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#### Abstract

The Cremona conjecture, also called Jacobi problem, claims that a polynomial morphism $\mathbb{C}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is invertible as a polynomial morphism if its Jacobian is constant and not zero. In this paper, we show that the conjecture is true for $n=2$. The starting point of our proof is an important result of Shreeram Abhyankar. Then we use a computation in rigid geometry to achieve the result.
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Introduction. A polynomial map $(f, g): \mathbb{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is given by two polynomials $f$ and $g$ in two variables $X$ and $Y$ with complex scalars. We write $f$ and $g$ as sums of their homogenous components

$$
f=f_{m}+\cdots+f_{m^{\prime}} \text { and } g=g_{n}+\cdots+g_{n^{\prime}}
$$

where $f_{\mu}$ respectively $g_{\nu}$ are linear combinations of the terms of total degree $\mu$ respectively $\nu$. The forms $f_{m}$ respectively $g_{n}$ of highest degree are called the leading forms.

It was shown by Abhyankar that, for a given counterexample $(f, g)$ to the Jacobian conjecture in dimension 2, one can assume that, after a suitable transformation of variables, the leading forms of $f$ and $g$ have the following shape

$$
f_{m}=X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}} \text { and } g_{n}=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}
$$

cf. [1, Theorem 8.7] or [5, Corollary 10.2.22]. In this paper, we will show that this assumption leads to a contradiction. Thus the Jacobian conjecture is true in dimension 2.

1. Division algorithm. In this section, let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $L:=\mathbb{K}[X, Y]_{X Y}$ consists of all Laurent polynomials in two variables. It carries a canonical graduation of type $\mathbb{Z}$ given by the total degree function. Let $H_{n}$ be the subspace of all homogenous Laurent polynomials of degree $n$ including the zero polynomial. For $f=\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\nu} \in L$ and $f \neq 0$, we set

$$
\operatorname{deg} f:=\sup \left\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z} ; f_{\nu} \neq 0\right\}
$$

On $L$, we have a filtration $\left(L_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ where

$$
L_{n}:=\{f \in L ; \operatorname{deg} f \leq-n\}=\bigoplus_{\nu \leq-n} H_{\nu}
$$

The completion with respect to this filtration is denoted by

$$
A:=\widehat{L}=\lim _{\longleftarrow} L / L_{n} ;
$$

cf. [2, Chap. 3]. It consists of all series

$$
\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{m} f_{\mu} \text { with } f_{\mu} \in H_{\mu} \text { for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

cf. [5, Prop. 10.2.8]. The degree, the multiplication, and the filtration on $A$ are declared as on $L$. The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $A$ represents the formal functions on a neighborhood of the twice punctured projective line at infinity which behave like meromorphic functions there. The algebra $A$ has similar properties as the algebra $R^{\sim}$ defined in [5, Prop. 10.2.8]. In this section, we consider these functions without conditions of convergence; in Section 2, we will focus on that by means of rigid geometry.

Lemma 1.1. An element $g \in A$ is a unit in $A$ if and only if $g$ is of the form

$$
g=c \cdot X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}} \cdot(1-v)
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{deg}(v)<0$. Such a representation is unique. Such a unit $g$ admits a $k$-th root for $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $k$ divides both numbers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$.

Proof. The proof can be left to the reader. For example, we have for the inverse

$$
g^{-1}=c^{-1} \cdot X^{-n_{1}} Y^{-n_{2}} \cdot\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} v^{\nu}\right)
$$

For $c=1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the $k$-th root is given by

$$
g^{1 / k}:=X^{n_{1} / k} Y^{n_{2} / k} \cdot\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\binom{1 / k}{\nu}(-v)^{\nu}\right)
$$

if $k$ divides $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$. We consider this as the canonical $k$-th root of $g$.

