Archiv der Mathematik



Groups with many abelian or self-normalizing subgroups

Fausto De Marido

Abstract. Groups in which every non-abelian subgroup is equal to its normalizer have been recently completely described. This paper investigates locally soluble groups with restrictions on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F19; Secondary 20F22.

Keywords. Self-normalizing subgroup, Non-abelian subgroup, Minimal condition, Finite rank.

1. Introduction. The structure of groups in which the set of all non-normal subgroups is small in some sense has been investigated in many papers and in several different situations. In particular, Romalis and Sesekin [19–21] studied groups whose non-abelian subgroups are normal. A dual problem has been considered recently in [7] where a group G is defined as an \mathcal{H} -group if any nonabelian subgroup H of G is self-normalizing (i.e. H is equal to the normalizer $N_G(H)$ of H in G). That paper deals with soluble \mathcal{H} -groups while finite nonsoluble \mathcal{H} -groups were fully described later in [5]. Clearly, soluble \mathcal{H} -groups are metabelian and it turns out that any finite \mathcal{H} -group is either soluble or simple (see [5, Theorem 2.14]) and also that any infinite locally finite \mathcal{H} -group is soluble (see [5, Theorem 3.4]). The only finite non-abelian simple \mathcal{H} -groups are the alternating group Alt(5) of degree 5 or the projective special linear group $PSL(2, 2^n)$, where $2^n - 1$ is a prime (see [5, Theorem 2.17]). A soluble non-nilpotent group G is an \mathcal{H} -group if and only if $G = \langle x \rangle \ltimes G'$ where x has order a power of a prime p, the derived subgroup G' is a periodic abelian p'-group, and x^p belongs to $C_G(G')$ (see [7, Theorem 1.7]). Of course, any nilpotent group does not have self-normalizing subgroups so that a nilpotent \mathcal{H} -group which is not abelian is a minimal non-abelian group and hence its structure is well-known.

In [7], it was also proved that any soluble group whose infinite non-abelian subgroups are self-normalizing is either a Chernikov group or an \mathcal{H} -group. Here in Sect. 2, we will consider minimal conditions related to \mathcal{H} -groups and the just quoted result will be generalized as follows.

Theorem A1. Let G be a locally soluble group satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing. Then G is either a Chernikov group or an \mathcal{H} -group.

Theorem A2. Let G be a locally soluble group satisfying the minimal condition on non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups. Then G is either a Chernikov group or an \mathcal{H} -group.

Recall that a group G is said to have *finite* ($Pr\ddot{u}fer$) rank r if every finitely generated subgroup of G can be generated by r elements and r is the least such integer. In recent years, the influence on a locally soluble group of the behavior of the subgroups of infinite rank has been investigated (see for instance [3,4,6, 8,9,12,13]). This point of view will be adopted here in Sect. 3 were the following results will be obtained.

Theorem B1. Let G be a locally soluble group of infinite rank whose nonabelian subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing. Then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Theorem B2. Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group of infinite rank whose subgroups of infinite rank are \mathcal{H} -groups. Then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

For notation and basic facts, we refer to [18].

2. Minimal conditions. The first three lemmas deal with a property of \mathcal{H} -groups that we need in what follows. Recall that a group G is said to be *locally graded* if every finitely generated non-trivial subgroup of G contains a proper subgroup of finite index. The class of locally graded groups is quite large and contains, in particular, all locally (soluble-by-finite) groups.

Lemma 2.1. Let G be a locally graded group whose finitely generated nonabelian subgroups are self-normalizing. Then G is a locally (abelian-by-finite) \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. Let E be any finitely generated subgroup of G and assume, by contradiction, that E is not abelian-by-finite. Since G is locally graded, E contains a proper normal subgroup E_1 of finite index. Then E_1 is finitely generated and non-abelian, so that $E_1 = N_G(E_1)$; hence $E_1 = E$. This contradiction proves that E is abelian-by-finite. In particular, G locally satisfies the maximal condition.

