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#### Abstract

The aim of this note is to prove that there are no topologically transitive operators in the noncommutative Schwartz space.
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1. Introduction. Let $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ be the spaces of rapidly decreasing and slowly increasing sequences, respectively, equipped with their natural locally convex topologies. The so-called noncommutative Schwartz space is the space $\mathcal{S}:=L\left(s^{\prime}, s\right)$ of all bounded linear operators acting from $s^{\prime}$ into $s$. This space becomes a Fréchet algebra in a natural way: if $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are in $\mathcal{S}$, then the product $T_{1} T_{2}$ is defined by the formula $T_{1} T_{2}=T_{1} \circ \iota \circ T_{2}$, where $\iota$ is the natural embedding of $s$ into $s^{\prime}$. In fact, $\mathcal{S}$ embeds algebraically into the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ of all bounded and linear operators on the Hilbert space $\ell_{2}$.

The noncommutative Schwartz space is isomorphic (as a Fréchet *-algebra) to a number of other natural objects of analysis, e.g., $\mathcal{S} \simeq \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$-the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ equipped with the Volterra multiplication $(f \cdot g)(x, y):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, z) g(z, y) d z$ and involution $f^{*}(x, y):=\overline{f(y, x)}$. It plays an important role, e.g., in K-theory-see [4,12], cyclic cohomology for crossed products - see [9,14], noncommutative geometry - see [3], operator spaces-see [7,8]. Another motivation comes from quantum mechanics where $\mathcal{S}$ is called the space of physical states and its dual is the so-called space of observables - see [6] for details.

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a Fréchet algebra of operators, it is natural to ask about the dynamical properties of the elements of $\mathcal{S}$. Recall that an operator $T: s^{\prime} \rightarrow s$ is topologically transitive if for every two non-empty and open sets $U \subset s^{\prime}$,
$V \subset s$, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $T^{n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and hypercyclic if there exists $x \in s^{\prime}$ such that the set $\left\{T^{n} x: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is dense in $s$. It is clear that hypercyclicity of $T$ implies that it is topologically transitive. Formally it could happen that the latter is a weaker property since $s^{\prime}$ is not a metric space.

The main goal of this note is to show that there are no topologically transitive operators in $\mathcal{S}$. The main difficulty of the paper is to understand the spectral properties of operators from $\mathcal{S}$, those are investigated in Sect. 2.

We refer the reader to $[1,10,11]$ for unexplained details from linear dynamics and functional analysis, respectively.
2. Notation and terminology. Recall that

$$
s=\left\{\xi=\left(\xi_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}:|\xi|_{t}^{2}:=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2} j^{2 t}<+\infty \text { for all } t \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

and its topological dual

$$
s^{\prime}=\left\{\eta=\left(\eta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}:\left(|\eta|_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{2}:=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left|\eta_{j}\right|^{2} j^{-2 t}<+\infty \text { for some } t \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

are the so-called spaces of rapidly decreasing and slowly increasing sequences, respectively.

Furthermore we consider the space $\mathcal{S}:=L\left(s^{\prime}, s\right)$ of all linear and continuous operators from $s^{\prime}$ into $s$, equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. Consequently, the topology of $\mathcal{S}$ is given by the scale $\left(\|\cdot\|_{t}\right)_{t \geqslant 0}$ of norms, defined as

$$
\|T\|_{t}:=\sup \left\{|T \eta|_{t}:|\eta|_{t}^{\prime} \leqslant 1\right\} \quad(T \in \mathcal{S}, t \geqslant 0)
$$

If we denote $H_{t}:=\ell_{2}\left(\left(j^{t}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $H_{t}^{\prime} \cong H_{-t}$ and every $T \in \mathcal{S}$ is a Hilbert space operator in the sense that $T: H_{t}^{\prime} \rightarrow H_{t}$ and

$$
\|T\|_{t}=\|T\|_{H_{t}^{\prime} \rightarrow H_{t}} \quad(t \geqslant 0)
$$

In other words, if we denote by $D_{t}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(j^{t}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}$, an infinite diagonal matrix, then $D_{t}$ becomes simultaneously an isometry $D_{t}: H_{t} \rightarrow \ell_{2}$ and $D_{t}: \ell_{2} \rightarrow H_{t}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|_{t}=\left\|D_{t} T D_{t}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)} \quad(T \in \mathcal{S}, t \geqslant 0) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{proj}_{t \geqslant 0} \mathcal{B}\left(H_{t}^{\prime}, H_{t}\right)=\operatorname{proj}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}\left(H_{k}^{\prime}, H_{k}\right)$. We will be using these properties interchangably.

Since $s \hookrightarrow s^{\prime}$, we can define multiplication in $\mathcal{S}$ as

$$
T_{1} T_{2}:=T_{1} \circ \iota \circ T_{2} \quad\left(T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{S}\right)
$$

where $\iota: s \rightarrow s^{\prime}, \iota(\xi):=\xi$ is the formal embedding. Altogether it turns $\mathcal{S}$ into an $m$-convex Fréchet algebra. It comes endowed also with the involution (or the adjoint map) given as

$$
\left\langle T^{*} \xi, \eta\right\rangle:=\langle\xi, T \eta\rangle \quad\left(\xi, \eta \in s^{\prime}, T \in \mathcal{S}\right)
$$

It is worth noting that $s \hookrightarrow \ell_{2}$ and, by dualization, also $\ell_{2} \hookrightarrow s^{\prime}$ therefore $\mathcal{S}$ is algebraically contained in the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ of all bounded and linear
operators on the Hilbert space $\ell_{2}$. Therefore multiplication in $\mathcal{S}$ is essentially the multiplication in $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ with the additional property that the resulting operator belongs to $\mathcal{S}$. The same applies to involution.

