



## A note on measures related to compactness and the Banach–Saks property in $l_1$

ANDRZEJ KRYCZKA

**Abstract.** Using the Bessaga–Pełczyński selection principle, we give an alternative and concise proof of the results obtained by Tu (Arch Math, 117:315–322, 2021) that several quantities defined for bounded subsets of Banach spaces and related to compactness, weak compactness, and the Banach–Saks property coincide in  $l_1$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 46B45, 46B50.

**Keywords.** Measure of weak noncompactness, Banach–Saks property, Bessaga–Pełczyński selection principle, Schur property.

**1. Introduction.** In a recent work [9], Tu proved that several quantities, which describe the deviation of bounded subsets of Banach spaces from compactness, weak compactness, and the Banach–Saks property, coincide in  $l_1$ . In this short note, we show that the results proved in [9] are almost immediate and direct consequences of the Bessaga–Pełczyński selection principle and the Schur property.

Due to the character and purpose of this paper, we give only basic facts on the notions we use. For more details, we refer the reader to [1, 7–9].

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $B(X)$  the open unit ball of  $X$ , and  $D$  a bounded subset of  $X$ . The cardinality of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  will be denoted by  $|A|$ . The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness is given by

$$\chi(D) = \inf \{t > 0: D \subset K + tB(X)\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all compact sets  $K \subset X$ . If the infimum in this formula is taken over all weakly compact sets  $K$ , we obtain the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness  $\omega(D)$ . If  $X$  has the Schur property (norm and weak convergences coincide),  $\chi(D) = \omega(D)$ .

Another quantity describing the deviation from compactness is the separation measure of noncompactness

$$\beta(D) = \sup \{ \text{sep}(x_n) : (x_n) \subset D \},$$

where

$$\text{sep}(x_n) = \inf_{m \neq n} \|x_m - x_n\|.$$

A counterpart of  $\beta$  for the weak topology uses the convex separation of a sequence,

$$\text{csep}(x_n) = \inf_m \text{dist}(\text{conv}\{x_n\}_{n=1}^m, \text{conv}\{x_n\}_{n=m+1}^\infty),$$

which is based on James' criterion [6]. The respective measure of weak noncompactness is given by

$$\gamma(D) = \sup \{ \text{csep}(x_n) : (x_n) \subset D \}.$$

The measure  $\gamma$  was defined in [8] with the supremum over all sequences in  $\text{conv } D$ . By the quantitative extension of Krein's theorem proved in [5] and [8, Theorem 2.5], the supremum can be restricted to all sequences in  $D$ .

A modification of Beauzamy's condition [2] on the Banach–Saks property leads to the arithmetic separation of  $(x_n)$ ,

$$\text{asep}(x_n) = \inf \left\| \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{n \in A} x_n - \sum_{n \in B} x_n \right) \right\|,$$

the infimum being taken over all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and all finite subsets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $|A| = |B| = m$  and  $\max A < \min B$ . The measure of deviation from the Banach–Saks property introduced in [7] is given by

$$\varphi(D) = \sup \{ \text{asep}(x_n) : (x_n) \subset D \}.$$

Since  $\text{csep}(x_n) \leq \text{asep}(x_n) \leq \text{sep}(x_n)$ , we have  $\gamma(D) \leq \varphi(D) \leq \beta(D)$ .

Some applications of the above measures, among others, in metric fixed point theory and interpolation theory, can be found in [1, 8].

**2. Equality of measures.** If  $X$  has a basis  $(e_n)$ , then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define operators  $P_n, R_n : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $P_n x = \sum_{i=1}^n x(i)e_i$  and  $R_n x = x - P_n x$  for  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x(i)e_i$ . It is well known (see [1, Remark 4.4]) that for every bounded set  $D \subset l_1$  and  $R_n$  taken with respect to the unit vector basis of  $l_1$ ,

$$\chi(D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in D} \|R_n x\| \right). \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $(p_n), (q_n)$  with  $1 \leq p_1 \leq q_1 < p_2 \leq q_2 < \dots$  be sequences of natural numbers. A sequence  $(f_n)$ , where  $f_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i e_i$  is a nontrivial linear combination, is called a block basic sequence. In  $l_1$ , for all scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n f_n \right\| = \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \|f_n\|.$$

The following theorem includes the results of [9, Theorems 1 and 2]. Its concise proof is based on the classical result of Bessaga and Pełczyński [3] on locating block basic sequences.

**Theorem.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded subset of  $l_1$ . Then  $\gamma(D) = \varphi(D) = \beta(D) = 2\chi(D) = 2\omega(D)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\gamma(D) \leq \varphi(D) \leq \beta(D) \leq 2\chi(D)$ . Since  $l_1$  has the Schur property,  $\chi(D) = \omega(D)$ . Thus, if  $\chi(D) = 0$ , the equalities are established.

