




A note on functional equations connected with the Cauchy mean value theorem

RADOSŁAW ŁUKASIK 

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to describe the solution (f, g) of the equation

$$[f(x) - f(y)]g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = [g(x) - g(y)]f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable, α is a fixed number from $(0, 1)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 39B22.

Keywords. Functional equation, Mean value theorem, Linearly dependent functions.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper I is an open interval, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ (we can obtain the whole interval $(0, 1)$ because the role of α and $1 - \alpha$ is symmetric in (1)). For a differentiable function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define a set $U_f := \{x \in I : f'(x) \neq 0\}$. In view of the Darboux property of f' we can write U_f as a sum of pairwise disjoint open intervals (we denote this family of open intervals by \mathcal{A}_f).

We would like to present solutions of the following functional equation

$$[f(x) - f(y)]g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = [g(x) - g(y)]f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y), \quad x, y \in I, \tag{1}$$

where $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable.

This equation was solved by Balogh et al. [2] for three times differentiable functions on \mathbb{R} . For the case of the Lagrange MVT ($g = \text{id}$) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ this problem was considered by Haruki [3] and Aczél [1]. The generalization of (1) corresponds also to an open problem posed by Sahoo and Riedel [6].

2. Auxiliary results

We divide our considerations into two cases $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$ or $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. We start with two lemmas which we use in both cases.

Lemma 1. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions such that (1) holds. Then for each $x \in \left((1-\alpha) \inf I + \alpha \inf U_f, (1-\alpha) \sup I + \alpha \sup U_f \right)$ such that $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \left((x - \varepsilon, x] \subset I \setminus U_f \text{ or } [x, x + \varepsilon) \subset I \setminus U_f \right)$ we have $g'(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $J \subset I \setminus U_f$ be a maximal closed subinterval of $I \setminus U_f$, $a = \inf J$, $b = \sup J$, $a < b$, which means that either $J = [a, b]$ and $a, b \in \text{cl} U_f$ or $J = (\inf I, \inf U_f]$ or $J = [\sup U_f, \sup I)$. We have the following cases:

(a) $\exists I_1 \in \mathcal{A}_f \sup I_1 = a$.

Let $z \in [a, \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)$, then there exists $x \in I_1$ such that $a - x < \frac{\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b - z}{\alpha}$. Let $y = b - \frac{\alpha x + (1-\alpha)b - z}{1-\alpha}$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$. Since

$$(1-\alpha)(b-y) = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)b - z = \alpha(x-a) + \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b - z > -(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b - z) + \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b - z = 0,$$

$$y = \frac{z - \alpha x}{1-\alpha} = \frac{z - \alpha a + \alpha(a-x)}{1-\alpha} > \frac{z - \alpha a}{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{a - \alpha a}{1-\alpha} = a,$$

we have $y \in J$. Hence

$$0 = [g(x) - g(y)]f'(z) = [f(x) - f(y)]g'(z) = [f(x) - f(a)]g'(z). \tag{2}$$

Since $f'|_{I_1} \neq 0$, we have $f(x) \neq f(a)$ and $g'(z) = 0$.

(b) $\exists (I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_f (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \sup I_n \leq \inf I_{n+1}) \wedge \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup I_n = a$. Let $z \in [a, \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a - \inf I_n < \frac{\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b - z}{\alpha}$. Let $x \in I_n$ be such that $f(x) \neq f(a)$, $y = b - \frac{\alpha x + (1-\alpha)b - z}{1-\alpha}$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$. Similarly as in the previous case we have $y \in J$. Hence (2) holds and $g'(z) = 0$.

