



On Various R-duals and the Duality Principle

Diana T. Stoeva and Ole Christensen

Abstract. The duality principle states that a Gabor system is a frame if and only if the corresponding adjoint Gabor system is a Riesz sequence. In general Hilbert spaces and without the assumption of any particular structure, Casazza, Kutyniok and Lammers have introduced the so-called R-duals that also lead to a characterization of frames in terms of associated Riesz sequences; however, it is still an open question whether this abstract theory is a generalization of the duality principle. In this paper we prove that a modified version of the R-duals leads to a generalization of the duality principle that keeps all the attractive properties of the R-duals. In order to provide extra insight into the relations between a given sequence and its R-duals, we characterize all the types of R-duals that are available in the literature for the special case where the underlying sequence is a Riesz basis.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C15.

Keywords. Frames, Riesz bases, R-duals, R-duals of type II, R-duals of type III, Riesz sequences, Duality principle.

1. Introduction

A countable collection of vectors $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a *frame for \mathcal{H} with (frame) bounds A, B* if A and B are strictly positive constants and the inequalities

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad (1.1)$$

hold for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Frames play an increasing role in analysis and applications, mainly due to the fact that frames yield expansions of the elements in the Hilbert space of a similar type as the one that is known for orthonormal bases. In fact, if $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} , the frame operator $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $Sf := \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, f_i \rangle f_i$, is known to be invertible, and

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, S^{-1} f_i \rangle f_i, \quad (1.2)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. It is clear that it might be a nontrivial matter to verify the two inequalities in (1.1). For so-called Gabor systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see the description below), the *duality principle* [5, 11, 12] states that the frame condition is equivalent to a Riesz basis condition on an associated sequence (the adjoint Gabor system), see Theorem 1.4; this leads to a method to check the frame condition for Gabor systems in an (at least conceptually) easier way. In an attempt to extend this to general sequences in arbitrary Hilbert spaces, Casazza, Kutyniok and Lammers introduced the *R-duals* in the paper [1]. The R-duals also yield a method for checking the frame condition for a sequence of vectors by checking the Riesz basis condition for a related sequence.

At present it is not known whether the theory for R-duals yields a generalization of the duality principle. In [13] the authors introduced certain variations of the R-duals (see Definition 1.1) and showed that *R-duals of type II* cover the duality principle for integer-oversampled Gabor systems leaving open the general case, while *R-duals of type III* generalize the duality principle and keep some of the attractive properties of the R-duals, but not all. In the current paper we show that R-duals of type II in fact do not generalize the duality principle for arbitrary Gabor frames. This brings the attention to the R-duals of type III and we determine a sub-class of the R-duals of type III, which possesses the missing properties. We also provide further insight into the various R-duals by providing characterizations in the special case where the given frame is a basis.

In the rest of this introduction we state the key definitions and results from the literature concerning the R-duals. In Sect. 3 we introduce the modified R-duals of type III and prove that they generalize the duality principle and keep the main properties known from the Gabor case. The special case of Riesz bases is analysed in Sect. 4. We refer to the monographs [2, 9, 10] for detailed treatments of frames and further references.

For a sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying at least the upper frame condition, the *analysis operator* is defined by

$$U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(I), Uf := \{\langle f, f_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$$

and the *synthesis operator* is

$$T : \ell^2(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, T\{c_i\}_{i \in I} = U^*\{c_i\}_{i \in I} = \sum_{i \in I} c_i f_i.$$

A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with elements from \mathcal{H} is called a *frame sequence* in \mathcal{H} if the inequalities in (1.1) hold for all $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. Given a frame sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{H} , its *frame operator* is $S : \overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}, Sf := \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, f_i \rangle f_i$. In this case S is a bijection on $\overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and the sequence $\{S^{-1}f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for $\overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying the representation formula (1.2) for all $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$; $\{S^{-1}f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called the *canonical dual frame* of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and will be denoted by $\{\tilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}$. A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with elements from \mathcal{H} is called a *Riesz sequence* in \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B if $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ and

$$A \sum_i |c_i|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_i c_i f_i \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_i |c_i|^2$$

for all finite sequences $\{c_i\}_{i \in I}$. A Riesz sequence is called a *Riesz basis for \mathcal{H}* if $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. Recall that if $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} , then $\|S\|$ is the optimal upper frame bound and $\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}$ is the optimal lower frame bound.

