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#### Abstract

We discuss some issues concerning solutions of the functional equation


$$
\begin{aligned}
(M(x, y)-x y) P(x, y)= & (1-y)\left(M(x, 0)+\widehat{r}_{1} \xi_{2} x y\right) P(x, 0) \\
& +(1-x)\left(M(0, y)+\widehat{r}_{2} \xi_{1} x y\right) P(0, y) \\
& -(1-x)(1-y) M(0,0) P(0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the class of analytic functions $P$ mapping $\bar{D}^{2}(\bar{D}$ stands for the closure of the unit disc $D$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ ) into $\mathbb{C}$. Here $r_{j}, s_{j} \in(0,1)$ for $j=1,2$ are fixed, $\xi_{j}=r_{j} s_{j}, \widehat{q}=1-q$ for every $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
M(x, y)=\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y+\xi_{1} x y\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y\right) .
$$

The equation arises in a two-dimensional queueing model for a LAN gateway.
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## 1. Introduction

During the past five decades a certain class of functional equations (FEs) arose in connection with numerous issues in queuing theory and communication networks (see, e.g., [1]). Unfortunately, there is no universal technique for finding solutions to these FEs, except for some special cases.

The general form of all equations in that class is

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}(x, y) P(x, y)= & C_{2}(x, y) P(x, 0)+C_{3}(x, y) P(0, y) \\
& +C_{4}(x, y) P(0,0)+C_{5}(x, y) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots, 5$, are given functions in two complex variables $x, y$. The unknown function $P$ is defined for $x, y \in \bar{D}$, where

$$
D:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}, \quad \bar{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}
$$

It is the probability generating function (PGF) of a sequence of nonnegative real numbers $p_{m, n}(m, n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ with the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} p_{m, n}=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

this means that it is always of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, y)=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} p_{m, n} x^{m} y^{n}, \quad x, y \in \bar{D} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value $P(0,0)=p_{0,0}$ is, in general, the probability that the underlying system is empty.

Clearly, $P$ is analytic with respect to either variable separately, that is, for every fixed $x \in \bar{D}$ the functions $P(\cdot, x)$ and $P(x, \cdot)$ are analytic in $D$ and continuous in $\bar{D}$.

It worth stating that Malyshev [2] pioneered the approach of transforming such functional equations to boundary value problems in the early 1970s. The idea to reduce a functional equation for a generating function to a standard Riemann-Hilbert boundary value problem stems from the work of Fayolle and Iasnogorodski [3] (on two parallel $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / 1$ queues with coupled processors). Extensive treatments of the boundary value technique for some functional equations can be found in Cohen and Boxma [4] and Fayolle et al. [5].

The boundary value problem technique of solving equations of form (1.1) seems to be the only known one which is somehow universal, that is, has already been applied for numerous particular forms of (1.1). Unfortunately, it is connected with many difficulties (see, e.g., [1]). One of them is that very often it is hard to verify that a description that we obtain in this way really depicts a solution to a particular equation. Therefore it seems to be desirable to investigate equations of form (1.1) further to work out some other general and systematic methods of solving them.

There are many special cases of (1.1) arising in various models of applications (see [6-12]). In this paper we investigate a particular example of Eq. (1.1) of the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
(M(x, y)-x y) P(x, y)= & (1-y)\left(M(x, 0)+\widehat{r}_{1} \xi_{2} x y\right) P(x, 0) \\
& +(1-x)\left(M(0, y)+\widehat{r}_{2} \xi_{1} x y\right) P(0, y) \\
& -(1-x)(1-y) M(0,0) P(0,0) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{j}, s_{j} \in(0,1)$ for $j=1,2$ are fixed, $\xi_{j}=r_{j} s_{j}, \widehat{q}=1-q$ for every $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x, y)=\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y+\xi_{1} x y\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation appears in [13] (see also [14]) in investigations of a twodimensional queueing model for a LAN gateway. To the best of our knowledge, no result on its solutions has been published so far. We present a description of its solutions in a particular situation, under suitable restrictions on parameters $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$.

