



## A note on polydegree $(n, 1)$ rational inner functions, slice matrices, and singularities

ALAN SOLA

**Abstract.** We analyze certain compositions of rational inner functions in the unit polydisk  $\mathbb{D}^d$  with polydegree  $(n, 1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ , and isolated singularities in  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . Provided an irreducibility condition is met, such a composition is shown to be a rational inner function with singularities in precisely the same location as those of the initial function, and with quantitatively controlled properties. As an application, we answer a  $d$ -dimensional version of a question posed in Bickel et al. (Am J Math 144: 1115–1157, 2022) in the affirmative.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 32A40, 30J10.

**Keywords.** Rational inner function, Derivative integrability, Slice matrix.

### 1. Introduction.

**Background.** This note is concerned with certain bounded holomorphic functions on the unit polydisk in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{D}^d = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z_j| < 1, j = 1, \dots, d\},$$

called rational inner functions, and their singularities on the  $d$ -torus

$$\mathbb{T}^d = \{\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : |\zeta_j| = 1, j = 1, \dots, d\};$$

here and throughout,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Fatou's theorem for polydisks (see e.g. [20, Chapter 3]), any bounded holomorphic function  $\phi: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has non-tangential boundary values  $\phi^*(\zeta) = \angle \lim_{\mathbb{D}^d \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} \phi(z)$  at almost every point  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . If these boundary values satisfy  $|\phi^*(\zeta)| = 1$  for almost every  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , we say that  $\phi$  is an inner function.

---

Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1928930 while participating in a spring 2022 program hosted by MSRI in Berkeley, CA.

Inner functions of the form  $\phi = q/p$ , where  $q, p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$  and  $p$  has no zeros in  $\mathbb{D}^d$ , are called rational inner functions (RIFs). In one variable, RIFs are precisely the finite Blaschke products in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Blaschke products play a central role in function theory, see for instance [11] for an overview of the very rich theory of these functions. In two and more variables, RIFs form a concrete class of bounded holomorphic functions that is amenable to detailed study [20, Chapter 5], and appears naturally in several settings, for instance in connections with interpolation problems [1].

A classical result of Rudin and Stout (see [20, Chapter 5]) states that any RIF in  $\mathbb{D}^d$  admits a representation of the form

$$\phi(z) = e^{ia} z^m \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{p(z)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , and  $\tilde{p}$  is the reflection of a polynomial  $p$  with no zeros in  $\mathbb{D}^d$  known as a stable polynomial. The reflection polynomial is defined as

$$\tilde{p}(z) = z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_d^{n_d} p\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_d}\right).$$

The vector  $(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  is referred to as the polydegree of  $p$ ; each  $n_j = \deg_{z_j}(p)$  is the degree of  $p$  in the variable  $z_j$ . In this note, we shall strip out monomial factors and consider RIFs  $\phi = e^{ia} \tilde{p}/p$ ; this simplifies formulas and is not material for the problem we study.

RIFs as well as more general bounded rational functions in two or more variables have been considered by a number of authors in recent years, often in connection with stable polynomials, representation formulas, and operator-theoretic problems. We cannot give a full overview here, but a sampler of related work might include papers of Anderson, Dritschel, and Rovnyak [3]; Ball, Sadosky, and Vinnikov [4]; Knese [13–15]; and Kollár [17].

A series of recent papers with Bickel and Pascoe [7–9]; Bickel, Knese, and Pascoe [10]; and Tully–Doyle [21] deal with aspects of RIF theory that are particular to dimensions  $d \geq 2$ . Namely, unlike in one dimension, RIFs in two or more variables can have singularities on the  $d$ -torus, arising at points  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^d$  where  $p(\zeta) = 0$  and  $\tilde{p}(\zeta) = 0$  without common factors that cancel out. A  $d$ -dimensional example (see [15, Section 5] and [9, Example 2.5]) is given by

$$\phi_d(z) = \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{d \prod_{k=1}^d z_k - \sum_{j \in J} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_{d-1}}}{d - \sum_{k=1}^d z_k} \quad (d \geq 2) \quad (1.2)$$

which has a single singularity at  $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . Here,  $J = \{(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^d : 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{d-1} \leq d\}$ .