Let us now collect the various definitions of R-duals that are available in the literature. The definition by Casazza et al. corresponds to what we call R-duals of type I.

Definition 1.1. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ be sequences with elements in \mathcal{H} and let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} for which $\sum_{i \in I} |\langle f_i, e_j \rangle|^2 < \infty, \forall j \in I$.

- (i) [1] When $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ are orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H} , the **R-dual of type I** of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ is the sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ given by

$$\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle h_i, \quad j \in I. \tag{1.3}$$

- (ii) [13] Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ be orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H} . If $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} with frame operator S , the **R-dual of type II** of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ is the sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ given by

$$\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, S^{-1/2} e_j \rangle S^{1/2} h_i, \quad j \in I. \tag{1.4}$$

- (iii) [13] Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ be orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H} . If $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame sequence in \mathcal{H} with frame operator S and $Q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded bijective operator with $\|Q\| \leq \sqrt{\|S\|}$ and $\|Q^{-1}\| \leq \sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}$, the **R-dual of type III** of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to the triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$ is the sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ defined by

$$\omega_j := \sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2} f_i, e_j \rangle Q h_i \quad j \in I. \tag{1.5}$$

- (iv) [14] When $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ are Riesz bases for \mathcal{H} , the **R-dual of type IV** of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ is the sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ given by

$$\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle h_i, \quad j \in I. \tag{1.6}$$

R-duals of type I are interesting because they form Riesz sequences if and only if the given sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} :

Theorem 1.2. [1] *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} and let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B if and only if $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B .
- (ii) $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} .

In the literature, several characterizations of the various types of R-duals are formulated in terms of the condition

$$\dim(\ker T) = \dim((\text{span}\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I})^\perp), \tag{1.7}$$

relating the synthesis operator T for the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ to the sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$. We collect these results here:

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} and let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} . Denote the synthesis operator for $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ by T , the frame operator of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ by S , and the frame operator of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ by S_Ω . The following statements hold.*

- (i) [1] *If $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I})$, then $g \in (\text{span}\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I})^\perp$ if and only if $\{\langle h_i, g \rangle\}_{i \in I} \in \ker T$; in the affirmative case (1.7) holds.*
- (ii) [13] *If $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is tight and $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is tight with the same bound, then $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if (1.7) holds.*
- (iii) [4] *$\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if (1.7) holds and there exists an antiunitary transformation $\Lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ so that $S_\Omega = \Lambda S \Lambda^{-1}$.*
- (iv) [13] *$\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type II of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if (1.7) holds, $\{S^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is orthonormal, and the frame bounds of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are also bounds of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$.*
- (v) [13] *$\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if (1.7) holds and the frame bounds of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are also bounds of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$.*

In the discussion of the duality principle we need the definition of a Gabor system. Consider the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, let $T_p : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ denote the translation operator $(T_p g)(x) = g(x - p)$, and $E_q : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the modulation operator $(E_q g)(x) = e^{2\pi i q x} g(x)$. Given parameters $a > 0, b > 0$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the associated Gabor system is the sequence $\{E_{mb} T_{na} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$; the adjoint Gabor system is the sequence $\{E_{m/a} T_{n/b} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

The duality principle, due to Janssen [11], Daubechies et al. [5], and Ron and Shen [12], states the following:

Theorem 1.4. [5, 11, 12] *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a, b > 0$ be given. Then the Gabor system $\{E_{mb} T_{na} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounds A, B if and only if $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}} E_{m/a} T_{n/b} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz sequence with bounds A, B .*