Let H be any non-abelian subgroup of G which is not finitely generated and let g be any element of the normalizer $N_G(H)$. Consider any non-abelian finitely generated subgroup X of H. Then $\langle X, g \rangle$ satisfies the maximal condition, so that the subgroup $Y = X^{\langle g \rangle}$ is a finitely generated non-abelian subgroup of $H^{\langle g \rangle} = H$ which is normalized by g. Since $N_G(Y) = Y$, it follows that g belongs to Y and so also to H. Thus $N_G(H) = H$. Therefore G is an \mathcal{H} -group. **Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a locally graded group. If every finitely generated subgroup of G is an \mathcal{H} -group, then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. Let H be any finitely generated subgroup of G and let $g \in N_G(H) \setminus H$. Then the finitely generated subgroup $K = \langle H, g \rangle$ is an \mathcal{H} -group and g belongs to $N_K(H) \setminus H$. Thus H is abelian. Therefore all finitely generated subgroups of G are either abelian or self-normalizing, hence application of Lemma 2.1 proves that G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be a locally graded \mathcal{H} -group. Then G is either finite or metabelian.

Proof. The group G is locally (abelian-by-finite) by Lemma 2.1. If G is periodic, then G is locally finite and so it is either finite or metabelian (see [5, Theorem 3.4]). Hence assume that G is not periodic. In order to prove that G is abelian, it can be assumed that G is finitely generated. Then G contains a normal abelian subgroup A of finite index; in particular, A is not periodic. If $g \in G$, then $A \langle g \rangle$ is a soluble non-periodic \mathcal{H} -group and hence it is abelian (see [5, Theorem 3.2] or [7, Lemma 1.2]). Therefore $A \leq Z(G)$, so that G/Z(G) is finite. Thus G' is finite (see [18, Part 1, Theorem 4.12]) and so G is abelian (see [7, Lemma 1.2]).

Lemma 2.4. Let G be a nilpotent group satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing. Then G is either abelian or a Chernikov group.

Proof. Since a nilpotent group has no self-normalizing subgroups, G satisfies the minimal condition on non-abelian subgroups and hence G is either abelian or a Chernikov group (see [2]).

Lemma 2.5. Let G be a group satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing. Then either G is abelian or G/G' is periodic.

Proof. Let x be any element of G such that the coset xG' has infinite order. Since G has the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing, from the consideration of the infinite descending chain of G-invariant subgroups

$$\langle x^2, G' \rangle > \langle x^4, G' \rangle > \cdots > \langle x^{2^n}, G' \rangle > \cdots,$$

it follows that there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle x^{2^h}, G' \rangle$ is abelian. Similarly also $\langle x^{3^k}, G' \rangle$ is abelian for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore Fitting's theorem yields that

$$\langle x, G' \rangle = \langle x^{2^h}, G' \rangle \langle x^{3^k}, G' \rangle$$

is nilpotent. It follows that if x_1G', \ldots, x_tG' are elements of infinite order of G/G', the subgroup $\langle x_1, G' \rangle \cdots \langle x_t, G' \rangle$ is nilpotent by Fitting's theorem and hence even abelian by Lemma 2.4. Therefore, if G/G' is not periodic and \mathcal{I} is the set of all elements x of G such that the coset xG' has infinite order, the group $G = \langle \langle x, G' \rangle : x \in \mathcal{I} \rangle$ itself is abelian. \Box

Lemma 2.6. Let $G = \langle x, A \rangle$ where A is a non-periodic finitely generated abelian normal subgroup whose index in G is a power of a prime. If G satisfies the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing, then G is abelian.

Proof. Consider first the case in which $\langle x \rangle \cap A = \{1\}$. Assume further that A is torsion-free, and let the index of A in G be a power of the prime p. If $\langle x \rangle A^{p^n} = \langle x \rangle A^{p^{n+1}}$ for some positive integer n, then

$$A^{p^n} = \langle x \rangle A^{p^{n+1}} \cap A^{p^n} = A^{p^{n+1}}(\langle x \rangle \cap A^{p^n}) = A^{p^{n+1}}$$

and this is not possible since A is a free abelian group of finite rank. Thus $\langle x \rangle A^{p^n} \neq \langle x \rangle A^{p^{n+1}}$ for every positive integer n. On the other hand, G/A^{p^n} is a finite p-group, so that it is nilpotent and this implies that $\langle x \rangle A^{p^n}$ is a sub-normal subgroup of G for any positive integer n. Therefore the consideration of the descending chain

$$\langle x \rangle A^p > \langle x \rangle A^{p^2} > \dots > \langle x \rangle A^{p^n} > \dots$$

and the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor selfnormalizing gives that $\langle x \rangle A^{p^n}$ is abelian for some positive integer *n*. Hence $G = (\langle x \rangle A^{p^n}) A$ is nilpotent. Therefore application of Lemma 2.4 gives that *G* is abelian when *A* is torsion-free. If *A* is not torsion-free and *T* is the subgroup consisting of all elements of finite order of *A*, the previous argument gives that G/T is abelian. Therefore *G'* is periodic and, since *G* is not periodic, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that *G* is abelian.