One would like to describe RIF singularities in detail, and there are different ways of doing this. The papers [7–9], as well as [10], investigate for which  $\mathbf{p} \geq 1$  the partial derivative of a RIF has  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_d} \in L^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Roughly speaking, the smaller the maximal  $\mathbf{p}$  for which integrability holds, the stronger the singularity of  $\phi$ . For the example (1.2), the maximal integrability index is  $\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$ ; see [9] and [10] for comprehensive discussions. The paper [7] and

the work of Bergqvist [5] also consider other notions of derivative integrability corresponding to norms of Dirichlet type.

**Overview of results.** The purpose of this short note is to present some straightforward observations regarding  $d$ -variable RIFs of polydegree  $(n, 1)$ ,  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ , and their singularities. This restricted class of functions is often singled as more amenable to analysis, see for instance [6, 9, 13]. If  $\hat{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$  is kept fixed and  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$  is a RIF in  $\mathbb{D}^d$ , the resulting one-variable function  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}(z_d)$  is either a Möbius transformation mapping the unit disk onto itself, or else is a unimodular constant. By encoding this fact in a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function of  $\hat{\zeta}$ , and expressing the determinant of this matrix in terms of  $\hat{\zeta}$ -polynomials extracted from  $p$  and  $\tilde{p}$ , we are able to read off certain geometric characteristics of such  $\phi$ .

This allows us to exhibit  $d$ -variable RIFs with prescribed singularity types, and hence derivative integrability properties, while keeping the  $z_d$ -degree of the resulting functions equal to 1. As a specific application, we are able to answer a stronger version of [9, Question 3] in the affirmative.

**2. Preliminaries.**

**Polydegree  $(n, 1)$  RIFs and their singularities.** Let  $p$  be an irreducible stable polynomial in  $\mathbb{D}^d$ , the latter meaning that  $\mathcal{Z}(p) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : p(z) = 0\}$  does not intersect  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . We assume throughout that  $p$  has polydegree  $(n, 1)$  where  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  and that  $p$  is atoral, which in this context means that  $p$  and  $\tilde{p}$  share no common factor, see [9, Section 1.2]. Then we can decompose  $p$  as a sum

$$p(z) = p_1(z_1, \dots, z_{d-1}) + z_d p_2(z_1, \dots, z_{d-1}) \tag{2.1}$$

where  $p_1(\hat{z})$  and  $p_2(\hat{z})$  are in  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{d-1}]$ , and similarly

$$\tilde{p}(z) = \tilde{p}_2(\hat{z}) + z_d \tilde{p}_1(\hat{z}), \quad \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{d-1}]. \tag{2.2}$$

As we are interested in singular RIFs  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$ , we assume there exists at least one  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^d$  such that  $p(\zeta) = 0$ . A result of Pascoe [18, Corollary 1.7] shows that if we assume  $p$  is irreducible, then any zero of  $p$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  gives rise to a singularity of  $\phi$ . We restrict attention to the class of such  $p$  for which we have the additional property that  $\mathcal{Z}(p) \cap \mathbb{T}^d$  is finite; we call the corresponding  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$  finite-singularity RIFs.

**Definition 1.** Suppose  $\phi = e^{ia} \tilde{p}/p$  is a finite-singularity RIF in  $\mathbb{D}^d$  with a singularity at  $\vec{1}_d = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . We say  $\mathbf{p}^* \geq 1$  is a local  $z_d$ -derivative integrability index of  $\phi$  if

$$\mathbf{p}^* = \sup_{\mathbf{p} \geq 1} \left\{ \mathbf{p} : \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_d} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{T}^d) \right\},$$

where each  $L_{\text{loc}}^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is a standard local Lebesgue space of measurable functions  $f$  on the  $d$ -torus such that  $|f|^{\mathbf{p}}$  is locally integrable near  $\vec{1}_d$ .

The global  $z_d$ -derivative integrability index of  $\phi$  is the maximum of all the local  $z_d$ -derivative integrability indices of the finite-singularity RIF  $\phi$ .

Because of the argument principle,  $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z_d}$  is integrable for any RIF so the assumption that  $\mathbf{p} \geq 1$  is justified; see [5, 7] for details. In a similar way, we can define  $z_j$ -derivative indices. To keep this note as elementary as possible, we focus on the  $z_d$ -derivative integrability index of a  $(n, 1)$  RIF.

It is not a straight-forward task to determine local or global  $z_j$ -derivative indices of a  $d$ -variable RIF. Two-dimensional RIFs are much better understood than their general  $d$ -dimensional counterparts: for instance, the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ -derivative indices of a RIF coincide when  $d = 2$ , but this is false when  $d \geq 3$ , and their values are determined by a geometric characteristic of  $p$  at its zeros. See [7] and [10] for comprehensive presentations of the two-variable theory.