It is well known that if a Gabor system $\{E_{mb} T_{na} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis, then $ab = 1$; via the duality principle this implies that the Gabor system $\{E_{mb} T_{na} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}} E_{m/a} T_{n/b} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, the relation between the Gabor system $\{E_{mb} T_{na} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the system $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}} E_{m/a} T_{n/b} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds exactly to the relation between the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and its R-duals, see Theorem 1.2. However, it is still not known whether this is a coincidence, or the R-duals of type I actually generalize the duality principle. That is, given a Gabor frame $\{E_{mb} T_{na} g\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we do not know

whether the Gabor system $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_{m/a}T_{n/bg}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ always can be realized as an R-dual of type I of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (by [1], the answer is affirmative for tight Gabor frames and Gabor Riesz bases). This is the motivation for the introduction of the other types of R-duals in [13]. In fact, in [13] it was shown that the R-duals of type III generalize the duality principle for all Gabor systems. However, R-duals of type III do not enjoy all of the attractive properties of the duality principle, so it is natural and necessary to search for subclasses which are in closer correspondence with the duality principle. In the present paper we determine a relevant subclass of the R-duals of type III which both extends the duality principle and has the desired properties as in Theorem 1.2.

Note that the duality principle and the R-duals by Casazza et al. have triggered a lot of research activity; we refer to the papers [3, 4, 6–8, 14]. Among these, [3, 14] deal with generalizations to Banach spaces.

2. R-duals of Type II

In this section we solve one of the remaining problems in [13], by showing that the R-duals of type II do not generalize the duality principle. This will motivate the analysis in the rest of the paper, where we focus on a subclass of the R-duals of type III having particular properties.

Example. Consider the B-spline B_2 determined by

$$B_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + x, & x \in [-1, 0]; \\ 1 - x, & x \in [0, 1]; \\ 0, & x \notin [-1, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let $a = 1$ and $b = \frac{2}{5}$. By [2, Corollary 11.7.1], $\{E_{mb}T_{na}B_2\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We will now prove that the Riesz sequence $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_{m/a}T_{n/bg}B_2\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not an R-dual of type II of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Denote the frame operator of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}B_2\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by S . By [2, Corollary 11.4.5], $Sf = \frac{G}{b}f$ and $S^{-1}f = \frac{b}{G}f$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $G(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |B_2(x - ka)|^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $\omega_{m,n} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_{m/a}T_{n/bg}B_2$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will show that $\{S^{-1/2}\omega_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not an orthonormal sequence, which by Lemma 1.3(iv) will imply that $\{\omega_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not an R-dual of type II of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. For $m = 0$ and $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^{-1/2}\omega_{0,1}, S^{-1/2}\omega_{0,1} \rangle &= \langle S^{-1}\omega_{0,1}, \omega_{0,1} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{b}{G(x)} \omega_{0,1}(x) \overline{\omega_{0,1}(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{b}{G(x)} |\omega_{0,1}(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\omega_{0,1}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_0T_{1/b}B_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}B_2(x - \frac{5}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{cases} x - \frac{3}{2}, & x \in [\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}], \\ \frac{7}{2} - x, & x \in [\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}], \\ 0, & x \notin [\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}], \end{cases}$$

and for $x \in [\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}]$,

$$G(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |B_2(x - k)|^2 = \begin{cases} (x - 1)^2 + (2 - x)^2, & x \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2]; \\ (x - 2)^2 + (3 - x)^2, & x \in [2, 3]; \\ (x - 3)^2 + (4 - x)^2, & x \in [3, \frac{7}{2}], \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$\langle S^{-1/2}\omega_{0,1}, S^{-1/2}\omega_{0,1} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{G(x)} |B_2(x - 5/2)|^2 dx = 1 + \frac{\pi}{4} - \ln 2 \neq 1.$$

Therefore, $\{\omega_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not an R-dual of type II of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. \square

3. R-duals of Type III

The key motivation behind the definition of R-duals of type III is that they generalize the duality principle [13], in the sense that whenever $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the system $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}} E_{m/a}T_{n/b}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be realized as an R-dual of type III of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. However, not all R-duals of type III have exactly the same properties as encountered in the duality principle. For example, for a frame $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with frame operator S , the optimal frame bounds are $\frac{1}{\|S^{-1}\|}, \|S\|$; these numbers are also bounds for the R-duals of type III, but not necessarily the optimal bounds. This calls for an identification of a subclass of the R-duals of type III with properties that better match what we know from the duality principle.

