



Strichartz estimates for Maxwell equations in media: the structured case in two dimensions

ROBERT SCHIPPA AND ROLAND SCHNAUBELT 

Abstract. We prove Strichartz estimates for the two dimensional Maxwell equations with diagonal Lipschitz permittivity of special structure. The estimates have no loss in regularity that occurs in general for C^1 -coefficients. In the charge-free case, we recover Strichartz estimates local-in-time for Euclidean wave equations in two dimensions up to endpoints.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q61, 35B65, 42B37.

Keywords. Maxwell system, Strichartz estimates, Lipschitz coefficients.

1. Introduction and main result. The Maxwell equations are the foundation of electromagnetic theory. Despite its importance, dispersive properties of the linear Maxwell system in media have only recently been studied systematically on the full space, see [5, 7–10] and also [1] for the domain case, as well as [2, 4] for earlier contributions. For the two dimensional situation (1.1), in [9], we have obtained Strichartz estimates comparable to the case of the scalar wave equation, cf. [13, 14]. Such estimates are crucial for the wellposedness theory of related non-linear problems, as discussed in e.g. [1, 8–10, 13, 14]. It is known that for Lipschitz coefficients, one has a loss of derivatives in these Strichartz estimates compared to C^2 -coefficients, in general, see [11] for the wave and [9] for the 2D Maxwell case. However, in the recent work [3], it was discovered that this loss does not appear for the wave equation under certain structural assumptions on the coefficients, see (1.6). In this note, we show an analogous result for the 2D Maxwell system for structured Lipschitz coefficients.

We investigate the two-dimensional Maxwell system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathcal{D} = \nabla_{\perp} \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{J}, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{B} = -\nabla \times \mathcal{E}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for the electric fields $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, the magnetic fields $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the current density $\mathcal{J} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Here we set $\nabla_{\perp} = (\partial_2, -\partial_1)^{\top}$ and $\nabla \times v = \partial_1 v_2 - \partial_2 v_1$. These equations are equipped with the instantaneous linear material laws

$$\mathcal{D} = \varepsilon(x)\mathcal{E}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \mu(x)\mathcal{H},$$

for the permittivity $\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and the permeability $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is assumed that ε is symmetric and strictly positive definite. To focus on the main difficulties, we let $\mu = 1$ for simplicity, which is also a usual assumption in optics (after normalizing the vacuum permittivity ε_0 to 1), see [6]. However, our results easily generalize to strictly positive functions μ having the same regularity as ε in Theorem 1.1.

The system (1.1) arises as a restriction of the usual three dimensional Maxwell system (with $\mu = 1$) if the initial values \mathcal{D}_0 and $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0$ only depend on $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and if their components $\mathcal{E}_{03}, \mathcal{B}_{01}, \mathcal{B}_{02}$, as well as \mathcal{J}_3 vanish. Moreover, in the 3D permittivity tensor, the components $\varepsilon_{3j} = \varepsilon_{j3}$ have to be zero for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. These restrictions on the fields are then conserved by the evolution equations.

In our recent paper [9], we have shown Strichartz estimates for permittivities $\varepsilon \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2})$ with $0 \leq s \leq 2$, which were proved to be sharp for $1 \leq s \leq 2$. To formulate them, we let $u = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B})$ be the state, denote the (electric) charges by $\rho_e = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{D} = \partial_1 \mathcal{D}_1 + \partial_2 \mathcal{D}_2$, and write

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 & -\partial_2 \\ 0 & \partial_t & \partial_1 \\ \partial_1(\varepsilon^{21 \cdot}) - \partial_2(\varepsilon^{11 \cdot}) & \partial_1(\varepsilon^{22 \cdot}) - \partial_2(\varepsilon^{12 \cdot}) & \partial_t \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

where (ε^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{ij})$. (Here we change notation compared to [9].) We call exponents (wave) admissible Strichartz pairs in spatial dimension d if

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d-1}{q} \leq \frac{d-1}{2}, \quad 2 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \quad \rho = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{q} - \frac{1}{p}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $q < \infty$ if $d = 3$. If the first inequality is an equality, (p, q) is called a sharp Strichartz pair. (Note that $\rho \geq 0$ and $\rho = 0$ for the pair $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$ corresponding to the energy estimate (1.11).) For admissible pairs with $d = 2$, C^s -coefficients, and the loss parameter $\sigma = \frac{2-s}{2+s}$, we have established

$$\||D|^{-\rho - \frac{\sigma}{2}} u\|_{L^p L^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2} + \||D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} P u\|_{L^2} + \||D|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2}} \rho_e\|_{L^2} \tag{1.4}$$

