



## The infinite product of contraction semigroups on $l^1(\mathbb{N})$ and $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$

ERNEST NIEZNAJ

**Abstract.** I provide an example of a family of commuting contraction semigroups  $(e^{tB_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined on  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  such that the product semigroup  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{tB_n}$  exists and has bounded generator. The infinite product of the corresponding family of adjoint semigroups  $(e^{tB_n^*})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined on  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  also exists and its generator is bounded. I give explicit formulae for these generators. The results follow from a general convergence theorem for such semigroups proved in Arendt et al. (J Funct Anal 160: 524–542, 1998).

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 47D06, 60J27, 60J35.

**Keywords.** Infinite product of semigroups of operators, Contraction semigroup, Bounded generator.

**1. Introduction.** In 1958, D. Blackwell gave an example of a continuous-time Markov chain with all states instantaneous. The nature of this chain allows to perform some explicit or semi-explicit calculations related to it, see e.g. [4, 5, 8, 10].

In [9], I found an explicit formula for an (unbounded) operator  $A$  such that its closure is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of Markov operators associated with Blackwell's chain. Based on this article, I give here two examples of semigroups that fit in the framework of [1, Proposition 2.7]. In order to cite this proposition, which I call Theorem 1.1, a short introduction is needed. I use the convention that  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

Suppose that  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of generators of commuting contraction semigroups defined on a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . This means that for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ , the following identity holds

$$e^{tB_n} e^{sB_m} x = e^{sB_m} e^{tB_n} x, \quad t, s \geq 0.$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{tB_n}x = x$ ,  $\|e^{tB_n}x\| \leq \|x\|$  for every  $x \in X$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $t \geq 0$ . And finally, if  $n$  is fixed, then  $e^{tB_n}$  is a semigroup, i.e.,  $e^{(t+s)B_n} = e^{tB_n}e^{sB_n}$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ . In general, the generators may not be bounded so  $D(B_n)$  denotes the domain of  $B_n$ .

If we have a sequence of semigroups described above, then for any  $n \geq 2$ , the product

$$T_n(t)x = \prod_{k=1}^n e^{tB_k}x, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is also a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on  $X$  and its generator is the closure of  $A_n$  given by

$$A_n x = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k x, \quad x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n D(B_k), \tag{1.1}$$

see [2, p. 24]. As in [1], we say that the product  $\prod_{k=1}^\infty e^{tB_k}$  exists if  $T(t)x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(t)x$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $[0, \infty)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then again  $T(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a  $C_0$  semigroup of contractions on  $X$ . The following theorem was proved in [1].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(e^{tB_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a commuting family of contraction semigroups and suppose that*

$$D_1 = \left\{ x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty D(B_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|B_k x\| < \infty \right\} \tag{1.2}$$

*is dense in  $X$ . Then the product  $\prod_{k=1}^\infty e^{tB_k}$  exists. Moreover, define  $A$  by*

$$Ax = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n B_k x, \quad x \in D_1.$$

*Then  $A$  is closable and its closure is the generator of  $\prod_{k=1}^\infty e^{tB_k}$ .*

**2. The semigroups.** Recall that  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  is the Banach space of all absolutely summable sequences  $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . This means that  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_i| < \infty$  and  $\|x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_i|$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are positive numbers and denote

$$S_n^1 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{n-1}\}, \quad S_n^2 = \{0, 2^{n-1} + 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}.$$

For example,  $S_1^1 = \{1\}$ ,  $S_1^2 = \{0\}$ ,  $S_2^1 = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $S_2^2 = \{0, 3\}$ , etc.

For  $n \geq 1$ , define  $B_n$  on  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  as follows

$$B_n x = (\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \begin{cases} -\beta_n \xi_i + \alpha_n \xi_{i+2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ -\alpha_n \xi_i + \beta_n \xi_{i-2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

It is clear that the  $B_n$ 's are linear bounded operators and

$$\|B_n x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})} \leq 2(\alpha_n + \beta_n) \|x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}.$$

In Lemma 2.1, I prove that the  $B_n$ 's commute. It may be verified directly that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

and this property is a consequence of the fact that the  $B_n$ 's are isomorphic images of generators of Markov semigroups, see [9, Corollary 2]. The property (2.2) is also true for  $A$  in Theorem 3.1 since the norm convergence in  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  implies the coordinate-wise convergence.