As starting point we will now determine conditions on the operator Q in (1.5) which are necessary and sufficient for an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ to keep the optimal bounds of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} (resp. Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H}) with frame operator S and analysis operator U , both considered as operators on $\overline{\text{span}}\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$, and let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to the triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Riesz sequence (resp. the frame) $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ has the same optimal bounds as $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$.*
- (ii) *The operator Q has the property*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}} \|\{d_i\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2} \leq \|Q(\sum_{i \in I} d_i h_i)\| \leq \sqrt{\|S\|} \|\{d_i\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2} \\ \text{whenever } \{\bar{d}_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{R}(U), \text{ with optimality of the bounds } \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}}, \sqrt{\|S\|}. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Notice that when $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} (resp. Riesz sequence), [13, Prop. 4.3] shows that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz sequence (resp. frame for \mathcal{H}), with bounds $1/\|S^{-1}\|, \|S\|$. We first consider the case where $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is assumed to be a frame for \mathcal{H} .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that $\frac{1}{\|S^{-1}\|}$ and $\|S\|$ are the optimal bounds of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$. We will prove that (3.1) holds. Since $\|Q\| \leq \sqrt{\|S\|}$ and $\|Q^{-1}\| \leq \sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}$, it follows that the inequalities of (3.1) hold for all $\{d_i\}_{i \in I} \in \ell^2$ and in particular

whenever $\{\bar{d}_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{R}(U)$; it remains to prove the optimality of the bounds of (3.1). Assume that there exists $B_1 < \sqrt{\|S\|}$ so that

$$\left\| Q \left(\sum_{i \in I} d_i h_i \right) \right\| \leq B_1 \|\{d_i\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2} \text{ whenever } \{\bar{d}_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{R}(U).$$

Then for every finite scalar sequence $\{c_j\}$, taking

$$\begin{aligned} \{\bar{d}_i\}_{i \in I} &= \left\{ \left\langle \sum_j \bar{c}_j e_j, S^{-1/2} f_i \right\rangle \right\}_{i \in I} \\ &= \left\{ \left\langle S^{-1/2} \left(\sum_j \bar{c}_j e_j \right), f_i \right\rangle \right\}_{i \in I} \in R(U), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_j c_j \omega_j \right\| &= \left\| Q \left(\sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2} f_i, \sum_j \bar{c}_j e_j \rangle h_i \right) \right\| = \left\| Q \left(\sum_{i \in I} d_i h_i \right) \right\| \\ &\leq B_1 \|\{d_i\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2} = B_1 \left\| \left\{ \left\langle \sum_j \bar{c}_j e_j, S^{-1/2} f_i \right\rangle \right\}_{i \in I} \right\|_{\ell^2} \\ &= B_1 \|\{c_j\}\|_{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that B_1^2 is an upper bound of the Riesz sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$, which contradicts the assumptions. Therefore, $\sqrt{\|S\|}$ is the optimal upper bound in (3.1). In a similar way, it follows that $1/\sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}$ is the optimal lower bound in (3.1).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Now assume that (3.1) holds; we will prove that $1/\|S^{-1}\|$ and $\|S\|$ are the optimal bounds of the Riesz sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$. As already mentioned, $1/\|S^{-1}\|$ and $\|S\|$ are bounds of the Riesz sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$, so it remains to prove their optimality. Assume that the optimal upper bound of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is B_2 with $B_2 < \|S\|$. Then for every finite scalar sequence $\{c_j\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| Q \left(\sum_{i \in I} \left\langle S^{-1/2} f_i, \sum_j c_j e_j \right\rangle h_i \right) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_j \bar{c}_j \omega_j \right\| \leq \sqrt{B_2} \|\{\bar{c}_j\}\|_{\ell^2} \\ &= \sqrt{B_2} \left\| \left\{ \left\langle S^{-1/2} f_i, \sum_j c_j e_j \right\rangle \right\}_{i \in I} \right\|_{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of (finite) linear combinations $\sum_j c_j e_j$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , it follows that

$$\left\| Q \left(\sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2} f_i, y \rangle h_i \right) \right\| \leq \sqrt{B_2} \|\{\langle S^{-1/2} f_i, y \rangle\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2}$$

for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $S^{-1/2}$ is bijective and self-adjoint, it follows that $\|Q(\sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, u \rangle h_i)\| \leq \sqrt{B_2} \|\{\langle f_i, u \rangle\}_{i \in I}\|$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$, which contradicts