(c) $\exists I_1 \in \mathcal{A}_f \inf I_1 = b$. Let $z \in (\alpha b + (1-\alpha)a, b]$, then there exists $x \in I_1$ such that $x - b < \frac{z - \alpha b + (1-\alpha)a}{\alpha}$. Let $y = a + \frac{z - \alpha x - (1-\alpha)a}{1-\alpha}$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$. Since

$$(1-\alpha)(y-a) = z - \alpha x - (1-\alpha)a = z + \alpha(b-x) - \alpha b - (1-\alpha)a > z - (z - \alpha b - (1-\alpha)a) - \alpha b - (1-\alpha)a = 0,$$

$$y = \frac{z - \alpha x}{1-\alpha} = \frac{z - \alpha b + \alpha(b-x)}{1-\alpha} < \frac{z - \alpha b}{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{b - \alpha b}{1-\alpha} = b,$$

we have $y \in J$. Hence (2) holds. Since $f'|_{I_1} \neq 0$, we have $f(x) \neq f(b)$ and $g'(z) = 0$.

(d) $\exists (I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_f (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \inf I_n \geq \sup I_{n+1}) \wedge \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf I_n = b$. Let $z \in (\alpha b + (1-\alpha)a, b]$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup I_n - b < \frac{z - \alpha b + (1-\alpha)a}{\alpha}$.

Let $x \in I_n$ be such that $f(x) \neq f(b)$, $y = a + \frac{z - \alpha x - (1 - \alpha)a}{1 - \alpha}$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$. Similarly as in the previous case we have $y \in J$. Hence (2) holds and $g'(z) = 0$.

Since

$$\alpha b + (1 - \alpha)a = a + \alpha(b - a) \leq \frac{a + b}{2} \leq b - \alpha(b - a) = \alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b,$$

for $J \subset (\inf U_f, \sup U_f)$ we have $g'(x) = 0$ for $x \in J$.

If $J = (\inf I, \inf U_f]$, then $g'(x) = 0$ for $x \in ((1 - \alpha) \inf I + \alpha \inf U_f, \inf U_f]$.

And finally, if $J = [\sup U_f, \sup I)$, then $g'(x) = 0$ for $x \in [\sup U_f, (1 - \alpha) \sup I + \alpha \sup U_f)$. □

Lemma 2. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and satisfy Eq. (1). Assume that $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent on each $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$ and $\inf I = -\infty$ or $\sup I = +\infty$. Then $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent on I .*

Proof. It is easy to see that if f is constant, then for every g Eq. (1) holds.

Assume that f is non-constant and $\sup I = +\infty$.

Let $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{A}_f$ satisfy $\sup I_1 \leq \inf I_2$. There exist $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = c_1 f(x) + d_1$ for $x \in I_1$ and $g(x) = c_2 f(x) + d_2$ for $x \in I_2$. For each $x \in I_1$ and $z \in I_2$ we define $y_{x,z} = \frac{z - \alpha x}{1 - \alpha} \in I$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y_{x,z}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [f(x) - f(y_{x,z})]c_2 f'(z) &= [f(x) - f(y_{x,z})]g'(z) \\ &= [g(x) - g(y_{x,z})]f'(z) = [c_1 f(x) + d_1 - g(y_{x,z})]f'(z), \quad x \in I_1, z \in I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(c_1 - c_2)f(x)f'(z) = [g(y_{x,z}) - c_2 f(y_{x,z}) - d_1]f'(z), \quad x \in I_1, z \in I_2,$$

and since $f'(z) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$(c_1 - c_2)f(x) = g\left(\frac{z - \alpha x}{1 - \alpha}\right) - c_2 f\left(\frac{z - \alpha x}{1 - \alpha}\right) - d_1, \quad x \in I_1, z \in I_2. \tag{3}$$

Using the differentiation of the above equation with respect to z we obtain that RHS of (3) is constant and also LHS of (3) is constant. The function $f|_{I_1}$ is injective so we get $c_1 = c_2$.

This shows us that there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall J \in \mathcal{A}_f \exists d_J \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in J g(x) = cf(x) + d_J.$$

Using this form and Lemma 1, for each $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$ we have the following cases:

(a) $\exists I_1 \in \mathcal{A}_f [\sup J, \inf I_1] \subset I \setminus U_f$.

Let $a = \sup J$, $b = \inf I_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} cf(a) + d_J &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} cf(x) + d_J = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} g(x) = g(a) = g(b) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} cf(x) + d_{I_1} = cf(b) + d_{I_1} = cf(a) + d_{I_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{I_1} = d_J$.