in [9, Theorem 1.2]. (If $q = \infty$, one has to replace L^∞ by a Besov space and analogously in (1.7) below.) Here we let $L^p L^q = L^p(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $L^p = L^p_x = L^p L^p$, and $|D|^\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1} |\xi|^\alpha \mathcal{F}$ for the space-time Fourier transform. We also write $L^p_T L^q = L^p_T L^q_{x'} = L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^2))$ for $T > 0$. Throughout, $x = (t, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ are the space-time variables and $\xi = (\tau, \xi') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ the Fourier variables. Accordingly, spatial fractional derivatives are denoted by $|D'|^\alpha = \mathcal{F}_{x'}^{-1} |\xi'|^\alpha \mathcal{F}_{x'}$.

In (1.4), the regularity loss $\frac{\sigma}{2}$ compared to C^2 -coefficients is sharp in general, as we have seen by a counter-example in [9] inspired by [11]. Except for

the charge term, the estimate (1.4) corresponds to the results for the wave equation in Tataru’s paper [13], which also have the loss $\frac{\sigma}{2}$ for C^s -coefficients (being sharp in general, see [11]). The charge term in (1.4) compensates the degeneracy of the main symbol of P , which is a fundamental difference between the Maxwell and wave case, tied to the system character of (1.1).

However, recently in [3], the first author and Frey proved Strichartz estimates *without loss* for wave equations with Lipschitz coefficients under certain structural assumptions. We state the results of [3] for the 2D case only. There coefficients $a_1, a_2 \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ were considered under the ellipticity assumption

$$\exists \kappa > 0 : \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \quad \kappa \leq a_i(x) \leq \kappa^{-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

For the wave operator

$$Q = \partial_{tt} - (\partial_1(a_1(x_1)\partial_1) + \partial_2(a_2(x_2)\partial_2)) \tag{1.6}$$

and sharp admissible pairs (p, q) , the Strichartz estimates without loss

$$\| |D'|^{1-\rho} v \|_{L_T^p L^q} \lesssim_T \| \nabla u \|_{L_T^\infty L^2} + \| Qu \|_{L_T^1 L^2} \tag{1.7}$$

were proven in [3, Corollary 4.5]. Hence, for the wave operator (1.6) with $C^{0,1}$ -coefficients, we have the same Strichartz estimate (1.7) as for the wave equation with general (elliptic) C^2 -coefficients, see e.g. [13].

In this note, we revisit our approach from [9] and show a loss-less Strichartz estimate for solutions to (1.1) after frequency localization, for permittivities satisfying the structural conditions

$$\varepsilon(x) = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1(x_2), \varepsilon_2(x_1)), \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_i \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ satisfy (1.5)}. \tag{1.8}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (p, q, ρ) satisfy (1.3) for $d = 2$ and ε fulfills (1.8). Let P be given by (1.2), $u = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B})$, $\rho_e = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{D}$, and $T \geq 1$. We then obtain the Strichartz estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0} \cup \{0\}} (1+\lambda)^{-\rho} \| S'_\lambda u \|_{L_T^p L_x^q} &\lesssim_T \| u(0) \|_{L_x^2} + \| Pu \|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} + \| |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e(0) \|_{L_x^2}, \\ &+ \| |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t \rho_e \|_{L_T^1 L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Let also $\varepsilon \in B_{\infty,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |D'|^{-\rho} u \|_{L_T^p L_x^q} &\lesssim_T \| u(0) \|_{L_x^2} + \| Pu \|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} + \| |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e(0) \|_{L_x^2}, \\ &+ \| |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t \rho_e \|_{L_T^1 L_x^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

for $q < \infty$. If $q = \infty$, one has to replace the left-hand side by $\| u \|_{L_T^p \dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{-\rho}}$.

The theorem is proved in the next section. Here we first discuss the result and its proof a bit. Above we use a spatial Littlewood–Paley decomposition $(S'_\lambda)_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}}$, see (2.1), where $2^{\mathbb{N}_0} = \{2^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. For (1.10), the slightly improved first-order regularity of ε is needed to sum the Littlewood–Paley pieces in a commutator argument, see (2.11). We note that (1.8) excludes the counterexamples to (1.10) from [9, Section 7].