The unitization of $\mathcal{S}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{1}$. Clearly, the unit in $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ is the identity operator on $\ell_{2}$ denoted by $\mathbb{1}$. The algebra $\mathcal{S}$ is called the noncommutative Schwartz space and the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are called smooth operators. We refer the reader to $[2,13]$ for more information on the properties of this algebra.
3. Spectral properties of operators in $\mathcal{S}$. We start by showing some spectral properties of smooth operators.

Proposition 3.1 ([5, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3]). Every smooth operator is compact, i.e., $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}\left(\ell_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)=\sigma_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)}(T) \quad(T \in \mathcal{S})
$$

In particular, the spectrum of every smooth operator consists of zero and a (possibly) null sequence of eigenvalues.

Lemma 3.2. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and every smooth operator $T \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$
\sigma_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)}\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}\right) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)
$$

Proof. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}$ be fixed. Suppose that $\lambda \in \rho_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)$, i.e., there is $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$
\left(S-\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{1}\right)(T-\lambda \mathbb{1})=(T-\lambda \mathbb{1})\left(S-\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{1}\right)=\mathbb{1}
$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity operator on $\ell_{2}$. Then

$$
\ell_{2} \xrightarrow{D_{-t}} H_{t} \hookrightarrow s^{\prime} \xrightarrow{S} s \hookrightarrow H_{t} \xrightarrow{D_{t}} \ell_{2}
$$

and
$\left(D_{t} S D_{-t}-\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{1}\right)\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}-\lambda \mathbb{1}\right)=\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}-\lambda \mathbb{1}\right)\left(D_{t} S D_{-t}-\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{1}\right)=\mathbb{1}$.
Consequently, $\lambda \in \rho_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)}\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}\right)$ and the proof is thereby complete.
Corollary 3.3. If a smooth operator $T \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T) \subset \mathbb{D} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the sequence $\left(T^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}$.
Proof. Let the smooth operator $T \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy (2). Since $D_{t}^{-1}=D_{-t}$, we obtain that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $t \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{n}\right\|_{t} & =\left\|D_{t} T^{n} D_{t}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)} \\
& =\|\underbrace{D_{t} T D_{-t} D_{t} T D_{-t} \cdots D_{t} T D_{-t}}_{n-1} D_{t} T D_{t}\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}\right)^{n-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)}\|T\|_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 3.2, the spectral radius formula, and compactness of the spectrum, it now follows that there is $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\nu\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}\right)^{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)}^{1 / n} \leqslant 1-\varepsilon
$$

Hence there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geqslant N$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(D_{t} T D_{-t}\right)^{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell_{2}\right)} \leqslant 1
$$

If we now define $C_{t}:=\max \left\{\|T\|_{t},\left\|T^{2}\right\|_{t}, \ldots,\left\|T^{N}\right\|_{t}, 1\right\} \cdot\|T\|_{t}$, then

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T^{n}\right\|_{t} \leqslant C_{t}<\infty
$$

Consequently, $\left(T^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in the noncommutative Schwartz space.

## 4. Main result.

Theorem 3.1. There are no topologically transitive operators in $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, the operators in $\mathcal{S}$ are not hypercyclic.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{S}$ be arbitrary. There are two possible cases: either $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)=$ $\{0\}$ or there exists $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)$.

If $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)=\{0\}$, then from Corollary 3.3 , the sequence $\left(T^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}$ and therefore it is equicontinuous. In particular, for every zero neighbourhood $U \subset s^{\prime}$, there is a zero neighbourhood $V \subset s$ such that

$$
T^{n}(U) \subset \frac{1}{2} V \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

We choose now $\xi \in s \backslash V$ and suppose that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta \in s$ such that

$$
\eta \in T^{n}(U) \cap\left(\xi+\frac{1}{2} V\right)
$$

This implies that for some $\zeta \in \frac{1}{2} V$, we have

$$
\xi=\eta-\zeta \in \frac{1}{2} V+\frac{1}{2} V=V
$$

This contradicts the choice of $\xi \in s$ and shows that in this case $T$ is not topologically transitive.

If there exists $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)$, then from Proposition 3.1, it follows that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue and we let $f$ be a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood of $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T)$ such that $f(\lambda)=1$ and $f(z)=0$ for $z \in \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{1}}(T) \backslash\{\lambda\}$. Using the holomorphic functional calculus (which is available in $\mathcal{S}$ by [12, Lemma 1.3]), we can now consider the operator $f(T) \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $M=\operatorname{Im}(f(T))$. It is clear that $M$ is a non-trivial and finite dimensional subspace of $s$ (every non-zero element of $M$ is an eigenvector of the compact operator $f(T)$ ). The properties of the functional calculus imply that the diagram
commutes and one can easily verify that topological transitivity of $T$ would imply topological transitivity of $T_{\mid M}$. Since $M$ is finite dimensional this implies that $T$ is not topologically transitive.
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