



Continuous dependence of the weak limit of iterates of some random-valued vector functions

DAWID KOMOREK

Abstract. Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, a complete separable Banach space X with the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of all its Borel subsets, an operator $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ and $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ we consider the $\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable function $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ given by $f(x, \omega) = \Lambda(\omega)x + \xi(\omega)$ and investigate the continuous dependence of a weak limit π^f of the sequence of iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of f , defined by $f^0(x, \omega) = x$, $f^{n+1}(x, \omega) = f(f^n(x, \omega), \omega_{n+1})$ for $x \in X$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$. Moreover for X taken as a Hilbert space we characterize π^f via the functional equation

$$\varphi^f(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi^f(\Lambda(\omega)u) \varphi^\xi(u) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

with the aid of its characteristic function φ^f . We also indicate the continuous dependence of a solution of that equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37H12, 39B12; Secondary 26A18, 39B52.

Keywords. Random iteration, Iterative equation, Invariant measure, Hutchinson distance, Fortet–Mourier distance, Continuous dependence on the given function, Random-valued function, Random affine map.

1. Introduction

Fix a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and a separable Banach space X . By $\mathcal{B}(X)$ we denote the family of all Borel subsets of X . A map $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ measurable with respect to the product algebra $\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ (shortly: $\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable) is called a *random-valued function* or an *rv-function*. By f^n we denote the n -th iterate of f , given by

$$f^0(x, \omega) = x \quad \text{and} \quad f^n(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = f(f^{n-1}(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}), \omega_n)$$

This work was completed with the support of our T_EX-pert.

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ from Ω^∞ defined as $\Omega^\mathbb{N}$. Note that the map $f^n: X \times \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$ is $\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable, where \mathcal{A}_n denotes the σ -algebra of all the sets $\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots): (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in A\}$ and A belongs to the product σ -algebra \mathcal{A}^n . Since f^n depends only on the first n coordinates of ω , we can identify $f^n(\cdot, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ with $f^n(\cdot, \omega)$. So f^n is an rv-function on the probability space $(\Omega^\infty, \mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{P}^\infty)$ and also on $(\Omega^n, \mathcal{A}^n, \mathbb{P}^n)$. These iterates were defined by K. Baron and M. Kuczma [2], and independently by Ph. Diamond [7] to solve iterative functional equations. In particular they form forward type iterations and are the prototype of random dynamical systems. A result on almost sure (a.s., for short) convergence of $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $X = [0, 1]$ can be found in [17, Sec. 1.4 B]. A simple and useful criterion for a weak convergence of distributions of $f^n(x, \cdot), n \in \mathbb{N}$ to a probability Borel measure π^f independent of $x \in X$ for X being a Polish space was proved in [1] and applied to some linear inhomogeneous functional equation.

One of the most important cases of rv-functions is the so called *random affine map* (see e.g. [11]), which is given by

$$(x, \omega) \mapsto \eta(\omega)x + \xi(\omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\eta: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ are \mathcal{A} -measurable. These maps are related to perpetuities, see for instance [11, 12, 16]); they are also applied to refinement type equations [15]. Substituting a random vector η into a random operator, we will consider rv-functions of the form

$$(x, \omega) \mapsto \Lambda(\omega)x + \xi(\omega), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Lambda(\omega): X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous and bounded operator for $\omega \in \Omega$. A function (1.2) will be called a *generalized random affine map* or *GRAM*, for short. However, the main motivation to study such rv-functions is the work of K. Baron [5], where a special case of map (1.2) with the same operator $\Lambda(\omega)$ for any ω was examined.

The first aim of the present paper is to give some natural conditions under which the sequence of iterates of GRAM's f converges in law to π^f , and to establish the continuity of the operator $f \mapsto \pi^f$ by showing how π^f change if Λ and ξ do. This extends the main result of [3] as well as [4, Theorem 1] and [14, Theorem 5.2].

In the case when X is a real Hilbert space a characterization of a limit distribution π^f by its characteristic function φ^f via the linear functional equation $\varphi^f(u) = \varphi^f(\Lambda^*(u)) \cdot \varphi^\xi(u)$ was established in [5]. Referring to that paper we will show that the function φ^f for GRAM's f is only one solution of the equation

$$\varphi^f(u) = \varphi^\xi(u) \int_{\Omega} \varphi^f(\Lambda^*(\omega)u) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

in a class of characteristic functions. Moreover, we will indicate continuous dependence in such a characterisation of the limit distribution.

2. Notions and basic facts

Throughout the paper $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separable Banach space and $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a given probability space. We write $B(X)$ for a space of all Borel and bounded functions endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $C(X)$ for its subspace containing all continuous (and bounded) functions. A space of all linear and continuous operators $\Lambda: X \rightarrow X$ will be denoted by $L(X, X)$. We use the symbol $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ to denote the space of all probability measures defined on $\mathcal{B}(X)$. For short, we will write $\int \varphi d\mu$ instead of $\int_X \varphi(x)\mu(dx)$ for Bochner integrable φ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ if there is no confusion. We also consider a family of all measures with finite first moment given by

$$\mathcal{M}_1^1(X) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X) : \int \rho(x, x_0)\mu(dx) < \infty \right\}$$

for some $x_0 \in X$. (Clearly $\mathcal{M}_1^1(X)$ does not depend on x_0 .) Recall that a measure $\mu * \nu$ is a *convolution* of measures μ and ν if

$$\mu * \nu(B) = \int \mu(B - x)\nu(dx) \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

We write μ_χ to denote a probability distribution of the random variable χ . Random variables $\chi: \Omega \rightarrow X, \zeta: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ are called independent if

$$\mu_{(\chi, \zeta)} = \mu_\chi \otimes \mu_\zeta,$$

where $\mu_{(\chi, \zeta)}$ is their joint probability distribution. We say that a sequence (μ_n) of measures from $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ converges weakly to μ if $\int f d\mu_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu$ for every $f \in C(X)$. We introduce the symbol d_{FM} to denote the Fortet–Mourier metric (also known as the bounded Lipschitz distance) given by

$$d_{FM}(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\mu - \int f d\nu \right| : f \in Lip_1(X), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\},$$

and additionally d_H to denote the Huthinson metric given by

$$d_H(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\mu - \int f d\nu \right| : f \in Lip_1(X) \right\},$$

where

$$Lip_1(X) = \{f \in B(X) : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for } x, y \in X\}.$$

Note that the distance between some measures in the Huthinson metric may be infinite. It is known (see [9, Theorem 11.3.3]) that weak convergence is metrizable by the Fortet–Mourier norm.