Note that we can write (1.4) in form (1.1) with

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}(x, y)=\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y+\xi_{1} x y\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y\right)-x y, \\
& C_{2}(x, y)=(1-y) \widehat{r}_{1}\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y\right), \\
& C_{3}(x, y)=(1-x) \widehat{r}_{2}\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y+\xi_{1} x y\right),  \tag{1.6}\\
& C_{4}(x, y)=-(1-x)(1-y) \widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}, \\
& C_{5}(x, y)=0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows we always assume that functions $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}$ have the forms described by (1.6).

## 2. A general auxiliary result

We present now some general observations on solutions of (1.4). The form of the equation makes it possible to consider it for $x, y$ belonging to, e.g., a subset $T$ of $\mathbb{C}$ with $0 \in T$.

So, let $T \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \in T$. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K} & :=\left\{(x, y) \in T^{2}: C_{1}(x, y)=0\right\} \\
\mathcal{K}_{0} & :=\{x \in T:(x, 0) \in \mathcal{K}\}, \quad \mathcal{K}^{0}:=\{x \in T:(0, x) \in \mathcal{K}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The next very simple theorem provides a useful description of all solutions $P: T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (in particular, also analytic solutions, for $T=\bar{D}$ ) of (1.4).

Theorem 2.1. If a function $P: T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $E q$. (1.4) for every $x, y \in T$, then there exist functions $f, g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0)=g(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(x, y) f(x)+C_{3}(x, y) g(y)+C_{4}(x, y) g(0)=0, \quad(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(x, y)=\frac{C_{2}(x, y) f(x)+C_{3}(x, y) g(y)+C_{4}(x, y) g(0)}{C_{1}(x, y)} \\
(x, y) \in T^{2} \backslash \mathcal{K} ; \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, 0)=f(x), \quad P(0, y)=g(y), \quad x \in T \backslash \mathcal{K}_{0}, y \in T \backslash \mathcal{K}^{0} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $T=\bar{D}$, then every function $P: T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ fulfilling (2.2), with some continuous functions $f, g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0)=g(0)$ and (2.1) holds, satisfies $E q$. (1.4) for every $x, y \in T$.
Proof. First assume that $P: T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies Eq. (1.4) for every $x, y \in T$. Write

$$
f(x)=P(x, 0), \quad g(x)=P(0, x), \quad x \in T
$$

Take $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}$. Then, in view of the definition of $\mathcal{K}, C_{1}(x, y)=0$ and consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =C_{1}(x, y) P(x, y)=C_{2}(x, y) P(x, 0)+C_{3}(x, y) P(0, y)+C_{4}(x, y) P(0,0) \\
& =C_{2}(x, y) f(x)+C_{3}(x, y) g(y)+C_{4}(x, y) g(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have proved (2.1).
Now, take $(x, y) \in T^{2} \backslash \mathcal{K}$. Then $C_{1}(x, y) \neq 0$ and, by the equation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \neq C_{1}(x, y) P(x, y) \\
& =C_{2}(x, y) P(x, 0)+C_{3}(x, y) P(0, y)+C_{4}(x, y) P(0,0) \\
& =C_{2}(x, y) f(x)+C_{3}(x, y) g(y)+C_{4}(x, y) g(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, dividing both sides by $C_{1}(x, y)$ we obtain

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{C_{2}(x, y) f(x)+C_{3}(x, y) g(y)+C_{4}(x, y) g(0)}{C_{1}(x, y)}
$$

This proves (2.2).
Further, it is easy to check that, by (1.6) and (2.2), for each $x \in T \backslash \mathcal{K}_{0}$ (i.e., $\left.C_{1}(x, 0) \neq 0\right)$,

$$
P(x, 0)=\frac{C_{2}(x, 0) f(x)+C_{3}(x, 0) g(0)+C_{4}(x, 0) g(0)}{C_{1}(x, 0)}=f(x)
$$

and, for each $y \in T \backslash \mathcal{K}^{0}$,

$$
P(0, y)=\frac{C_{2}(0, y) f(0)+C_{3}(0, y) g(y)+C_{4}(0, y) g(0)}{C_{1}(0, y)}=g(y)
$$

Consequently, we get (2.3).
Assume now that $P$ has form (2.2), $f$ and $g$ are continuous and (2.1) holds. We show that $P$ is a solution to (1.4).