As is explained in [9], the  $z_d$ -derivative integrability of a polydegree  $(n, 1)$  RIF  $\phi$  is controlled by the rate at which the zero set of  $\tilde{p}$  approaches  $\mathbb{T}^d$  from inside the polydisk. To make this statement precise, we return to the one-variable function  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}$  and note that the  $L^p$  norm of the derivative of a Möbius transformation is proportional to the distance to  $\mathbb{T}$  of the point  $\psi^0 \in \mathbb{D}$  for which  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}(\psi^0) = 0$ ; see [8, Lemma 4.2]. Solving  $\tilde{p}(\hat{\zeta}, \psi^0) = 0$  yields  $\psi^0(\hat{\zeta}) = -\tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})/\tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})$ , where  $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2$  are the polynomials from (2.2).

Therefore, we set

$$\rho_{\phi}(\hat{\zeta}) = 1 - |\psi^0(\hat{\zeta})|^2 = \frac{|\tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})|^2 - |\tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})|^2}{|\tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})|^2}.$$

Note that since  $\phi$  is assumed to be a finite-singularity RIF, the polynomial  $\tilde{p}_1$  has no zeros in  $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ ; otherwise  $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{p}) \cap \mathbb{T}^d$  would contain a vertical line [9, Section 3], which is impossible since zeros of  $\tilde{p}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  are also zeros of  $p$ . Hence the vanishing of  $\rho_{\phi}$  near a singularity is determined by the vanishing of its numerator. As a consequence of this discussion and [9, Theorem 2.1], we obtain the following criterion.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $\phi$  is a finite-singularity RIF with polydegree  $(n, 1)$  and a singularity at  $\vec{1}_d = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . Then  $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z_d} \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  at  $\vec{1}_d$  if and only if*

$$\int_U \left[ |\tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})|^2 - |\tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})|^2 \right]^{1-p} dm(\hat{\zeta}) < \infty,$$

where  $U \subset \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$  is any sufficiently small open set in  $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$  containing  $\vec{1}_{d-1}$ .

**Polydegree  $(n, 1)$  rational inner functions and  $2 \times 2$  matrices.** Suppose  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$  is a finite-singularity RIF of polydegree  $(n, 1)$ , and consider, for  $\hat{\zeta} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$  fixed, the one-variable function

$$\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}(z_d) = \phi(\hat{\zeta}, z_d).$$

Then  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}(z_d)$  is a rational function in  $\mathbb{D}$ , which attains unimodular boundary values at every point  $\zeta_d \in \mathbb{T}$  by a theorem of Knese [16, Theorem C]. Hence  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}$  is either a Möbius transformation of the unit disk, or else  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}(z_d)$  is constant, and equal to some element of  $\mathbb{T}$ . The former obtains generically, but the latter possibility certainly occurs on some exceptional sets, as can be checked by considering  $\phi_d(1, \dots, 1, \zeta_d)$ , where  $\phi_d$  is the function in (1.2).

This discussion together with (2.1), (2.2) guides the next definition.

**Definition 2.** The slice matrix of  $\phi$  is the function  $M_\phi: \mathbb{T}^{d-1} \rightarrow M_{2,2}(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$$M_\phi(\hat{\zeta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta}) & \tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta}) \\ p_2(\hat{\zeta}) & p_1(\hat{\zeta}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The slice determinant of  $\phi$  is the function  $P_\phi: \mathbb{T}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$P_\phi(\hat{\zeta}) = \det M_\phi(\hat{\zeta}).$$

Formally, the numerator and the denominator of  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}}(z_d)$  can be read off from  $M_\phi(\hat{\zeta})(z_d, 1)^T$ . The slice determinant allows us to detect singularities of  $\phi$  as well as their finer properties.

**Lemma 2.** *The function  $\phi_{\hat{\xi}}$  is constant if and only if  $P_\phi(\hat{\xi}) = 0$ , and this happens if and only if  $(\hat{\xi}, \eta)$  is a singularity of  $\phi$  for some value of  $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$ . Moreover,  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_d} \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  at  $(\hat{\xi}, \eta)$  if and only if  $\int_{B_\epsilon(\hat{\xi})} |P_\phi(\hat{\zeta})|^{1-p} dm(\hat{\zeta}) < \infty$  for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is a direct consequence of the facts that, for  $a, b, c, d$  complex,  $m(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d)$  furnishes a non-trivial Möbius transformation of the Riemann sphere if and only if  $ad - bc \neq 0$ ; and if  $ad - bc = 0$ , then  $m$  is constant. See [12] for a comprehensive treatment of Möbius transformations and their connections with matrix groups.