We next explain the differences between the right-hand side of (1.4) with $\sigma = 0$ and those of (1.9) and (1.10). Differentiating the energy $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\varepsilon \mathcal{E}(t) \cdot \mathcal{E}(t) + |\mathcal{H}(t)|^2) dx'$ in time, one obtains

$$\|u(T)\|_{L^2_{x'}} \lesssim_{\kappa} \|u(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \|Pu\|_{L^1_T L^2_{x'}}. \tag{1.11}$$

(For time-varying coefficients, one would need here $\partial_t \varepsilon \in L^1_T L^\infty$.) Hence it is enough to show (1.9) and (1.10) with $\|u\|_{L^2}$ instead of $\|u(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}}$ on the right-hand side. In step (1) of the proof, we also see how one can pass from $\|Pu\|_{L^2}$ to $\|Pu\|_{L^1_T L^2_{x'}}$ by means of Duhamel’s formula, though with a T -depending constant. This argument also modifies the charge term.

We state the above result with spatial regularity only. But, as seen in the proof, the low frequency part of u and the frequency ranges $|\tau| \gg |\xi'|$ can be handled directly (without involving ρ_e) so that one could replace $|D'|$ by $|D|$. Observe that Sobolev’s embedding already gives

$$\| |D|^{-\rho} u \|_{L^p L^q} \lesssim \| |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} u \|_{L^2},$$

so that we have to gain half a derivative to derive (1.10). In particular, if we only know $\| |D'|^{-1/2} \rho_e \|_{L^2} \sim \| |D'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D} \|_{L^2}$ for the charge, then (1.10) would not improve on Sobolev’s embedding. On the other hand, (1.1) implies

$$\rho_e(t) = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{D}(0) - \int_0^t \nabla \cdot \mathcal{J}(s) ds \tag{1.12}$$

so that the charge is given by the data. Moreover, we have $\rho_e(0) = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{D}(0)$ and $\partial_t \rho_e = -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{J}$ in (1.9) and (1.10).

We also remark that we can shift the regularity in (1.10) to the right hand-side in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p_T L^q_{x'}} &\lesssim_T \|u\|_{L^\infty_T H^\rho} + \|\tilde{P}u\|_{L^1_T H^\rho} + \|\langle D' \rangle^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}} \\ &\quad + \|\langle D' \rangle^\rho |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t \rho_e\|_{L^1_T L^2_{x'}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

which requires to replace P by its non-divergence form version

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 & -\partial_2 \\ 0 & \partial_t & \partial_1 \\ -\varepsilon^{11} \partial_2 & \varepsilon^{22} \partial_1 & \partial_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

This argument relies on a commutator argument, which is detailed in [1, Appendix B]; see also [1, Lemma B.2].

In three spatial dimensions, dispersive estimates for the Maxwell system depend very much on the behavior of the eigenvalues of $\varepsilon(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ since these heavily influence the characteristic surface S of the problem (the null set of the principal symbol of P), see our recent contributions [5, 8, 10], and the references therein. Only in the isotropic case of scalar ε and μ , Strichartz estimates with admissible exponents (1.3) for $d = 3$ as for the wave equation are known so far, see [8] (and also [1] for the domain case). For smooth coefficients and vanishing charges, this was already shown in [2], which is the only other

reference on Strichartz estimates for the Maxwell system with non-constant coefficients we are aware of.

Already for constant diagonal coefficients $\varepsilon = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ and $\mu = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ in the fully anisotropic case $\varepsilon_i/\mu_i \neq \varepsilon_j/\mu_j$ for $i \neq j$, the admissible range of exponents for the Strichartz estimate is reduced to $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as in 2D instead of $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as in 3D for the wave equations. This is caused by a loss of curvature for S in this case, compared to $\partial_{tt}w = \Delta w$ where S becomes the light cone $\{\tau = \pm|\xi'|\}$. Moreover, the slices S_τ of S for fixed $\tau \neq 0$ have four conical singularities in the above fully anisotropic case. See [4, 5, 8, 10] for a detailed discussion. So it is worthwhile to study the influence of structured coefficients to dispersive properties of the Maxwell system first in the 2D case.

In our proof, we follow the general strategy from [9]. However, there we used C^2 -coefficients in most of the relevant arguments, so that we have to argue differently at various points below. (In [9] or [13], one treated C^s -coefficients by means of additional frequency cut-offs of the coefficients, leading to the loss of regularity in (1.4).) As in [13], we first reduce to functions u which are localized in the space-time unit cube $[0, 1]^3$ and in Fourier space near a large dyadic frequency $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. The frequency localization is more demanding in the present situation since the relevant commutator $[P, S'_\lambda]u$ is uniformly bounded in L^2 , but not square summable for Lipschitz coefficients. (There is no problem if they belong to C^s for $s > 1$.) In (2.11), we manage to sum in λ using the assumption $\varepsilon \in B_{\infty,2}^1$, which is only needed here. Then the coefficients are truncated to frequencies less or equal λ . We next diagonalize the principal symbol p as in [9]. Using also the FBI transform and results from [12], we can treat the frequency range $|\tau| \gg |\xi'|$ by an elliptic estimate and the degenerate range $|\xi'| \gg |\tau|$ employing the charge. The remaining part $|\tau| \sim |\xi'|$ near the light cone is handled by means of the wave estimate (1.7) from [3], after passing to a second-order formulation of the Maxwell system. Only here we use the special structure of ε from (1.8).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. As noted above, we use some arguments from [9]. In the sequel, we focus on the differences to [9]. We proceed in five steps using the following dyadic frequency decomposition. Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ radially decrease with $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\chi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. We set

