = \lambda_{2,1} = 1, \lambda_{1,2} = \sqrt{2} \text{ and } \lambda_{2,2} = \sqrt{3}.$$

We are looking for λ_0 for which λ admits a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ such that $\mu_{1,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 1-atomic while $\mu_{2,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic, and all these atoms are different. In view of [10, Theorem 4.9], any subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of the above λ on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ comes from compactly supported Borel probability measures μ_1 and μ_2 on $\mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy the following conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s d\mu_i(s) = \lambda_{i,2}^2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 3 & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_1(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s} d\mu_2(s) = 1, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\mu_1(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{s^2} d\mu_2(s) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}. \quad (4.28)$$

Let μ_1 and μ_2 be Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$\mu_1 = \delta_x \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}\delta_y + \frac{1}{2}\delta_z.$$

It is a matter of routine to verify that μ_1 and μ_2 with $x = 2$, $y = 3 - \sqrt{3}$ and $z = 3 + \sqrt{3}$ satisfy (4.26) and (4.27). Hence (4.28) holds if and only if $\lambda_0^2 \leq \frac{12}{7}$, meaning that for such λ_0 's the corresponding λ admits a subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with the desired properties.

If $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, Theorem 4.1 takes a much simpler form which is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^\eta \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic,
- (ii) there exists a sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (1, \infty)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} = 1, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} < \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}, \quad (4.30)$$

- (iii) $-\infty < \beta(\eta) < \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}$, where²

$$\beta(\eta) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\eta r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} : \{r_i\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (1, \infty) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\eta r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} = 1 \right\}. \quad (4.31)$$

Below we discuss the 2-generation SCP on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures under some constraints. Let us suppose temporarily that $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$. According to the “moreover” part of Theorem 4.1, if $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is a subnormal completion of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic, then there exists $i \in J_\eta$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^\eta \lambda_{j,1}^2 < \lambda_{i,2}^2$ (use the fourth inequality in (4.4)). If the last inequality holds for all $i \in J_\eta$, then the solution of the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures takes a simple form. Namely, the first inequality in (4.4), which is a necessary condition for solving the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$, now becomes sufficient (see Corollary 4.5(ii) below). It is worth pointing out that in general

²We adhere to the convention that $\inf \emptyset = -\infty$; in particular, $-\infty < \beta(\eta)$ means that the set in (4.31) is nonempty.

the inequality $\lambda_0^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$ holds whenever the p -generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa}$ has a solution (see [10, Theorem 4.9(i)]).

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Assume that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{j,1}^2 < \lambda_{i,2}^2, \quad i \in J_{\eta}. \quad (4.32)$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic,
- (ii) $\lambda_0^2 < \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) This is a direct consequence of the first inequality in (4.4).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Define $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (1, \infty)$ by

$$r_i = \frac{\lambda_{i,2}^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{j,1}^2}, \quad i \in J_{\eta}. \quad (4.33)$$

It is easily seen that with this choice of $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta}$ the Eq. (4.29) holds. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \stackrel{(4.33)}{=} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2} \stackrel{(ii)}{<} \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2},$$

we obtain (4.30). Applying Proposition 4.4 completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.6. In view of Proposition 4.4(iii), if $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$ one may create many sufficient conditions for solving the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures. The procedure goes as follows. First, we look for a sequence $\{r_i\}_{i \in J_{\eta}} \subseteq (1, \infty)$ satisfying the Eq. (4.29). Second, we substitute this particular choice of $\{r_i\}_{i \in J_{\eta}}$ into the expression $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4}$ appearing in (4.30). Finally, we require the value so obtained to be strictly less than $\frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}$.

It is worth mentioning that the procedure described in Remark 4.6 has been applied in the proof of Corollary 4.5 (see (4.33)). Below we give a few more examples illustrating this procedure.

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic provided any of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} < 1$ and $\lambda_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} < \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} \right)^2$,
- (ii) $\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \lambda_{i,2}^2$ for each $i \in J_{\eta}$ and $\lambda_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,1}^2} < \eta^2$,
- (iii) $\lambda_{i,1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j,2}^2} < 1$ for each $i \in J_{\eta}$ and $\lambda_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,1}^2 \lambda_{i,2}^4} < \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} \right)^2$.