With an rv-function $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ we may associate a linear operator $P: \mathcal{M}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ by the formula

$$P\mu(A) = \int_X \int_\Omega \mathbb{1}_A(f(x, \omega))\mathbb{P}(d\omega)\mu(dx), \tag{2.1}$$

which will be used in this paper. It can be shown that P is the Markovian transition operator for the distribution π_n of f^n given by

$$\pi_n(x, A) = \mathbb{P}^\infty(\{\omega \in \Omega^\infty : f^n(x, \omega) \in A\}),$$

i.e.

$$P\pi_n(x, A) = \pi_{n+1}(x, A) \quad \text{for } x \in X, A \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

By the *convergence in distribution* or *in law* of the sequence of iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we mean that the sequence $(\pi_n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a probability distribution.

Following [1] and [13] we consider a family of rv-functions $f : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ which satisfy:

(H_f) There exists $\lambda_f \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f(x, \omega) - f(y, \omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \leq \lambda_f \|x - y\| \quad \text{for } x, y \in X$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f(x, \omega) - x\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < \infty \quad \text{for some (thus all) } x \in X.$$

A simple criterion [13, Corollary 5.6], cf. [1, Theorem 3.1], for the convergence in distribution of iterates of rv-functions reads as follows:

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that an rv-function $f : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ satisfies (H_f). Then for every $x \in X$ the sequence of iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in distribution and the limit π^f does not depend on x . Moreover $\pi^f \in \mathcal{M}_1^1(X)$ and*

$$d_H(\pi_n(x, \cdot), \pi^f) \leq \frac{\lambda_f^n}{1 - \lambda_f} \int_{\Omega} \|f(x, \omega) - x\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$.

The geometric rate of convergence allows us to formulate a result concerning the continuity of $f \mapsto \pi^f$. We cite a part of [14, Theorem 4.1] that will be useful in the next section.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that rv-functions f, g satisfy (H_f) and (H_g), respectively. Then for limit distributions π^f and π^g , occurring in Proposition 2.1, we have*

$$d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_f} \inf_{x \in X} \alpha_g(x), \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_g} \inf_{x \in X} \alpha_f(x) \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\alpha_h(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \int_{\Omega^\infty} \int_{\Omega} \|f(h^n(x, \omega), \varpi) - g(h^n(x, \omega), \varpi)\| \mathbb{P}(d\varpi) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) \quad (2.3)$$

for $h \in \{f, g\}$.

Remark 2.3. By condition (H_g) we mean (H_f) in which all functions f 's are replaced by g 's. A similar convention will be used considering condition (U_g) in the next section.

3. Continuous dependence of the limit distribution of generalized random affine maps

Fix $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ and \mathcal{A} -measurable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$. Since X is separable, we may consider equivalently the weak, strong (in Bochner's sense), and Borel measurability of the random variable ξ . To get some results concerning the convergence in law of GRAM's (1.2) we need to show that (1.2) is an rv-function. To do this we will introduce the following:

Definition 3.1. We call a map $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ a random operator, if it is \mathcal{A} -measurable, i.e. $\Lambda^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ for every Borel subset B of $L(X, X)$.

Proposition 3.2. *If $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ is a random operator, then a function $\Lambda(\cdot)x: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$ and define $\varphi_x: L(X, X) \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi_x(T) = Tx$. It is obvious that φ_x is linear, and since

$$\|\varphi_x(T)\| = \|Tx\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|T\|$$

it is bounded (thus continuous). Now fix $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega \in \Omega : \Lambda(\omega)x \in B\} &= \{\omega \in \Omega : \varphi_x(\Lambda(\omega)) \in B\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \Omega : \Lambda(\omega) \in \varphi_x^{-1}(B)\} \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.3. One can show that for a separable space X if $\Lambda(\cdot)x: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable for every $x \in X$ and $\Lambda(\omega): X \rightarrow X$ is continuous for every $\omega \in \Omega$ then a map $\Lambda: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ with $\Lambda(x, \omega) = \Lambda(\omega)x$ is $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable. Moreover, ξ extended to $\xi: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ by $\xi(x, \omega) = \xi(\omega)$ is $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable. Since the sum of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable functions on separable values is also $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable it follows that $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ given by (1.2) is an rv-function.

The main result of this section concerns the continuous dependence of the limit of iterates of GRAM's. We will formulate it for a family of rv-functions $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ which satisfy:

(U_f) The function $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ has the form $f(x, \omega) = \Lambda_f(\omega)x + \xi_f(\omega)$, where $\xi_f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\| = \int_{\Omega} \|\xi_f(\omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < \infty,$$

and $\Lambda_f: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ is a random operator satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\| = \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_f(\omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < 1,$$

where $\|\Lambda_f(\omega)\|$ is the operator norm of $\Lambda_f(\omega)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that rv-functions f, g satisfy (U_f) and (U_g) , respectively. Then the sequences of iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (g^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are convergent in law to the probability distributions $\pi^f, \pi^g \in \mathcal{M}_1^1(X)$, respectively, the limits do not depend on $x \in X$, and*

$$d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_g\|}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_g(\cdot)\|} \alpha + \beta \right), \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_g(\cdot)\|} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\|}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|} \alpha + \beta \right) \right\},$$

where $\alpha = \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot) - \Lambda_g(\cdot)\|$, $\beta = \mathbb{E}\|\xi_f - \xi_g\|$.

Proof. At the beginning let us observe that (U_f) implies (H_f) . Indeed,

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f(x, \omega) - f(y, \omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \leq \|x - y\| \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_f(\omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \quad \text{for } x, y \in X$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f(0, \omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \|\xi_f(\omega)\| \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < \infty.$$

By Proposition 2.1 we infer that there exist probability distributions $\pi^f, \pi^g \in \mathcal{M}_1^1(X)$ such that for every $x \in X$ the sequences $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (g^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are convergent in law to π^f, π^g , respectively.

The rest of the proof runs similarly to the proof of [14, Theorem 5.2] which concerns (1.1). For the convenience of the reader we repeat the relevant computations after appropriate changes for the case of GRAM's, thus making our exposition self-contained. So fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let us define $\Lambda_k: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow L(X, X)$ and $\xi_k: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$ by $\Lambda_k(\omega) = \Lambda_f(\omega_k)$, $\xi_k(\omega) = \xi_f(\omega_k)$, where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots) \in \Omega^\infty$, and observe that for $\omega \in \Omega^\infty$ and $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} f^n(x, \omega) &= \bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega)x + \bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-2} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega)\xi_1(\omega) + \\ &+ \bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-3} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega)\xi_2(\omega) + \dots + \Lambda_n(\omega)\xi_{n-1}(\omega) + \xi_n(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-k} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega) = \Lambda_n(\omega) \circ \Lambda_{n-1}(\omega) \circ \Lambda_{n-2}(\omega) \circ \dots \circ \Lambda_k(\omega)$$