It is well known (see [3, p. 207]) that the dual space of  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  can be identified with  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ , that is, with the space of all bounded sequences. If  $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an element of  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ , then  $\|x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_i|$ .

Thus  $B_n$  induces a linear map  $B_n^* : l^\infty(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  called the adjoint of  $B_n$ , see [6, p. 15.] In our case it is given by

$$B_n^*x = (\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \begin{cases} \beta_n(-\xi_i + \xi_{i+2^n-1}) & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ \alpha_n(-\xi_i + \xi_{i-2^n-1}) & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover

$$\|B_n^*x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})} \leq 2 \max\{\alpha_n, \beta_n\} \|x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})}.$$

Since the  $B_n$ 's and the  $B_n^*$ 's are continuous, they are the generators of the following semigroups

$$e^{tB_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tB_n)^k}{k!}, \quad e^{tB_n^*} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tB_n^*)^k}{k!}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

By a direct computation, we find (see also [8, p.60])

$$e^{tB_n}x = \begin{cases} p_n(t)\xi_i + (1 - q_n(t))\xi_{i+2^n-1} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ q_n(t)\xi_i + (1 - p_n(t))\xi_{i-2^n-1} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$e^{tB_n^*}x = \begin{cases} p_n(t)\xi_i + (1 - p_n(t))\xi_{i+2^n-1} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ q_n(t)\xi_i + (1 - q_n(t))\xi_{i-2^n-1} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where  $p_n(t), q_n(t), t \geq 0$ , are given by

$$p_n(t) = \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n} + \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n} e^{-(\alpha_n + \beta_n)t},$$

$$q_n(t) = \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n} + \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n} e^{-(\alpha_n + \beta_n)t}.$$

Notice that  $0 < p_n(t) \leq 1, 0 < q_n(t) \leq 1$  and as a result

$$\|e^{tB_n}x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})} \leq \|x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}, \quad \|e^{tB_n^*}x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})} \leq \|x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})}$$

meaning that  $e^{tB_n}, e^{tB_n^*}$  are contractions.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For the  $B_n$ 's defined by (2.1) and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$B_n B_m x = B_m B_n x, \quad x \in l^1(\mathbb{N}). \tag{2.6}$$

This implies that the  $B_n^*$ 's also commute and in consequence

$$e^{tB_m}e^{sB_n}x = e^{sB_n}e^{tB_m}x, \quad x \in l^1(\mathbb{N}), \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$e^{tB_m^*}e^{sB_n^*}x = e^{sB_n^*}e^{tB_m^*}x, \quad x \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}),$$

for all  $t, s \geq 0$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove (2.6) since the conditions (2.6) and (2.7) are equivalent if the operators  $B_n, B_m$  are bounded, see [7, p. 19.] In addition, the equality  $(B_nB_m)^* = B_m^*B_n^*$  implies that the  $B_n^*$ 's also commute.

Suppose now that  $1 \leq m < n$  and denote  $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = B_mx,$   
 $(\eta'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = B_n(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then by definition

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} -\beta_m\xi_i + \alpha_m\xi_{i+2^{m-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^1, \\ -\alpha_m\xi_i + \beta_m\xi_{i-2^{m-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta'_i = \begin{cases} -\beta_n\eta_i + \alpha_n\eta_{i+2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ -\alpha_n\eta_i + \beta_n\eta_{i-2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2. \end{cases}$$

So  $(\eta'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = B_nB_m(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is given by

$$\eta'_i = \begin{cases} \beta_n\beta_m\xi_i - \beta_n\alpha_m\xi_{i+2^{m-1}} - \alpha_n\beta_m\xi_{i+2^{n-1}} + \alpha_n\alpha_m\xi_{i+2^{m-1}+2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^1 \text{ and } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ \beta_n\alpha_m\xi_i - \beta_n\beta_m\xi_{i-2^{m-1}} - \alpha_n\alpha_m\xi_{i+2^{n-1}} + \alpha_n\beta_m\xi_{i-2^{m-1}+2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^2 \text{ and } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1, \\ \alpha_n\beta_m\xi_i - \alpha_n\alpha_m\xi_{i+2^{m-1}} - \beta_n\beta_m\xi_{i-2^{n-1}} + \beta_n\alpha_m\xi_{i+2^{m-1}-2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^1 \text{ and } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2, \\ \alpha_n\alpha_m\xi_i - \alpha_n\beta_m\xi_{i-2^{m-1}} - \beta_n\alpha_m\xi_{i-2^{n-1}} + \beta_n\beta_m\xi_{i-2^{m-1}-2^{n-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^2 \text{ and } i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2. \end{cases}$$