$$S'_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_{x'}^{-1}(\chi(|\xi'|/\lambda) - \chi(|\xi'|/2\lambda))\mathcal{F}_{x'}$$

for dyadic numbers $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. Moreover, we write

$$S'_0 = I - \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}} S'_\lambda, \quad S'_{\geq \lambda} = \sum_{\mu \geq \lambda} S'_\mu, \quad \tilde{S}'_\lambda = \sum_{\mu=\lambda/8}^{8\lambda} S'_\mu. \tag{2.1}$$

Here and below we sum over dyadic numbers. We write S'_λ^τ etc. for the corresponding operators in 1D (giving a decomposition for the time frequencies), and S_λ for the full 3D version in ξ . The Besov space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $1 \leq q < \infty$ contains those $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0} \cup \{0\}} (1 + \lambda)^{qs} \|S'_\lambda f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q \right)^{1/q},$$

$B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined via the usual modification. Note that it is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 for sharp pairs with $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$ by Sobolev’s embedding.

(1) Reduction to L^2 on the right. To establish (1.9), it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0} \cup \{0\}} (1 + \lambda)^{-\rho} \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L_T^p L_{x'}^q} \\ & \lesssim_T \|u(0)\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|u\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|Pu\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \||D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e\|_{L_{x'}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Similarly, (1.10) follows from

$$\||D'|^{-\rho} u\|_{L_T^p L_{x'}^q} \lesssim_T \|u(0)\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|u\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|Pu\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \||D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e\|_{L_{x'}^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

We check this only for (2.2), as (2.3) is treated in the same way.

Once (2.2) is proved, we can derive (1.9) by localization in time and the energy estimate (1.11). To this end, we extend u by reflection and cut-off to a map \tilde{u} with $\text{supp}(\tilde{u}) \subseteq (-T, 2T)$. An application of (2.2) to \tilde{u} yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0} \cup \{0\}} (1 + \lambda)^{-\rho} \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L_T^p L_{x'}^q} = \sup_{\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0} \cup \{0\}} (1 + \lambda)^{-\rho} \|S'_\lambda \tilde{u}\|_{L_T^p L_{x'}^q} \\ & \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2} + \|P\tilde{u}\|_{L^2} + \||D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\rho}_e\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 L^2} + \|Pu\|_{L_T^2 L^2} + \||D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim_T \|u(0)\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|Pu\|_{L_T^2 L^2} + \|Pu\|_{L_T^2 L^2} + \||D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_e\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

At this point, we use Duhamel’s formula

$$u(t) = U(t)u(0) + \int_0^t U(t-s)Pu(s) \, ds$$

for the C_0 -group $U(\cdot)$ solving (1.1), and the estimate (2.4) for the homogeneous problem with initial values $u(0)$, respectively $Pu(s)$. Taking into account $\rho_e(0) = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{D}(0)$ and $\partial_t \rho_e = -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{J}$ from (1.12), we deduce (1.9).

(2) Localization and frequency truncation. We carry out a dyadic frequency localization and frequency-truncate the coefficients accordingly.

In the first step, we observe that Bernstein’s inequality, (1.11), and Hölder’s inequality yield

$$\||D'|^{-\rho} S'_0 u\|_{L_T^p L_{x'}^q} \lesssim \||D'|^{\frac{1}{p}} S'_0 u\|_{L_T^p L_{x'}^2} \lesssim_T \|u(0)\|_{L_{x'}^2} + \|Pu\|_{L^2}.$$

In particular, we can replace $|D'|^{-\rho}$ by $\langle D' \rangle^{-\rho} = \mathcal{F}_{x'}^{-1} \langle \xi' \rangle^{-\rho} \mathcal{F}_{x'}$ with $\langle \xi' \rangle^2 = 1 + |\xi'|^2$. As in [9, Section 3.2], we restrict to u that are supported in $[0, 1]^3$ by means of a partition of unity.