and \circ is a composition. From that

$$f^n(0, \omega) = \sum_{k=2}^n \bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-k} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega) \xi_{k-1}(\omega) + \xi_n(\omega).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g(f^n(0, \omega), \bar{\omega}) - f(f^n(0, \omega), \bar{\omega})\| \\ & \leq \|\Lambda_g(\bar{\omega}) - \Lambda_f(\bar{\omega})\| \times \left(\sum_{k=2}^n \left\| \bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-k} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega) \xi_{k-1}(\omega) \right\| + \|\xi_n(\omega)\| \right) \\ & \quad + \|\xi_g(\bar{\omega}) - \xi_f(\bar{\omega})\| \end{aligned}$$

and from the inequality

$$\left\| \bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-k} \Lambda_{n-i}(\omega) \xi_{k-1}(\omega) \right\| \leq \|\xi_{k-1}(\omega)\| \prod_{i=k}^n \|\Lambda_i(\omega)\|$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(f^n(0, \omega), \bar{\omega}) - f(f^n(0, \omega), \bar{\omega})\| & \leq \|\Lambda_g(\bar{\omega}) - \Lambda_f(\bar{\omega})\| \\ & \times \left(\sum_{k=2}^n \|\xi_{k-1}(\omega)\| \prod_{i=k}^n \|\Lambda_i(\omega)\| + \|\xi_n(\omega)\| \right) + \|\xi_g(\bar{\omega}) - \xi_f(\bar{\omega})\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\xi_{k-1}\|, \|\Lambda_k(\cdot)\|, \dots, \|\Lambda_n(\cdot)\|$ are independent it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega^\infty} \int_{\Omega} \|g(f^n(0, \omega), \bar{\omega}) - f(f^n(0, \omega), \bar{\omega})\| \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\bar{\omega}) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_g(\bar{\omega}) - \Lambda_f(\bar{\omega})\| \mathbb{P}(d\bar{\omega}) \int_{\Omega^\infty} \left(\sum_{k=2}^n \|\xi_{k-1}(\omega)\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \prod_{i=k}^n \|\Lambda_i(\omega)\| + \|\xi_n(\omega)\| \right) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) + \int_{\Omega} \|\xi_g(\bar{\omega}) - \xi_f(\bar{\omega})\| \mathbb{P}(d\bar{\omega}) \\ & = \alpha \sum_{k=2}^n \mathbb{E}\|\xi_{k-1}\| \prod_{i=k}^n \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_i(\cdot)\| + \mathbb{E}\|\xi_n\| + \beta \\ & = \alpha \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\| \cdot (\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|)^{n-k+1} + \beta \\ & = \alpha \mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\| \frac{1 - (\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|)^n}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|} + \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for the function $\alpha_f(x)$ given by (2.3) we obtain

$$\inf_{x \in X} \alpha_f(x) \leq \alpha_f(0) \leq \alpha \frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\|}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|} + \beta \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

A similar inequality holds for $\alpha_g(x)$. Taking $\lambda_f = \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|$, $\lambda_g = \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_g(\cdot)\|$ and applying Proposition 2.2 we finish the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that rv-functions f, g have the form*

$$f(x, \omega) = \Lambda_f x + \xi_f(\omega), \quad g(x, \omega) = \Lambda_g x + \xi_g(\omega)$$

with $\Lambda_f, \Lambda_g \in L(X, X)$ such that $\|\Lambda_f\| < 1$, $\|\Lambda_g\| < 1$ and $\xi_f, \xi_g: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\| < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}\|\xi_g\| < \infty$. Then the sequences of iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(g^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are convergent in law to the probability distributions $\pi^f, \pi^g \in \mathcal{M}_1^1(X)$, respectively, the limits do not depend on $x \in X$, and

$$d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \|\Lambda_f\|} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_g\|}{1 - \|\Lambda_g\|} \alpha + \beta \right), \frac{1}{1 - \|\Lambda_g\|} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\|}{1 - \|\Lambda_f\|} \alpha + \beta \right) \right\},$$

where $\alpha = \|\Lambda_f - \Lambda_g\|$, $\beta = \mathbb{E}\|\xi_f - \xi_g\|$.

Corollary 3.5 given above extends the main result of [3] as well as [4, Theorem 1]. Due to this result we can generalize [4, Theorem 3] and [5, Theorem 3.1]; see Theorems 4.10, 4.22.

4. Characterisation of the limit distribution

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. In this section X is a separable real Hilbert space with the inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)$. However in cases when it is not needed we will emphasize it. We define a characteristic function φ^f of the rv-function f , assuming that the iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in law and the limit does not depend on x ; in such a case we denote by π^f the distribution of the limit, i.e.

$$\pi_n^f(x, \cdot) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \pi^f.$$

Definition 4.1. A function $\varphi^X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi^X(u) = \int_X e^{i(u|z)} \mu_\chi(dz)$$

is called a characteristic function of the X -valued random variable χ with distribution μ_χ .

Definition 4.2. A function $\varphi^f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi^f(u) = \int_X e^{i(u|z)} \pi^f(dz)$$

is called a characteristic function of the rv-function f .

The problem of characterization of the limit distribution π^f via a functional equation for its characteristic function φ^f was considered in [5]. The author showed that for the rv-function f given by

$$f(x, \omega) = \Lambda x + \xi(\omega)$$

with $\Lambda \in L(X, X)$ such that $\|\Lambda\| < 1$ and a random variable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathbb{E}\|\xi\| < \infty$ its characteristic function φ^f is the only solution of the equation

$$\varphi^f(u) = \varphi^f(\Lambda^*(u)) \cdot \varphi^\xi(u),$$

where Λ^* stand for the adjoint operator to Λ , which satisfies $(\Lambda^*u|z) = (u|\Lambda z)$ for every $u, z \in X$. Our goal is to generalize this result to GRAM's. First we give some preceding facts, which will be needed in the general setting.

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a Banach space. Assume that a random operator $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ and a random variable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ are independent. If $x \in X$, then $\Lambda(\cdot)x: \Omega \rightarrow X$ and $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ are independent.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$. Let us define $\tau_x: L(X, X) \times X \rightarrow X^2$ by

$$\tau_x(T, y) = (Tx, y).$$

Observe that τ_x is well defined, continuous in product topology (by the continuity of T) and thus $\mathcal{B}(L(X, X)) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable. Denote the distribution of $\Lambda(\cdot)x$ by $\mu_{\Lambda x}$. We claim that $\mu_{(\Lambda x, \xi)}(B) = \mu_{(\Lambda, \xi)}(\tau_x^{-1}(B))$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}(X^2)$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\Lambda x, \xi)}(B) &= \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : (\Lambda(\omega)x, \xi(\omega)) \in B\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : \tau_x(\Lambda(\omega), \xi(\omega)) \in B\}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : (\Lambda(\omega), \xi(\omega)) \in \tau_x^{-1}(B)\}) = \mu_{(\Lambda, \xi)}(\tau_x^{-1}(B)). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $\mu_{\Lambda x} \otimes \mu_\xi(B) = \mu_\Lambda \otimes \mu_\xi(\tau_x^{-1}(B))$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}(X^2)$. Define $B_y = \{\bar{x} : (\bar{x}, y) \in B\}$ and now we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Lambda x} \otimes \mu_\xi(B) &= \int_X \mu_{\Lambda x}(B_y) \mu_\xi(dy) = \int_X \mu_{\Lambda x}(\{\bar{x} : (\bar{x}, y) \in B\}) \mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : (\Lambda(\omega)x, y) \in B\}) \mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : (\Lambda(\omega), y) \in \tau_x^{-1}(B)\}) \mu_\xi(dy) = \mu_\Lambda \otimes \mu_\xi(\tau_x^{-1}(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally by the assumption of independence we obtain