- (b) $\exists_{(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_f} (\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf I_{n+1} \geq \sup I_n) \wedge [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup I_n, \inf J] \subset I \setminus U_f$.

Let $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup I_n$, $b = \inf J$. In view of the previous case we get $d_{I_n} = d_{I_{n+1}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} cf(a) + d_{I_n} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} cf(x) + d_{I_n} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} g(x) = g(a) = g(b) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} g(x) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} cf(x) + d_J = cf(b) + d_J = cf(a) + d_J, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{I_n} = d_J$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (c) $\exists_{I_1 \in \mathcal{A}_f} [\sup I_1, \inf J] \subset I \setminus U_f$.

Let $a = \sup I_1$, $b = \inf J$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} cf(a) + d_{I_1} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} cf(x) + d_{I_1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} g(x) = g(a) = g(b) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} cf(x) + d_J = cf(b) + d_J = cf(a) + d_J. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{I_1} = d_J$.

- (d) $\exists_{(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_f} (\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup I_{n+1} \leq \inf I_n) \wedge [\sup J, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf I_n] \subset I \setminus U_f$.

Let $a = \sup J$, $b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf I_n$. In view of the previous case we get $d_{I_n} = d_{I_{n+1}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} cf(a) + d_J &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} cf(x) + d_J = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} g(x) = g(a) = g(b) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} g(x) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} cf(x) + d_{I_n} = cf(b) + d_{I_n} = cf(a) + d_{I_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{I_n} = d_J$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

From the above we obtain that there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = cf(x) + d$ for all $x \in U_f$.

Now we will show that g also has this form on $I \setminus U_f$. We have the following cases:

- Let $J \subset (\inf U_f, \sup U_f) \setminus U_f$ be a closed interval such that $a, b \in \text{cl} U_f$, where $a = \inf J$, $b = \sup J$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) = g(a) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow a^-, y \in U_f} g(y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow a^-, y \in U_f} cf(x) + d \\ &= cf(a) + d = cf(x) + d, \quad x \in J. \end{aligned}$$

- Assume that $a = \sup U_f < \infty$ and let $J = [\sup U_f, +\infty)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) = g(a) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow a^-, y \in U_f} g(y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow a^-, y \in U_f} cf(x) + d \\ &= cf(a) + d = cf(x) + d, \quad x \in [a, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

- Assume that $a := \inf I < b := \inf U_f$. Let $x \in (a, b]$, $z \in U_f$, $y := \frac{z - \alpha x}{1 - \alpha}$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ and from the form of g on U_f and the above two

cases we have $g(y) = cf(y) + d$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(x)f'(z) &= [g(x) - g(y)]f'(z) + g(y)f'(z) = [f(x) - f(y)]g'(z) \\ &\quad + [cf(y) + d]f'(z) = [f(x) - f(y)]cf'(z) + [cf(y) + d]f'(z) \\ &= [cf(x) + d]f'(z), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $g(x) = cf(x) + d$.

Assume that f is non-constant and $\inf I = -\infty$. Let $F, G: -I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by the formulas $F(x) = f(-x)$, $G(x) = g(-x)$ for $x \in -I$. Then we have $F'(x) = -f'(-x)$, $G'(x) = -g'(-x)$ for $x \in -I$ and F, G satisfy Eq. (1). Since $\sup -I = +\infty$, there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $G(x) = cF(x) + d$ for $x \in -I$, which means that $g(x) = cf(x) + d$ for $x \in I$. □

3. Main result for the asymmetric case

First, we consider the case when $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$. We start with the following.

Lemma 3. *Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $f, g, h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions, f be strictly monotone and the following functional equation hold*

$$g(x) - g(y) = h(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)[f(x) - f(y)], \quad x, y \in J. \tag{4}$$

Then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = cf(x) + d$, $h(x) = c$ for all $x \in J$.

