



## The character table of the finite Chevalley group $F_4(q)$ for $q$ a power of 2

MEINOLF GECK 

**Abstract.** Let  $q$  be a prime power and  $F_4(q)$  be the Chevalley group of type  $F_4$  over a finite field with  $q$  elements. Marcelo and Shinoda (Tokyo J Math 18:303–340, 1995) determined the values of the unipotent characters of  $F_4(q)$  on all unipotent elements, extending earlier work by Kawanaka and Lusztig to small characteristics. Assuming that  $q$  is a power of 2, we explain how to construct the complete character table of  $F_4(q)$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 20C33; Secondary 20G40.

**Keywords.** Chevalley group, Lusztig induction, Unipotent characters.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  be an algebraic closure of the field with  $p$  elements. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $k$  and assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is defined over the finite subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq k$ , where  $q$  is a power of  $p$ . Let  $F: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be the corresponding Frobenius map. The finite group of fixed points  $\mathbf{G}^F$  is called a “finite group of Lie type”. We are concerned with the problem of computing the character table of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . The work of Lusztig [11, 14] has led to a general program for solving this problem.

However, in concrete examples, there are still a certain number of technical—and sometimes quite intricate—issues to be resolved. In this paper, we show how this can be done for the groups  $\mathbf{G}^F = F_4(q)$ , where  $q$  is a power of 2. The conjugacy classes have been classified by Shinoda [20]; the values of all unipotent characters on unipotent elements were already determined by Marcelo–Shinoda [17]. A further crucial ingredient is the fact that the characteristic functions of the  $F$ -invariant cuspidal character sheaves of  $\mathbf{G}$  (for the definition, see [14] and the references therein) are explicitly known as linear combinations of the irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Building on earlier work of Shoji [21, 22], this has been achieved in [5, 17].

In Section 2, we introduce basic notation and collect some general results from Lusztig’s theory, where we use the books [2,6] as our references. In Sections 3 and 4, we focus on  $\mathbf{G}^F = F_4(q)$ . First we consider the unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Then we address some issues concerning the two-variable Green functions involved in Lusztig’s cohomological induction functor which allows us, finally, to consider the non-unipotent characters.

The special feature of  $\mathbf{G}^F = F_4(q)$  as above is that the possible root systems of centralisers of semisimple elements are rather restricted. (See Remark 3.1 below.) There is a similar situation for  $\mathbf{G}$  of adjoint type  $E_6$  and  $p = 2$ . This, as well as the case of type  $E_7$  and  $p = 2$ , will be discussed in a sequel to this paper. The values of the unipotent characters on unipotent elements have been recently determined by Hetz [7] for these groups.

I understand that Frank Lübeck has already prepared an electronic “generic” character table of  $F_4(q)$ , based on some assumptions concerning the values of the characteristic functions of certain  $F$ -invariant character sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$ . With the results of this paper, it should now be possible to verify those assumptions (or adjust them appropriately).

**1.1. Notation and conventions.** The set of (complex) irreducible characters of a finite group  $\Gamma$  is denoted by  $\text{Irr}(\Gamma)$ . We work over a fixed subfield  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , which is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , invariant under complex conjugation, and “large enough”, that is,  $\mathbb{K}$  contains sufficiently many roots of unity and  $\mathbb{K}$  is a splitting field for  $\Gamma$  and all of its subgroups. In particular,  $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{K}$  for all  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\Gamma)$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\text{CF}(\Gamma)$  be the space of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued class functions on  $\Gamma$ . There is a standard inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma$  on  $\text{CF}(\Gamma)$  given by  $\langle f, f' \rangle_\Gamma := |\Gamma|^{-1} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} f(g) \overline{f'(g)}$  for  $f, f' \in \text{CF}(\Gamma)$ , where  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  denotes the automorphism of  $\mathbb{K}$  given by complex conjugation. We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{CF}(\Gamma)$  the subset consisting of all integral linear combinations of  $\text{Irr}(\Gamma)$ . Finally, if  $C \subseteq \Gamma$  is any (non-empty) subset that is a union of conjugacy classes of  $\Gamma$ , then we denote by  $\varepsilon_C \in \text{CF}(\Gamma)$  the (normalised) indicator function of  $C$ , that is, we have

$$\varepsilon_C(g) = \begin{cases} |\Gamma|/|C| & \text{if } g \in C, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that, if  $C$  is a single conjugacy class of  $\Gamma$  and  $g \in C$ , then  $f(g) = \langle f, \varepsilon_C \rangle_\Gamma$  for any  $f \in \text{CF}(\Gamma)$ . Thus, the problem of computing the values of  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\Gamma)$  is equivalent to working out the inner products of  $\rho$  with the indicator functions of the various conjugacy classes of  $\Gamma$ .