$$\mu_{(\Lambda x, \xi)}(B) = \mu_{(\Lambda, \xi)}(\tau_x^{-1}(B)) = \mu_\Lambda \otimes \mu_\xi(\tau_x^{-1}(B)) = \mu_{\Lambda x} \otimes \mu_\xi(B),$$

which ends the proof. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a Banach space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ is a random operator and $\psi: \Omega^n \rightarrow X$, $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ are random variables. Define $\psi_n: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$, $\Lambda_{n+1}: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow L(X, X)$, $\xi_{n+1}: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$ by*

$$\psi_n(\omega) = \psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n), \quad \Lambda_{n+1}(\omega) = \Lambda(\omega_{n+1}), \quad \xi_{n+1}(\omega) = \xi(\omega_{n+1})$$

and $\Lambda\psi_{n+1}: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$ by

$$\Lambda\psi_{n+1}(\omega) = \Lambda_{n+1}(\omega)\psi_n(\omega) = \Lambda(\omega_{n+1})\psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n),$$

where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty$. If Λ_{n+1} and ξ_{n+1} are independent, then $\Lambda\psi_{n+1}$ and ξ_{n+1} are also independent.

Proof. Fix $B \in \mathcal{B}(X^2)$. Put

$$\eta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) = \Lambda(\omega_{n+1})\psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$$

and

$$\zeta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) = (\eta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}), \xi(\omega_{n+1}))$$

for $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1} \in \Omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\Lambda\psi_{n+1}, \xi_{n+1})}(B) &= \mathbb{P}^\infty \left(\left\{ (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) : \zeta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) \in B \right\} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \left(\left\{ (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) : \zeta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) \in B \right\} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}^n \otimes \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) : \zeta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) \in B \right\} \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega^n} \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega_{n+1} : \zeta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) \in B \right\} \right) d\mathbb{P}^n(d(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)) \\ &= \int_{\Omega^n} \mu_{(\Lambda\psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n), \xi)}(B) \mathbb{P}^n(d(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)) \\ &= \int_{\Omega^n} \mu_{\Lambda\psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)} \otimes \mu_\xi(B) \mathbb{P}^n(d(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)), \end{aligned}$$

when the last equality holds due to Lemma 4.3. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\Lambda\psi_{n+1}, \xi_{n+1})}(B) &= \int_{\Omega^n} \int_X \mu_{\Lambda\psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)}(B_y) \mu_\xi(dy) \mathbb{P}^n(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \\ &= \int_X \int_{\Omega^n} \mu_{\Lambda\psi(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)}(B_y) \mathbb{P}^n(d(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)) \mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \mathbb{P}^n \otimes \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) : \eta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) \in B_y \right\} \right) \mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \mathbb{P}^\infty \left(\left\{ (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) : \eta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}) \in B_y \right\} \right) \mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \mathbb{P}^\infty \left(\left\{ \omega : \Lambda\psi_{n+1}(\omega) \in B_y \right\} \right) \mu_\xi(dy) = \mu_{\Lambda\psi_{n+1}} \otimes \mu_{\xi_{n+1}}(B), \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a separable Banach space. Assume that an rv-function $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ is given by (1.2), where $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ is a random operator and $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a random variable. If Λ and ξ are independent, $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Lambda_{n+1}(\cdot)f^n(x, \cdot): \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$ with*

$$\Lambda_{n+1}(\omega)f^n(x, \omega) = \Lambda(\omega_{n+1})f^n(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$$

and $\xi_{n+1}: \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$ with $\xi_{n+1}(\omega) = \xi(\omega_{n+1})$ are independent.

Having proved independence we also have to characterise the probability distribution of the sum of independent random variables. It is well known that such a distribution can be described as the convolution of each random variable distributions. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a separable Banach space. If $\eta: \Omega \rightarrow X$, $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ are independent random variables, then*

$$\mu_{\eta+\xi} = \mu_\eta * \mu_\xi.$$

Definition 4.7. If $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ is a random operator, then a map $\Lambda^*: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ satisfying

$$(\Lambda^*(\omega)x|y) = (x|\Lambda(\omega)y) \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega, x, y \in X$$

is called an adjoint random operator to Λ .

Lemma 4.8. *A function $\Lambda^*: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ given by $\Lambda^*(x, \omega) = \Lambda^*(\omega)x$ is $\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable.*

Proof. According to Remark 3.3 it is enough to show that $\Lambda^*(\cdot)x: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable for every $x \in X$. Fix $x \in X$ and observe that $(x|\Lambda(\omega)y): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable for every $y \in X$. By the Riesz Representation Theorem for every linear functional $y^*: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists y such that

$$y^*(\Lambda^*(\omega)x) = (\Lambda^*(\omega)x|y) \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Therefore from the \mathcal{A} -measurability of $(x|\Lambda(\cdot)y): \Omega \rightarrow X$ we conclude that $\Lambda^*(\cdot)x$ is weak measurable. Since X is separable, we may conclude that $\Lambda^*(\cdot)x$ is strong measurable and consequently \mathcal{A} -measurable. □

Remark 4.9. Note that $\|\Lambda^*(\cdot)\|: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable due to the equality

$$\|\Lambda(\omega)\| = \|\Lambda^*(\omega)\| \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega.$$

The following theorem characterizes the limit distribution of GRAM's and it generalizes [5, Theorem 3.1] (see Remark 4.12).

Theorem 4.10. *Assume that an rv-function f has the form (1.2) with a random operator $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ and a random variable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that*

$\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda(\cdot)\| < 1, \mathbb{E}\|\xi\| < \infty$. Moreover, assume that Λ and ξ are independent. Then the characteristic function φ^f of f is the only solution of the equation

$$\varphi^f(u) = \varphi^\xi(u) \int_{\Omega} \varphi^f(\Lambda^*(\omega)u) \mathbb{P}(d\omega), \tag{4.1}$$

which is continuous at zero, bounded and fulfills $\varphi^f(0) = 1$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be an arbitrary probability space. Suppose that the independent and identically distributed random variables $\zeta_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ fulfil the following properties*

1. $\zeta_i \geq 0$
2. $0 < \mathbb{E}\zeta_i < 1$.