Define maps with frequency truncation at $\frac{\lambda}{8}$, near λ , and above $c\lambda$ by

$$a_{\lesssim\lambda} = \sum_{0 \leq \mu < \lambda/8} S'_\mu a, \quad a_{\sim\lambda} = \tilde{S}'_\lambda a, \quad a_{\gtrsim\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \geq c\lambda} S'_\mu a,$$

respectively, where a stands for (components of) ε and ε^{-1} . Here $c > 0$ is a constant which is adapted below finitely often. To lighten notation, we do not keep track of it. Since $\|S'_\mu a\| \lesssim \mu^{-1} \|a\|_{C^{0,1}}$ by [15, (A.1.2)], we have

$$\|\varepsilon_{\gtrsim\lambda}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,1}} \sum_{\mu \geq c\lambda} \mu^{-1} \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,1}}. \tag{2.5}$$

We can thus fix $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ such that the lower bound (1.8) is true for $\varepsilon_{\lesssim\lambda}$ if $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. We write $\lambda \gtrsim 1$ for this relation. This restriction is assumed below, frequencies $\lambda < \lambda_0$ can be treated as in the previous paragraph. We further define P_λ by replacing in the definition of P in (1.2) the coefficients ε^{ij} by $(\varepsilon^{ij})_{\lesssim\lambda}$. The operators $P_{\sim\lambda}$ and $P_{\gtrsim\lambda}$ are defined analogously. Note that ε^{-1} satisfies the same assumptions as ε . (Use the characterization of $B^1_{\infty,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by differences in [16, Theorem 2.5.12] and ellipticity.)

We next deduce (2.2) from the frequency localized bound

$$\lambda^{-\rho} \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim_T \|S'_\lambda u(0)\|_{L^2_x} + \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|S'_\lambda \rho_e\|_{L^2} \tag{2.6}$$

for $\lambda \gtrsim 1$. To pass from (2.6) to (2.2), we bound $\|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}$ by $\|S'_\lambda P u\|_{L^2}$ plus terms like $\|\tilde{S}'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}$. We use fixed-time commutator arguments to this end. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} &= \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} \leq \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda P S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda P_{\sim\lambda} S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|S'_\lambda P u\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda [P, S'_\lambda] u\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda P_{\sim\lambda} S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Write $[P, S'_\lambda] = [P, S'_\lambda] \tilde{S}'_\lambda + S'_\lambda P(1 - \tilde{S}'_\lambda)$. In the second term, we can replace the coefficients ε^{-1} of P with $(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\gtrsim\lambda}$ as the low frequencies of ε^{-1} do not appear in the frequency interaction:

$$S'_\lambda P(1 - \tilde{S}'_\lambda) u = S'_\lambda P_{\gtrsim\lambda}(1 - \tilde{S}'_\lambda) u. \tag{2.8}$$

Since P is in divergence form, the commutator estimate from [15, Proposition 4.1.A] and (2.5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda [P, S'_\lambda] u\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda [\varepsilon^{-1}, S'_\lambda] \tilde{S}'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \lambda \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\gtrsim\lambda}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1 - \tilde{S}'_\lambda) u\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,1}} \|u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|\tilde{S}'_\lambda P_{\sim\lambda} S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim\lambda} S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,1}} \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, (2.2) follows from (2.6).

To reduce (2.3) to (2.6), we use the square function estimate in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $2 \leq q < \infty$ and Minkowski's inequality (note that $p, q \geq 2$), obtaining

$$\| |D'|^{-\rho} S'_{\gtrsim 1} u \|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim \left(\sum_{\lambda \gtrsim 1} \lambda^{-2\rho} \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p_T L^q}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

If $q = \infty$, we employ the definition of Besov spaces instead of the Littlewood–Paley theorem. Invoking (2.6), we need to show that

$$\left(\sum_{\lambda \gtrsim 1} \|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2} + \|Pu\|_{L^2}.$$

In (2.7), the first and third term can be summed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ due to (2.10), already for Lipschitz coefficients. It remains to verify

$$\sum_{\lambda \gtrsim 1} \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda [P, S'_\lambda] u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The second term in (2.9) is not square summable. To use the extra Besov regularity of ε , we go back to (2.8) and write

$$\begin{aligned} \|S'_\lambda P(1 - \tilde{S}'_\lambda) u\|_{L^2_{x'}} &\lesssim \lambda \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \lambda} S'_{\lesssim \lambda} u\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \lambda \|\tilde{S}'_\lambda (\varepsilon^{-1})_{\gtrsim \lambda} S'_{\gtrsim \lambda} u\|_{L^2_{x'}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}} \|u\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \lambda \sum_{\mu \gtrsim \lambda} \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \mu}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}} \|S'_\mu u\|_{L^2_{x'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Square summing the first term in the last line yields

$$\sum_{\lambda \gtrsim 1} \lambda^2 \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}}^2 \|u\|_{L^2_{x'}}^2 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{B^1_{\infty,2}}^2 \|u\|_{L^2_{x'}}^2.$$

Here we use that $\|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \lambda}\|_{B^1_{\infty,2}} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{B^1_{\infty,2}}$, as noted above.