**2. Lusztig induction and uniform functions.** Let  $\mathbf{G}, F$  be as in the introduction. Given an  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{T}^F)$ , we have a generalised character  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}} \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  as introduced by Deligne and Lusztig [1] (see also [6, §2.2]). We shall also need the following generalisation of  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}$ .

**2.1.** An  $F$ -stable closed subgroup  $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  is called a “regular subgroup” if  $\mathbf{L}$  is a Levi complement in some (not necessarily  $F$ -stable) parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ . Given such a pair  $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{P})$ , we obtain an operator

$$R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F) \quad (\text{“Lusztig induction”}; \text{ see [2,§9.1]}).$$

Denoting by  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F$  the sets of unipotent elements of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  and  $\mathbf{L}^F$ , respectively, there is a corresponding two-variable Green function

$$Q_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F \times \mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \quad (\text{see [2, §10.1]}).$$

If  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{T}$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $\mathbf{G}$  (and  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  is a Borel subgroup containing  $\mathbf{T}$ ), then  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{uni}}^F = \{1\}$  and  $Q_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $u \mapsto Q_{\mathbf{T}\subseteq\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}(u, 1)$ , is the “usual” Green function originally introduced in [1], that is, we have  $Q_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{G}}(u) = R_{\mathbf{T},1}^{\mathbf{G}}(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F$ .

**2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$  be as above and  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)$ . There is a character formula which expresses the values of  $R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)$  in terms of the values of  $\psi$  and the two-variable Green functions for  $\mathbf{G}$  and for groups of the form  $C_{\mathbf{G}}^{\circ}(s)$  where  $s \in \mathbf{G}^F$  is semisimple; see [2, Prop. 10.1.2], [13, Prop. 6.2] for the precise formulation. For later reference, we only state here the following special case:

$$R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)(u) = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F} Q_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(u, v^{-1})\psi(v) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F. \quad (\text{a})$$

We also state the following useful formula. Let  $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$  and write  $g = su = us$  where  $s \in \mathbf{G}^F$  is semisimple and  $u \in \mathbf{G}^F$  is unipotent (Jordan decomposition). By [2, Prop. 3.5.3], we have  $g \in C_{\mathbf{G}}^{\circ}(s)$ . If  $C_{\mathbf{G}}^{\circ}(s) \subseteq \mathbf{L}$ , then

$$\rho(g) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)} \langle R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} \psi(g) \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F). \quad (\text{b})$$

This appeared in K.D. Schewe’s dissertation [19]; see the remark following [6, Cor. 3.3.13] for a proof.

**2.3.** Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$  the set of all pairs  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta)$  where  $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{T}^F)$ . Following [10, p. 16], a class function  $f \in \text{CF}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  is called “uniform” if  $f$  can be written as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear combination of the generalised characters  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}$  for various pairs  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ . If  $f$  is uniform, then we have (see [2, Prop. 10.2.4])

$$f = |\mathbf{G}^F|^{-1} \sum_{(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)} |\mathbf{T}^F| \langle f, R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}} \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}.$$

For example, if  $C$  is a conjugacy class of semisimple elements of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , then the indicator function  $\varepsilon_C$  (as in 1.1) is uniform; see [2, Cor. 10.3.4].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $C$  be an arbitrary  $F$ -stable conjugacy class of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then the indicator function  $\varepsilon_{C^F}$  of the set  $C^F$  is a uniform function.*

(Note that, in general,  $C^F$  is a union of conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .)