Corollary 1.2. Let $g=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}} \cdot(1+v) \in A$ with $\operatorname{deg}(v)<0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $r \cdot n_{1}$ and $r \cdot n_{2}$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
g^{r}:=X^{r \cdot n_{1}} Y^{r \cdot n_{2}} \cdot\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\binom{r}{\nu} v^{\nu}\right)
$$

is well defined.
In the following, we denote by $\partial / \partial X$ respectively $\partial / \partial Y$ the partial derivatives of Laurent series. Obviously they give rise to $\mathbb{K}$-derivations on the $\mathbb{K}$ algebra $A$. They satisfy the usual rules for $\mathbb{K}$-derivations. Since the field $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic 0 , we have $\operatorname{ker}(\partial / \partial X, \partial / \partial Y)=\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 1.3. A couple $(f, g)$ of elements of $A$ is called a Jacobian couple if its Jacobian

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y} \\
\frac{\partial g}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial Y}
\end{array}\right)=d \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}
$$

is constant and not 0 .
As for polynomials in two variables, we also have the notion of a leading form for a Laurent series in $A$.

Proposition 1.4. Consider a Jacobian couple $(f, g)$ as introduced above, where $m:=\operatorname{deg} f$ and $n:=\operatorname{deg} g$. Assume that the leading form of $g$ has the shape $g_{n}=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}$.
(a) Then we always have $m+n \geq 2$.
(b) If $m+n>2$, then $f_{m}^{n} \cdot g_{n}^{-m}$ is constant.

Proof. (a) The homogenous components of the Jacobian of degree $m+n>2$ vanish and for $m+n=2$ it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial Y}-\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial Y} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since that the Jacobian is constant and the degree of a constant is 0 , we see that $m+n \geq 2$.
(b) If $m+n>2$, then the expression (1) is zero. We compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left(\frac{f_{m}^{n}}{g_{n}^{m}}\right)=\frac{f_{m}^{n-1} \cdot g_{n}^{m-1}}{g_{n}^{2 m}} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X} \cdot n \cdot g_{n}-\frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X} \cdot m \cdot f_{m}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For homogenous polynomials, we have Euler's differential equation

$$
n \cdot g_{n}=X \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X}+Y \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial Y} \text { and } m \cdot f_{m}=X \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X}+Y \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial Y}
$$

Then the term in parentheses of equation (2) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X} \cdot\left(X \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X}+Y \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial Y}\right)-\frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X} \cdot\left(X \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X}+Y \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial Y}\right) \\
& \quad=Y\left(\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial Y}-\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial Y} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This vanishes due to (1) since $m+n>2$. Thus we see that the left hand term of equation (2) is equal to 0 . Analogously, one shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\left(\frac{f_{m}^{n}}{g_{n}^{m}}\right)=X\left(\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial Y} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial X}-\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial X} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial Y}\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the total differential of $f_{m}^{n} \cdot g_{n}^{-m}$ vanishes. Thus, the function $f_{m}^{n} \cdot g_{n}^{-m}$ is constant.

Corollary 1.5. Let $(f, g)$ be a Jacobian couple as in 1.4 satisfying $g_{n}=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}$ with integers $n_{1}>0, n_{2}>0$. If $m+n>2$, then we have $f_{m}=c \cdot X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}}$ with a constant $c \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$. Moreover it holds

$$
\frac{m}{n}=\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{m_{2}}{n_{2}} .
$$

Therefore the following expression is well defined

$$
g^{m / n}=X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}}\left(1+\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{n-1} g_{\nu} g_{n}^{-1}\right)^{m / n}
$$

In particular, we have $\left(g^{m / n}\right)^{n}=g^{m}$. Furthermore $\left|m_{1}-m_{2}\right| \neq\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$ if $|m| \neq|n|$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from 1.4(b). For the second assertion, we use

$$
n_{1} \cdot \frac{m}{n}=n_{1} \cdot \frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}}=m_{1} \text { and } n_{2} \cdot \frac{m}{n}=n_{2} \cdot \frac{m_{2}}{n_{2}}=m_{2} .
$$

So we see $\left|m_{1}-m_{2}\right| \neq\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$ if $|m| \neq|n|$. The formula for $g^{m / n}$ follows from 1.2.