Assume now that $\langle x \rangle \cap A \neq \{1\}$; notice that $\langle x \rangle \cap A \leq Z(G)$. The first part of this proof yields that either $A/\langle x \rangle \cap A$ is periodic or $G/\langle x \rangle \cap A$ is abelian. If $A/\langle x \rangle \cap A$ is periodic, then $G/\langle x \rangle \cap A$ is finite; thus G' is finite (see [18, Part 1, Theorem 4.12]) and so, since G is not periodic, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that G must be abelian. On the other hand, if $G/\langle x \rangle \cap A$ is abelian, we have that G is nilpotent and so even abelian by Lemma 2.4. The lemma is proved.

The next result also solves the non-periodic case of Theorem A1.

Lemma 2.7. Let G be a locally graded group satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing. If G is not periodic, then G is abelian.

Proof. In order to prove that G is abelian, without loss of generality, it can be supposed that G is finitely generated.

Assume first that G is soluble. Suppose by contradiction that G is not abelian and let G be a counterexample with minimal derived length. Application of Lemma 2.5 yields that G/G' is finite, hence G' is likewise a non-periodic finitely generated group with the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing, and so G' is abelian by the minimality of derived length of G. Let $G/G' = \langle x_1G', \ldots, x_tG' \rangle$ with every x_iG' of prime-power order. Lemma 2.6 yields that every $\langle x_i, G' \rangle$ is abelian, so that $G = \langle x_1, G' \rangle \cdots \langle x_t, G' \rangle$ is nilpotent and hence even abelian by Lemma 2.4. This contradiction proves the statement for non-periodic soluble groups with the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing.

In the general case, let G be locally graded. Since G is finitely generated, it contains a descending chain $G_1 > \cdots > G_n > \cdots$ of proper normal subgroups of finite index; in particular, each G_i is not periodic. Since G satisfies the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing, there exists a positive integer n such that G_n is abelian. If $q \in G$, then $\langle q \rangle G_n$ is a soluble non-periodic group with the minimal condition on subgroups which are neither abelian nor self-normalizing and hence it is abelian by the first part of the proof. Therefore $G_n \leq Z(G)$ and so G/Z(G) is finite; thus G' is finite (see [18, Part 1, Theorem 4.12]). Hence $G \neq G'$ and Lemma 2.5 yields that either G is abelian or G/G' is periodic. Since G is not periodic, it follows that G is abelian. \square

Proof of Theorem A1. Lemma 2.7 allows us to suppose that G is periodic. Assume that G is not a Chernikov group, and let H be an arbitrary finite non-abelian subgroup of G. Let $g \in N_G(H)$, and consider the finite subgroup $K = \langle H, q \rangle$. Then G contains an abelian subgroup A which does not satisfy the minimal condition such that $A^{K} = A$ (see [22]). Hence the socle S of A is infinite and so it is possible to find finite K-invariant subgroups A_1, A_2, \ldots of S such that

$$\langle A_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle = \underset{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{Dr}} A_n$$

(see [11, Lemma 3.8]). Clearly, the subgroup $\langle A_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \cap K$ is contained in a direct product of finitely many A_n 's, so that, by replacing $\langle A_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ with a suitable subgroup of finite index, it can be assumed that

$$\langle A_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \cap K = \{1\}.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the K-invariant subgroup

$$B_n = \Pr_{i \ge n} A_i.$$

Then $B_1H > \cdots > B_nH > \cdots$ is an infinite descending chain of non-abelian subgroups of G and so there exists a positive integer k such that $N_G(B_k H) =$ B_kH . Since $q \in N_G(B_kH)$, it follows that

$$g \in B_k H \cap K = H(B_k \cap K) = H.$$

Thus $H = N_G(H)$. Therefore all finite non-abelian subgroups of G are self-normalizing and so G is an \mathcal{H} -group by Lemma 2.1.

Next we consider groups with the minimal condition on subgroups which do not have the property \mathcal{H} . The proof of Theorem A2 will be essentially the same as Theorem A1, but we need a corresponding version of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.8. Let G be a nilpotent group satisfying the minimal conditions on non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups. Then G is either abelian or a Chernikov group.

Proof. Let $G_1 > \cdots > G_n > \cdots$ an infinite descending chain of non-abelian subgroups. Then there exists a positive integer n such that G_n is an \mathcal{H} -group. Since G is nilpotent, it follows that G_n is a minimal non-abelian group and hence it is finite. This contradiction proves that G satisfies the minimal condition on non-abelian subgroups, thus G is either abelian or a Chernikov group (see [2]).