(3.1). Therefore, $\|S\|$ is the optimal upper bound of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$. In a similar way, it follows that $1/\|S^{-1}\|$ is the optimal lower bound of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$.

Now let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} . In this case $R(U) = \ell^2$; since the optimal bounds in the inequalities $C\|x\| \leq \|Qx\| \leq D\|x\|, x \in \mathcal{H}$, are $C = \|Q^{-1}\|^{-1}, D = \|Q\|$, the condition (3.1) means precisely that $\|Q\| = \sqrt{\|S\|}$ and $\|Q^{-1}\| = \sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}$. An argument as in the proof of [13, Prop. 4.3(ii)] shows that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a frame with optimal bounds $\frac{1}{\|Q^{-1}\|^2}, \|Q\|^2$. Therefore, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ has optimal bounds $\frac{1}{\|S^{-1}\|}$ and $\|S\|$ if and only if $\|Q^{-1}\| = \sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|}$ and $\|Q\| = \sqrt{\|S\|}$, i.e., if and only if (3.1) holds. \square

Proposition 3.1 identifies the relevant subclass of the R-duals of type III. Fortunately we can now show that this class keeps the essential property of generalizing the duality principle:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_{m/a}T_{n/bg}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be realized as an R-dual of type III of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with an operator Q having the property (3.1).*

Proof. By the duality principle (Theorem 1.4), $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_{m/a}T_{n/bg}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz sequence which has the same optimal bounds as $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. By [13, Corollary 4.5], $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}}E_{m/a}T_{n/bg}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be realized as an R-dual of type III of $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with respect to some orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}$, and an appropriate operator Q . Now by Proposition 3.1, this operator Q must satisfy the property (3.1). \square

Furthermore, the following result (which is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and [13, Prop. 4.3]) shows that the class of R-duals of type III having the property (3.1) provides us with exactly the same frame bounds as the given frame:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a frame sequence in \mathcal{H} and let $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an R-dual of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ of type III with the property (3.1). Then the following holds.*

- (i) $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B if and only if $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz sequence with bounds A, B ;
- (ii) $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz sequence with bounds A, B if and only if $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B ;
- (iii) $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B if and only if $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with bounds A, B .

We have now identified the correct subclass of the R-duals of type III. It has a compact characterization in terms of the condition (1.7):

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} and let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} with the same optimal bounds. Then (1.7) holds if and only if $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ having the property (3.1).*

Proof. First assume that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ having the property (3.1). Then Lemma 1.3(v) implies that (1.7) holds.

Conversely, assume that (1.7) holds. By Lemma 1.3(v), $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to an appropriate triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$. By Proposition 3.1, the operator Q must satisfy (3.1). \square

The next result relates the class of R-duals of type III having the property (3.1) with the R-duals of type I and III, respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} . Then the following holds.*

- (i) *The class of type I duals of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is contained in the class of type III duals having the property (3.1).*
- (ii) *When $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is tight, the classes mentioned in (i) coincide.*
- (iii) *When $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is not tight, the class of R-duals of type III having the property (3.1) is a strict subset of the class of R-duals of type III.*

Proof. (i) Let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. By Theorem 1.2, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} and the optimal bounds of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ are the same as the optimal ones of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. By [13, Theorem 4.4(iii)], $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ can be written as an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to some triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$ and by Proposition 3.1, the property (3.1) must hold.

(ii) Assume that $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is tight. Then the classes of R-duals of type I and type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ coincide [13, Prop. 4.2]. Now the statement follows from (i).