Then the sequence $(\prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.s. to zero.

Proof. To show convergence we will consider three cases:

- I. If $\mathbb{E}\zeta_i = 0 = \int_{\Omega} \zeta_i(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$, then $\zeta_i = 0$ a.s., so is $\prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i$.
- II. Assume that $0 < \mathbb{E}\zeta_i < 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\zeta_i = 0) = p > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i(\omega) \neq 0\right\}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \zeta_i(\omega) \neq 0, \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\right\}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \zeta_i(\omega) \neq 0\right\}\right) = (1 - p)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Define a set $A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i(\omega) \neq 0\}$ and observe that $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, and

$$A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \supset \left\{\omega \in \Omega : \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_i(\omega) \neq 0\right\}.$$

By the continuity of the measure it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_i(\omega) \neq 0\right\}\right) = 0.$$

III. Now assume that $0 < \mathbb{E}\zeta_i < 1$, and $\mathbb{P}(\zeta_i = 0) = 0$. From Jensen's inequality we have $\mathbb{E} \log \zeta_i \leq \log \mathbb{E}\zeta_i < 0$. Observe that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i = e^{\log \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i} = \left(e^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \zeta_i}\right)^n.$$

If $-\infty < \mathbb{E} \log \zeta_1$ then by the independence of ζ_i 's we can apply the Strong Law of Large Numbers, hence for $0 < \epsilon < |\mathbb{E} \log \zeta_1|$ there exists $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \zeta_i < \mathbb{E} \log \zeta_1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for every } n > N_\epsilon.$$

Therefore for the same $n > N_\epsilon$ it holds that

$$\left(e^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \zeta_i} \right)^n < e^{n(\mathbb{E} \log \zeta_1 + \epsilon)}.$$

Passing with n to the limit we obtain

$$\prod_{n=1}^n \zeta_i \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{4.2}$$

If $\mathbb{E} \log \zeta_1 = -\infty$, then we can apply theorem [10, Theorem 2.4.5], from which we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \zeta_i \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} -\infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence

$$\left(\prod_{n=1}^n \zeta_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = e^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \zeta_i} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Summarizing we get convergence in all cases. □

Proof of Theorem 4.10. A random operator $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ can be considered as an rv-function $\Lambda: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ due to its measurability (see Sect. 3) and consequently we can associate it with a linear operator Q given by

$$Q\mu(B) = \int_X \int_\Omega \mathbb{1}_B(\Lambda(\omega)x) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \mu(dx), \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

Now let us define $\pi_n^{\Lambda f}: X \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\pi_n^{\Lambda f}(x, B) = \mathbb{P}^\infty(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots) : \Lambda(\omega_{n+1})f^n(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in B\})$$

and observe that

$$\pi_n^{\Lambda f}(x, \cdot) = Q\pi_n^f(x, \cdot) \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Indeed, for fixed $x \in X, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n^{\Lambda f}(x, B) &= \mathbb{P}^\infty(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots) : \Lambda(\omega_{n+1})f^n(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in B\}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega^\infty} \mathbb{1}_B(\Lambda(\omega_{n+1})f^n(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d(\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots)) \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega^\infty} \mathbb{1}_B(\Lambda(\bar{\omega})f^n(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)) \mathbb{P}(d\bar{\omega}) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d(\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots)) \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_X \mathbb{1}_B(\Lambda(\bar{\omega})y) \pi_n^f(x, dy) \mathbb{P}(d\bar{\omega}) = Q\pi_n^f(x, B). \end{aligned}$$

So now, by Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 we see that

$$\pi_{n+1}^f(x, \cdot) = \pi_n^{\Lambda f}(x, \cdot) * \mu_\xi = Q\pi_n^f(x, \cdot) * \mu_\xi.$$

It can be easily shown that the Markov operator Q has the Feller property. To do this let us see at first that

$$Q^*\psi(x) = \int_\Omega \psi(\Lambda(\omega)x)\mathbb{P}(d\omega).$$

For a fixed $\psi \in C(X)$ take an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ and note that for every $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x_0$ we have $\psi(\Lambda(\omega)x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\Lambda(\omega)x_0)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Let us define $\varphi_n(\omega) = \psi(\Lambda(\omega)x_n)$ and $\varphi_0(\omega) = \psi(\Lambda(\omega)x_0)$. Since $|\varphi_n(\omega)| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty$ for $\omega \in \Omega, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can apply the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem and hence

$$Q^*\psi(x_n) = \int_\Omega \varphi_n(\omega)\mathbb{P}(d\omega) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \varphi_0(\omega)\mathbb{P}(d\omega) = Q^*\psi(x_0).$$

Because $x_0, (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and ψ are arbitrary, we have $Q^*(C(X)) \subset C(X)$. From that and [18, Theorem 1.1, Ch. III] we can pass n to the limit and we obtain

$$\pi^f = Q\pi^f * \mu_\xi.$$

Now from the definition of the characteristic function we make the following computations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^f(u) &= \int_X e^{i(u|z)}\pi^f(dz) = \int_X e^{i(u|z)}Q\pi^f * \mu_\xi(dz) \\ &= \int_X \int_X e^{i(u|x+y)}Q\pi^f(dx)\mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \int_X e^{i(u|x)} \cdot e^{i(u|y)}Q\pi^f(dx)\mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \int_X Q^*e^{i(u|x)} \cdot e^{i(u|y)}\pi^f(dx)\mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X \int_X \left[\int_\Omega e^{i(u|\Lambda(\omega)x)}\mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right] \cdot e^{i(u|y)}\pi^f(dx)\mu_\xi(dy) \\ &= \int_X e^{i(u|y)}\mu_\xi(dy) \cdot \int_\Omega \int_X e^{i(u|\Lambda(\omega)x)}\pi^f(dx)\mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= \varphi^\xi(u) \int_\Omega \int_X e^{i(\Lambda^*(\omega)u|x)}\pi^f(dx)\mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \varphi^\xi(u) \int_\Omega \varphi^f(\Lambda^*(\omega)u)\mathbb{P}(d\omega). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ^f satisfies (4.1).