From  $m < n$ , we have  $2^m \leq 2^{n-1}$ . It can be seen now that the condition  $i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^1$  does not depend on whether or not  $i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^1$ . Similarly the condition  $i \bmod 2^n \in S_n^2$  is independent of  $i \bmod 2^m \in S_m^1$ . Thus if we calculate  $B_mB_n(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we get the same result as that of  $B_nB_m(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

**3. Main theorems.** Similar calculations to those in the proof of Lemma 2.1 can be carried out to find formulae for  $T_n(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n e^{tB_k}, n \geq 2$ . These formulae become more and more complicated as  $n$  increases. However the generator  $A_n$  of  $T_n(t)$  has a rather simple form. Denote  $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = A_n(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then from (1.1), we have that  $\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k$ , where  $\zeta_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ , are given by

$$\zeta_k = \begin{cases} -\beta_k\xi_i + \alpha_k\xi_{i+2^{k-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^k \in S_k^1, \\ -\alpha_k\xi_i + \beta_k\xi_{i-2^{k-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^k \in S_k^2. \end{cases}$$

I show in Theorem 3.1 that  $A_n$  still has a manageable form even if  $n = +\infty$ . For this, we only need the following condition to be satisfied

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max\{\alpha_n, \beta_n\} < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

which is equivalent to  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n + \beta_n) < \infty$  for positive  $\alpha_n$ 's and  $\beta_n$ 's.

Before stating the first of two main theorems, notice that any natural number  $n \geq 2$  can be expressed in a unique way as  $2^l + m$  for some  $l \geq 0$  with  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^l\}$ . For example,  $2 = 2^0 + 2^0$ ,  $7 = 2^2 + 3$ ,  $8 = 2^2 + 2^2$ , etc.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, n \geq 1$ , are positive numbers satisfying (3.1). Then the infinite product  $T(t), t \geq 0$ , of semigroups given by (2.4) exists. Let  $A$  be the generator of  $T(t)$  and denote  $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = Ax$ , where  $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^1(\mathbb{N})$ . Then*

$$\eta_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\beta_k \xi_1 + \alpha_k \xi_{1+2^{k-1}}), \tag{3.2}$$

and if  $i = 2^l + m$  for  $l \geq 0$  with  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^l\}$ , we have

$$\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} \zeta_k + \sum_{k=l+2}^{\infty} (-\beta_k \xi_i + \alpha_k \xi_{i+2^{k-1}}), \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\zeta_k, k = 1, \dots, l + 1$ , are given by

$$\zeta_k = \begin{cases} -\beta_k \xi_i + \alpha_k \xi_{i+2^{k-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^k \in S_k^1, \\ -\alpha_k \xi_i + \beta_k \xi_{i-2^{k-1}} & \text{if } i \bmod 2^k \in S_k^2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We use Theorem 1.1. In our case,  $D_1 = l^1(\mathbb{N})$  because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|B_k x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})} \leq 2 \|x\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_k + \beta_k) < \infty$$

for every  $x \in l^1(\mathbb{N})$ . The norm convergence in  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  implies the coordinate-wise convergence, hence components of  $Ax$  are limits of components of  $A_n x$ , where  $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k x$ . Thus (3.2) and (3.3) follow and

$$\|A\| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_k + \beta_k).$$

This completes the proof. □

Any  $x \in l^1(\mathbb{N})$  can be written as  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_i e_i$ , where  $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the standard Schauder basis in  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$ , i.e.,  $e_i = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  with 1 in the  $i$ -th coordinate. From Theorem 3.1, we have for example