(iii) Assume that $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is not tight and let A and B denote the optimal bounds of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$, $A < B$. Take any constant $C \in (\sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B})$ and let $Q := C \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be an R-dual of type III with respect to some orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ and the operator Q . Then $\{C^{-1}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{S^{-1/2}f_i\}_{i \in I}$, which by Theorem 1.2 implies that $\{C^{-1}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an orthonormal sequence. Therefore, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a tight Riesz sequence with bound $C^2 \in (A, B)$, which by Theorem 3.3(i) implies that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ can not be written as an R-dual of type III with property (3.1). \square

In [13] we have proved that canonical dual frames lead to biorthogonality of appropriately determined R-duals of type III. Here we provide further insight in the relations considering the converse situation, namely, biorthogonality of appropriate R-duals of type III leading to canonical dual frames.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} with frame operator S and analysis operator U . The following holds.*

- (i) *If $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$, then the biorthogonal sequence of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ in $\overline{\text{span}}\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{\tilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}$.*
- (ii) *If $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$ having the property (3.1), then the biorthogonal sequence of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ in $\overline{\text{span}}\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to a triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{z_i\}_{i \in I}, V)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|S\|}} \|\{d_i\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2} \leq \|V(\sum_{i \in I} d_i z_i)\| \leq \sqrt{\|S^{-1}\|} \|\{d_i\}_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^2} \\ \text{whenever } \{\tilde{d}_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{R}(U), \text{ with optimality of the bounds.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to the triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$. The only biorthogonal sequence of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ in $\overline{\text{span}}\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is the canonical dual frame $\{\widetilde{\omega}_j\}_{j \in I}$ of $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$. Let $S_{\widetilde{f}}$, S_ω , and $S_{\widetilde{\omega}}$ denote the frame operators of $\{\widetilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$, and $\{\widetilde{\omega}_j\}_{j \in I}$ respectively.

By [13, Prop. 4.3(i)] and some arguments from the proof of [13, Theor. 4.4(ii)], it follows that the 1-tight Riesz sequence $\{S_\omega^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of the 1-tight frame $\{S^{-1/2}f_i\}_{i \in I}$. Now the proof of [13, Prop. 1.6] implies that for the fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{z_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{H} so that $\{S_\omega^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{S^{-1/2}f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{z_i\}_{i \in I})$. Then for every $i \in I$, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f}_i &= \sum_{j \in I} \langle S^{-1/2}f_i, e_j \rangle S^{-1/2}e_j = \sum_{j \in I} \left\langle \sum_{k \in I} \langle S^{-1/2}f_k, e_j \rangle z_k, z_i \right\rangle S^{-1/2}e_j \\ &= \sum_{j \in I} \langle S_\omega^{-1/2}\omega_j, z_i \rangle S^{-1/2}e_j = \sum_{j \in I} \langle S_{\widetilde{\omega}}^{-1/2}\widetilde{\omega}_j, z_i \rangle S_{\widetilde{f}}^{1/2}e_j, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$S_{\widetilde{\omega}}^{-1/2}\widetilde{\omega}_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle S_{\widetilde{f}}^{-1/2}\widetilde{f}_i, e_j \rangle z_i, \quad j \in I.$$

By [13, Lemma 1.3], there exists a bounded bijective extension V of $S_\omega^{-1/2}$ on \mathcal{H} with $\|V\| = \|S_\omega^{1/2}\|$ and $\|V^{-1}\| = \|S_\omega^{-1/2}\|$. Then

$$\widetilde{\omega}_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle S_{\widetilde{f}}^{-1/2}\widetilde{f}_i, e_j \rangle V z_i, \quad j \in I, \tag{3.2}$$

and furthermore, $\|V\| = \sqrt{\|S_\omega\|} \leq \sqrt{\|S_{\widetilde{f}}\|}$ and $\|V^{-1}\| = \sqrt{\|S_\omega^{-1}\|} \leq \sqrt{\|S_{\widetilde{f}}^{-1}\|}$, implying that $\{\widetilde{\omega}_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{\widetilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Now assume in addition that Q satisfies the property (3.1). By Theorem 3.3, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ has the same optimal bounds as $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then the optimal bounds of $\{\widetilde{\omega}_j\}_{j \in I}$ are the same as the optimal bounds of $\{\widetilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}$, which by Proposition 3.1 implies that the operator V must satisfy a property analogue to (3.1), precisely as stated in the proposition (note that the ranges of the analysis operators of $\{\widetilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are the same, and $S_{\widetilde{f}} = S^{-1}$). \square

