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#### Abstract

We use bounds on bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions and improve the error term in the asymptotic formula of Balazard and Martin (Bull Sci Math 187:Art. 103305, 2023) on the average value of the smallest denominators of rational numbers in short intervals.
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1. Introduction. Given an integer $N \geqslant 1$, and $j=1, \ldots, N$, we denote by $q_{j}(N)$ the smallest integer $q$ such that, for some $a$, we have

$$
\frac{a}{q} \in\left(\frac{j-1}{N}, \frac{j}{N}\right] .
$$

Next, we consider the average value

$$
S(N)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_{j}(N)
$$

Recently, Balazard and Martin [2] have confirmed the conjecture of Kruyswijk and Meijer [10] that

$$
S(N) \sim \frac{16}{\pi^{2}} N^{3 / 2}
$$

and in fact established the following much more precise asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(N)=\frac{16}{\pi^{2}} N^{3 / 2}+O\left(N^{4 / 3}(\log N)^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [2, Equation (1)]. Note that the asymptotic formula (1.1) improves on previous upper and lower bounds of Kruyswijk and Meijer [10] and Stewart [13], for example on the previous inequalities

$$
1.35 N^{3 / 2}<S(N)<2.04 N^{3 / 2}
$$

in [13] (note that $16 / \pi^{2}=1.6211 \ldots$ ). For other related results, see $[1,4,5,7$, $11,12]$ and references therein.

The bound on the error term in (1.1) is based on the classical bound of Kloosterman sums, see, for example, [9, Corollary 11.12].

Here, we use bounds on bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions due to Duke et al. [6], and improve the error term in the asymptotic formula (1.1) as follows.

Theorem 1.1. We have

$$
S(N)=\frac{16}{\pi^{2}} N^{3 / 2}+O\left(N^{29 / 22+o(1)}\right)
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
2. Preliminary reductions. As usual, we use the expressions $U \ll V$ and $U=$ $O(V)$ to mean $|U| \leq c V$ for some constant $c>0$ which throughout this paper is absolute.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(N)=\frac{16}{\pi^{2}} N^{3 / 2}+R(N), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by [2, Equations (19), (20), and (21)], we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(N) \ll T_{11}(N)+T_{12}(N)+T_{2}(N) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some quantities $T_{11}(N), T_{12}(N)$, and $T_{2}(N)$ which are estimated in [2] separately. In particular, by [2, Equations (23) and (26)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{12}(N) \ll N^{5 / 4}(\log N)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{2}(N) \ll N^{5 / 4}(\log N)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the error term in (1.1) comes from the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{11}(N) \ll N^{4 / 3}(\log N)^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by [2, Equation (22)].
We now see from (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3) that in order to establish Theorem 1.1 we only need to improve (2.4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{11}(N) \ll N^{29 / 22+o(1)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first recall the following expression for $T_{11}(N)$ given in [2, Section 5.3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{11}(N)=\sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant r \leqslant R_{s} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}} r B_{1}\left(\frac{N r^{-1}}{s}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Bernoulli function

$$
B_{1}(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } u \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \{u\}-1 / 2 & \text { if } u \in \mathbb{Z}\end{cases}
$$

where $\{u\}$ is the fractional part of a real $u$, the inversion $r^{-1}$ in the fractional part $\left\{N r^{-1} / s\right\}$ is computed modulo $s$, and $R_{s}$ is a certain sequence of positive integers, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{s} \ll N / s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we refer to [2] for an exact definition, which is not important for our argument).

It is more convenient for us to work with the function

$$
\psi(u)=\{u\}-1 / 2,
$$

which coincides with $B_{1}(u)$ for all $u \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
In particular,

$$
B_{1}\left(\frac{N r^{-1}}{s}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{N r^{-1}}{s}\right)
$$

unless $s \mid N$.
Using the classical bound on the divisor function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(k)=k^{o(1)}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive integer $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for example, [9, Equation (1.81)]), we infer from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{11}(N)=U(N)+E(N) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(N)=\sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant r \leqslant R_{s} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}} r \psi\left(\frac{N r^{-1}}{s}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, using (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(N) \ll \sum_{\substack{s \geqslant \sqrt{N} \\ s \mid N}} R_{s}^{2} \ll N^{2} \sum_{\substack{s \geqslant \sqrt{N} \\ s \mid N}} s^{-2} \leqslant N^{1+o(1)} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Vaaler polynomials. For a real $z$, let $\mathbf{e}(z)=\exp (2 \pi z)$. By a result of Vaaler [14], see also [8, Theorem A.6], we have the following approximation of $\psi(u)$.

Lemma 3.1. For any integer $H \geqslant 1$, there is a trigonometric polynomial

$$
\psi_{H}(u)=\sum_{1 \leq|h| \leq H} \frac{a_{h}}{-2 i \pi h} \mathbf{e}(h u)
$$

for coefficients $a_{h} \in[0,1]$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi(u)-\psi_{H}(u)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 H+2} \sum_{|h| \leq H}\left(1-\frac{|h|}{H+1}\right) \mathbf{e}(h u) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions. Here we collect some estimates on bilinear forms with exponentials $\mathbf{e}\left(h r^{-1} / s\right)$ where, as before, $r^{-1}$ in the argument is computed modulo $s$.