Lemma 2.9. Let G be a locally graded group satisfying the minimal conditions on non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups. If G is not periodic, then G is abelian.

Proof. Since any locally graded non periodic \mathcal{H} -group is abelian, the proof can be obtained in a similar way as in the proofs of Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, replacing in the argument Lemma 2.4 with Lemma 2.8.

Proof of Theorem A2. Lemma 2.9 allows us to suppose that G is periodic. Assume that G is not a Chernikov group. Consider any finite subgroup H of G. In order to prove the statement, by Lemma 2.2, it is enough to prove that H is an \mathcal{H} -group. The group G contains an abelian subgroup A which does not satisfy the minimal condition such that $A^H = A$ (see [22]). As in the proof of Theorem A1, H-invariant subgroups B_1, B_2, \ldots of A can be found such that $B_1H > \cdots > B_nH > \cdots$ is an infinite descending chain. Hence there exists a positive integer k such that B_kH is an \mathcal{H} -group. Thus H is likewise an \mathcal{H} -group and the theorem is proved.

Finally we conclude this section with a result concerning groups in which non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups fall into finitely many conjugacy classes.

Proposition 2.10. Let G be an infinite locally graded group with finitely many conjugacy classes of non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups. Then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. Since any locally graded \mathcal{H} -group is either finite or soluble by Lemma 2.3 and hence also abelian-by-finite (see [5, Lemma 2.3]), the group G is locally (abelian-by-finite) (see [15, Proposition 3.3]). Then the elements of any chain of non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups are pairwise not conjugate (see [1, Lemma 4.6.3]) and hence, since G has finitely many conjugacy classes on non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups, any chain of non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups subgroups of G is finite. In particular, G satisfies both the minimal and the maximal condition on non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups. Assume that G is not an \mathcal{H} -group, so that G is periodic by Lemma 2.9. Let H be any minimal element of the set of all non- \mathcal{H} -subgroups of G. Then all proper subgroups of H are \mathcal{H} -subgroups and hence it follows from Lemma 2.2 that H is finitely generated. Thus H is finite. Since G is infinite and locally finite, it follows that there are infinitely many elements g_1, g_2, \ldots of G which give a strictly infinite ascending chain of subgroups

$$H < \langle H, g_1 \rangle < \cdots < \langle H, g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle < \cdots$$

Then $\langle H, g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$ is an \mathcal{H} -group for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction which proves the statement.

3. Restrictions on subgroups of infinite rank.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a group of infinite rank whose non-abelian subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing. If G' has finite rank, then G is abelian.

Proof. Let X be any finitely generated subgroup of G. Then XG'/G' is an abelian finitely generated group and hence, as G' has finite rank, the subgroup XG' has likewise finite rank. Thus G/XG' has infinite rank and so there exists a proper subgroup of infinite rank Y of G containing XG'; clearly Y is a normal subgroup and so it is abelian. Hence X is itself abelian and so the lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a group of infinite rank whose non-abelian subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing. If G is abelian-by-finite, then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. Let A be an abelian normal subgroup of finite index of G. Then A has infinite rank and there exists a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finitely generated G-invariant subgroups of A such that

$$X = \langle X_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle = \underset{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{Dr}} X_n,$$

 $r(X_n) < r(X_{n+1})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [8, Lemma 6]). Let H be any finitely generated non-abelian subgroup of G, and let $g \in N_G(H)$. Then $K = \langle H, g \rangle$ is abelian-by-finite and finitely generated, hence $K \cap X$ is likewise finitely generated and so, by replacing X with a suitable subgroup (which is a direct product of infinitely many of the X_n 's), it can be assumed that $X \cap K = \{1\}$. Then $Y = \langle X_n : n \geq 2 \rangle$ is a proper G-invariant subgroup of infinite rank of X, hence HY is a proper non-abelian subgroup of infinite rank of G and so $HY = N_G(HY)$. Since $g \in N_G(HY)$, it follows that

$$g \in HY \cap K = H(Y \cap K) = H.$$

Thus $H = N_G(H)$. Therefore every finitely generated non-abelian subgroup of G is self-normalizing and hence G is an \mathcal{H} -group by Lemma 2.1.

As in many problems concerning groups of infinite rank, the existence of a proper normal subgroup of infinite rank plays a crucial role. We have in fact the following result on which the proof of Theorem B1 will depend.