Assume that  $\chi(D) > \varepsilon > 0$ . By (2.1), there is a sequence  $(x_n) \subset D$  such that  $\chi(D) - \varepsilon \leq \|R_n x_n\|$  for all  $n$ . Let  $x_n = (x_n(i))$ . There exist a number  $x(1)$  and a subsequence  $(x_n^{(1)})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $|x(1) - x_n^{(1)}(1)| < \varepsilon 2^{-1}$  for all  $n$ . Proceeding inductively for  $i > 1$ , we can find  $x(i)$  and a subsequence  $(x_n^{(i)})$  of  $(x_n^{(i-1)})$  with  $|x(i) - x_n^{(i)}(i)| < \varepsilon 2^{-i}$  for all  $n$ . We put  $x = (x(i))$ . Since  $D$  is bounded,  $x \in l_1$ . Fix  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\|R_N x\| < \varepsilon$  and let  $(x_{n_i})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  such that  $x_{n_i} = x_{N+i-1}^{(N+i-1)}$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

Let  $v_i = P_{N+i-1} x_{n_i}$  and  $z_i = R_{N+i-1} x_{n_i}$ . Since  $N + i - 1 \leq n_i$ , we have  $\|x - v_i\| < 2\varepsilon$  and  $\|z_i\| \geq \inf_n \|R_n x_n\| > 0$  for each  $i$ . By the Bessaga–Pełczyński selection principle (see [4, p. 46]), there exist a subsequence  $(z'_n)$  of  $(z_n)$  and a block basic sequence  $(f_n)$  taken with respect to the unit vector basis of  $l_1$  such that  $\|z'_n - f_n\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ . Denote by  $(v'_n)$  the corresponding subsequence of  $(v_n)$  and put  $x'_n = v'_n + z'_n$  for all  $n$ . Then  $(x'_n)$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ .

Let  $A, B$  be finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\max A < \min B$ . If  $\sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n = \sum_{n \in B} \beta_n = 1$  and  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \in A \cup B$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n x'_n - \sum_{n \in B} \beta_n x'_n \right\| \\ & \geq \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n z'_n - \sum_{n \in B} \beta_n z'_n \right\| - \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n v'_n - x \right\| - \left\| x - \sum_{n \in B} \beta_n v'_n \right\| \\ & \geq \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n f_n - \sum_{n \in B} \beta_n f_n \right\| - 6\varepsilon = \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n \|f_n\| + \sum_{n \in B} \beta_n \|f_n\| - 6\varepsilon \\ & \geq 2 \inf_n \|f_n\| - 6\varepsilon \geq 2 \inf_n \|z_n\| - 8\varepsilon \geq 2 \inf_n \|R_n x_n\| - 8\varepsilon \geq 2\chi(D) - 10\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\text{csep}(x'_n) \geq 2\chi(D) - 10\varepsilon$ . Consequently,  $\beta(D) \geq \varphi(D) \geq \gamma(D) \geq 2\chi(D)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Open Access.** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s

Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### References

- [1] Ayerbe Toledano, J.M., Domínguez Benavides, T., López Acedo, G.: Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory. In: *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 99. Birkhäuser, Basel (1997)
- [2] Beauzamy, B.: Banach-Saks properties and spreading models. *Math. Scand.* **44**, 357–384 (1979)
- [3] Bessaga, C., Pelczyński, A.: On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces. *Studia. Math.* **17**, 151–164 (1958)
- [4] Diestel, J.: *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*. Springer, New York (1984)
- [5] Fabian, M., Hájek, P., Montesinos, V., Zizler, V.: A quantitative version of Krein's theorem. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **21**, 237–248 (2005)
- [6] James, R.C.: Weak compactness and reflexivity. *Israel J. Math.* **2**, 101–119 (1964)
- [7] Kryczka, A.: Arithmetic separation and Banach-Saks sets. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **394**, 772–780 (2012)
- [8] Kryczka, A., Prus, S., Szczepanik, M.: Measure of weak noncompactness and real interpolation of operators. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **62**, 389–401 (2000)
- [9] Tu, K.: Quantitative weakly compact sets and Banach-Saks sets in  $l_1$ . *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **117**, 315–322 (2021)

ANDRZEJ KRYCZKA  
Institute of Mathematics  
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University  
Lublin 20-031  
Poland  
e-mail: [andrzej.kryczka@umcs.pl](mailto:andrzej.kryczka@umcs.pl)

Received: 10 January 2023

Revised: 24 March 2023

Accepted: 11 April 2023