For $U \geqslant 1$, we also use $u \sim U$ to indicate $U \leqslant u<2 U$.
We start with recalling the following bound of Duke et al. [6, Theorem 1].

Lemma 4.1. For sequences $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{r}\right\}_{r=1}^{\infty}, \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left\{\beta_{s}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers, a nonzero integer $K$, and real positive $R$ and $S$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}} \alpha_{r} \beta_{s} \mathbf{e}\left(K r^{-1} / s\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|\left((R+S)^{1 / 2}+\left(1+\frac{K}{R S}\right)^{1 / 2} \min \{R, S\}\right)(R S)^{o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=\left(\sum_{r \sim R}\left|\alpha_{r}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|=\left(\sum_{s \sim S}\left|\beta_{s}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Next, given two sequences of complex numbers

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{r}\right\}_{r=1}^{\infty} \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left\{\beta_{s}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}
$$

a sequence of positive integers

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{\beta_{s}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}
$$

and an integer $h$, for $S \geqslant 1$, we define the bilinear form

$$
\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} \alpha_{r} \beta_{s} \mathbf{e}\left(K r^{-1} / s\right)
$$

Note that in the sums $\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ the range of summation over $r$ depends on $s$ and hence Lemma 4.1 does not directly apply.

We observe that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{r}=r, \quad \beta_{s} \ll 1, \quad R_{s} \ll \min \{N / s, s\}, \quad r, s=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the argument in [2, Section 3] (in which we also inject the bound (2.8)) immediately implies that for

$$
0<|K|=N^{O(1)} \quad \text { and } \quad 0<S \ll N
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) & \ll \sum_{s \sim S} \operatorname{gcd}(K, s)^{1 / 2} R_{s} s^{1 / 2} \log s \\
& \leqslant N^{1+o(1)} \sum_{s \sim S} \operatorname{gcd}(K, s)^{1 / 2} s^{-1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant N^{1+o(1)} S^{-1 / 2} \sum_{d \mid K} d^{1 / 2} \sum_{\substack{s \leq 2 S \\
d \mid s}} 1 \\
& \leqslant N^{1+o(1)} S^{-1 / 2} \sum_{d \mid K} d^{1 / 2}\lfloor 2 S / d\rfloor \\
& \leqslant N^{1+o(1)} S^{1 / 2} \sum_{d \mid K} d^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant N^{1+o(1)} S^{1 / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that one can also derive (4.2) via [6, Lemma 8] and partial summation.
In fact, using the bound (4.2) for $S \leqslant N^{2 / 3}$ and the trivial bound

$$
\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \ll \sum_{s \sim S} R_{s}^{2} \ll N^{2} S^{-1}
$$

in our argument below, one recovers the asymptotic formula (1.1). However, using some other bounds, we achieve a stronger result.

We also remark that for us only the choice of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{r}\right\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying (4.1) matters. However we present the below results for a more general $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (but still they admit even more general forms).

Using Lemma 4.1 together with the standard completing technique, see, for example, [9, Section 12.2], we derive our main technical tool.

Lemma 4.2. For sequences $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{r}\right\}_{r=1}^{\infty}, \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left\{\beta_{s}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$, and $\mathcal{R}=\left\{R_{s}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}, a$ nonzero integer $K$ and real $S$ with

$$
\alpha_{r} \ll A, \quad \beta_{s} \ll B, \quad R_{s} \ll \min \{N / s, s\}, \quad r, s=1,2, \ldots,
$$

and

$$
N^{1 / 2} \ll S \ll N
$$

we have

$$
\left|\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right| \leqslant A B(R S)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{1 / 2}+R+K^{1 / 2} S^{-1 / 2} R^{1 / 2}\right) N^{o(1)}
$$

where

$$
R=\max \left\{R_{s}: s \sim S\right\}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \ll N / S \ll S \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the orthogonality of exponential functions, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
& \quad=\sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} \alpha_{r} \beta_{s} \mathbf{e}\left(K r^{-1} / s\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R} \alpha_{r} \beta_{s} \mathbf{e}\left(K r^{-1} / s\right) \frac{1}{R} \sum_{u=0}^{R-1} \sum_{t=1}^{R_{s}} \mathbf{e}(u(t-r) / R) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{R} \sum_{u=0}^{R-1} \sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R} \alpha_{r} \mathbf{e}(-u r / R) \beta_{s} \mathbf{e}\left(K r^{-1} / s\right) \sum_{t=1}^{R_{s}} \mathbf{e}(u t / R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{R_{s}} \mathbf{e}(u t / R) \ll \frac{R}{\min \{u, R-u\}+1}
$$