Now we turn to the division algorithm.
Proposition 1.6. Let $(f, g)$ be as in 1.5. Then there exists a rational number $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $r \cdot n_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \cdot n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers, and a constant $c \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(f-c \cdot g^{r}\right)<m$. The couple $(c, r)$ is uniquely determined; actually we have $r=m / n$ and $f_{m}=c \cdot X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}}$.

If, in addition, $\operatorname{deg}\left(f-c \cdot g^{r}\right)=2-n$, then $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$ and the leading form of $h:=f-c \cdot g^{r}$ is given by

$$
h_{2-n}=\sum_{i+j=2-n} c_{i, j} X^{i} Y^{j}
$$

where $c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}} \neq 0$. Furthermore there is at most one index $(i, j)$ with $(i, j) \neq\left(1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}\right)$ with $c_{i, j} \neq 0$. For this index, we have

$$
\frac{i}{n_{1}}=\frac{j}{n_{2}}=\frac{2-n}{n}
$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from 1.5.
For the supplement, set $m^{\prime}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(f-c \cdot g^{r}\right)=2-n$. The Jacobian $d$ of the couple $(h, g)$ is equal to the Jacobian of $(f, g)$. Then we have

$$
n_{2} \sum_{i+j=2-n} i \cdot c_{i, j} X^{i+n_{1}-1} Y^{j+n_{2}-1}-n_{1} \sum_{i+j=2-n} j \cdot c_{i, j} X^{i+n_{1}-1} Y^{j+n_{2}-1}=d
$$

For $(i, j)=\left(1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}\right)$, it follows that

$$
n_{2}\left(1-n_{1}\right) c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}}-n_{1}\left(1-n_{2}\right) c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}}=d
$$

Thus, we see $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$ and $c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}} \neq 0$. For all the other indices, we have

$$
n_{2} \cdot i \cdot c_{i, j}-n_{1} \cdot j \cdot c_{i, j}=0
$$

If $c_{i, j} \neq 0$, then

$$
\frac{i}{n_{1}}=\frac{j}{n_{2}}
$$

Moreover we know $i+j=2-n$ and $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$. This yields

$$
\frac{i}{n_{1}}=\frac{j}{n_{2}}=\frac{2-n-i}{n_{2}}
$$

and $i \cdot n_{2}=(2-n-i) \cdot n_{1}$ and hence $i \cdot n=i \cdot\left(n_{2}+n_{1}\right)=(2-n) \cdot n_{1}$
Corollary 1.7. Keep the assumptions of 1.6. Then we have $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$ and there exist a natural number $s \in \mathbb{N}$, constants $c_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{K}$, and rational numbers $r_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying

$$
r_{1}>r_{2}>\cdots>r_{s}=\frac{2-n}{n}
$$

such that

$$
G=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} c_{\sigma} \cdot g^{r_{\sigma}}
$$

belongs to $A$ and the leading term of $(f-G)$ fulfills

$$
(f-G)_{2-n}=c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}} X^{1-n_{1}} Y^{1-n_{2}} .
$$

Proof. Apply 1.6 inductively. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(f-G)$ is always an integer and that $(f-G, g)$ is a Jacobian couple. Therefore the procedure stops after finitely many steps until we arrive at the situation $\operatorname{deg}(f-G)=2-n$ since there is at each step at most one term which has to be cancelled. In the case $\operatorname{deg}(f-G)=2-n$, we apply the additional claim of 1.6. Then we obtain for the leading form

$$
(f-G)_{2-n}=c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}} X^{1-n_{1}} Y^{1-n_{2}}+c_{i, j} X^{i} Y^{j}
$$