4. R-duals of Riesz Bases

In this section we consider the special case where $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} . We will provide extra insight into the various R-duals by characterizing the R-duals of type I, II, III, IV, as well as III having the essential property (3.1).

For the purpose of one of the characterizations, recall (see e.g. [15]) that $G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is called an *antiunitary transformation*¹ of the complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} if it is a bijective mapping of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H} so that $\langle Gx, Gy \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ for all x and y in \mathcal{H} ; such a transformation is as consequence antilinear, i.e., $G(ax + by) = \bar{a}Gx + \bar{b}Gy$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with frame operator S and optimal bounds A, B . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *The R-duals of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are precisely the Riesz bases $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ for which there is an antiunitary transformation $G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ so that $\{S^{-1/2}G\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} ; in particular, the optimal bounds of $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ are A, B .*
- (ii) *The R-duals of type II of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are precisely the Riesz bases $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ for which $\{S^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} (implying that the optimal bounds of $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ are A, B).*
- (iii) *The R-duals of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ having the property (3.1) are precisely the Riesz bases for \mathcal{H} with optimal bounds A, B .*
- (iv) *The R-duals of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are precisely the Riesz bases which have A, B as bounds.*
- (v) *The R-duals of type IV of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are precisely the Riesz bases for \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. We begin with the proof of the most general case (v).

(v) First notice that an R-dual of type IV of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} . This follows from more general results in the Banach space setting [3, 14]. We will give a short proof for the convenience of the reader. Let $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ be an R-dual of type IV of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to the Riesz bases $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} . Write $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ as $\{V_1 e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{V_2 h_i\}_{i \in I}$, respectively, for some orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} and some bounded bijective operators V_1 and V_2 from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H} . Then $\{V_2^{-1}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of the Riesz basis $\{V_1^* f_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} , which by Theorem 1.2 implies that $\{V_2^{-1}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} and thus, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is also a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} .

For the converse, assume that $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} and denote its frame operator by S_Ω . By Lemma 1.3(ii), $\{S_\Omega^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{S^{-1/2}f_i\}_{i \in I}$, i.e., there exist orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ so that $S_\Omega^{-1/2}\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2}f_j, e_i \rangle h_j$, $j \in I$. Therefore, $\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_j, S^{-1/2}e_i \rangle S_\Omega^{1/2}h_j$, $j \in I$, which is an R-dual of type IV of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$.

(i) Let $\{z_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the orthonormal basis $\{S^{-1/2}f_i\}$.

First assume that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to some orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$. By Theorem 1.2, $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis with optimal bounds A, B . Consider the mapping G determined by $Gh := \sum_{i \in I} \langle h_i, h \rangle z_i$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then G is an antiunitary transformation of \mathcal{H} and for every $j \in I$,

¹Also called *antiunitary operator* in the literature [15], but we do not use this term, because throughout the paper, the term “operator” is used with the meaning of a linear mapping.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^{-1/2}G\omega_j &= S^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \langle h_i, \omega_j \rangle z_i \right) = S^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \langle e_j, f_i \rangle z_i \right) \\
 &= S^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{1/2}e_j, z_i \rangle z_i \right) = e_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the desired conclusion.

Conversely, assume that $G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an antiunitary transformation of \mathcal{H} and $\{S^{-1/2}G\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} ; denote this orthonormal basis by $\{e_j\}_{j \in I}$. The sequence $\{h_i\}_{i \in I} := \{G^{-1}z_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} and for every $j \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle h_i &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, S^{-1/2}G\omega_j \rangle G^{-1}z_i = \sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2}f_i, G\omega_j \rangle G^{-1}z_i \\
 &= G^{-1} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \langle G\omega_j, z_i \rangle z_i \right) = \omega_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$.