*Proof.* See the appendix of [4]; this was conjectured by Lusztig [10, 2.16]. See also [2, Cor. 13.3.5] and [6, Theorem 2.7.11].  $\square$

*Example 2.5.* Let  $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$  and assume that  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(g)$  is connected. Let  $C$  be the  $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy class of  $g$ . Since  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(g)$  is connected,  $C := C^F$  is a single conjugacy class of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ ; see [6, Example 1.4.10]. Now  $\varepsilon_C$  is uniform by Theorem 2.4. Let  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . Recall from 1.1 that  $\rho(g) = \langle \rho, \varepsilon_C \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F}$  and

$\langle \varepsilon_C, R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}} \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} = R_{\mathbf{T},\theta^{-1}}^{\mathbf{G}}(g)$  for any  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ . Hence, using 2.3, we obtain the formula

$$\rho(g) = |\mathbf{G}^F|^{-1} \sum_{(\mathbf{T},\theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G},F)} |\mathbf{T}^F| \langle R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}, \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} R_{\mathbf{T},\theta^{-1}}^{\mathbf{G}}(g).$$

This shows that the value  $\rho(g)$  is determined by the multiplicities  $\langle R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}, \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F}$  and the values  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}(g)$ , where  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta)$  runs over all pairs in  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ .

**2.6.** We say that  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  is “unipotent” if  $\langle R_{\mathbf{T},1}^{\mathbf{G}}, \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} \neq 0$  for some  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ . We denote by  $\text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  the set of unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . As shown in Lusztig’s book [11], these characters play a special role in the character theory of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ ; many questions about arbitrary characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  can be reduced to unipotent characters.

**3. The unipotent characters for  $F_4$  in characteristic 2.** We assume from now on that  $p = 2$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  is simple of type  $F_4$ . Let  $F: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be a Frobenius map such that  $\mathbf{G}^F = F_4(q)$  where  $q$  is a power of 2. In order to compute the characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , we shall assume that the following information is known and available in the form of tables:

- (A1) Parametrisations of  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$  and of all the conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .
- (A2) The multiplicities  $\langle R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}, \rho \rangle$  for all  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ .
- (A3) The values  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}(g)$  for all  $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$  and all  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ .
- (A4) For every regular  $\mathbf{L} \subsetneq \mathbf{G}$ , the values  $\psi(u)$  for  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F$ .

It will be convenient to also introduce the set  $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{G}, s)$  of all pairs  $(\mathbf{T}, s)$  where  $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus and  $s \in \mathbf{T}^F$ . There are natural actions of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  on  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$  and on  $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ ; see [6, 2.3.20 and 2.5.12]. Since  $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{G}^*$  is “self-dual” (in the sense of [6, Def. 1.5.17]), there is a bijective correspondence

$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F) \text{ mod } \mathbf{G}^F \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{G}, F) \text{ mod } \mathbf{G}^F \quad (\text{see [6, Cor. 2.5.14]}).$$

**Remark 3.1.** The conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  are determined by Shinoda [20]. The tables in [20] provide the required classifications and parametrisations in (A1), where we use the above-mentioned bijection to pass from  $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{G}, F)$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ . Since the center of  $\mathbf{G}$  is trivial, the information in (A2) is available via Lusztig’s “Main Theorem 4.23” in [11]; see also [6, §2.4, §4.2]. In order to obtain (A3), one uses the character formula in [1, §4] (see also [6, Theorem 2.2.16]) for the evaluation of  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}(g)$ . This involves the Green functions for  $\mathbf{G}$  and for groups of the form  $\mathbf{H}_s = C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  where  $s \in \mathbf{G}^F$  is semisimple; note that, for our  $\mathbf{G}$ , the centraliser of any semisimple element is connected. By inspection of [20, Table III], we see that  $\mathbf{H}_s$  is either a maximal torus, or a regular subgroup (with a root system of type  $F_4, B_3, C_3, A_1 \times A_2, B_2, A_2, A_1 \times A_1$ , or  $A_1$ ) or  $\mathbf{H}_s$  has a root system of type  $A_2 \times A_2$ . The Green functions for  $\mathbf{G}^F$  itself have been determined by Malle [15]; for the other cases, see Lübeck [9, Tabelle 16]. The further technical issues in the evaluation of  $R_{\mathbf{T},\theta}^{\mathbf{G}}(su)$  are discussed in [5, §3] and [9, §2] (for example, one has to deal with a sum over all  $x \in \mathbf{G}^F$  such that  $x^{-1}sx \in \mathbf{T}$ ); in [9, §6], this is explained in detail for the groups

$\mathbf{G}^F = \mathrm{CSp}_6(q)$ . Finally, the required values in **(A4)** can be extracted from Enomoto [3] (type  $B_2$ ), Looker [8], Lübeck [9, Tabelle 27] (type  $B_3, C_3$ ), and Steinberg [23] (type  $A_1, A_2$ ).