By means of Hölder’s inequality and Fubini’s theorem, we estimate the square sum of the second term by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \gtrsim 1} \lambda^2 \left(\sum_{\mu \gtrsim \lambda} \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \mu}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}} \|S'_\mu u\|_{L^2_{x'}} \right)^2 &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda \geq 1} \lambda^2 \sum_{\mu \gtrsim \lambda} \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \mu}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}}^2 \sum_{\mu \gtrsim \lambda} \|S'_\mu u\|_{L^2_{x'}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mu \geq 1} \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \mu}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}}^2 \sum_{\lambda \lesssim \mu} \lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^2_{x'}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mu \geq 1} \mu^2 \|(\varepsilon^{-1})_{\sim \mu}\|_{L^\infty_{x'}}^2 \|u\|_{L^2_{x'}}^2 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{B^1_{\infty,2}}^2 \|u\|_{L^2_{x'}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

As a result, (2.6) also implies (2.3) if $\varepsilon \in B^1_{\infty,2}$.

(3) Diagonalization. We diagonalize the main symbol of P as in [9, Section 3.1], obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, \xi) &= i \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 & -\xi_2 \\ 0 & \tau & \xi_1 \\ -\xi_2 \varepsilon^{11} & \xi_1 \varepsilon^{22} & \tau \end{pmatrix} = m(x, \xi) d(x, \xi) m(x, \xi)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_1^* \varepsilon^{22}(x) & \xi_2^* & -\xi_2^* \\ -\xi_2^* \varepsilon^{11}(x) & -\xi_1^* & \xi_1^* \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i\tau & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i(\tau - |\xi'|_\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i(\tau + |\xi'|_\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_1^* & -\xi_2^* & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \xi_2^* \varepsilon^{11}(x) & -\frac{1}{2} \xi_1^* \varepsilon^{22}(x) & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \xi_2^* \varepsilon^{11}(x) & \frac{1}{2} \xi_1^* \varepsilon^{22}(x) & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with $|\xi'|_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}|^2 = \langle \xi', \tilde{\varepsilon}(x)\xi' \rangle$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}(x) = \text{adj}(\varepsilon^{-1}(x)) = \text{diag}(\varepsilon^{22}(x), \varepsilon^{11}(x))$, and $\xi_i^* = \xi_i/|\xi'|_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. See also [7]. Here we use that ε is diagonal in our case, though this is not needed in this and the next step.

Strictly speaking, the symbols in the diagonalization depend on λ , but we suppress the dependence in the following to lighten the notation.

(4) Estimate away from the light cone. We use the diagonalization to localize also the temporal frequencies μ of u to the spatial frequency λ in the next step. In the present step, we first treat μ that differ much from λ .

(a) Let $\mu \gg \lambda$; i.e., $\mu \geq c\lambda$ for constant $c > 1$ implicitly fixed below. Here the operator P_λ is elliptic and gains one derivative. More precisely, Bernstein's inequality yields

$$\lambda^{-\rho} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p L^q} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho} \lambda^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} = \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}.$$

Now we use the FBI transform

$$T_\mu f(z) = C\mu^{\frac{9}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{\mu}{2}(z-y)^2} f(y) dy, \quad z = x - i\xi \in T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^6,$$

see [12], and set $v_\mu = T_\mu S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u$. We recall that $T_\mu : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^6)$ is an isometry, where the range space has the weight $\Phi(z) = e^{-\mu\xi^2}$. Using [13, (15)], one can check that v_μ is essentially supported in $B(0, 2) \times \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\tau| \sim 1, |\xi'| \ll |\tau|\} =: U$ and $\|v_\mu\|_{L^2(U^c)} \lesssim_N \mu^{-N} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}$. So it remains to estimate $\|v_\mu\|_{L^2(U)}$.