$$Ae_1 = (\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \begin{cases} -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k, & i = 1, \\ \beta_k, & \text{for } i = 1 + 2^{k-1} \text{ and } k \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Ae_2 = (\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \begin{cases} \alpha_1, & i = 1, \\ -\alpha_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta_k, & i = 2, \\ \beta_k, & \text{for } i = 2 + 2^{k-1} \text{ and } k \geq 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, n \geq 1$ , are positive numbers satisfying (3.1). Then the infinite product  $T^*(t), t \geq 0$ , of semigroups given by (2.5) exists. Let  $A^*$  be the generator of  $T^*(t)$  and denote  $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = A^*x$ , where  $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . Then

$$\eta_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(-\xi_1 + \xi_{1+2^{k-1}}), \tag{3.4}$$

and if  $i = 2^l + m$  for  $l \geq 0$  with  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^l\}$ , we have

$$\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} \zeta_k + \sum_{k=l+2}^{\infty} \beta_k(-\xi_i + \xi_{i+2^{k-1}}) \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\zeta_k, k = 1, \dots, l + 1$ , are given by

$$\zeta_k = \begin{cases} \beta_k(-\xi_i + \xi_{i+2^{k-1}}) & \text{if } i \bmod 2^k \in S_k^1, \\ \alpha_k(-\xi_i + \xi_{i-2^{k-1}}) & \text{if } i \bmod 2^k \in S_k^2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.1. For every  $x \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|B_k^*x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})} \leq 2\|x\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{N})} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\} < \infty.$$

So  $D_1 = l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  and the operator  $A^*$  is bounded. The norm convergence in  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  implies the coordinate-wise convergence, thus components of  $A^*x$  are limits of  $A_n^*x$ , where  $A_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k^*x$  and

$$\|A^*\| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}.$$

This completes the proof. □

**4. Remarks.** I proved in [9] that if  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, n \geq 1$ , are positive numbers satisfying the following conditions (introduced by D. Blackwell in [4] to secure the existence of a Markov process with countably many states all of which are instantaneous)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n + \beta_n} < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

then the infinite product  $T(t), t \geq 0$ , of semigroups given by (2.4) exists and is composed of Markov operators associated with Blackwell’s chain. However then the generator of  $T(t)$  is unbounded and is the closure of  $A$  given by (3.2)–(3.3). In this case,  $A$  is defined on a dense subset  $D(A)$  of  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  and

interestingly  $e_i \notin D(A)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . To see it, suppose that  $i = 2^l + m$  for some  $l \geq 0$  with  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^l\}$ . Then by (2.1) and (4.1),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|B_k e_i\|_{l^1(\mathbb{N})} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=l+2}^n \beta_k = +\infty.$$

As a result, any  $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with a finite number of non-zero components does not belong to  $D(A)$ .

**Open Access.** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

- [1] Arendt, W., Driouich, A., El-Mennaoui, O.: On the infinite product of  $C_0$  semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **160**, 524–542 (1998)
- [2] Arendt, W., Grabosch, A., Greiner, G., Groh, U., Lotz, H.P., Moustakas, U., Nagel, R., Neubrander, F., Schlotterbeck, U.: *One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1184. Springer, Berlin (1986)
- [3] Axler, S.: *Measure, Integration & Real Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 282. Springer, Cham (2020)
- [4] Blackwell, D.: Another countable Markov process with only instantaneous states. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **29**, 313–316 (1958)
- [5] Bobrowski, A.: On a somewhat forgotten condition of Hasegawa and on Blackwells example. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **104**, 237–246 (2015)
- [6] Carothers, N.L.: *A Short Course on Banach Space Theory*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 64. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005)
- [7] Engel, K.J., Nagel, R.: *A Short Course on Operator Semigroups*. Universitext. Springer, New York (2006)
- [8] Liggett, T.M.: *Continuous Time Markov Processes. An Introduction*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 113. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2010)
- [9] Nieznaj, E.: On a certain operator related to Blackwell's Markov chain. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **35**(3), 1501–1510 (2022)

- [10] Nomoto, H.: A study concerning Blackwell's example in Markov chain theory.  
J. Math. Soc. Japan **17**(2), 194–206 (1965)

ERNEST NIEZNAJ  
Lublin University of Technology  
Nadbystrzycka 38A  
Lublin 20-618  
Poland  
e-mail: [e.nieznaj@pollub.pl](mailto:e.nieznaj@pollub.pl)

Received: 19 June 2022

Revised: 14 September 2022

Accepted: 25 September 2022.