(ii) First assume that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type II of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and thus an R-dual of type IV of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. By (v), $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} . Then it follows from Lemma 1.3(iv) that $\{S^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Now [13, Lemma 1.2] implies that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ has optimal bounds A, B .

For the converse, assume that $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} such that $\{S^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . By Lemma 1.3(ii), $\{S^{-1/2}\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type I of $\{S^{-1/2}f_i\}_{i \in I}$, i.e., there exist orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ so that $S^{-1/2}\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2}f_j, e_i \rangle h_i$, $j \in I$, which implies that $\omega_j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle S^{-1/2}f_j, e_i \rangle S^{1/2}h_i$, $j \in I$.

(iii) If $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ having the property (3.1), the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.3(iii). Now assume that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} having A, B as optimal bounds. By Lemma 1.3(v) and the validity of (1.7), it follows that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to some triplet $(\{e_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, Q)$. By Proposition 3.1, the property (3.1) must hold.

(iv) If $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$, the conclusion follows from [13, Prop. 4.3]. Now assume that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} having A, B as bounds. By Lemma 1.3(v) and the validity of (1.7), it follows that $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$ is an R-dual of type III of $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. □

Acknowledgements

Diana Stoeva acknowledges support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) START-project FLAME (‘Frames and Linear Operators for Acoustical Modeling and Parameter Estimation’; Y 551-N13). She is grateful for the hospitality of the Technical University of Denmark, where much of the work on the paper was done.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/>)

by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- [1] Casazza, P.G., Kutyniok, G., Lammers, M.C.: Duality principles in frame theory. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **10**(4), 383–408 (2004)
- [2] Christensen, O.: *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*. Second expanded edition. Birkhäuser, Boston (2015)
- [3] Christensen, O., Xiao, X.C., Zhu, Y.C.: Characterizing R-duality in Banach spaces. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **29**(1), 75–84 (2013)
- [4] Chuang, Z., Zhao, J.: On equivalent conditions of two sequences to be R-dual. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**:10 (2015)
- [5] Daubechies, I., Landau, H.J., Landau, Z.: Gabor time-frequency lattices and the Wexler-Raz identity. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **1**(4), 437–478 (1995)
- [6] Dutkay, D., Han, D., Larson, D.: A duality principle for groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **257**(4), 1133–1143 (2009)
- [7] Fan, Z., Ji, H., Shen, Z.: Dual Gramian analysis: duality principle and unitary extension principle. *Math. Comput.* (2015). doi:[10.1090/mcom/2987](https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/2987)
- [8] Fan, Z., Heinecke, A., Shen, Z.: Duality for frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* (2015). doi:[10.1007/s00041-015-9415-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00041-015-9415-0)
- [9] Gröchenig, K.: *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)
- [10] Heil, C.: *A Basis Theory Primer*. Expanded edition. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York (2011)
- [11] Janssen, A.J.E.M.: Duality and biorthogonality for Weyl–Heisenberg frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **1**(4), 403–436 (1995)
- [12] Ron, A., Shen, Z.: Weyl–Heisenberg frames and Riesz bases in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Duke Math. J.* **89**(2), 237–282 (1997)
- [13] Stoeva, D.T., Christensen, O.: On R-duals and the duality principle in Gabor analysis. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **21**(2), 383–400 (2015)
- [14] Xiao, X., Zhu, Y.: Duality principles of frames in Banach spaces. *Acta. Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* **29**(1), 94–102 (2009)
- [15] Wigner, E.P.: Normal form of antiunitary operators. *J. Math. Phys.* **1**, 409–413 (1960)

Diana T. Stoeva (✉)

Acoustics Research Institute

Wohllebengasse 12-14

1040 Vienna

Austria

e-mail: dstoeva@kfs.oeaw.ac.at

Ole Christensen
Technical University of Denmark
DTU Compute
2800 Lyngby
Denmark
e-mail: ochr@dtu.dk

Received: September 18, 2015.

Revised: January 26, 2016.