Representatives for the  $\mathbf{G}^F$ -conjugacy classes of semisimple elements are denoted by  $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{76}$  in [20, Table II], where  $h_0 = 1$ ; note that some of the  $h_i$  only occur according to whether  $3 \mid q - 1$  or  $3 \mid q + 1$ , or when  $q$  is sufficiently large. We now go through the list of these elements and explain how to determine the values of any unipotent character  $\rho \in \mathrm{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  on elements of the form  $h_i u$  where  $u \in C_{\mathbf{G}}(h_i)^F$  is unipotent.

In our group  $\mathbf{G}$ , there are 37 unipotent characters, where we use the notation in Lusztig’s book [11, pp. 371/372]).

**3.2.** If  $s = h_0 = 1$ , then the values  $\rho(u)$  for  $\rho \in \mathrm{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{uni}}^F$  have been explicitly determined by Marcelo–Shinoda; see [17, Table 6.A]. This relies on the Green functions of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  (available from [15]) and also on the knowledge of the “generalised Green functions” arising from Lusztig’s theory of character sheaves. An algorithm for the computation of those functions is described in [12, §24]; it involves the delicate matter of normalising certain “ $Y_i$ -functions” (defined in [12, (24.2.3)]). Marcelo–Shinoda [17] do not explain in detail how they found those normalisations. But using the argument of Hetz [7, §4.1.4] (where the analogous problem is solved for groups of type  $E_6$  in characteristic 2), one obtains an independent verification that the values in [17, Table 5] are correct.

**3.3.** Let  $s = h_3$  (if  $3 \mid q - 1$ ) or  $s = h_{15}$  (if  $3 \mid q + 1$ ). Then  $\mathbf{H}_s = C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  has a root system of type  $A_2 \times A_2$ . Let  $u \in \mathbf{H}_s^F$  be unipotent and  $\mathbf{C}$  be the  $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy class of  $su$ .

(a) Assume first that  $u$  is not regular unipotent. By inspection of [20, Table IV], we see that  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(su)$  is connected. So we can apply Example 2.5, together with **(A2)**, **(A3)**, to determine  $\rho(su)$  even for all  $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ .

(b) Now assume that  $u$  is regular unipotent. We recall some facts from [5, §7.6]. (Note that, in [5, §7.6], it is assumed that  $p \neq 2, 3$  but the discussion works verbatim also for  $p = 2$ .) The set  $\mathbf{C}^F$  splits into 3 classes in  $\mathbf{G}^F$ , which we simply denote by  $C_1, C_2, C_3$ . We can choose the notation such that  $C_1 = C_1^{-1}$  and  $C_2^{-1} = C_3$ . Explicit representatives are described in [20, Table IV]; we have  $|C_{\mathbf{G}}(g_i)^F| = 3q^4$  for  $g_i \in C_i$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\chi_0 := \varepsilon_{\mathbf{C}^F}$  be the indicator function on the set  $\mathbf{C}^F$  (as in 1.1). Let  $1 \neq \theta \in \mathbb{K}$  be a fixed third root of unity. Then we consider the following linear combinations of unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &:= \frac{1}{3}q^2([12_1] + F_4^{\mathrm{II}}[1] - [6_1] - [6_2] + 2F_4[\theta] - F_4[\theta^2]), \\ \chi_2 &:= \frac{1}{3}q^2([12_1] + F_4^{\mathrm{II}}[1] - [6_1] - [6_2] - F_4[\theta] + 2F_4[\theta^2]). \end{aligned}$$

As discussed in [5, §7.6], the class functions  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are (scalar multiples of) characteristic functions of  $F$ -invariant cuspidal character sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$ ; furthermore, the values of  $\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2$  are given as follows:

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$g \in \mathbf{G}^F \setminus \mathbf{C}_s^F$
$\chi_0$	$q^4$	$q^4$	$q^4$	0
$\chi_1$	$q^4$	$q^4\theta$	$q^4\theta^2$	0
$\chi_2$	$q^4$	$q^4\theta^2$	$q^4\theta$	0

Hence,  $\varepsilon_{C_1} = \chi_0 + \chi_1 + \chi_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_{C_2} = \chi_0 + \theta^2\chi_1 + \theta\chi_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_{C_3} = \chi_0 + \theta\chi_1 + \theta^2\chi_2$ .  
 Now let  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  be arbitrary and  $g_i \in C_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Since  $\chi_0$  is uniform by Theorem 2.4, we can determine  $\langle \rho, \chi_0 \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F}$  using (A2), (A3), and the formula in 2.3. The inner products of  $\rho$  with  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are known by the definition of  $\chi_1, \chi_2$ . Hence, we can explicitly work out  $\rho(g_i) = \langle \rho, \varepsilon_{C_i} \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F}$ .

**3.4.** Let  $s = h_i$  where  $i \notin \{0, 3, 15\}$ . In these cases,  $\mathbf{L} = C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  either is a maximal torus, or a proper regular subgroup with a root system of type  $B_3, C_3, A_1 \times A_2, B_2, A_2, A_1 \times A_1$ , or  $A_1$ . Let  $u \in \mathbf{L}^F$  be unipotent and  $\mathbf{C}$  be the  $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy class of  $su$ . Let  $\rho \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . In order to compute  $\rho(su)$ , we use Schewe’s formula in 2.2. First note that, if  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)$  is such that  $\langle R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} \neq 0$ , then we must have  $\psi \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{L}^F)$ ; see [6, Prop. 3.3.21]. Furthermore, since  $s$  is in the centre of  $\mathbf{L}^F$ , we have  $\psi(su) = \psi(u)$ . (This is a general property of unipotent characters; see [6, Prop. 2.2.20].) Hence, Schewe’s formula reads:

$$\rho(su) = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{L}^F)} \langle R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} \psi(u).$$

By (A4), the values  $\psi(u)$  for  $\psi \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{L}^F)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F$  are explicitly known. The multiplicities  $\langle R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F}$  (for  $\rho \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{L}^F)$ ) can also be determined explicitly; see [6, §4.6], especially [6, Prop. 4.6.18]. In Michel’s version of CHEVIE [18], this is available through the function `LusztigInductionTable`. Let us illustrate this with an example.

*Example 3.5.* Let  $\rho = F_4^{\text{II}}[1] \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  (a cuspidal unipotent character). Let  $s = h_{53}$ ; then  $\mathbf{L} = C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  is a regular subgroup of type  $B_2$ , where  $|\mathbf{L}^F| = q^4(q^2 + 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)$ ; see [20, Table III]. We would like to determine the values  $\rho(h_{53}u)$  where  $u \in \mathbf{L}^F$  is unipotent. The values of the unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{L}^F$  on unipotent elements are given by Table 1. Using Michel’s `LusztigInductionTable`, we find that

$$\langle R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi_{10}), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi_i), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 10.$$

Hence, by Schewe’s formula, we have  $\rho(h_{53}u) = \psi_{10}(u)$ . — A completely analogous procedure works for any  $s = h_i$  as in 3.4.

**4. Non-unipotent characters for  $F_4$  in characteristic 2.** We keep the notation of the previous section, where  $\mathbf{G}$  is simple of type  $F_4$  in characteristic 2. We now explain how to determine the values of the non-unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . First we recall some facts from Lusztig’s classification of  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . Let  $s \in \mathbf{G}^F$  be semisimple. Then we define  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  to be the set of all  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  such that  $\langle R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^{\mathbf{G}}(\rho), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F} \neq 0$  for some pair  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{G}, F)$  in correspondence with  $(\mathbf{T}, s) \in \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ . It is known that every  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  for some  $s$ ; furthermore,  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  only depends on the