Proof. Apply Remark 4.6 to

$$r_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{j,1}^2}{\lambda_{j,2}^2}}, \quad r_i = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{i,2}^2}{\lambda_{i,1}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j,2}^2}}$$

in the cases (i), (ii) and (iii), respectively. $\square$

The above discussion can be applied to solve the 1-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures.

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 1$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) λ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic,
- (ii) $\lambda_0^2 < \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ be a subnormal completion of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic. Then clearly this $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is a subnormal completion of $\lambda \cup \{\hat{\lambda}_{i,2}\}_{i \in J_{\eta}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$. Hence, by the condition (4.4), (ii) is valid.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Choose any sequence $\{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ that satisfies (4.32). By Corollary 4.5, $\lambda \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i \in J_{\eta}}$ has a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ such that each measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ is 2-atomic. As a consequence, this $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ is a subnormal completion of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ as well. $\square$

In concluding this section, we consider the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ from the point of view of the condition (4.32).

Remark 4.9. Suppose that $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$. Let us consider the situation in which we are given initial data $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1,2}$ satisfying the equations

$$\lambda_{i,2} = \lambda_{1,2}, \quad i \in J_{\eta}.$$

Notice that under the above assumption, if λ admits a subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$, then it admits a 2-generation flat subnormal completion on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ (see [10, Theorem 8.3]), and any 2-generation flat subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ has the property that $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \mu_{1,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ for all $i \in J_{\eta}$ (apply (2.1) to $u = e_{i,1}$). Below, we discuss a few cases related to the condition (4.32).

1° If $\lambda_{1,2}^2 < \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$, then there is no completion of the sequence

$$\alpha = \left(\lambda_0, \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2}, \lambda_{1,2} \right)$$

to a subnormal unilateral weighted shift, because it is well known that a subnormal unilateral weighted shift is hyponormal and so its weights must be monotonically increasing. Therefore, by [10, Theorem 8.3(iii)] there is no subnormal completion of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$.

- 2° If $\lambda_{1,2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$, then the “moreover” part of Theorem 4.1 shows that there is no subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ since we lack the third inequality in (4.4). Alternatively, we may use the “moreover” part of [10, Proposition 7.6].
- 3° If $\lambda_{1,2}^2 > \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$ and $\lambda_0^2 < \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$ we may apply Corollary 4.5 to deduce that there is a subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$.
- 4° If $\lambda_{1,2}^2 > \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$ and $\lambda_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2$ we may apply the condition (4.4) of Theorem 4.1 to deduce that there is no subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with the $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ 2-atomic. Alternatively, again using the “moreover” part of [10, Proposition 7.6] we may deduce that the measures $\mu_{i,1}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ for any subnormal completion $S_{\hat{\lambda}}$ of λ on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ (provided it exists) must be 1-atomic.

5. An Explicit Solution of the 2-Generation SCP on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions for solving the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with 2-atomic measures explicitly in terms of the initial data. In view of Proposition 4.4(iii), the solution of the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,1}$ with 2-atomic measures reduces to computing the infimum $\beta(\eta)$ of the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} r_i^2 \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4}$ subject to the constraints that $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (1, \infty)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} r_i \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} = 1.$$

This task is complicated. The main difficulty comes from the requirement that the numbers r_i should belong to the open interval $(1, \infty)$. Even in the case of $\eta = 2$, there are three different formulas for the infimum depending on weights in question (see Theorem 5.2 below). However under some additional restrictive assumptions, the infimum of the above quadratic form can be computed explicitly.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} b_i r_i^2 : \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (0, \infty) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} a_i r_i = 1 \right\} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{a_i^2}{b_i}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, if $\sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \frac{a_j^2}{b_j} < \frac{a_i}{b_i}$ for every $i \in J_{\eta}$, then