The second assertion is a consequence of the results in [6, Section 3.2], see in particular [6, Lemma 3.3].

The third assertion essentially amounts to a computation. Namely,

$$\det M_\phi(\hat{\zeta}) = \tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})p_1(\hat{\zeta}) - \tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})p_2(\hat{\zeta}).$$

Observing that  $\zeta_j = 1/\bar{\zeta}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ , and examining the definition of reflection polynomials, the expression on the right-hand side can be rewritten (in standard multi-index notation) as

$$\hat{\zeta}^n \bar{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})p_1(\hat{\zeta}) - \hat{\zeta}^n \bar{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})p_2(\hat{\zeta}) = \hat{\zeta}^n \left( |\tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})|^2 - |\tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})|^2 \right).$$

The result now follows after taking moduli and appealing to Theorem 1. □

**3. Compositions and local properties of singularities.** Given an  $(n, 1)$  finite-singularity RIF, we define the following sequence of functions. See [21] for a fuller study of dynamical properties of mappings, especially skew-products, whose components are RIFs.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$  be a finite-singularity RIF of polydegree  $(n, 1)$ . Then  $\phi^2: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$\phi^2(z) = (\phi_{\hat{\zeta}} \circ \phi_{\hat{\zeta}})(z_d), \quad (\hat{z}, z_d) \in \mathbb{D}^d.$$

For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\phi^N$  is defined inductively as  $\phi_{\hat{\zeta}} \circ \phi_{\hat{\zeta}}^{N-1}$ .

The functions  $\phi^N$  are clearly rational and holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}^d$ . As can be seen from (2.1) and (2.2), the  $z_j$ -degree of  $\phi^N$  is at most  $N \cdot n_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ , and  $\deg_{z_d}(\phi^N) \leq 1$ . If  $\phi^N$  has maximum possible polydegree given the initial polydegree of  $\phi$ , we say that  $\phi^N$  has full polydegree. One complication that can arise is that the numerator and the denominator of the composite function may initially share a common factor. We always assume any such factors are cancelled, in which case the polydegree of  $\phi^N$  is reduced. In this case, we say  $\phi^N$  experiences a polydegree drop.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $\phi^N = \tilde{p}_N/p_N$  is as in Definition 3 and does not experience a polydegree drop. Then  $\phi^N$  is a finite-singularity RIF with the same singularities as  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  maps  $\mathbb{T}^d$  onto  $\mathbb{T}$ , Knese’s theorem implies that each  $(\phi^N)^*$  is unimodular. Hence  $\phi^N$  is inner.

Next, for  $\hat{\zeta} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ , computing the slice matrix of  $\phi^N_{\hat{\zeta}}$  amounts to taking the matrix power  $M_{\phi^N}(\hat{\zeta}) = M_{\phi}(\hat{\zeta}) \cdots M_{\phi}(\hat{\zeta})$ , see [12]. The assumption that  $\phi^N$  has full polydegree implies there are no common factors in the matrices that would be cancelled in  $\phi^N$ . Then, by multiplicativity of determinants,  $\det M_{\phi^N}(\hat{\zeta})$  vanishes if and only if  $\det M_{\phi}(\hat{\zeta})$  does. Thus, the  $\hat{\zeta}$ -coordinates of the singularities of  $\phi^N$  are the same as those of  $\phi$ . Since  $\phi^N$  has degree 1 in  $z_d$ , and since  $\phi$  has a singularity on the line  $\{\hat{\zeta}\} \times \mathbb{T}$ , each such  $\hat{\zeta}$  determines a unique  $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $(\hat{\zeta}, \eta) \in \mathbb{T}^d$  is a singularity of  $\phi^N$ .  $\square$

The following example illustrates that common factors may be introduced or removed if  $\phi$  is rotated by a factor  $e^{ia}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , or in other words, if  $\tilde{p}$  is replaced by  $e^{ia}\tilde{p}$ . Doing this only affects  $P_{\phi}$  up to a unimodular factor.