TABLE 1. Unipotent characters for type  $B_2$  in characteristic 2

	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_{31}$	$A_{32}$	$A_{41}$	$A_{42}$
$ C_{\mathbf{G}}(u)^F  :$	$q^4(q^2-1)(q^4-1)$	$q^4(q^2-1)$	$q^4(q^2-1)$	$q^4$	$2q^2$	$2q^2$
$\psi_0$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\psi_9$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)$	$\frac{q}{2}$	$\frac{q}{2}$	$-\frac{q}{2}$
$\psi_{10}$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)^2$	$-\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$	$-\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$	$\frac{q}{2}$	$\frac{q}{2}$	$-\frac{q}{2}$
$\psi_{11}$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q^2+1)$	$-\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)$	$\frac{q}{2}$	$-\frac{q}{2}$	$\frac{q}{2}$
$\psi_{12}$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q^2+1)$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)$	$-\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$	$\frac{q}{2}$	$-\frac{q}{2}$	$\frac{q}{2}$
$\psi_{13}$	$q^4$	.	.	.	.	.

(See Enomoto [3]; notation as in [6, Examples 3.3.30 and 2.7.22].)

$\mathbf{G}^F$ -conjugacy class of  $s$ . If  $s, s' \in \mathbf{G}^F$  are such that  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s') \neq \emptyset$ , then  $s, s'$  are  $\mathbf{G}^F$ -conjugate. (For all this, see, for example, [6, §2.6]; also recall that  $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{G}^*$ .) Finally, by the ‘‘Main Theorem 4.23’’ of [11], there is a bijection  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s) \leftrightarrow \text{Uch}(\mathbf{H}_s^F)$ , where  $\mathbf{H}_s = C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$ ; this is called the ‘‘Jordan decomposition’’ of characters. We now proceed in 4 steps, where we determine the following information:

**Step 1:** The values of all the two-variable Green functions  $Q_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ .

**Step 2:** The values  $\rho(u)$  for all  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F$ .

**Step 3:** The decomposition of  $R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)$  for any  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)$ .

**Step 4:** The values  $\rho(g)$  for any  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and any  $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$ .

4.1. We show how Step 1 can be resolved. Assume that  $\mathbf{L} \subsetneq \mathbf{G}$  and let  $\text{Uch}(\mathbf{L}^F) = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ . The information in (A4) (see Section 3) shows, in particular, that  $n$  is also the number of conjugacy classes of unipotent elements of  $\mathbf{L}^F$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be representatives of these classes. Then, again using (A4), we can also check that the matrix  $(\psi_i(v_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is invertible. (For an example, see Table 1.) Let  $u_1, \dots, u_N$  be representatives of the conjugacy classes of unipotent elements of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ ; we have  $N = 35$  by [20, Theorem 2.1]. Then we write the character formula 2.2(a) as a system of equations:

$$R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi_i)(u_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j Q_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(u_k, v_j^{-1}) \psi_i(v_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq N, \quad (\spadesuit)$$

where  $c_j := [\mathbf{L}^F : C_{\mathbf{L}}(v_j)^F]$  for all  $j$ . On the other hand, as explained in 3.4, we can determine the multiplicities  $m(\psi_i, \rho) := \langle R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi_i), \rho \rangle_{\mathbf{G}^F}$  for any  $\rho \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . Hence, we obtain equations

$$R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi_i)(u_k) = \sum_{\rho \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)} m(\psi_i, \rho) \rho(u_k) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Consequently, since the values  $\rho(u_k)$  for  $\rho \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  are known by 3.2, the values  $R_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi_i)(u_k)$  can be computed explicitly. We can now invert  $(\spadesuit)$  and

obtain all the values  $Q_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(u_k, v_j^{-1})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . (A similar argument appears in Malle–Rotilio [16, §2.2].)