It remains to show the uniqueness of the solution of (4.1). To do this, let us assume that φ is a bounded, continuous at zero solution of (4.1) and $\varphi(0) = 1$. Then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \dots \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{\xi}(u) \prod_{i=2}^n \varphi^{\xi}((\Lambda^*)^{i-1}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1})u) \times \\ &\quad \times \varphi((\Lambda^*)^n(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)u) \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) \dots \mathbb{P}(d\omega_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\Lambda^*)^i(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_i)u = \Lambda^*(\omega_i) \circ \dots \circ \Lambda^*(\omega_1)u.$$

It follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{\Omega^\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi^{\xi}((\Lambda^*)^{i-1}(\omega)u) \varphi((\Lambda^*)^n(\omega)u) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega). \tag{4.3}$$

Since $\|\Lambda^*(\omega)\| = \|\Lambda(\omega)\|$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda^*(\cdot)\| = \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda(\cdot)\| < 1$. Taking $\zeta_i(\omega) = \|\Lambda^*(\omega_i)\|$ for $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty$ we see that

$$\|(\Lambda^*)^n(\omega)u\| \leq \|u\| \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i(\omega).$$

By Lemma 4.11 we conclude that the sequence $(\|(\Lambda^*)^n(\cdot)u\|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.s. to zero.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let us define random variables $\eta_n, \theta_n : \Omega^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, respectively, by

$$\eta_n(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi^{\xi}((\Lambda^*)^{i-1}(\omega)u) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_n(\omega) = \varphi((\Lambda^*)^n(\omega)u).$$

Hence we can rewrite (4.3) as

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{\Omega^\infty} \theta_n(\omega) \eta_n(\omega) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, u \in X$$

and thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega^\infty} \theta_n(\omega) \eta_n(\omega) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) - \int_{\Omega^\infty} \eta_n(\omega) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega^\infty} |\theta_n(\omega) - 1| \cdot |\eta_n(\omega)| \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega^\infty} |\theta_n(\omega) - 1| \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $|\theta_n(\omega) - 1| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty + 1$ and $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.s. to 1, by the continuity of φ at zero. Therefore, from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it can be concluded that

$$\int_{\Omega^\infty} |\theta_n(\omega) - 1| \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence passing with n to the limit we obtain

$$\varphi(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi^\xi((\Lambda^*)^{i-1}(\omega)u) \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega), \tag{4.4}$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 4.12. Note that under the assumptions of Theorem 4.10 the following statements hold:

- (i) The characteristic function φ^f is the only solution of the equation (4.1), which is Lipschitz, continuous at zero and $\varphi(0) = 1$.
- (ii) If Λ does not depend on ω , i.e. $\Lambda(\omega)$ is the same as ω changes, then φ^f is the only solution of the equation (4.1), which is continuous at zero and $\varphi(0) = 1$.

To show assertion (i) observe that for a function φ which is a solution of (4.1) and $M > 0$, a Lipschitz constant of φ , the following inequalities hold,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^\infty} |\varphi((\Lambda^*)^n(\omega)u) - 1| \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) &\leq \int_{\Omega^\infty} M \|(\Lambda^*)^n(\omega)u\| \mathbb{P}^\infty(d\omega) \\ &\leq \|u\| M (\mathbb{E} \|\Lambda^*(\cdot)\|)^n, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (4.4).

When (ii) holds, the formula (4.3) reduces to

$$\varphi(u) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi^\xi((\Lambda^*)^{i-1}u) \varphi((\Lambda^*)^n u)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Passing with n to the limit we obtain

$$\varphi(u) = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \varphi^\xi((\Lambda^*)^{i-1}u) \varphi((\Lambda^*)^n u). \tag{4.5}$$

□

Remark 4.13. Note that the expression (4.4) is in fact the formula of the unique solution φ of (4.1). In particular, when Λ is independent of ω , this solution takes the form (4.5) and it can also be found in [5, Theorem 3.1].

We now give an example of a GRAM which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.10.

Example 4.14. Let us consider random variables $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ and $\kappa: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Take a countable family of linear bounded operators $T_i: X \rightarrow X$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $\Lambda: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, X)$ as

$$\Lambda(\omega) = T_{\kappa(\omega)}, \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) Λ is a random operator.

- (ii) If ξ and κ are independent, then so are ξ and Λ .
- (iii) The expected value of Λ is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda(\cdot)\| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_\kappa(\{i\}) \|T_i\|.$$

- (iv) The adjoint random operator Λ^* has the form

$$\Lambda^*(\omega) = T_{\kappa(\omega)}^*.$$

Assertion (i) follows from the fact that Λ can be rewritten in the form

$$\Lambda(\omega) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\kappa^{-1}(\{i\})}(\omega) T_i, \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Hence it can be easily seen that Λ is \mathcal{A} -measurable. To show statement (ii) assume that ξ and κ are independent and observe that μ_Λ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Lambda(A) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\omega: \kappa(\omega) = i\} \cap \{\omega: T_i \in A\}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega: \kappa(\omega) = i\} \cap \{\omega: T_i \in A\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega: \kappa(\omega) = i\} \cap \{\omega: T_i \in A\}) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega: \kappa(\omega) = i\}), & T_i \in A \\ 0, & T_i \notin A \end{cases} \\ &= \mu_\kappa(\{i\}) \delta_{T_i}(A). \end{aligned}$$

From that

$$\mu_\Lambda(A) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_\kappa(\{i\}) \delta_{T_i}(A).$$

Now fix $B \in \mathcal{B}(L(X, X)) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$, define $B_T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ as

$$B_T = \{(i, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times X : (T_i, y) \in B\}$$

and observe that

$$B^{T_i} = \{y \in X : (T_i, y) \in B\} = (B_T)^i,$$

where $B^x = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in B\}$, $x \in L(X, X)$. An easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Lambda \otimes \mu_\xi(B) &= \int_{L(X, X)} \mu_\xi(B^x) \mu_\Lambda(dx) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_\xi(B^{T_i}) \cdot \mu_\kappa(\{i\}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \mu_\xi((B_T)^i) \mu_\kappa(di) = \mu_\kappa \otimes \mu_\xi(B_T) = \mu_{(\kappa, \xi)}(B_T) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\omega: (\kappa(\omega), \xi(\omega)) \in B_T) = \mathbb{P}(\omega: (T_{\kappa(\omega)}, \xi(\omega)) \in B) \\ &= \mu_{(\Lambda, \xi)}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Statement (iii) is obvious. Finally to show (iv) fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and observe that for $\omega \in \kappa^{-1}(\{i\})$ we have

$$(\Lambda^*(\omega)x|y) = (x|T_i y) = (T_i^* x|y) \text{ for every } x, y \in X.$$

Therefore $\Lambda^*(\omega) = T_i^*$ for $\omega \in \kappa^{-1}(\{i\})$. From that we obtain

$$\Lambda^*(\omega) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{\kappa^{-1}(\{i\})}(\omega) T_i^* = T_{\kappa(\omega)}^*, \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega.$$

By statements (i)–(iv) we can consider an rv-function f of the form

$$f(x, \omega) = T_{\kappa(\omega)} x + \xi(\omega)$$

and if we assume additionally that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_\kappa(\{i\}) \|T_i\| < 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\|\xi\| < \infty,$$

then Theorem 4.2 allows us to claim that (provided that κ and ξ are independent) the characteristic function φ^f is the only solution of the equation

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi^\xi(u) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_\kappa(\{i\}) \varphi(T_i^* u), \quad u \in X, \tag{4.6}$$

which is bounded, continuous at zero and $\varphi(0) = 1$. □

It is worth pointing out that if we consider the class of solutions φ of the equation (4.1) (or in particular of (4.6)) which do not have to be either bounded or Lipschitz, then such a class can contain more than one solution, which is shown in the example given below.