where $i / n_{1}=j / n_{2}=(2-n) / n$ as follows from 1.6. Then we subtract

$$
c_{i, j} \cdot g^{(2-n) / n}:=c_{i, j} \cdot\left(\left(X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}\right) \cdot\left(1+\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{n-1} g_{\nu} g_{n}^{-1}\right)\right)^{(2-n) / n}
$$

which cancels the term $c_{i, j} X^{i} Y^{j}$. Thus the assertion is proved.
2. Convergence of the division algorithm. In the following, we make use of some elementary results in rigid geometry; for a general reference, we cite [3] or [4]. We consider an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ which is complete with respect to a non-Archimedean valuation and which has residue characteristic 0 . We assume that $\mathbb{K}$ contains the field $K$ of characteristic 0 as a subfield, where $K$ is the algebraically closed field over which the Jacobian problem is posed. Such a field can be constructed in the following way: Consider the field of fractions $K^{\prime}$ of $K[[T]]$ and define $\mathbb{K}$ as the topological algebraic closure of $K^{\prime}$. The canonical valuation on $K[[T]]$ extends to a valuation of $\mathbb{K}$. Note that we write valuations in the multiplicative way. So we obtain on $\mathbb{K}^{2}$ a canonical structure of rigid space in the sense of Tate. On each subset $V \subset \mathbb{K}^{2}$, we have the spectral norm of functions $f$

$$
|f|_{V}:=\sup \{|f(x)| ; x \in V\}
$$

In particular, we have the notion of an affinoid domain $V \subset \mathbb{K}^{2}$; for example, bounded domains described by finitely many inequalities

$$
V:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{K}^{2} ; 1 \leq\left|f_{i}(x)\right|,\left|g_{j}(x)\right| \leq 1 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r, j=1, \ldots, s\right\}
$$

with polynomials $f_{i}, g_{j} \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y]$ are affinoid domains. Affinoid functions on such a domain are functions which can be uniformly approximated by rational functions without poles in $V$. Such functions are bounded and take their maximal absolute value in $V$. Thus the spectral norm $|f|_{V}$ is always a non-negative real number which actually lies in the value group of $\mathbb{K}$. We are mainly interested in domains of the following shape

$$
W_{\varepsilon, \rho}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^{2} ; \varepsilon \leq|x| \leq \rho, \varepsilon \leq|y| \leq \rho\right\}
$$

for values $\varepsilon \leq \rho$ belonging to the value group of $\mathbb{K}$. The affinoid functions on $W_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ are exactly the Laurent series which converge on $W_{\varepsilon, \rho}$. Of particular interest will be the following domains

$$
U_{\varepsilon, \rho}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^{2} ; \varepsilon \leq|x|=|y| \leq \rho\right\} .
$$

These subsets are also affinoid and they are open subsets in the rigid analytic sense.

Lemma 2.1. Keep the above notations. Let $\varepsilon, \rho$ be elements of the value group $\left|\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right|$with $\rho \geq \varepsilon$.
(a) If $v$ is an affinoid function on $U:=U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ with $|v|_{U}<1$, then the series

$$
h:=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\binom{r}{\nu} v^{\nu}
$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, converges uniformly on $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ and gives rise to an affinoid function there. In particular, $(1+v)^{r}$ is well-defined and affinoid on $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$.
(b) Let $g=g_{n}+\cdots+g_{0} \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y]$ be a polynomial with homogenous components $g_{\nu}$ of degree $\nu$. Assume $g_{n}=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon$ in $\left|\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right|$such that $\left|g_{\nu}(x, y)\right|<\left|g_{n}(x, y)\right|$ for all $(x, y) \in U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ and all $\nu=0, \ldots, n-1$ and $\rho \geq \varepsilon$. Especially, for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $n_{1} \cdot r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and
$n_{2} \cdot r \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $g^{r}$ is well-defined and affinoid on $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ for all $\rho$ with $\rho \geq \varepsilon$.