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a locally graded group of infinite rank whose nonabelian subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing. If G contains a proper normal subgroup of infinite rank, then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, it is enough to prove that G is abelian-by-finite. Let N be a proper normal subgroup of infinite rank. Then N is abelian, and so G/N is locally graded (see [17]). Clearly G/N is an \mathcal{H} -group and hence Lemma 2.3 yields that either G/N is finite or $G'' \leq N$. If G/N is finite, G is abelian-by-finite and so we have done. Assume that $G'' \leq N$, so $G \neq G'$. Lemma 3.1 allows us to suppose that G' has infinite rank, so that G' is abelian. Clearly it can be assumed that G is not abelian, hence G cannot be nilpotent (see [14, Theorem A]) and so it follows from Fitting's theorem that G/G' cannot be

the product of two proper subgroups. On the other hand, if $a, b \in G$ are such that $[a, b] \neq 1$, then $\langle a, b, G' \rangle$ is a non-abelian normal subgroup of infinite rank and hence $G = \langle a, b, G' \rangle$; therefore G/G' is finitely generated. Hence G/G' is a cyclic group of prime-power order and so G is abelian-by-finite. The proof is completed.

Corollary 3.4. Let G be a locally (soluble-by-finite) group of infinite rank whose non-abelian subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing. If G has no simple homomorphic images of infinite rank, then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that G is not an \mathcal{H} -group. Then any proper normal subgroup of G has finite rank by Proposition 3.3; in particular, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that G = G'. Let N be any proper normal subgroup of G and assume that N is not abelian. Then N has finite rank and G/N is a locally (soluble-by-finite) group of infinite rank whose subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing; hence G/N is soluble (see [6]) which is a contradiction because G is perfect. Therefore any proper normal subgroup of G is abelian. Since any proper normal subgroup of G has finite rank and the product of finitely many normal subgroups of finite rank has likewise finite rank, it follows that the join L of all proper normal subgroups of G is abelian. Hence $G \neq L$ and so L has finite rank. Thus G/L has infinite rank and so, since clearly G/L is simple, we obtain the contradiction which concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem B1. Any simple locally soluble group has prime order (see [18, Part 1, Corollary 1 on page 154]), hence the statement follows immediately from Corollary 3.4. \Box

Corollary 3.5. Let G be a group of infinite rank whose non-abelian subgroups of infinite rank are self-normalizing. If $G \neq G'$, then G is an \mathcal{H} -group.

Proof. If G' has finite rank, then G is abelian by Lemma 3.1. If G' has infinite rank, then G' is abelian and the result follows from Theorem B1.

Finally we consider groups in which all proper subgroups of infinite rank are \mathcal{H} -groups.

Lemma 3.6. Let G be a locally graded group of infinite rank whose proper subgroups of infinite rank are \mathcal{H} -groups. If G' has finite rank, then G is an \mathcal{H} group.

Proof. Let X be any finitely generated subgroup of G. Then XG'/G' is abelian and finitely generated and so, since G' has finite rank, XG' has finite rank. Hence G/XG' is an abelian group of infinite rank and so there exists a subgroup of infinite rank Y such that XG' < Y < G. Thus Y is an \mathcal{H} -group and so X is likewise an \mathcal{H} -group. Therefore G itself is an \mathcal{H} -group by Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.7. Let G be a non-periodic locally (soluble-by-finite) group of infinite rank whose proper subgroups of infinite rank are \mathcal{H} -groups. Then G is abelian.

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that G is not abelian. Hence G is not an \mathcal{H} -group, so that Lemma 3.6 yields that G' is a subgroup of infinite rank and hence G/G' is finitely generated (see [10, Lemma 2.8] and Lemma 2.2). Since every soluble \mathcal{H} -group is either abelian or periodic, the derived subgroup G' is periodic (see [10, Theorem A]). Hence G/G' is not periodic and so we may write $G/G' = \langle x_1G', \ldots, x_tG' \rangle$ with any x_iG' of infinite order. If $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, p and q are distinct primes, the subgroups $\langle x_i^p, G' \rangle$ and $\langle x_i^q, G' \rangle$ are proper normal non-periodic subgroups of G of infinite rank, so that they are both \mathcal{H} -groups and hence even abelian. Thus

$$G = \langle x_1^p, G' \rangle \langle x_1^q, G' \rangle \cdots \langle x_t^p, G' \rangle \langle x_t^q, G' \rangle$$