Since p is strictly positive on U , [12, Theorem 1] implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\mu\|_{L^2(U)} &\lesssim \|p(x, \xi)v_\mu\|_{L^2(U)} \lesssim \|p(x, \xi)v_\mu\|_{L^2_\Phi} \\ &\lesssim \mu^{-1} \|P(x, D)S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We note that the pseudodifferential operator $P(x, D)$ with symbol $p(x, \xi)$ is equal to P_λ plus an L^2 -bounded perturbation. This suffices for summation over $\mu \gg \lambda$, and we have thus shown

$$\lambda^{-\rho} \|S_{\gg\lambda}^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim_T \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.13}$$

(b) Let $\mu \ll \lambda$. Here we see that the non-degenerate components of $d(x, \xi)$ are elliptic and the degenerate first component is estimated by the charges. As above, Bernstein's inequality yields

$$\lambda^{-\rho} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p L^q} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}.$$

We let $T_\lambda S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u = v_\lambda$, which is supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi'| \sim 1, |\tau| \ll |\xi'|\}$ up to rapidly decreasing errors, and obtain

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{L^2_\Phi} = \|m(x, \xi)m^{-1}(x, \xi)v_\lambda\|_{L^2_\Phi} \lesssim \|m^{-1}(x, \xi)v_\lambda\|_{L^2_\Phi}.$$

Using [12, Theorem 1], the component $[m^{-1}(x, \xi)v_\lambda]_1$ is estimated by

$$\|[m(x, \xi)^{-1}v_\lambda]_1\|_{L^2_\Phi} \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|\nabla \cdot S'_\lambda \mathcal{D}\|_{L^2_x} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|S'_\lambda \mathcal{D}\|_{L^2_x}.$$

By the essential support property, the components d_2 and d_3 are strictly positive. For $i = 2, 3$, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[m(x, \xi)^{-1}v_\lambda]_i\|_{L^2_\Phi} &\lesssim \|[d(x, \xi)m(x, \xi)^{-1}v_\lambda]_i\|_{L^2_\Phi} \\ &\lesssim \|m(x, \xi)d(x, \xi)m(x, \xi)^{-1}v_\lambda\|_{L^2_\Phi} = \|p(x, \xi)v_\lambda\|_{L^2_\Phi}. \end{aligned}$$

This fact allows to gain derivatives as in (2.12) and leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-\rho}\|S_\mu^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p L^q} &\lesssim \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\| |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla \cdot S'_\lambda \mathcal{D}\|_{L^2_x} \\ &\quad + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $\mu \ll \lambda$, we derive

$$\lambda^{-\rho}\|S_{\ll \lambda}^\tau S'_\lambda u\|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim_T \|S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \|P_\lambda S'_\lambda u\|_{L^2} + \| |D'|^{-\frac{1}{2}}S'_\lambda \rho e\|_{L^2_x}. \tag{2.14}$$

(5) Estimate near the light cone. In view of (2.13) and (2.14), for (2.6), it remains to treat the frequency region $c\lambda \leq \mu \leq c'\lambda$ for some fixed constants. Set $(\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda) = S_{\sim \lambda}^\tau S'_\lambda u$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda = P_\lambda S_{\sim \lambda}^\tau S'_\lambda u = S_{\sim \lambda}^\tau P_\lambda S'_\lambda u$. To estimate $(\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$, we pass to the second order equation starting from

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathcal{D}_{1\lambda} = \partial_2 \mathcal{H}_\lambda + \mathcal{J}_{1\lambda}, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{D}_{2\lambda} = -\partial_1 \mathcal{H}_\lambda + \mathcal{J}_{2\lambda}, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{H}_\lambda = \partial_2(\varepsilon_{1\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{1\lambda}) - \partial_1(\varepsilon_{2\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{2\lambda}) + \mathcal{J}_{3\lambda}. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

Taking another time derivative in the third equation, we find

$$\partial_t^2 \mathcal{H}_\lambda = \partial_2(\varepsilon_{1\lambda}^{-1} \partial_2 \mathcal{H}_\lambda) + \partial_1(\varepsilon_{2\lambda}^{-1} \partial_1 \mathcal{H}_\lambda) + \partial_2(\varepsilon_{1\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{J}_{1\lambda}) - \partial_1(\varepsilon_{2\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{J}_{2\lambda}) + \partial_t \mathcal{J}_{3\lambda}.$$

Setting $f = \partial_2(\varepsilon_{1\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{J}_{1\lambda}) - \partial_1(\varepsilon_{2\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{J}_{2\lambda}) + \partial_t \mathcal{J}_{3\lambda}$, the standard energy estimate and (2.15) imply

$$\|\nabla_x \mathcal{H}_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2_{x'}} \lesssim \|\nabla_x \mathcal{H}_\lambda(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^2} \lesssim_T \lambda \|S'_\lambda u(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \lambda \|\mathcal{J}_\lambda\|_{L^2}.$$