Example 4.15. Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a| > 1$ and $p \in \left(0, \frac{1}{1+|a|}\right)$ and let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Let operators $T_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in \{1, 2\}$ be given, respectively, by

$$T_1 x = ax, \quad T_2 x = \frac{1}{a} x.$$

Set a random variable $\kappa: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with the following distribution

$$\mu_\kappa(\{1\}) = p, \quad \mu_\kappa(\{2\}) = 1 - p.$$

It can be easily seen that for a random operator Λ given by

$$\Lambda(\omega) = T_{\kappa(\omega)} = \mathbb{1}_{\kappa^{-1}(\{1\})}(\omega) T_1 + \mathbb{1}_{\kappa^{-1}(\{2\})}(\omega) T_2$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Lambda(\cdot)\| = |a| \cdot p + \left|\frac{1}{a}\right| (1 - p) < \frac{|a|^2 - 1}{|a|^2 + |a|} + \frac{1}{|a|} = 1.$$

Observe furthermore that Λ and Λ^* have the same distribution.

Now consider a random variable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, independent of κ , with $\mu_\xi = \delta_0$. Then $\varphi^\xi \equiv 1$. It is easy to check that $\varphi^f \equiv 1$ and it is a solution of the equation

$$\varphi(u) = p\varphi(au) + (1 - p)\varphi\left(\frac{u}{a}\right). \tag{4.7}$$

However it is not unique in a family of continuous at zero functions φ which satisfy $\varphi(0) = 1$. To this end, take a function $\varphi_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\varphi_0(u) = |u|^{\log_{|a|}\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)} + 1.$$

Let us see that φ_0 is continuous on its domain, $\varphi_0(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p\varphi_0(au) + (1 - p)\varphi_0\left(\frac{u}{a}\right) &= p|u|^{\log_{|a|}\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)} \cdot |a|^{\log_{|a|}\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)} \\ &\quad + (1 - p)|u|^{\log_{|a|}\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)} \cdot |a|^{-\log_{|a|}\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)} + 1 \\ &= |u|^{\log_{|a|}\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)} + 1 = \varphi_0(u), \end{aligned}$$

so φ^f is not the unique continuous solution of the equation (4.7) having value 1 at zero. □

For GRAM’s f given above, the natural question arises whether an operator $(\Lambda, \xi) \mapsto \varphi^f$ is continuous and what kind of continuity it has. Before we formulate an appropriate result, we present some additional facts in which (X, ρ) is a metric space and

$$Lip_\alpha(X, Y) = \{\varphi \in B(X, Y) : \|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\| \leq \alpha\rho(x, y), \ x, y \in X\}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, and $B(X, Y)$ is a set of all bounded functions acting on X into Y .

Definition 4.16. Let (X, ρ) be a separable and complete metric space and let $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. We denote a metric $d_H^{X,Y}$ on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ by the formula

$$d_H^{X,Y}(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \left\| \int_X \varphi(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X \varphi(x)\nu(dx) \right\| : \varphi \in Lip_1(X, Y) \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.17. Assume that spaces X and Y are nontrivial. Then the metric $d_H^{X,Y}$ is independent of the choose spaces X and Y , and moreover $d_H^{X,Y}(\mu, \nu) = d_H(\mu, \nu)$ for every $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$.

Proof. Fix $u \in Lip_1(X)$ and $x_0 \in Y$ such that $\|x_0\| = 1$. Put $\varphi_0(x) = u(x) \cdot x_0$ for $x \in X$, then $\varphi_0 \in Lip_1(X, Y)$ and it is integrable in Bochner’s sense with respect to any probability measure, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_X u(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X u(x)\nu(dx) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\|x_0\|} \cdot \left\| x_0 \left(\int_X u(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X u(x)\nu(dx) \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_X \varphi_0(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X \varphi_0(x)\nu(dx) \right\| \leq d_H^{X,Y}(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since u is arbitrary, we can take the supremum on the left hand side of the inequality and as a consequence we obtain $d_H \leq d_H^{X,Y}$.

Now fix $\varphi \in Lip_1(X, Y)$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$. Then there exists $y^* \in Y^*$ such that $\|y^*\| = 1$ and

$$\left\| \int_X \varphi(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X \varphi(x)\nu(dx) \right\| = \left| y^* \left(\int_X \varphi(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X \varphi(x)\nu(dx) \right) \right|$$

by the Hahn–Banach theorem. Applying the Hille Theorem (see e.g. [8, Theorem 6 Ch. II]) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| y^* \left(\int_X \varphi(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X \varphi(x)\nu(dx) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_X y^* \circ \varphi(x)\mu(dx) - \int_X y^* \circ \varphi(x)\nu(dx) \right| \leq d_H(\mu, \nu), \end{aligned}$$

and since $y^* \circ \varphi \in Lip_1(X)$ we finally obtain $d_H \geq d_H^{X,Y}$. □

Lemma 4.18. *If $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and a function $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\psi(z) = e^{i\langle u|z \rangle}$, then $\psi \in Lip_{\|u\|}(X, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Since $\langle u|z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $u, z \in X$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(z) - \psi(y)| &= \left| e^{i\langle u|z \rangle} - e^{i\langle u|y \rangle} \right| = \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(\langle u|z \rangle - \langle u|y \rangle)} \\ &= 2 \left| \sin \frac{\langle u|z - y \rangle}{2} \right| \leq \left| 2 \cdot \frac{\langle u|z - y \rangle}{2} \right| \leq \|u\| \cdot \|z - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is completed. □

Proposition 4.19. *Let $f, g: X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ be rv-functions. Assume that the iterates $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(g^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in law to π^f and π^g , respectively, and the limits π^f, π^g do not depend on x . Then the following inequality for the characteristic functions φ^f and φ^g holds*

$$|\varphi^f(u) - \varphi^g(u)| \leq \|u\| \cdot d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g), \tag{4.8}$$

for every $u \in X$.

Proof. Fix $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and define $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\psi(z) = e^{i\langle u|z \rangle}$. Then $\frac{1}{\|u\|}\psi \in Lip_1(X, \mathbb{C})$, by Lemma 4.18. Using Proposition 4.17 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|u\|} |\varphi^f(u) - \varphi^g(u)| &= \left| \int \frac{1}{\|u\|} e^{i(u|z)} \pi^f(dz) - \int \frac{1}{\|u\|} e^{i(u|z)} \pi^g(dz) \right| \\ &\leq d_H^{X, \mathbb{C}}(\pi^f, \pi^g) = d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

Remark 4.20. Inequality (4.8) can not be strenghtened by

$$\|\varphi^f - \varphi^g\|_\infty \leq d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g), \tag{4.9}$$

which is shown in the example given below.