Proof. (a) Since the residue field of $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic 0 , the absolute value $\left|\binom{r}{\nu}\right|=1$ is equal to 1 . Therefore the series converges on $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ for all $\rho \geq \varepsilon$. (b) For all monomials $X^{\nu_{1}} Y^{\nu_{2}}$ of $g_{\nu}$ with $\nu<n$, we have $\left|x^{\nu_{1}} y^{\nu_{2}}\right| \leq\left|x^{n_{1}} y^{n_{2}}\right|$ if $(x, y) \in U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ and $\varepsilon>1$. If we now choose $\varepsilon \geq\left|c_{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}}\right|$ for all the coefficients $c_{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}}$ of $g_{\nu}$ for all $\nu=0, \ldots, n-1$, then the assertion follows by (a).

For the last assertion, note that $\left(X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}\right)^{r}=X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}}$, where $n_{1} \cdot r=m_{1}$ and $n_{2} \cdot r=m_{2}$ with $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it follows from (a).

Proposition 2.2. Let $(f, g)$ be a Jacobian couple of polynomials with homogenous decompositions

$$
f=X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}}+\sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} f_{\mu} \text { and } g=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}+\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} g_{\nu}
$$

in $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$ with $n_{1}>0, n_{2}>0$, where $m:=m_{1}+m_{2}$ and $n:=n_{1}+n_{2}$.
If we apply the division algorithm of 1.6 and 1.7 to $f$ and set $v:=$ $\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} g_{\nu} g_{n}^{-1}$, then there exists an $\varepsilon \in\left|\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right|$such that the formal series $G$ defined in 1.7 converges on every affinoid domain $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ for all $\rho \geq \varepsilon$ and gives rise to an affinoid function there.

After a possible enlarging of $\varepsilon$, the function $(f-G)$ has the form

$$
(f-G)_{U_{\varepsilon, \rho}}=e X^{1-n_{1}} Y^{1-n_{2}}(1+u)
$$

with $e \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, \operatorname{deg}(u)<0$, is affinoid on each $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$, and satisfies $|u|_{U_{\varepsilon, \rho}}<1$. Proof. The claim follows from Lemma 2.1.

In the following, we will compute the cardinality of the fibers of $(f-G, g)$ on $U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $(f, g)$ be a Jacobian couple as in 2.2. Thus we have the map

$$
(f-G, g):=\left(e X^{1-n_{1}} Y^{1-n_{2}}(1+u), X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}(1+v)\right): U_{\varepsilon, \rho} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}^{2}
$$

Set $k:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. Then, for any domain $V:=U_{\varepsilon^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}} \subset U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$, the fibers of the morphism $\left.\left(f-G, g^{1 / k}\right)\right|_{V}$ consist of exactly $\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right| / k$ points. The fibers of $\left.(f, g)\right|_{V}$ consist of exactly $\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$ points.

Proof. We abbreviate

$$
\Psi:=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right):=\left.\left(f-G, g^{1 / k}\right)\right|_{V}
$$

Since $|u|_{V}<1$ and $|v|_{V}<1$, the map $\Psi$ gives rise to a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Psi|:= & \left(\left|\psi_{1}\right|,\left|\psi_{2}\right|\right):|V|:=\left\{(|x|,|y|) \in\left|\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right|^{2} ;(x, y) \in V\right\} \longrightarrow\left|\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right| \times\left|\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right|, \\
& (|x|,|y|) \longmapsto\left(|e| \cdot|x|^{1-n_{1}}|y|^{1-n_{2}},|x|^{n_{1} / k}|y|^{n_{2} / k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the construction, all numbers $k \cdot r_{\sigma}$ are integers by 1.6 since $r_{\sigma} \cdot n_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r_{\sigma} \cdot n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Obviously, this map is injective. So, for every $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in V$, the map $\Psi$ induces a mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}:\left\{(x, y) \in V ;|x|=\left|x_{0}\right|,|y|=\left|y_{0}\right|\right\} \\
& \quad \longrightarrow\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^{2} ;|x|=\left|\psi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|,|y|=\left|\psi_{2}\left(y_{0}\right)\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we will compute the cardinality of the fibers of $\Psi_{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}$. After adjusting the radii $\left|x_{0}\right|$ and $\left|y_{0}\right|$ to 1 and the constant $e$ to 1 , we are concerned with a morphism of type $\Phi: W \longrightarrow W$ with