Proof. Let $u \in J$. We define two numbers

$$\begin{aligned} a_u &:= \max \left\{ \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \inf J + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u, \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} u - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} \sup J \right\}, \\ b_u &:= \min \left\{ \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \sup J + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u, \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} u - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} \inf J \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \inf J + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u &< \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u = u, \\ \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} u - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} \sup J &< \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} u - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} u = u, \\ \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \sup J + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u &> \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} u = u, \\ \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} u - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} \inf J &> \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} u - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} u = u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a_u < u < b_u$. We define an open interval $I_u := (a_u, b_u) \cap J$. Therefore $u \in I_u$.

Let $v \in I_u$. Then for $x = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}v - \frac{\alpha}{1-2\alpha}u, y = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}u - \frac{\alpha}{1-2\alpha}v$ we have

$$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y = \frac{(\alpha - \alpha^2)v - \alpha^2u + (1 - 2\alpha + \alpha^2)u - (\alpha - \alpha^2)v}{1 - 2\alpha} = u,$$

$$\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)x = \frac{(\alpha - \alpha^2)u - \alpha^2v + (1 - 2\alpha + \alpha^2)v - (\alpha - \alpha^2)u}{1 - 2\alpha} = v.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}v - \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}u > \frac{(1 - \alpha)a_u - \alpha u}{1 - 2\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)\inf J + \alpha u - \alpha u}{1 - 2\alpha} = \inf J, \\ x &= \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}v - \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}u < \frac{(1 - \alpha)b_u - \alpha u}{1 - 2\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)\sup J + \alpha u - \alpha u}{1 - 2\alpha} = \sup J, \\ y &= \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}u - \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}v < \frac{(1 - \alpha)u - \alpha a_u}{1 - 2\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \alpha)u - (1 - \alpha)u + (1 - 2\alpha)\sup J}{1 - 2\alpha} = \sup J, \\ y &= \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}u - \frac{\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha}v > \frac{(1 - \alpha)u - \alpha b_u}{1 - 2\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \alpha)u - (1 - \alpha)u + (1 - 2\alpha)\inf J}{1 - 2\alpha} = \inf J, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $x, y \in J$.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} h(u) &= h(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{f(x) - f(y)} \\ &= \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{f(y) - f(x)} = h(\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)x) = h(v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that h is constant on I_u .

Now we show that h is constant. Fix $u, v \in J, u < v$. Let $c_0 = u, c_n = \sup I_{c_{n-1}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $c_{n-1} \in I_{c_{n-1}}, (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly increasing. We also have $I_{c_{n-1}} \cap I_{c_n} \neq \emptyset$, so h is constant on $(\inf I_u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n)$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = +\infty$, then we get $h(u) = h(v)$. Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n < +\infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} - c_n &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \sup J + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}c_n, \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}c_n - \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha} \inf J \right\} - c_n \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha}(\sup J - c_n), \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\alpha}(c_n - \inf J) \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_n - \inf J > c_1 - \inf J > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c_{n+1} - c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{1 - \alpha} (\sup J - c_n),$$

which means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \sup J$ and we get $h(u) = h(v)$.

Let $c := h(u)$ for $u \in J$. Fix $y \in J$ and let $d := g(y) - cf(y)$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= g(x) - g(y) + g(y) = [f(x) - f(y)]h(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) + g(y) \\ &= cf(x) - cf(y) + g(y) = cf(x) + d, \quad x \in J, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. □

Corollary 4. *Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $f, g: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions such that (1) holds and $f'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$. Then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = cf(x) + d$ for all $x \in J$.*

In view of the above corollary we obtain

Corollary 5. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and satisfy Eq. (1). Then $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent on each $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$.*

Finally we have the main result for the asymmetric case.

Theorem 6. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and satisfy Eq. (1). Assume that $\inf I = -\infty$ or $\sup I = +\infty$. Then either f is constant and g is an arbitrary function or there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = cf(x) + d$ for $x \in I$.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 5 and Lemma 2 we obtain the thesis of this theorem. □

Remark 7. It is easy to see that for differentiable functions $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent, then (1) holds.