*Example 4.* Consider  $\phi = -(2z_1z_2 - z_1 - z_2)/(2 - z_1 - z_2)$ . As is shown by induction in [21, Example 1], each  $\phi^N$ ,  $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , has bidegree  $(1, 1)$ .

Next, consider  $\phi = (2z_1z_2 - z_1 - z_2)/(2 - z_1 - z_2)$ . Then

$$\psi = \phi^2 = \frac{4z_1^2z_2 - z_1^2 - 3z_1z_2 - z_1 + z_2}{4 - 3z_1 - z_2 - z_1z_2 + z_1^2}$$

is a RIF that often features as a second example of a singular RIF on the bidisk; see for instance [2, 7, 8]. In particular, while  $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z_2} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  if and only if  $p < 3/2$ , it was shown by direct computation in [7, Example 2] that  $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z_2} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  if and only if  $p < 5/4$ . We now give a conceptual explanation for this finding.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$  is a finite-singularity RIF of polydegree  $(n, 1)$ , with a singularity at  $\vec{1}_d$ , and suppose the local  $z_d$ -derivative integrability index of  $\phi$  at  $\vec{1}_d$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{p}^* = 1 + \mathfrak{q}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}^* \geq 0$ .*

*If  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi^N$  has full polydegree, then the RIF  $\phi^N = \tilde{p}_N/p_N$  has local  $z_d$ -derivative integrability index equal to  $1 + \mathfrak{q}^*/N$  at  $\vec{1}_d$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3,  $\phi^N$  is a RIF with the same singularities as  $\phi$ , and in particular,  $\phi^N$  has a singularity at  $\vec{1}_d$ . Since  $\tilde{p}_N$  and  $p_N$  have no common factors that can be cancelled, the slice matrix of  $\phi^N$  is equal to  $M_{\phi^N}$ , the

$N$ -fold power of the slice matrix of  $\phi$ . Hence the order of vanishing of the slice determinant of  $\phi^N$  is equal to  $N$  times the order of vanishing of the slice determinant of  $\phi$ . In other words,  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_d} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$  precisely when

$$\int_U (|\tilde{p}_1(\hat{\zeta})|^2 - |\tilde{p}_2(\hat{\zeta})|^2)^{N(1-p)} dm(\hat{\zeta})$$

is finite for  $U \supset \bar{I}_{d-1}$  sufficiently small. By our assumption on  $\phi$ , this holds if  $N(1 - p) > -q^*$  and fails when  $N(1 - p) < -q^*$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

When  $d = 2$  and  $\phi$  has a singularity at  $(e^{i\eta_1}, e^{i\eta_2})$ , it can be shown that  $1 - |\psi^0(e^{i\theta_1})|^2 \asymp (\theta_1 - \eta_1)^{2K}$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ . The number  $2K$  is called the  $z_2$ -contact order of  $\phi$  at  $(e^{i\eta_1}, e^{i\eta_2})$ ; see [7, 8] for definitions and proofs. The assumption that  $\phi$  has finitely many singularities becomes superfluous in two variables by Bézout’s theorem, and we obtain the following.

**Corollary 6.** *Suppose  $\phi = \tilde{p}/p$  is a bidegree  $(n_1, 1)$  RIF in  $\mathbb{D}^2$  with  $s$  singularities having associated contact orders  $\{2K_1, \dots, 2K_s\}$ , and suppose  $\phi^N = \tilde{p}_N/p_N$  has full polydegree. Then  $\phi^N$  has  $s$  singularities with contact orders  $\{2NK_1, \dots, 2NK_s\}$ .*

#### 4. Applications.

**Finding extraneous zeros of two-variable RIF denominators.** In [19], Pascoe presents a way of constructing two-variable RIFs with at least one singularity where the local contact order can be prescribed to take any value  $2K$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Strictly speaking, the construction is given in the setting of the bi-upper half-plane, but it can readily be transferred to the bidisk by means of conjugation by a suitable Möbius map. See [8, Section 7].) In particular, any positive even integer is the contact order of some RIF in  $\mathbb{D}^2$ .