So, take $x, y \in T$. If $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}$, then $C_{1}(x, y)=0$ and (2.1) implies (1.4). If $(x, y) \notin \mathcal{K}$, then (2.3) implies that $f(x)=P(x, 0)$ and $g(y)=P(0, y)$. Hence (1.4) results from condition (2.2), because each of the sets $\mathcal{K}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{0}$ has at most one element.

Theorem 2.1 shows that the main issue in solving Eq. (1.1) in the class of analytic (or continuous) functions $P: \bar{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is to find all pairs of suitable (analytic or continuous) functions $f, g: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying condition (2.1) (such
functions are uniquely determined for each $P$ in view of (2.3)). So, in the remaining parts of the paper we focus on condition (2.1).

## 3. Necessary conditions

In this part we present several simple observations which are useful in further investigations of solutions to (2.1).

Notice that

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \bar{D}^{2}: M(x, y)=x y\right\}
$$

The condition $M(x, y)=x y$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y+\xi_{1} x y\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y\right)=x y \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that, for each fixed $x$, it is a quadratic equation (with respect to $y)$ of the form

$$
a(x) y^{2}+b(x) y+c(x)=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(x) \equiv \xi_{1} \xi_{2} x^{2}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \xi_{2} x \\
& b(x) \equiv r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} \xi_{1} x^{2}+\left(\widehat{r}_{1} \xi_{2}+r_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{1} \widehat{s}_{2}+\widehat{r}_{2} \xi_{1}-1\right) x+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& c(x) \equiv \widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}, \quad \Delta(x) \equiv b(x)^{2}-4 a(x) c(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $a(x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0,-\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1}$. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist functions $y_{1}, y_{2}: \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{0,-\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
a(x) y^{2}+b(x) y+c(x)=a(x)\left(y-y_{1}(x)\right)\left(y-y_{2}(x)\right)
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y_{j}(x)+\xi_{1} x y_{j}(x)\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{j}(x)\right)=x y_{j}(x) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}:=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{0,-\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1}\right\}$ and $j=1,2$. Clearly, if $s_{1}<1 / 2$, then $-\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1} \notin \bar{D}$ and consequently $\widehat{D}:=\bar{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{C}}=\bar{D} \backslash\{0\}$.

Note that the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E:=\left\{x \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}: y_{1}(x)=y_{2}(x)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonempty and has at most four elements, because $E \subset\{x \in \mathbb{C}: \Delta(x)=0\}$. Next, it is easy to check that if $x=1$ in (3.1), then

$$
y \in\left\{1, \frac{\widehat{\xi}_{2} \widehat{r}_{1}}{\xi_{2} r_{1}}\right\}
$$

if $y=1$ in (3.1), then

$$
x \in\left\{1, \frac{\widehat{\xi}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}}{\xi_{1} r_{2}}\right\}
$$

This means that we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{-1}(1)=\{1\}, \quad y_{2}(1)=\frac{\widehat{\xi}_{2} \widehat{r}_{1}}{\xi_{2} r_{1}}, \quad y_{2}^{-1}(1)=\left\{\frac{\widehat{\xi}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}}{\xi_{1} r_{2}}\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously we obtain that if $\left(-\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1}, \widetilde{y}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{K}$, with some $\widetilde{y}_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{y}_{0}=\frac{\widehat{r}_{1}\left(\widehat{r}_{2} s_{1}-r_{2} \widehat{s}_{1} \widehat{s}_{2}\right)}{\widehat{s}_{1}\left(\widehat{r}_{1} \xi_{2}-1\right)} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also note that if $(\widetilde{x}, 0),(0, \widetilde{y}) \in \mathcal{K}$, then (3.3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{x}=-\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}}{r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}}, \quad \widetilde{y}=-\frac{\widehat{r}_{1}}{r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{j} & :=\left\{\left(x, y_{j}(x)\right): x \in \widehat{D}\right\} \cap \bar{D}^{2}=\left\{\left(x, y_{j}(x)\right): x \in \widehat{D},\left|y_{j}(x)\right| \leq 1\right\}, \\
\bar{D}_{j} & :=\left\{x \in \widehat{D}:\left(x, y_{j}(x)\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{j}\right\}=\left\{x \in \widehat{D}:\left|y_{j}(x)\right| \leq 1\right\}, \quad j=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{j} & =\left\{\left(x, y_{j}(x)\right): x \in \bar{D}_{j}\right\}, \quad j=1,2 \\
\mathcal{K} & \subset \mathcal{K}_{1} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2} \cup\left\{\left(-\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1}, \widetilde{y}_{0}\right),(0, \widetilde{y})\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, if for instance $\widehat{s}_{1} / s_{1}, \widetilde{y} \notin \bar{D}$, then $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{1} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}$.
Moreover, condition (2.1) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{r}_{1}\left(\widehat{r}_{2}\right. & \left.+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{j}(x)\right)\left(1-y_{j}(x)\right) f(x) \\
= & -\widehat{r}_{2}\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y_{j}(x)+\xi_{1} x y_{j}(x)\right)(1-x) g\left(y_{j}(x)\right) \\
& \quad+\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}(1-x)\left(1-y_{j}(x)\right) g(0), \quad x \in \bar{D}_{j}, \quad j=1,2 . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, for each $j \in\{1,2\}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & \frac{\widehat{r}_{2}(1-x) g(0)}{\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{j}(x)} \\
& -\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y_{j}(x)+\xi_{1} x y_{j}(x)\right)(1-x) g\left(y_{j}(x)\right)}{\widehat{r}_{1}\left(1-y_{j}(x)\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{j}(x)\right)} \\
& x \in \bar{D}_{j}, \widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{j}(x) \neq 0, y_{j}(x) \neq 1 . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. A particular case