4. Main result for the symmetric case

Now we consider the case when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Lundberg in his papers [4, Table 1], [5, Theorem 1.2] considers the following functional equation

$$\varphi(x + y) = \frac{F(x)G(y) + H(x)L(y)}{m(x) + n(y)}$$

on rectangles in \mathbb{R}^2 for continuous functions $F, G, H, L, m, n, \varphi$, which is a generalization of Eq. (1) on each $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$. He presents solutions of this equation but they have indirect forms, so we use only the fact that for differentiable functions $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy Eq. (1) we have two cases on every open interval $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$: either $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent on J or f and g are

infinitely differentiable. Particularly, on every $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$, if $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly independent, then they are three times differentiable and we can use the following two facts from [2].

Remark 8 (see [2, Remark 10]). Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions which satisfy (1). On every interval $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$ one of the following cases holds:

- (a) $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent;
- (b) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, \text{id}, \text{id}^2\}$;
- (c) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, e^{\mu \text{id}}, e^{-\mu \text{id}}\}$ for some $\mu > 0$;
- (d) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, \sin(\mu \text{id}), \cos(\mu \text{id})\}$ for some $\mu > 0$;

where id is the identity on J .

Lemma 9 (see [2, Lemma 11]). *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions which satisfy (1), $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$ be such that $g'(a) = 0$, where $a = \inf J > \inf I$ or $a = \sup J < \sup I$. Then $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent on J .*

This lemma is proved in the case $a = \inf J > \inf I$, but the proof in the case $a = \sup J < \sup I$ is analogous.

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

Theorem 10. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and satisfy Eq. (1). Then one of the following possibilities holds:*

- (a) $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent on each $J \in \mathcal{A}_f$;
- (b) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, \text{id}, \text{id}^2\}$;
- (c) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, e^{\mu \text{id}}, e^{-\mu \text{id}}\}$ for some $\mu > 0$;
- (d) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, \sin(\mu \text{id}), \cos(\mu \text{id})\}$ for some $\mu > 0$.

Proof. We can split \mathcal{A}_f into disjoint subsets $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathcal{L}_f \cup \mathcal{Q}_f \cup \mathcal{E}_f \cup \mathcal{T}_f$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_f &= \{J \in \mathcal{A}_f : \{1, f|_J, g|_J\} \text{ are linearly dependent}\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_f &= \{J \in \mathcal{A}_f \setminus \mathcal{L}_f : f|_J, g|_J \in \text{Lin}\{1, \text{id}, \text{id}^2\}\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_f &= \{J \in \mathcal{A}_f \setminus \mathcal{L}_f : f|_J, g|_J \in \text{Lin}\{1, e^{\mu \text{id}}, e^{-\mu \text{id}}\} \text{ for some } \mu > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_f &= \{J \in \mathcal{A}_f \setminus \mathcal{L}_f : f|_J, g|_J \in \text{Lin}\{1, \sin(\mu \text{id}), \cos(\mu \text{id})\} \text{ for some } \mu > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the same split for \mathcal{A}_g .

If $U_f = U_g = I$, then in view of Remark 8 we have the thesis of this theorem, so we can assume that $U_f \neq I$.

Now we consider four cases:

- Assume that $\mathcal{Q}_f \neq \emptyset$. Let $I \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. We have $f'(p) = 0$, where $p = \inf J > \inf I$ or $p = \sup J < \sup I$. Since there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3$, $g(x) = b_1x^2 + b_2x + b_3$ for $x \in J$ and f' on $\text{cl } J$ has only one root, we have that $0 = f'(p) = 2a_1p + a_2$ and $J = (p, \sup I)$ or $(\inf I, p)$. In view of Lemma 9 we have $g'(p) \neq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [g(p+h) - g(p-h)]f'(p) = [f(p+h) - f(p-h)]g'(p), \\ &h \in \mathbb{R}, p+h, p-h \in I, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$f(p + h) = f(p - h), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, p + h, p - h \in I. \tag{5}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(p - h) = f(p + h) &= a_1(p + h)^2 + a_2(p + h) + a_3 \\ &= a_1(p - h)^2 + a_2(p - h) + a_3 + 2h(2a_1p + a_2) \\ &= a_1(p - h)^2 + a_2(p - h) + a_3, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, p + h \in J, p - h \in I, \end{aligned}$$

so the set $(2p - J) \cap I$ is a subset of some $J_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_f$ ($\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly independent on J so also on $(2p - J) \cap I$). Since f' on $\text{cl } J_2$ has only one root p , $J_2 = I \setminus J$. Hence $f(x) = a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3$ for $x \in I$ and we can also prove in a similar way that $g(x) = b_1x^2 + b_2x + b_3$ for $x \in I$.