$$
W:=\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} ;|x|=1,|y|=1\}
$$

sending $(x, y) \in W$ to $\left(x^{1-n_{1}} \cdot y^{1-n_{2}} \cdot(1+u(x, y)), x^{n_{1} / k} \cdot y^{n_{2} / k} \cdot(1+v(x, y))^{1 / k}\right.$. The degree of this map can be calculated via its reduction. The algebra of affinoid functions on $W$ which are bounded by 1 is given by $\mathbb{K}^{\circ}\left\langle X, Y, X^{-1}, Y^{-1}\right\rangle$, where $\mathbb{K}^{\circ}$ denotes the valuation ring of $\mathbb{K}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ the residue field of the valued field $\mathbb{K}$ and by $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{\times}$its multiplicative group. The reduction of $W$ is given by the spectrum of the $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$-algebra $\tilde{W}=\tilde{\mathbb{K}}\left[\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{-1}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{y}^{-1}\right]$, where $\tilde{x}$ resp. $\tilde{y}$ is the reduction of $x$ resp. $y$. Since $|u|<1$ and $|v|<1$, the map of the reductions coincides with the mapping

$$
\tilde{\Phi}: \tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{\times} \times \tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{\times} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{\times} \times \tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{\times},(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \longmapsto\left(\tilde{x}^{1-n_{1}} \tilde{y}^{1-n_{2}}, \tilde{x}^{n_{1} / k} \tilde{y}^{n_{2} / k}\right)
$$

The degree of this map is $\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right| / k$ as claimed; cf. Lemma 2.4 below. This degree is the degree of $\Phi$ since a finite generating system of the reduced module via $\tilde{\Phi}$ lifts to a generating system via $\Phi$ due to the lemma of Nakayama $[3$, 1.2.4/6]. Linear independence is also preserved as one easily checks.

It remains to compute the cardinality of the fibers of $\left.(f, g)\right|_{V}$. Recall from 1.7 that $G(x, y)$ is a function of $g(x, y)^{1 / k}$. Therefore, we have that the fiber of $\left.\left(f-G, g^{1 / k}\right)\right|_{V}$ of a point $(x, y) \in V$ with image $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=(f-$ $\left.G, g^{1 / k}\right)(x, y)$ coincides with the fiber of $\left.\left.\left(f, g^{1 / k}\right)\right|_{V}\right)$ over the point $\left(z_{1}+c_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ where $c_{1}:=G(x, y)$ depends only on $z_{2}=g^{1 / k}(x, y)$. Thus, we see that the cardinality of the fiber of $\Psi$ coincides with that of $\left.\left(f, g^{1 / k}\right)\right|_{V}$. Therefore the cardinality of the fiber of $\left.(f, g)\right|_{V}$ is equal to $\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$ since $\Phi$ is finite and étale.

Lemma 2.4. Let $k$ be a field and let $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be non-zero and $m_{1} \neq m_{2}$. Let $x, y$ be variables and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the extension of $k$-algebras

$$
k\left[x^{1-r m_{1}} y^{1-r m_{2}}, x^{r m_{1}-1} y^{r m_{2}-1}, x^{m_{1}} y^{m_{2}}, x^{-m_{1}} y^{-m_{2}}\right] \longrightarrow k\left[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right]
$$