is nilpotent. Therefore, since G/G' is finitely generated, G itself is finitely generated (see [18, Part 1, Theorem 2.26]) and hence G has finite rank. A contradiction which concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem B2. Lemma 2.3 yields that all subgroups of infinite rank of G are soluble, and so G itself is soluble (see [9, Theorem 9]). Moreover, Lemma 3.7 allows us to assume that G is periodic. Let H be any finite subgroup of $G, g \in N_G(H) \setminus H$, and $K = \langle H, g \rangle$. Then K is finite and there exist abelian K-invariant subgroups of infinite rank A_1 and A_2 such that $A_2 < A_1$ and $K \cap A_1 = \{1\}$ (see [16]). Then A_2K is a proper subgroup of infinite rank of G, so that it is an \mathcal{H} -group. Since $g \in N_{A_2K}(H) \setminus H$, it follows that H is abelian. Therefore all finite non-abelian subgroups of G are self-normalizing and hence G in an \mathcal{H} -group by Lemma 2.1.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Amberg, B., Franciosi, S., de Giovanni, F.: Products of Groups. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1992)
- Chernikov, S.N.: Investigation of groups with given properties of the subgroups. Ukrain. Math. J. 21, 160–172 (1969)

- [3] Dardano, U., De Mari, F.: On groups in which subnormal subgroups of infinite rank are commensurable with some normal subgroup. Int. J. Group Theory 11, 37–42 (2022)
- [4] Dardano, U., De Mari, F.: On groups with all proper subgroups finite-by-abelianby-finite. Arch. Math. (Basel) 116, 611–619 (2021)
- [5] Delizia, C., Jezernik, U., Moravec, P., Nicotera, C.: Groups in which every nonabelian subgroup is self-normalizing. Monatsh. Math. 185, 591–600 (2018)
- [6] De Luca, A.V., di Grazia, G.: Groups of infinite rank with a normalizer condition on subgroups. Int. J. Group Theory 4, 41–46 (2015)
- [7] De Falco, M., de Giovanni, F., Musella, C.: Groups with many self-normalizing subgroups. Algebra Discrete Math. 4, 55–65 (2009)
- [8] De Falco, M., de Giovanni, F., Musella, C.: Groups with normality conditions for subgroups of infinite rank. Publ. Mat. 58, 331–340 (2014)
- [9] De Falco, M., de Giovanni, F., Musella, C.: Large soluble groups and the control of embedding properties. Ric. Mat. 63, S117–S130 (2014)
- [10] De Falco, M., de Giovanni, F., Musella, C., Trabelsi, N.: Groups with restrictions on subgroups of infinite rank. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 20, 537–550 (2014)
- [11] De Mari, F.: Groups with finiteness conditions on the lower central series of non-normal subgroups. Arch. Math. (Basel) 109, 105–115 (2017)
- [12] De Mari, F.: Groups with many modular or self-normalizing subgroups. Comm. Algebra 49, 2356–2369 (2021)
- [13] De Mari, F.: On groups whose subgroups are either modular or contranormal. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 105, 286–295 (2022)
- [14] Dixon, M.R., Evans, M.J., Smith, H.: Locally soluble-by-finite groups with all proper non-nilpotent subgroups of finite rank. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 135, 33–43 (1999)
- [15] Franciosi, S., de Giovanni, F., Sysak, Y.P.: Groups with many FC-subgroups. J. Algebra 218, 165–182 (1999)
- [16] Hartley, B.: Finite groups of automorphisms of locally soluble groups. J. Algebra 57, 242–257 (1979)
- [17] Longobardi, P., Maj, M., Smith, H.: A note on locally graded groups. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 94, 275–277 (1995)
- [18] Robinson, D.J.S.: Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups. Spinger, New York (1972)
- [19] Romails, G.M., Sesekin, N.F.: Metahamiltonian groups. Ural. Gos. Mat. Zap. 5, 101–106 (1966)
- [20] Romails, G.M., Sesekin, N.F.: Metahamiltonian groups II. Ural. Gos. Mat. Zap. 6, 52–58 (1968)
- [21] Romails, G.M., Sesekin, N.F.: Metahamiltonian groups III. Ural. Gos. Mat. Zap. 7, 195–199 (1969/1970)
- [22] Zaĭcev, D.I.: Solvable subgroups of locally solvable groups. Sov. Math. Dokl. 15, 342–345 (1974)

FAUSTO DE MARI Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II" 80126 Naples Italy e-mail: fausto.demari@unina.it

Received: 18 January 2022

Revised: 11 April 2022

Accepted: 6 May 2022.