- Assume that $\mathcal{E}_f \neq \emptyset$. Let $I \in \mathcal{E}_f$. We have $f'(p) = 0$, where $p = \inf J > \inf I$ or $p = \sup J < \sup I$. Since there exist $\mu > 0$, $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = a_1e^{\mu x} + a_2e^{-\mu x} + a_3$, $g(x) = b_1e^{\mu x} + b_2e^{-\mu x} + b_3$ for $x \in J$ and f' on $\text{cl } J$ has only one root, we have that $0 = f'(p) = \mu(a_1e^{\mu p} - a_2e^{-\mu p})$ and $J = (p, \sup I)$ or $(\inf I, p)$. Similarly as in the first case we obtain (5). We also have

$$\begin{aligned} f(p - h) = f(p + h) &= a_1e^{\mu(p+h)} + a_2e^{-\mu(p+h)} + a_3 \\ &= a_1e^{\mu(p-h)} + a_2e^{-\mu(p-h)} + a_3 + (e^{\mu h} - e^{-\mu h})(a_1e^{\mu p} - a_2e^{-\mu p}) \\ &= a_1e^{\mu(p-h)} + a_2e^{-\mu(p-h)} + a_3, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, p + h \in J, p - h \in I, \end{aligned}$$

so the set $(2p - J) \cap I$ is a subset of some $J_2 \in \mathcal{E}_f$ ($\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly independent on J so also on $(2p - J) \cap I$). Since f' on $\text{cl } J_2$ has only one root p , $J_2 = I \setminus J$. Hence $f(x) = a_1e^{\mu x} + a_2e^{-\mu x} + a_3$ for $x \in I$ and we can also prove in a similar way that $g(x) = b_1e^{\mu x} + b_2e^{-\mu x} + b_3$ for $x \in I$.

- Assume that $\mathcal{T}_f \neq \emptyset$. Let $I \in \mathcal{T}_f$. We have $f'(p) = 0$, where $p = \inf J > \inf I$ or $p = \sup J < \sup I$. Since there exist $\mu > 0$, $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = a_1 \cos(\mu x) + a_2 \sin(\mu x) + a_3$, $g(x) = b_1 \cos(\mu x) + b_2 \sin(\mu x) + b_3$ for $x \in J$, we have that $0 = f'(p) = \mu(a_2 \cos(\mu p) - a_1 \sin(\mu p))$. Similarly as in the first case we obtain (5). We also have

$$\begin{aligned} f(p - h) = f(p + h) &= a_1 \cos(\mu(p + h)) + a_2 \sin(\mu(p + h)) + a_3 \\ &= a_1 \cos(\mu(p - h)) + a_2 \sin(\mu(p - h)) + a_3 \\ &\quad + a_1 [\cos(\mu(p + h)) - \cos(\mu(p - h))] + a_2 [\sin(\mu(p + h)) - \sin(\mu(p - h))] \\ &= a_1 \cos(\mu(p - h)) + a_2 \sin(\mu(p - h)) + a_3 \\ &\quad + 2a_2 \sin(\mu p) \sin(-\mu h) + 2a_1 \sin(\mu h) \cos(\mu p) \\ &= a_1 \cos(\mu(p - h)) + a_2 \sin(\mu(p - h)) + a_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2 \sin(\mu h)[a_2 \cos(\mu p) - a_1 \sin(\mu p)] \\
 &= a_1 \cos(\mu(p - h)) + a_2 \sin(\mu(p - h)) + a_3, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, p + h \in J, p - h \in I,
 \end{aligned}$$

so the set $(2p - J) \cap I$ is a subset of some $J_2 \in \mathcal{T}_f$ ($\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly independent on J so also on $(2p - J) \cap I$). Using this method we obtain that $f(x) = a_1 \cos(\mu x) + a_2 \sin(\mu x) + a_3$ for $x \in I$ and we can also prove in a similar way that $g(x) = b_1 e^{\mu x} + b_2 e^{-\mu x} + b_3$ for $x \in I$.