However, Pascoe’s construction may produce additional singularities in  $\phi$  and, to the author’s knowledge, does not appear to give any immediate information about their location or nature. In principle, this can be addressed by finding all zeros of the two-variable denominator  $p$ , and then using the techniques in [8, 10] to determine the associated contact orders. By examining the matrix-valued function  $\zeta_1 \mapsto M_\phi(\zeta_1)$ , we can detect any such extraneous singularities and determine their contact orders in a fairly simple way. First, we compute  $P_\phi(\zeta_1) = \det M_\phi(\zeta_1)$  and find the zeros  $\{\zeta_1^1, \dots, \zeta_1^s\}$  of the one-variable polynomial  $P_\phi$  that are located on the unit circle. Plugging these values into  $p$ , we find the point  $\zeta_2 \in \mathbb{T}$  at which the polynomial  $p(\zeta_1^j, z_2)$  vanishes as a function of  $z_2$ . Finally, the order of vanishing of  $P_\phi$  gives us the  $z_2$ -contact order of  $\phi$  at each singularity. By [8, Section 4], this is equal to the  $z_1$ -contact order of  $\phi$  as well, allowing us to read off the derivative integrability of  $\phi$  at each singularity.

*Example 7* ([8, Example 7.4]). Consider the two-variable RIF

$$\phi(z_1, z_2) = \frac{4z_1^3 z_2 + z_1^3 - z_1^2 + 3z_1 + 1}{4 + z_2 - z_1 z_2 + 3z_1^2 z_2 + z_1^3 z_2},$$

which is obtained from Pascoe’s method. His construction guarantees that  $\phi$  has a singularity at  $(-1, -1)$  with contact order equal to 4. The slice matrix associated with  $\phi$  is

$$M_\phi(\zeta_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 4\zeta_1^3 & \zeta_1^3 - \zeta_1^2 + 3\zeta_1 + 1 \\ \zeta_1^3 + 3\zeta_1^2 - \zeta_1 + 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and has determinant

$$P_\phi(\zeta_1) = \det M_\phi(\zeta_1) = -(\zeta_1 - 1)^2(\zeta_1 + 1)^4.$$

We immediately discern that  $\phi$  has an additional singularity at  $(1, -1)$ , with contact order equal to 2, as was checked in an ad hoc way in [8].

**Further derivative integrability cutoffs of  $d$ -variable RIFs.** In [9], a glueing construction from [8, Section 7] was adapted to three variables and was used to exhibit a three-variable RIF with a single isolated singularity and worse derivative integrability properties than the three-variable instance of (1.2). The motivation for this was to demonstrate that bad derivative integrability in higher dimensions does not necessarily require a large singularity set. The drawback of that example is that the RIF so constructed has tridegree  $(2, 2, 2)$ , which in turn causes the verification of its claimed derivative integrability cutoff to involve lengthy computations. Thus, [9, Question 3] asked whether there exist tridegree  $(n_1, n_2, 1)$  RIFs manifesting the same phenomenon. The example below answers this in the affirmative, in all dimensions  $d \geq 3$ .

*Example 8.* For  $d \geq 2$  fixed and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider the RIF in (1.2) and its associated compositions RIFs  $\phi_d^N = \tilde{p}_{d,N}/p_{d,N}$ , all of degree 1 in  $z_d$ . Reading off the slice matrix of  $\phi_d$  from (1.2), we check that  $M_{\phi_d}(\vec{1})^N$  has non-zero entries. This means there are no common factors vanishing at  $\vec{1}$  to cancel in  $\phi_d^N$ , and we can proceed as in Theorem 5.

In [9, Example 2.5], it was shown that near  $\vec{1}_{d-1} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ ,

$$1 - |\psi^0(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{d-1}})|^2 \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \theta_k^2,$$

and hence

$$[|\tilde{p}_1(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{d-1}})|^2 - |\tilde{p}_2(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{d-1}})|^2]^N \asymp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \theta_k^2 \right)^N.$$

Then  $\frac{\partial \phi_{d,N}}{\partial z_d} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$  if and only if

$$\int_{B_\epsilon(\vec{0})} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \theta_k^2 \right)^{N(1-p)} dm$$



converges for small  $\epsilon > 0$ . In  $d - 1$ -dimensional polar coordinates, this corresponds to the convergence of

$$\int_0^1 r^{2N(1-p)} r^{d-2} dr = \int_0^1 r^{2N+d-2-2Np} dr.$$

This integral is finite if and only if  $-1 < 2N + d - 2 - 2Np$ , and hence we obtain the  $z_d$ -derivative integrability cutoffs

$$p^*(d, N) = 1 + \frac{d-1}{2N}. \quad (4.1)$$

This extends the work in [9, Example 2.5], where it was shown that  $p^*(d, 1) = 1 + (d-1)/2 = (d+1)/2$ . Next, since  $p^*(2, N) = 1 + 1/(2N)$ , we observe that any contact order is realized by a RIF with a unique singularity at  $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ .

Finally, the fact that  $p^*(3, 2) = 3/2$  shows that  $\phi_{3,2}$ , with denominator

$$p_{3,2}(z) = 9 - 6z_1 - 6z_2 - 3z_3 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + 3z_1z_2 + 2z_1z_3 + 2z_2z_3 - 3z_1z_2z_3,$$

provides an example of a RIF giving a positive answer to [9, Question 3].

**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to my coauthors on [10, 21], as well as J. Raissy and L. Vivas, for many interesting conversations. Thanks are also due to L. Bergqvist for helpful comments on a preliminary version. Finally, I thank the anonymous referee for several useful suggestions.

**Funding Information** Open access funding provided by Stockholm University.

**Open Access.** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

- [1] Agler, J., McCarthy, J.E.: Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 44. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2002)
- [2] Agler, J., McCarthy, J.E., Young, N.J.: A Carathéodory theorem for the bidisk via Hilbert space methods. *Math. Ann.* **352**, 581–624 (2012)

- [3] Anderson, J.M., Dritschel, M.A., Rovnyak, J.: Schwarz-Pick inequalities for the Schur-Agler class on the polydisk and the unit ball. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **8**, 339–361 (2008)
- [4] Ball, J.A., Sadosky, C., Vinnikov, V.: Scattering systems with several evolutions and multidimensional input/state/output systems. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **52**, 323–393 (2005)
- [5] Bergqvist, L.: Rational inner functions and their Dirichlet-type norms. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, to appear. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40315-022-00465-1>
- [6] Bickel, K., Cima, J.A., Sola, A. A.: Clark measures for rational inner functions. *Michigan Math. J.*, to appear. <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/20216046>
- [7] Bickel, K., Pascoe, J.E., Sola, A.: Derivatives of rational inner functions: geometry of singularities and integrability at the boundary. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **116**, 281–329 (2018)
- [8] Bickel, K., Pascoe, J.E., Sola, A.: Level curve portraits of rational inner functions. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sc. (5)* **21**, 451–494 (2020)
- [9] Bickel, K., Pascoe, J.E., Sola, A.: Singularities of rational inner functions in higher dimensions. *Amer J. Math.* **144**, 1115–1157 (2022)
- [10] Bickel, K., Knese, G., Pascoe, J.E., Sola, A.: Local theory of stable polynomials and bounded rational functions of several variables. [arXiv:2109.07507](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.07507) (2021)
- [11] Garcia, S.R., Mashreghi, J., Ross, W.T.: *Finite Blaschke Products and Their Connections*. Springer, Cham (2018)
- [12] Jones, G.A., Singerman, D.: *Complex Functions. An Algebraic and Geometric Viewpoint*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987)
- [13] Knese, G.: Schur-Agler rational inner functions on the tridisk. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139**, 4063–4072 (2011)
- [14] Knese, G.: Rational inner functions in the Schur-Agler class of the polydisk. *Publ. Mat.* **55**, 343–357 (2011)
- [15] Knese, G.: Stable symmetric polynomials and the Schur-Agler class. *Illinois J. Math.* **55**, 1603–1620 (2011)
- [16] Knese, G.: Integrability and regularity of rational functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **111**, 1261–1306 (2015)
- [17] Kollár, J.: Bounded meromorphic functions on the 2-disc. [arXiv:2202.04043](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.04043) (2022)
- [18] Pascoe, J.E.: A wedge-of-the-edge theorem: analytic continuation of Pick functions. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **49**, 926–925 (2017)
- [19] Pascoe, J.E.: An inductive Julia-Carathéodory theorem for Pick functions in two variables. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **61**, 647–660 (2018)
- [20] Rudin, W.: *Function Theory in Polydisks*. W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York-Amsterdam (1969)
- [21] Sola, A., Tully-Doyle, R.: Iteration of low-degree rational inner skew-products on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . *Ann. Polon. Math.* **128**, 249–273 (2022)

ALAN SOLA  
Department of Mathematics  
Stockholm University  
Stockholm 106 91  
Sweden  
e-mail: [sola@math.su.se](mailto:sola@math.su.se)

Received: 26 July 2022

Revised: 2 November 2022

Accepted: 4 November 2022