is finite flat of degree $\left|m_{1}-m_{2}\right|$.
Proof. Obviously we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left[x^{1-r m_{1}} y^{1-r m_{2}}, x^{r m_{1}-1} y^{r m_{2}-1}, x^{m_{1}} y^{m_{2}}, x^{-m_{1}} y^{-m_{2}}\right] \\
& =k\left[x y, x^{-1} y^{-1}, x^{m_{1}} y^{m_{2}}, x^{-m_{1}} y^{-m_{2}}\right] \\
& =k\left[x y, x^{-1} y^{-1}, y^{m_{2}-m_{1}}, y^{m_{1}-m_{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have that the extension

$$
k\left[x y, x^{-1} y^{-1}, y^{m_{2}-m_{1}}, y^{m_{1}-m_{2}}\right] \longrightarrow k\left[x y, x^{-1} y^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right]=k\left[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\right]
$$

is finite flat of degree $\left|m_{1}-m_{2}\right|$.
3. The contradiction. Now we have all the preparations to deduce the main result of our article.

Proposition 3.1. There does not exist a Jacobian couple $(f, g)$ of polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ with homogenous decompositions

$$
f=\sum_{\mu=0}^{m} f_{\mu} \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \text { and } g=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} f_{\nu} \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y]
$$

where $f_{m}=X^{m_{1}} Y^{m_{2}}$ and $g_{n}=X^{n_{1}} Y^{n_{2}}$ with $m_{1} m_{2} \neq 0, n_{1} n_{2} \neq 0$.
Proof. First of all we perform a field extension $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ as introduced in Section 2. It is clear that it suffices to show the assertion for the field $\mathbb{K}$. Assume that $(f, g)$ is such a couple in the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $A$.

Assume first $m=n$. If $m+n=2$, then we would have $m=m_{1}=1$ and $n=n_{2}=1$ without loss of generality. Obviously, that case cannot occur as a counterexample. If $m+n>2$, then we have $m_{1}=n_{1}$ and $m_{2}=n_{2}$ due to 1.5. So we can replace $f$ by $h:=f-g$. Due to 2.2 , the leading form of the polynomial $h$ also has the shape $h_{r}=a \cdot X^{r_{1}} Y^{r_{2}}$ and $r:=r_{1}+r_{2}<n$. Thus we can assume $m \neq n$. Moreover, we have $m_{1} \neq n_{1}$ and $m_{2} \neq n_{2}$ and $\left|m_{1}-m_{2}\right| \neq\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$ due to 1.5 . Thus we see that we can start just from the beginning with $m \neq n$.

Now we apply Proposition 2.3. So there exists a function in $A$

$$
G:=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} c_{\sigma} \cdot g^{r_{\sigma}}
$$

as in 1.5 such that $h:=(f-G)$ is of degree $(2-n)$ and $h$ has a leading form of the shape

$$
h_{2-n}:=c_{1-n_{1}, 1-n_{2}} X^{1-n_{1}} Y^{1-n_{2}} .
$$

Note that all the monomials of $h$ have negative degree. Furthermore, there exists a domain $U:=U_{\varepsilon, \rho}$ such that for any subdomain $V:=U_{\varepsilon^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}} \subset U$ the restriction $\left.(h, g)\right|_{V}$ has fibers with cardinality $n^{\prime}=\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$, which coincides with the degree of the map $\left.(f, g)\right|_{V}$; cf. 2.3.

If we interchange $f$ und $g$, then, after a possible shrinking of $U$, the degree of $\left.(f, g)\right|_{V}$ would be $m^{\prime}=\left|m_{1}-m_{2}\right|$. This has to be equal to $n^{\prime}$, but we have $m^{\prime} \neq n^{\prime}$ due to 1.5. Contradiction!

Summarizing the arguments we obtain the main result. Indeed, by Abhyankar's result, a counterexample would give rise to a Jacobian couple of the given shape, which cannot exist due to Proposition 3.1.
Theorem 3.2. Let $(f, g): \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a polynomial morphism. If the Jacobian of $(f, g)$ is constant and unequal zero, then $(f, g)$ is an isomorphism.
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