- Assume that $\mathcal{L}_f \neq \emptyset$. From the three previous cases we get that $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathcal{L}_f$. □

Theorem 11. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and satisfy Eq. (1) and $\sup I = +\infty$ or $\inf I = -\infty$. Then one of the following possibilities holds:*

- (a) $\{1, f, g\}$ are linearly dependent;
- (b) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, \text{id}, \text{id}^2\}$;
- (c) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, e^{\mu \text{id}}, e^{-\mu \text{id}}\}$ for some $\mu > 0$;
- (d) $f, g \in \text{Lin}\{1, \sin(\mu \text{id}), \cos(\mu \text{id})\}$ for some $\mu > 0$.

Proof. We have only to show that in the first case we have the linear dependence on the whole I , which follows from Lemma 2. □

Remark 12. It is not difficult to check that (1) holds for differentiable functions $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which have one of the forms a – d on (arbitrary) I .

5. Final remarks

If we have an arbitrary open interval we cannot obtain the linear dependency of $\{1, f, g\}$ on the whole I as in Theorems 6 and 11. We have some arbitrariness near the ends of the interval (Example 13) or different coefficients of linear dependence between f and g on the intervals from \mathcal{A}_f (Example 14).

Example 13. Let $h: (-1, \alpha - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow (\alpha-1)^-} h(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow (\alpha-1)^-} h'(x) = 0$, functions $f, g: (-1, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (-1, 0] \\ x^2, & x \in (0, \alpha) \end{cases}, \\
 g(x) &= \begin{cases} h(x), & x \in (-1, \alpha - 1) \\ 1, & x \in [\alpha - 1, 0] \\ x^2 + 1, & x \in (0, \alpha) \end{cases}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then f and g satisfy Eq. (1). Indeed, we have

- if $x, y \geq \alpha - 1$, then $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \geq \alpha - 1$ and since $g(z) = f(z) + 1$ for $z \geq \alpha - 1$, we get $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)$ and $f(x) - f(y) = g(x) - g(y)$.

- if $x, y \leq 0$ then $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \leq 0$, so we get $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$ and $f(x) - f(y) = 0$.
- if $x < \alpha - 1$ and $y > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y &> \alpha x > -\alpha \geq \alpha - 1, \\ \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y &< \alpha(\alpha - 1) + (1 - \alpha)\alpha = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in (\alpha - 1, 0)$, which give us $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$ and $g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$.

- if $y < \alpha - 1$ and $x > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y &> (1 - \alpha)y > 1 - \alpha, \\ \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y &< \alpha\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(\alpha - 1) = 2\alpha - 1 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in (\alpha - 1, 0)$, which give us $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$ and $g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$.

Example 14. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, functions $f, g: (-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \begin{cases} -x^2, & x \in (-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, 0) \\ 0, & x \in [0, 1] \\ (x - 1)^2, & x \in (1, \frac{1}{1-\alpha}) \end{cases}, \\ g(x) &= \begin{cases} -cx^2, & x \in (-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, 0) \\ 0, & x \in [0, 1] \\ (x - 1)^2, & x \in (1, \frac{1}{1-\alpha}) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Then f and g satisfy Eq. (1). Indeed, we have

- if $x, y \in (-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, 0)$ or $x, y \in [0, 1]$ or $x, y \in (1, \frac{1}{1-\alpha})$, then it is obvious.
- if $x < 0$ and $y > 1$, then

$$0 \leq 1 - 2\alpha \leq -\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \leq \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \leq (1 - \alpha)y \leq 1,$$

so $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$.