Consider now the particular case when

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}<1 / 2 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}<\frac{1-r_{1}}{2-s_{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (4.2) holds, in particular, in the situation when $r_{1}+2 r_{2}<1$, because $s_{2} \in(0,1)$.

Clearly, Eq. (4.2) implies that $1+r_{2} s_{2}>2 r_{2}+r_{1}$. Moreover, $2 r_{1} r_{2}>2 r_{1} \xi_{2}$, whence

$$
1+r_{2} s_{2}+2 r_{1} r_{2}>2 r_{2}+r_{1}+2 r_{1} \xi_{2}
$$

Easy calculations show that this implies

$$
\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}>r_{1} \xi_{2}+\widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}
$$

and next

$$
\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}>r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \xi_{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}+\widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}
$$

Consequently

$$
\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}-\widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}>r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \xi_{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}
$$

which yields

$$
\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}-\widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}|x|>|x|\left(r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \xi_{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{2}|x|\right), \quad|x| \leq 1
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}+\widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x\right|>\left|r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \xi_{2} x+\xi_{1} \xi_{2} x^{2}\right|, \quad|x| \leq 1
$$

This implies that

$$
\left|\frac{\widehat{r}_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}+\widehat{r}_{1} r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x}{r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} \xi_{2} x+\xi_{1} \xi_{2} x^{2}}\right|>1, \quad|x| \leq 1, x \neq 0
$$

because on account of (4.1) we have

$$
-\frac{\widehat{s}_{1}}{s_{1}} \notin \bar{D}
$$

Thus, by Vieta's formulas, we get

$$
\left|y_{1}(x) y_{2}(x)\right|=\left|\frac{c(x)}{a(x)}\right|>1, \quad x \in \widehat{D}=\bar{D} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Now, we can choose the values of the functions $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ appropriately; namely, we take $\left|y_{1}(x)\right| \leq\left|y_{2}(x)\right|$ for $x \in \widehat{D}$. Hence $\left|y_{2}(x)\right|>1$ for $x \in \widehat{D}$ and therefore $\bar{D}_{2}=\emptyset$. Clearly, then (3.5) and (3.9) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & \frac{\widehat{r}_{2}(1-x) g(0)}{\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{1}(x)} \\
& -\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y_{1}(x)+\xi_{1} x y_{1}(x)\right)(1-x) g\left(y_{1}(x)\right)}{\widehat{r}_{1}\left(1-y_{1}(x)\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{1}(x)\right)} \\
& x \in \bar{D}_{1}, \widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{1}(x) \neq 0, x \neq 1 . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, let us notice that, in view of (3.3), if

$$
\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x_{0}+\xi_{2} x_{0} y_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

for some $x_{0} \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, then $y_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and consequently, again by (3.3),

$$
x_{0}=-\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}}{r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}} .
$$