Example 4.21. Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\xi_n : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a random variable with uniform distribution on the interval $[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]$. (Obviously, we assume such ξ_n 's can be constructed. It is possible for instance on the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ as a unit interval with Lebesgue measure.) Define rv-functions $f_n, g : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ by

$$f_n(x, \omega) = \xi_n(\omega), \quad g(x, \omega) = a.$$

Observe that the k -th iterate of f_n satisfies $f_n^k(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) = \xi_n(\omega_k)$ and $g^k(x, \omega) = a$. So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k^{f_n}(A) &= \mathbb{P}^\infty (\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty : f_n^k(x, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) \in A\}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}^\infty (\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty : \xi_n(\omega_k) \in A\}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\{\omega_k \in \Omega : \xi_n(\omega_k) \in A\}) = \int_A n \mathbb{1}_{[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]} dx = \pi^{f_n}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Additionally let us see that

$$\pi_k^g(A) = \delta_a(A) = \pi^g(A).$$

The characteristic functions of the above distributions have the following forms

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{f_n}(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iux} \pi^{f_n}(dx) = \frac{n}{iu} e^{iua} \left(e^{iu \frac{1}{n}} - 1 \right), \\ \varphi^g(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iux} \pi^g(dx) = e^{iua}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $c \in Lip_1(\mathbb{R})$ we have the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(x) \pi^{f_n}(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(x) \pi^g(dx) \right| \\ = \left| n \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]} dx - c(a) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left| n \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]} dx - n \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(a) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]} dx \right| \\
 &\leq n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - a| \mathbb{1}_{[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]} dx = \frac{1}{2n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all $c \in Lip_1(\mathbb{R})$ we obtain

$$d_H(\pi^{f_n}, \pi^g) \leq \frac{1}{2n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It is easily seen that $\varphi^{f_n}(u) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^g(u)$ for every $u \in X$, but

$$|\varphi^{f_n}(u) - \varphi^g(u)| \xrightarrow{u \rightarrow +\infty} 1$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From that

$$\|\varphi^{f_n} - \varphi^g\|_{\infty} \geq 1 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore the sequence $(\varphi^{f_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not convergent to φ^g in the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. □

Now we turn to formulating the second theorem of this section that extends [4, Theorem 3]. We note that in this theorem a real separable Hilbert space X is considered and φ^f, φ^g denote the characteristic functions of π^f, π^g , which result from Theorem 3.4. The announced theorem is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 4.19, and reads as follows.

Theorem 4.22. *Assume that rv-functions f, g satisfy (U_f) and (U_g) , respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi^f(u) - \varphi^g(u)| \leq \|u\| \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_g\|}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_g(\cdot)\|} \alpha + \beta \right), \right. \\
 \left. \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_g(\cdot)\|} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f\|}{1 - \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot)\|} \alpha + \beta \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \mathbb{E}\|\Lambda_f(\cdot) - \Lambda_g(\cdot)\|$, $\beta = \mathbb{E}\|\xi_f - \xi_g\|$.

Remark 4.23. The main results of [4, 5] concern rv-functions of the form $f(x, \omega) = \Lambda x + \xi_f(\omega)$ with $\Lambda \in L(X, X)$. In particular the author examines a kind of continuity of the operator $\xi_f \mapsto \varphi^f$. Note that this is one case in our results, when $\alpha = 0$. Under appropriate assumptions we have

$$d_H(\pi^f, \pi^g) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\|\xi_f - \xi_g\|}{1 - \|\Lambda\|}$$

as well as

$$|\varphi^f(u) - \varphi^g(u)| \leq \frac{\|u\|}{1 - \|\Lambda\|} \mathbb{E}\|\xi_f - \xi_g\|.$$

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Faculty of Applied Mathematics AGH UST statutory tasks within subsidy of Ministry of Education and Science.

Author contributions Dawid Komorek wrote the whole manuscript.

Funding No funding received.

Availability of data and materials Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest No interests of a financial or personal nature.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Baron, K.: On the convergence in law of iterates of random-valued functions, *Australian. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **6**, 1–9 (2009)
- [2] Baron, K., Kuczma, M.: Iteration of random-valued functions on the unit interval. *Colloq. Math.* **37**, 263–269 (1977)
- [3] Baron, K.: On the continuous dependence in a problem of convergence of iterates of random-valued functions. *Grazer Math. Ber.* **363**, 1–6 (2015)
- [4] Baron, K.: Remarks connected with the weak limit of iterates of some random-valued functions and iterative functional equations. *Ann. Math. Silesianae* **34**, 36–44 (2020)
- [5] Baron, K.: Weak limit of iterates of some random-valued functions and its application. *Aequat. Math.* **94**, 415–425 (2020)
- [6] Czaplą, D., Hille, S.C., Horbacz, K., Wojewódka-Ściążko, H.: Continuous dependence of an invariant measure on the jump rate of a piecewise-deterministic Markov process. *Math. Biosci. Eng.* **17**, 1059–1073 (2020)
- [7] Diamond, P.: A stochastic functional equation. *Aequ. Math.* **15**, 225–233 (1977)

- [8] Diestel, J., Uhl, J.J., Jr.: *Vector Measures*. American Mathematical Society Providence, Rhode Island (1977)
- [9] Dudley, R.M.: *Real analysis and probability*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, vol. 74, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
- [10] Durrett, R.: *Probability Theory and Examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, 5th edn., Cambridge University Press (2019)
- [11] Goldie, C.M., Maller, R.A.: Stability of perpetuities. *Ann. Probab.* **28**, 1195–1218 (2000)
- [12] Iksanov, A.: *Renewal theory for perturbed random walks and similar processes*. Probability and Its Applications, Birkhäuser (2016)
- [13] Kapica, R.: The geometric rate of convergence of random iteration in the Hutchinson distance. *Aequat. Math.* **93**, 149–160 (2019)
- [14] Kapica, R., Komorek, D.: Continuous dependence in a problem of convergence of random iteration. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **22**(2), 65 (2023)
- [15] Kapica, R., Morawiec, J.: Refinement equations and distributional fixed points. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 7741–7746 (2012)
- [16] Kesten, H.: Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices. *Acta Math.* **131**, 207–248 (1973)
- [17] Kuczma, M., Choczewski, B., Ger, R.: *Iterative functional equations*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 32, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- [18] Parthasarathy, K.R.: *Probability measures on metric spaces*. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, vol. 3, Academic Press, Inc., New York (1967)

Dawid Komorek
Faculty of Applied Mathematics
AGH University of Krakow
30-059 Kraków
Poland
e-mail: komorek@agh.edu.pl

Received: September 4, 2022

Revised: April 21, 2023

Accepted: April 23, 2023