- if $y < 0$ and $x > 1$, then

$$0 = \alpha - (1 - \alpha)\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \leq \alpha x \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \leq 1,$$

so $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$.

- if $x < 0 \leq y \leq 1$ then either $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in [0, 1]$ (and we get $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$) or $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y < 0$ (and we obtain $f(x)g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g(x)f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)$).

Analogously we have the case when $y < 0 \leq x \leq 1$.

- if $0 \leq x \leq 1 < y$ then either $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in [0, 1]$ (and we get $f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = 0$) or $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y > 1$ (and we obtain $f(x)g'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) = g(x)f'(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)$).

Analogously we have the case when $0 \leq y \leq 1 < x$.

Sahoo and Riedel [6, 9, Sect. 2.7] posed the following

Problem 15. Find all functions $f, g, \varphi, \psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$[f(x) - f(y)]\varphi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = [g(x) - g(y)]\psi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

This problem was solved by Balogh et al. [2, Theorem 12] for three times differentiable functions f, g .

Using Theorem 11 we can extend their solutions for differentiable functions (the proof is similar).

Theorem 16. Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and $\varphi, \psi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary functions satisfying Eq. (6), $\sup I = +\infty$ or $\inf I = -\infty$. If $\forall x \in I$ $[\varphi(x) \neq 0 \vee \psi(x) \neq 0]$, then one of the following possibilities holds:

- (a) there exist constants $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s \in I$ we have $A_0 + A_1 f(s) + A_2 g(s) = 0$ and $[A_1 \psi(s) + A_2 \varphi(s)]g'(s) = 0$;
- (b) there exist constants $A_0, A_1, A_2, B_0, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s \in I$ we have $f(s) = A_0 + A_1 s + A_2 s^2$, $g(s) = B_0 + B_1 s + B_2 s^2$ and

$$[A_1 + 2A_2 s]\varphi(s) = [B_1 + 2B_2 s]\psi(s);$$

- (c) there exist $\mu > 0$ and constants $A_0, A_1, A_2, B_0, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s \in I$ we have $f(s) = A_0 + A_1 e^{\mu s} + A_2 e^{-\mu s}$, $g(s) = B_0 + B_1 e^{\mu s} + B_2 e^{-\mu s}$ and

$$[A_1 e^{\mu s} - A_2 e^{-\mu s}]\varphi(s) = [B_1 e^{\mu s} - B_2 e^{-\mu s}]\psi(s);$$

- (d) there exist $\mu > 0$ and constants $A_0, A_1, A_2, B_0, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s \in I$ we have $f(s) = A_0 + A_1 \sin(\mu s) + A_2 \cos(\mu s)$, $g(s) = B_0 + B_1 \sin(\mu s) + B_2 \cos(\mu s)$ and

$$[A_1 \cos(\mu s) - A_2 \sin(\mu s)]\varphi(s) = [B_1 \cos(\mu s) - B_2 \sin(\mu s)]\psi(s).$$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- [1] Aczél, J.: A mean value property of the derivative of quadratic polynomials-without mean values and derivatives. *Math. Mag.* **58**(1), 42–45 (1985)
- [2] Balogh, Z.M., Ibragimov, O.O., Mityagin, B.S.: Functional equations and the Cauchy mean value theorem. *Aequ. Math.* **90**, 683–697 (2016)
- [3] Haruki, S.: A property of quadratic polynomials. *Am. Math. Monthly* **86**(7), 577–579 (1979)
- [4] Lundberg, A.: A rational Sÿto equation. *Aequ. Math.* **57**, 254–277 (1999)

- [5] Lundberg, A.: Sequential derivatives and their application to a Sîto equation. *Aequ. Math.* **61**, 48–59 (2001)
- [6] Sahoo, P.K., Riedel, T.: *Mean Value Theorems and Functional Equations*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge (1998)

Radosław Łukasik
Institute of Mathematics
University of Silesia
ul. Bankowa 14
40-007 Katowice
Poland
e-mail: rlukasik@math.us.edu.pl

Received: August 28, 2017

Revised: May 24, 2018