**4.2.** We show how Step 2 can be resolved. As in the previous section, we consider the list of semisimple elements  $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{76} \in \mathbf{G}^F$ . Let  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . There is some  $s \in \{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{76}\}$  such that  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$ . If  $s = h_0$  (the identity element), then  $\rho$  is unipotent and the required values are known by 3.2. Now assume that  $s \in \{h_3, h_{15}\}$  where  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  has a root system of type  $A_2 \times A_2$ . Then, by the discussion in [6, Lemma 2.4.18] (which is drawn from Lusztig’s book [11]), we know that  $\rho$  is a uniform class function. (The group  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda, n}$  occurring in that discussion is isomorphic to the Weyl group of  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$ ; see [6, (2.5.10)] and note again that  $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{G}^*$ .) Hence, the values  $\rho(u)$  for  $u \in \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F$  are known by (A2), (A3) in Section 3. Finally, let  $s = h_i$  where  $i \notin \{0, 3, 15\}$ . Then, as in 3.4,  $\mathbf{L} := C_{\mathbf{G}}(s) \subsetneq \mathbf{G}$  is a regular subgroup. In that case, Lusztig has shown that  $\rho = \pm R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)$  for some  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{L}^F, s)$ ; see [6, Theorem 3.3.22]. So, in order to determine  $\rho(u)$  for  $u \in \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F$ , we can use again the character formula 2.2(a), combined with the knowledge of  $Q_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}$  (see Step 1) and the values  $\psi(v)$  for  $v \in \mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F$  (see (A4)).

**4.3.** We show how Step 3 can be resolved. Assume that  $\mathbf{L} \subsetneq \mathbf{G}$  and let  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)$  be arbitrary. There is some semisimple  $s \in \mathbf{L}^F$  such that  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{L}^F, s)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s) = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$ . Then, by [6, Prop. 3.3.20], we have

$$R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi) = \sum_{i=1}^r m(\psi, \rho_i) \rho_i \quad \text{where } m(\psi, \rho_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r. \quad (*)$$

If  $s = 1$  and  $\psi \in \text{Uch}(\mathbf{L}^F)$ , we can use Michel’s `LusztigInductionTable`, as in 3.4. Now assume that  $s \neq 1$ . Then one could use the fact that  $R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}$  commutes with the Jordan decomposition of characters; see [6, Theorem 4.7.2]. But having the results of Steps 1 and 2 at our disposal, we can also argue as follows. Let again  $u_1, \dots, u_N$  be representatives of the conjugacy classes of unipotent elements of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Using 2.2(a), (A4), and Step 1, we can compute the values:

$$R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)(u_k) = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{L}_{\text{uni}}^F} Q_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(u_k, v^{-1}) \psi(v) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Comparing with (\*), we obtain equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^r m(\psi, \rho_i) \rho_i(u_k) = R_{\mathbf{L}\subseteq\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)(u_k) = \text{known value} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Using Step 2, we can check that the matrix  $(\rho_i(u_k))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq N}$  has rank  $r$ , where  $r \leq N$ . (This would not be true for  $s = 1$ .) Hence, the above equations uniquely determine the numbers  $m(\psi, \rho_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

**4.4.** We show how Step 4 can be resolved. Let  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  and  $g \in \mathbf{G}^F$  be arbitrary. Let  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 76\}$  be such that  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, h_i)$ . If  $i = 0$ , then  $h_0 = 1$ ,  $\rho$  is unipotent, and we know the values of  $\rho$  by Section 3. Next, let  $i \in \{3, 15\}$ . Then, as already mentioned in 4.2,  $\rho$  is uniform and so the values of



$\rho$  are computable via **(A2)**, **(A3)**. Finally, let  $i \notin \{0, 3, 15\}$ . Write  $g = su = us$  where  $s \in \mathbf{G}^F$  is semisimple and  $u \in \mathbf{G}^F$  is unipotent. If  $s = 1$ , then the values  $\rho(u)$  for  $u \in \mathbf{G}_{\text{uni}}^F$  are known by Step 2. Now let  $s \neq 1$ . If  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s)$  has type  $A_2 \times A_2$ , then  $\rho(su)$  is already known by 3.3. Otherwise, we are in the situation of 3.4 where  $\mathbf{L} := C_{\mathbf{G}}(s) \subsetneq \mathbf{G}$  is a regular subgroup. Let  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{L}^F)$  and  $(\mathbf{T}, \theta) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{L}, F)$  be such that  $\langle R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^{\mathbf{L}}, \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{L}^F} \neq 0$ ; then, by [6, Prop. 2.2.20], we have  $\psi(su) = \theta(s)\psi(u)$ . So Schewe's formula, together with **(A4)** and the result of Step 3, yields the value  $\rho(su)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I thank Jonas Hetz and Gunter Malle for comments on an earlier version. This article is a contribution to SFB-TRR 195 by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft), Project-ID 286237555.