see [9, Equation (8.6)], we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \ll & \frac{1}{R} \sum_{u=0}^{R-1} \frac{R}{\min \{u, R-u\}+1} \\
& \times\left|\sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R} \alpha_{r} \mathbf{e}(-u r / R) \beta_{s} \mathbf{e}\left(K r^{-1} / s\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to observe that, for each $u=0, \ldots, R-1$, the bound of Lemma 4.1 applies to the inner sum and implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant A B(R S)^{1 / 2}\left((R+S)^{1 / 2}+\left(1+\frac{K}{R S}\right)^{1 / 2} \min \{R, S\}\right) N^{o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling (4.3), this now simplifies as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathscr{B}_{K}(S ; \mathcal{R}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right| & \leqslant A B(R S)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{1 / 2}+\left(1+\frac{K}{R S}\right)^{1 / 2} R\right) N^{o(1)} \\
& =A B(R S)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{1 / 2}+R+K^{1 / 2} S^{-1 / 2} R^{1 / 2}\right) N^{o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.
Remark 4.3. Instead of using Lemma 4.1, that is, essentially [6, Theorem 1], one can also derive a version of Lemma 4.2 from [6, Theorem 2], or from a stronger result due to Bettin and Chandee [3, Theorem 1]. However these bounds do not seem to improve our main result.
5. Proof of Theorem 1.1. As we have noticed in Section 2, it is enough to only estimate $T_{11}(N)$, as we borrow the bounds on $T_{12}(N)$ and $T_{2}(N)$ from [2]. Furthermore, we see from (2.9) and (2.11) that it is enough to estimate $U(N)$ given by (2.10).

We note that it is important to observe that the sum defining $\psi_{H}(u)$ in Lemma 3.1 does not contain the term with $h=0$, while the sum on the right hand side of (3.1) does. Hence, for any integer $H \geqslant 1$, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(N) \ll & H^{-1} \sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq|h| \leq H} \frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \mathbf{e}\left(h N r^{-1} / s\right)\right|, \\
& +\frac{1}{H} \sum_{1 \leq|h| \leq H}\left|\sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \mathbf{e}\left(h N r^{-1} / s\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll H^{-1} \sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} R_{s}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq|h| \leq H} \frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \mathbf{e}\left(h N r^{-1} / s\right)\right| \\
& \ll H^{-1} N^{3 / 2}+\sum_{1 \leq|h| \leq H} \frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{s \geqslant \sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \mathbf{e}\left(h N r^{-1} / s\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $R_{s} \geqslant 1$ implies $s \ll N$. Therefore, partitioning the corresponding summation over $s$ into dyadic intervals, we see that there is some integer $S$ with

$$
N^{1 / 2} \ll S \ll N
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(N) \ll H^{-1} N^{3 / 2}+V(N, S) \log N \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V(N, S)=\sum_{1 \leq|h| \leq H} \frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ \operatorname{gcc}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \mathbf{e}\left(h N r^{-1} / s\right)\right|
$$

Now, if $S \leqslant H^{1 / 5} N^{3 / 5}$, then we use the bound (4.2) and easily derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(N, S) \leqslant N^{1+o(1)} S^{1 / 2} \leqslant H^{1 / 10} N^{13 / 10+o(1)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $S>H^{1 / 5} N^{3 / 5}$, Lemma 4.2 (used with $A \ll N / S$ and $B \ll 1$ ), after recalling that $R \ll N / S$, implies the same bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s \sim S} \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1}}^{R_{s}} r \mathbf{e}\left(h N r^{-1} / s\right) \\
& \leqslant(N / S) N^{1 / 2+o(1)}\left(S^{1 / 2}+N S^{-1}+h^{1 / 2} N S^{-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant(N / S) N^{1 / 2+o(1)}\left(S^{1 / 2}+h^{1 / 2} N S^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, recalling that $S>H^{1 / 5} N^{3 / 5}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(N, S) & \leqslant(N / S) N^{1 / 2+o(1)}\left(S^{1 / 2}+H^{1 / 2} N S^{-1}\right) \\
& =N^{3 / 2+o(1)} S^{-1 / 2}+H^{1 / 2} N^{5 / 2+o(1)} S^{-2} \\
& \leqslant H^{-1 / 10} N^{6 / 5+o(1)}+H^{1 / 10} N^{13 / 10+o(1)} \\
& \leqslant H^{1 / 10} N^{13 / 10+o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the bound (5.2) holds for any $S$. Substituting (5.2) in (5.1) yields

$$
U(N) \ll H^{-1} N^{3 / 2}+H^{1 / 10} N^{13 / 10+o(1)}
$$

and choosing

$$
H=\left\lceil N^{2 / 11}\right\rceil
$$

to optimise the bound, we obtain

$$
U(N) \ll N^{29 / 22+o(1)}
$$

Finally, recalling (2.9) and (2.11), we derive (2.5) and conclude the proof.
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