So, if $\widehat{r}_{2}>r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}$, then $x_{0} \notin \bar{D}$ and therefore (4.3) holds for all $x \in \bar{D}_{1}, x \neq 1$. Since $y_{1}(1)=1$ (see (3.5)), it is easily seen that (3.8) is valid for $j=1$ and $x=1$ with any value of $f(1)$. Next, in view of (3.2) and (3.7), we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(0)=\widetilde{y}=-\frac{\widehat{r}_{1}}{r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can take

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & \frac{\widehat{r}_{2}(1-x) g(0)}{\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{1}(x)} \\
& -\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}\left(\widehat{r}_{1}+r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1} y_{1}(x)+\xi_{1} x y_{1}(x)\right)(1-x) g\left(y_{1}(x)\right)}{\widehat{r}_{1}\left(1-y_{1}(x)\right)\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x+\xi_{2} x y_{1}(x)\right)} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x \in \bar{D}_{1}, x \neq 1$; then in particular $f(0)=g(0)$.
Thus we have shown that, in this case, condition (2.1) holds if and only if functions $f, g: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy (4.5).

If $x_{0} \in \bar{D}$ (i.e., $\widehat{r}_{2} \leq r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}$ ), then (3.7) implies that $y_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{r}_{1}\left(\widehat{r}_{2}+r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} x_{0}+\xi_{2} x_{0} y_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) f\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (3.8) for $x=x_{0}$ and $j=1$ and means that also when $x_{0} \in \bar{D}$ we do not get any additional restriction on $f$ at $x_{0}$ [that is $f\left(x_{0}\right)$ can be quite arbitrary, if there is no other conditions on $f$ concerning for instance its regularity]. So, if (4.5) holds for $x \in \bar{D}_{1}, x \neq 1, x_{0}$, then so does (2.1) also when $x_{0} \in \bar{D}$.

This means that we can use Theorem 2.1 to obtain a description of all continuous or analytic solutions $P: \bar{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of (1.4) (in the case where (4.1) and (4.2) hold). In this way we get the following main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that (4.1) and (4.2) are valid. A continuous function $P: \bar{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $E q$. (1.4) for every $x, y \in \bar{D}$ if and only if there exists $a$ continuous function $g: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(x, y)=\frac{C_{2}(x, y) f(x)+C_{3}(x, y) g(y)+C_{4}(x, y) g(0)}{C_{1}(x, y)}, \\
(x, y) \in \bar{D}^{2}, y \neq y_{1}(x) \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f$ is given by (4.5). In particular, $g(x)=P(0, x)$ and $f(x)=P(x, 0)$ for $x \in \bar{D}$.

So, to obtain an analytic solution $P: \bar{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of (1.4) we must find a suitable analytic function $g: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the function $f: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, described by (4.5), is also analytic in $\bar{D}$. This task seems to be nontrivial, so we are not
going to study it in this paper, in which we only want to show some general results.

## 5. Final remarks

Observe that Eq. (1.4) remains the same if we exchange $x, s_{1}, r_{1}$ and $y, s_{2}, r_{2}$, respectively. Therefore, the considerations from the previous part can be repeated (after suitable modifications) also in the case where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}<1 / 2, \quad r_{1}<\frac{1-r_{2}}{2-s_{1}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it is easy to notice that in the previous part it is enough to replace (4.2) by the weaker assumption that $\bar{D}_{2}=\emptyset$. The same concerns (5.1).

The remaining situation when $\bar{D}_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for $i=1,2$ seems to be much more complicated. It occurs for some particular values of $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$. For instance, according to (3.7),

$$
y_{j}\left(-\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}}{r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}}\right)=0
$$

for some $j \in\{1,2\}$. Then for $i \in\{1,2\}, i \neq j$, from (3.3) we have

$$
-\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}}{r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}} \in D, \quad y_{i}\left(-\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}}{r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\left(1-\widehat{r}_{1} \xi_{2}\right) \widehat{s}_{2}}{s_{2}\left(r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2} r_{1} \widehat{s}_{1}-\xi_{1} \widehat{r}_{2}\right)} \in D
$$

when for example $1-\widehat{r}_{1} \xi_{2}$ and $\widehat{r}_{2}$ are small enough, which means that

$$
-\frac{\widehat{r}_{2}}{r_{2} \widehat{s}_{2}} \in \bar{D}_{1} \cap \bar{D}_{2}
$$

We hope to provide some useful results in this case in the future.
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