

Erratum

Erratum to “1-affine completeness of compatible modules”

GARY L. PETERSON

ABSTRACT. We correct a theorem in the original paper concerning when a composition series of a compatible nearring module is a 1-affine complete chain.

The statement of [2, Theorem 4.1] is not correct because, contrary to item (2) of this theorem, a composition series which is a 1-affine complete chain may have factors of order 2 that are not R -isomorphic. Nevertheless, such factors are indeed R -isomorphic if the nearring R is an automorphism nearring of G such as $I(G)$ since the automorphisms generating R must act as the identity map on these factors. To see, however, that this does not hold in general if the automorphism nearring assumption is dropped, let G be the additive group of \mathbb{Z}_4 and $R = C_0(G)$. Then $G > \langle 2 \rangle > 0$ is a 1-affine complete chain with $G/\langle 2 \rangle$ and $\langle 2 \rangle$ coprime by [2, Theorem 3.5] since $\langle 2 \rangle$ is not contained in the sum of its maximal complements. A correct statement of the theorem is obtained by rewriting it in the following manner; the proof of this corrected result is obtained by making some modifications of the original one.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n = 0$ is a composition series of R -ideals of a faithful compatible R -module G of a nearring R with dccr. This series is a 1-affine complete chain if and only if $|G_i/G_{i+1}| = 2$ whenever G_i/G_{i+1} is a ring module and the following are satisfied for this factor:*

- (1) *If G_i/G_{i+1} is coprime to G/G_i , then G_i/G_{i+1} is almost self-centralizing in G/G_{i+1} .*
- (2) *If G_i/G_{i+1} is not coprime to G/G_i , then G_i/G_{i+1} is both contained in the sum of its maximal complements in G/G_{i+1} and a shift complete $R/\text{Ann}_R(G/G_{i+1})$ -ideal of G/G_{i+1} .*

Further, when G has a series satisfying these conditions, G and R are finite.

Proof. If all factors of the composition series that are ring modules have order 2, then G and hence R are finite, since minimal R -modules that are nonring modules are always finite. If the series is a 1-affine complete chain and G_i/G_{i+1} is a ring module coprime to G/G_i , then [2, Theorem 3.3] gives us $|G_i/G_{i+1}| = 2$

Presented by K. Kaarli.

Received January 13, 2017; accepted in final form April 8, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 16Y30; Secondary: 08A30, 08A40.

Key words and phrases: nearring, compatible module, expanded group, polynomial function, congruence preserving function, 1-affine complete.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00012-016-0385-0.

and (1). If G_i/G_{i+1} is a ring module not coprime to G/G_i , then $|G_i/G_{i+1}| = 2$, since it will be R -isomorphic to some factor G_k/G_{k+1} with $k < i$ that is a ring module coprime to G/G_k and (2) follows from [2, Theorem 3.5] and [1, Proposition 5.2] or the note in the second sentence after [2, Theorem 3.5]. For the converse, use induction on n . As

$$\begin{aligned} R/\text{Ann}_R(G/G_{n-1}) &\leq C_0(G)/\text{Ann}_{C_0(G)}(G/G_{n-1}) \leq C_0(G/G_{n-1}) \\ &= R/\text{Ann}_R(G/G_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by the induction hypothesis, we must have

$$R/\text{Ann}_R(G/G_{n-1}) = C_0(G)/\text{Ann}_{C_0(G)}(G/G_{n-1}). \quad (1)$$

If G_{n-1} is a nonring module, [2, Propositions 2.1 and 3.2] give us

$$|\text{Ann}_R(G/G_{n-1})| = |\text{Ann}_{C_0(G)}(G/G_{n-1})|.$$

This likewise holds if G_{n-1} is a ring module and G/G_{n-1} and G_{n-1} are coprime by [2, Propositions 2.2 and 3.2]. Finally, if G/G_{n-1} and G_{n-1} are not coprime, then G/G_{n-1} and G_{n-1} also are not coprime as $C_0(G)$ -modules by [1, Lemma 2.1], since G_{n-1} does not have a unique maximal complement. Now, due to shift completeness, [2, Proposition 2.3] gives us $|\text{Ann}_R(G/G_{n-1})| = |\text{Ann}_{C_0(G)}(G/G_{n-1})|$ in this case as well. Thus, from equation (1), we have $|R| = |C_0(G)|$, and hence $R = C_0(G)$, completing our proof. \square

No changes are necessary in the discussion following [2, Theorem 4.1].

REFERENCES

- [1] Peterson, G.: Units of compatible nearrings, IV. *Monatsh. Math.* **173**, 573–592 (2014)
- [2] Peterson, G.: 1 affine completeness of compatible modules. *Algebra Universalis* **76**, 99–110 (2016)

GARY L. PETERSON

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, MSC 191, James Madison University,
Harrisonburg, VA 22807, USA
e-mail: petersgl@jmu.edu