We now use (1.7) taken from [3] and obtain

$$\lambda^{1-\rho} \|\mathcal{H}_\lambda\|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim_T \|\nabla \mathcal{H}_\lambda\|_{L^\infty_T L^2} + \|f\|_{L^1_T L^2} \lesssim_T \lambda \|S'_\lambda u(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \lambda \|\mathcal{J}_\lambda\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.16}$$

Furthermore, the first and second equation in (2.15) give

$$\lambda^{-\rho} \|\mathcal{D}_{i\lambda}\|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho-1} \|\partial_t \mathcal{D}_{i\lambda}\|_{L^p_T L^q} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho} \|\mathcal{H}_\lambda\|_{L^p_T L^q} + \lambda^{-\rho-1} \|\mathcal{J}_{i\lambda}\|_{L^p_T L^q}$$

with $j \neq i$ in $\{1, 2\}$. The first term has been bounded by $\|S'_\lambda u(0)\|_{L^2_{x'}} + \|\mathcal{J}_\lambda\|_{L^2}$ in (2.16). Due to Sobolev’s embedding, the second term can be estimated by

$$\lambda^{-\rho} \lambda^{-1} \|\mathcal{J}_\lambda\|_{L^p L^q} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{J}_\lambda\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, (2.6) is shown and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. □

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for comments improving the presentation of the paper. Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—Project-ID 258734477—SFB 1173.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Burq, N., Schippa, R.: Strichartz estimates for Maxwell equations on domains with perfectly conducting boundary conditions. [arXiv:2304.13368](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.13368) (2023)
- [2] Dumas, E., Sueur, F.: Cauchy problem and quasi-stationary limit for the Maxwell–Landau–Lifschitz and Maxwell–Bloch equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **11**(3), 503–543 (2012)
- [3] Frey, D., Schippa, R.: Strichartz estimates for equations with structured Lipschitz coefficients. *J. Evol. Equ.* **23**(3), Paper No. 45, 34 pp. (2023)
- [4] Liess, O.: Decay estimates for the solutions of the system of crystal optics. *Asymptot. Anal.* **4**(1), 61–95 (1991)
- [5] Mandel, R., Schippa, R.: Time-harmonic solutions for Maxwell's equations in anisotropic media and Bochner–Riesz estimates with negative index for non-elliptic surfaces. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **23**(5), 1831–1882 (2022)
- [6] Moloney, J., Newell, A.: *Nonlinear Optics*. Westview Press, Boulder (2004)
- [7] Schippa, R.: Resolvent estimates for time-harmonic Maxwell's equations in the partially anisotropic case. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **28**(2), Paper No. 16, 31 pp. (2022)
- [8] Schippa, R.: Strichartz estimates for Maxwell equations in media: the partially anisotropic case. [arXiv:2108.07691](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.07691) (2022)
- [9] Schippa, R., Schnaubelt, R.: On quasilinear Maxwell equations in two dimensions. *Pure Appl. Anal.* **4**(2), 313–365 (2022)
- [10] Schippa, R., Schnaubelt, R.: Strichartz estimates for Maxwell equations in media: the fully anisotropic case. [arXiv:2211.16130](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.16130) (2022)
- [11] Smith, H.F., Tataru, D.: Sharp counterexamples for Strichartz estimates for low regularity metrics. *Math. Res. Lett.* **9**(2–3), 199–204 (2002)
- [12] Tataru, D.: Strichartz estimates for operators with nonsmooth coefficients and the nonlinear wave equation. *Amer. J. Math.* **122**(2), 349–376 (2000)
- [13] Tataru, D.: Strichartz estimates for second order hyperbolic operators with nonsmooth coefficients. II. *Amer. J. Math.* **123**(3), 385–423 (2001)

- [14] Tataru, D.: Strichartz estimates for second order hyperbolic operators with non-smooth coefficients. III. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**(2), 419–442 (2002)
- [15] Taylor, M.E.: *Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1991)
- [16] Triebel, H.: *Theory of Function Spaces*. Reprint of 1983 edition. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel (2010)

ROBERT SCHIPPA AND ROLAND SCHNAUBELT

Department of Mathematics
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
76128 Karlsruhe
Germany
e-mail: robert.schippa@kit.edu

ROLAND SCHNAUBELT
e-mail: schnaubelt@kit.edu

ROBERT SCHIPPA
School of Mathematics
Korea Institute for Advanced Study
85 Hoegiro Dongdaemun-gu 02455
Seoul
Republic of Korea

Received: 8 May 2023

Revised: 30 June 2023

Accepted: 11 July 2023