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} b_i r_i^2 : \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subseteq (1, \infty) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} a_i r_i = 1 \right\} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{a_i^2}{b_i}}. \quad (5.2)$$

In both cases the minimum is attained for

$$r_i = \frac{1}{\frac{b_i}{a_i} \sum_{j=1}^{\eta} \frac{a_j^2}{b_j}}, \quad i \in J_{\eta}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. Suppose $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\eta \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\eta a_i r_i = 1$. It follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^\eta a_i r_i = \sum_{i=1}^\eta \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{b_i}} \cdot \sqrt{b_i} r_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^\eta \frac{a_i^2}{b_i} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^\eta b_i r_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta b_i r_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^\eta \frac{a_i^2}{b_i}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Substituting $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\eta$ as in (5.3) shows that the inequality in (5.4) becomes equality. This proves (5.1). The condition (5.2) is a consequence of (5.1). $\square$

As shown below, a solution of the 2-generation subnormal completion problem on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with 2-atomic measures can be written entirely in terms of the initial data. This is done by computing the infimum $\beta(2)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose $\eta = 2$, $\kappa = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^2 \cup \{\lambda_{i,2}\}_{i=1}^2 \subseteq (0, \infty)$ are given. Then λ has a subnormal completion S_λ on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with 2-atomic measures $\mu_{1,1}^\lambda$ and $\mu_{2,1}^\lambda$ if and only if $\beta(2) < \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}$ and $1 < \tau$, where*

$$\beta(2) = \begin{cases} \frac{(a_1 - a_2)^2 + a_1 b_1}{a_2^2 b_1} & \text{if } \sigma \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{a_1 + b_1} & \text{if } 1 < \sigma < \tau, \\ \frac{(b_2 - b_1)^2 + a_1 b_1}{a_1 b_2^2} & \text{if } \tau \leq \sigma, \end{cases}$$

with $\sigma = \frac{a_2}{a_1 + b_1}$, $\tau = \frac{a_2(b_2 - b_1)}{a_1 b_2}$, $a_j = \lambda_{1,j}^2$ and $b_j = \lambda_{2,j}^2$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 4.4, it is enough to compute $\beta(2)$. If we replace r_1 and r_2 by x and y , respectively, then (4.31) with $\eta = 2$ takes the form

$$\beta(2) = \inf \left\{ \Theta(x, y) : x, y \in (1, \infty) \text{ and } \phi(x, y) = 1 \right\},$$

where

$$\Theta(x, y) = x^2 \frac{a_1}{a_2^2} + y^2 \frac{b_1}{b_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x, y) = x \frac{a_1}{a_2} + y \frac{b_1}{b_2} \quad \text{for } x, y \in (1, \infty).$$

If $x, y \in (1, \infty)$ are such that $\phi(x, y) = 1$, then

$$y = \frac{b_2}{b_1} \left(1 - \frac{a_1}{a_2} x \right) > 1, \quad (5.5)$$

so $1 < x < \tau$. As a consequence, we see that $-\infty < \beta(2)$ if and only if $1 < \tau$. Substituting y as in (5.5) into $\Theta(x, y)$, we obtain

$$\Theta(x, y) = Ax^2 - 2Bx + C, \quad 1 < x < \tau,$$

where

$$A = \frac{a_1}{a_2^2} \left(1 + \frac{a_1}{b_1} \right), B = \frac{a_1}{a_2 b_1} \text{ and } C = \frac{1}{b_1}.$$

Note that $A, B, C > 0$. The quadratic polynomial $\Omega(x) := Ax^2 - 2Bx + C$ regarded as a function on $\mathbb{R}$ has a minimum at $x = \frac{B}{A}$. Observe that

$$\frac{B}{A} = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(\sigma) = \frac{1}{a_1 + b_1} > 0.$$

It is now a routine matter to compute $\beta(2)$ by considering three possible disjoint cases $\sigma \leq 1$, $1 < \sigma < \tau$ and $\tau \leq \sigma$. What we get is $\beta(2) = \Omega(1)$ in the first case, $\beta(2) = \Omega(\sigma)$ in the second and $\beta(2) = \Omega(\tau)$ in the third one, where

$$\Omega(1) = \frac{(a_1 - a_2)^2 + a_1 b_1}{a_2^2 b_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(\tau) = \frac{(b_2 - b_1)^2 + a_1 b_1}{a_1 b_2^2}. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgements

The authors take this opportunity to express their appreciation both for the support of their universities Bucknell University, Jagiellonian University and Kyungpook National University materially aiding this collaboration, and to the Departments of Mathematics at which they have been guests in 2018 and 2019 for warm hospitality. The authors are also grateful to the referee for suggestions that helped to improve the final version of the paper.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Budzyński, P., Jabłoński, Z.J., Jung, I.B., Stochel, J.: Unbounded subnormal weighted shifts on directed trees. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **394**, 819–834 (2012)
- [2] Budzyński, P., Jabłoński, Z.J., Jung, I.B., Stochel, J.: Unbounded subnormal weighted shifts on directed trees. II. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **398**, 600–608 (2013)
- [3] Budzyński, P., Jabłoński, Z.J., Jung, I.B., Stochel, J.: Unbounded Weighted Composition Operators in L^2 -Spaces. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2209. Springer, Berlin (2018)
- [4] Conway, J.B.: Subnormal Operators. *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 51. Pitman Publ. Co., London (1981)

- [5] Conway, J.B.: The Theory of Subnormal Operators. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 36. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1991)
- [6] Curto, R.E., Fialkow, L.A.: Recursiveness, positivity, and truncated moment problems. *Houst. J. Math.* **17**, 603–635 (1991)
- [7] Curto, R.E., Fialkow, L.A.: Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **17**, 202–246 (1993)
- [8] Curto, R.E., Fialkow, L.A.: Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem II. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **18**, 369–426 (1994)
- [9] Curto, R., Fialkow, L.: Solution of the truncated complex moment problem for flat data. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **119**(568), x+52 pp (1996)
- [10] Exner, G.R., Jung, I.B., Stochel, J., Yun, H.Y.: A subnormal completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **90**, 72 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-018-2496-9>
- [11] Gellar, R., Wallen, L.J.: Subnormal weighted shifts and the Halmos–Bram criterion. *Proc. Jpn. Acad.* **46**, 375–378 (1970)
- [12] Halmos, P.R.: Normal dilations and extensions of operators. *Summa Bras. Math.* **2**, 125–134 (1950)
- [13] Halmos, P.R.: Ten problems in Hilbert space. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **76**, 887–933 (1970)
- [14] Jabłoński, Z.J., Jung, I.B., Stochel, J.: A non-hyponormal operator generating Stieltjes moment sequences. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 3946–3980 (2012)
- [15] Jablonski, Z.J., Jung, I.B., Stochel, J.: Weighted shifts on directed trees. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **216**, viii+106 pp. (2012)
- [16] Jabłoński, Z.J., Jung, I.B., Kwak, J.A., Stochel, J.: Hyperexpansive completion problem via alternating sequences: an application to subnormality. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **434**, 2497–2526 (2011)
- [17] Ore, O.: Theory of Graphs. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. XXXVIII. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1962)
- [18] Shields, A.L.: Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory. In: *Topics in Operator Theory*. Mathematical Surveys, No. 13, pp. 49–128. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1974)
- [19] Stampfli, J.G.: Which weighted shifts are subnormal. *Pac. J. Math.* **17**, 367–379 (1966)

George R. Exner
Department of Mathematics
Bucknell University
Lewisburg PA 17837
USA
e-mail: exner@bucknell.edu

Il Bong Jung and Hye Yeong Yun
Department of Mathematics
Kyungpook National University
Daegu 41566
Korea
e-mail: ibjung@knu.ac.kr

Hye Yeong Yun
e-mail: yunhy@knu.ac.kr

Jan Stochel (✉)
Instytut Matematyki
Uniwersytet Jagielloński
ul. Łojasiewicza 6
30348 Kraków
Poland
e-mail: Jan.Stochel@im.uj.edu.pl

Received: May 24, 2019.

Revised: January 12, 2020.