**Funding Information** Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

**Open Access.** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

- [1] Deligne, P., Lusztig, G.: Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103**, 103–161 (1976)
- [2] Digne, F., Michel, J.: Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 21, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2020)
- [3] Enomoto, H.: The characters of the finite symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(4, q)$ ,  $q = 2^f$ . *Osaka J. Math.* **9**, 75–94 (1972)
- [4] Geck, M.: A first guide to the character theory of finite groups of Lie type. In: Kessar, R., Malle, G., Testerman, D. (eds.) *Local Representation Theory and Simple Groups*. EMS Lecture Notes Series, pp. 63–106. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich (2018)
- [5] Geck, M.: On the computation of character values for finite Chevalley groups of exceptional type, George Lusztig special issue. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* (to appear). Preprint at [arXiv:2105.00722](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.00722)

- [6] Geck, M., Malle, G.: *The Character Theory of Finite Groups of Lie Type: A Guided Tour*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 187. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2020)
- [7] Hetz, J.: *Characters and character sheaves of finite groups of Lie type*. Dissertation, University of Stuttgart (2023)
- [8] Looker, J.C.: *Complex irreducible characters of  $\mathrm{Sp}(6, q)$ ,  $q$  even*. PhD Thesis, University of Sydney (1977)
- [9] Lübeck, F.: *Charaktertafeln für die Gruppen  $\mathrm{CSp}_6(q)$  mit ungeradem  $q$  und  $\mathrm{Sp}_6(q)$  mit geradem  $q$* . Dissertation, University of Heidelberg (1993)
- [10] Lusztig, G.: *Representations of finite Chevalley groups*. In: C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 39. Amer. Math. Soc, Providence (1977)
- [11] Lusztig, G.: *Characters of Reductive Groups over a Finite Field*. Annals Math. Studies, vol. 107. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1984)
- [12] Lusztig, G.: *Character sheaves V*. Adv. Math. **61**, 103–155 (1986)
- [13] Lusztig, G.: *On the character values of finite Chevalley groups at unipotent elements*. J. Algebra **104**, 146–194 (1986)
- [14] Lusztig, G.: *Remarks on computing irreducible characters*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5**, 971–986 (1992)
- [15] Malle, G.: *Green functions for groups of type  $F_4$  and  $E_6$  in characteristic 2*. Comm. Algebra **21**, 747–798 (1993)
- [16] Malle, G., Rotilio, E.: *The 2-parameter Green functions for 8-dimensional spin groups*. [arXiv:2003.14231](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.14231) (2020)
- [17] Marcelo, R.M., Shinoda, K.: *Values of the unipotent characters of the Chevalley group of type  $F_4$  at unipotent elements*. Tokyo J. Math. **18**, 303–340 (1995)
- [18] Michel, J.: *The development version of the CHEVIE package of GAP3*. J. Algebra **435**, 308–336 (2015). <https://github.com/jmichel7/gap3-jm>
- [19] Schewe, K.-D.: *Blöcke exzeptioneller Chevalley-Gruppen*. Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelm-Universität, Bonn (1985)
- [20] Shinoda, K.: *The conjugacy classes of Chevalley groups of type  $F_4$  over finite fields of characteristic 2*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **21**, 133–150 (1974)
- [21] Shoji, T.: *Character sheaves and almost characters of reductive groups*. Adv. Math. **111**, 244–313 (1995)
- [22] Shoji, T.: *Character sheaves and almost characters of reductive groups, II*. Adv. Math. **111**, 314–354 (1995)
- [23] Steinberg, R.: *The representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(3, q)$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}(4, q)$ ,  $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$ , and  $\mathrm{PGL}(4, q)$* . Canad. J. Math. **3**, 225–235 (1951)

MEINOLF GECK  
Lehrstuhl für Algebra, FB Mathematik  
Universität Stuttgart  
Pfaffenwaldring 57  
70569 Stuttgart  
Germany  
e-mail: [meinolf.geck@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de](mailto:meinolf.geck@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de)

Received: 18 April 2023

Revised: 1 June 2023

Accepted: 14 June 2023