Mediterr. J. Math. (2023) 20:182 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-023-02379-1 1660-5446/23/030001-17 *published online* March 31, 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics



Fixed Points and Common Fixed Points for Orbit-Nonexpansive Mappings in Metric Spaces

Rafael Espínola, Maria Japón and Daniel Souza

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce an interlacing condition on the elements of a family of operators that allows us to gather together a number of results on fixed points and common fixed points for single and families of mappings defined on metric spaces. The innovative concept studied here deals with nonexpansivity conditions with respect to orbits and under assumptions that only depend on the features of the closed balls of the metric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H09, 47H10, 47H20, 54E40.

Keywords. Metric spaces, metric normal structure, orbits, nonexpansive operators, convexity structure, action of groups, common fixed points.

1. Introduction

Given (M,d) a metric space, a mapping $T:M\to M$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$. Nonexpansive operators arose as a forthright generalization of contractive mappings introduced by S. Banach. Easy examples show that nonexpansive self-mappings defined on a complete metric space may fail to have a fixed point. However, in the framework of Banach spaces, W. A. Kirk proved the existence of fixed points for every nonexpansive self-mapping defined from a convex weakly compact subset under the additional assumption of normal structure [18]. The notion of normal structure was defined by Brodskii and Milman [3] as a key tool to show the existence of a common fixed point for the group of (onto) isometries defined on a convex weakly

The authors were partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Grant PGC2018-098474-B-C21, and Junta de Andalucía Grants P20-00637, US-1380969 and FQM-127.

compact subset of a Banach space. Since then, a vast literature has appeared connecting geometry properties of Banach spaces with the existence of fixed points for nonexpansive mappings as well as with the existence of common fixed points for families of operators satisfying nonexpansivity and some extra requirement.

As it is the case for contractions and isometries, being nonexpansive for a mapping is a pure metric feature that does not call for the definition of any underlying linear structure: it only depends on the relationship between the distance of points and the distance of their images. Therefore, it is not surprising that many authors have studied some types of extensions when it comes to the existence of fixed points for nonexpansive operators on complete metric spaces (see, for instance, [7-9, 15-17, 21, 24, 29] and references therein).

In this paper, our general framework will be a metric space and our main purpose is the analysis of the existence of (common) fixed points for operators that verify a nonexpansivity condition with respect to orbits and for which continuity assumptions are not needed. These operators were firstly introduced by Nicolae [24] (see also [1]) and include nonexpansive mappings as a particular case. The precise definitions will be given in the next section. A similar notion requiring continuity was initially considered in [19] in relation to mappings with diminishing orbital diameters. More recently, orbit conditions have been considered in the study of existence of best proximity points for cyclic and noncyclic mappings [4,10,27].

With tools relying only upon features of the closed balls of the metric space, we will be able to extend some previous results in the Banach framework for orbit-nonexpansive mappings and, additionally, in the much wider setting of metric spaces. As a particular case, we will deduce the common fixed point result for bounded hyperconvex metric spaces given in [16,21] as well as those obtained for CAT(0) metric spaces in [24]. New directions beyond the prior scopes will also be provided throughout the article.

The organization of the paper is the following. In Sect. 2 we will establish some basic definitions as well as the metric framework on which we are going to work. We will introduce the notion of orbit-nonexpansive mapping and provide examples of such mappings emphasizing the lack of continuity. In Sect. 3, we will focus on the existence of fixed points for orbit-nonexpansive operators. With the purpose of analyzing simultaneously the case of a single mapping and the action of a group, we will introduce an *interlaced* condition for a family of operators defined on a metric space, upon which our main theorems will be proved. Although they do not generally form a group, following similar techniques as in [16], the existence of a common fixed point for a family of commuting operators will also be achieved. The concept of *metric* normal structure will be essential in our approach. Additionally, we will show how our results generalize [1, Theorem 2.2], [24, Theorem 5.1], [16, Theorem 8] and some others, as well as they can be applied to other environments which are not covered by the previously cited papers.

In Sect. 4, we will focus on the condition of uniform relative normal structure, initially defined by Soardi [28] in the linear case, for obtaining fixed points for nonexpansive operators defined in abstract M Banach lattices, and

also used by Lau [23] for the action of isometries. Once more, our framework will be a general metric space as in [8,17] and the interlaced condition will be used to obtain fixed points for a single mapping and for the action of a group likewise.

Some other interesting papers studying fixed-point theorems under metric conditions involving some particular orbit features of a given operator are [25,26].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, (M, d) will denote a metric space. Given a mapping $T: M \to M$ and $x \in M$, the orbit of x under T is denoted by

$$o_T(x) := \{x\} \cup \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Given a bounded subset A of M, we denote by $\delta(A)$ its diameter, that is, $\delta(A) := \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$. Additionally, for $x \in M$, we set

$$D(x,A) := \sup\{d(x,a) : a \in A\},\$$

which gives the least radius of a closed ball centered at x containing A. (Note that D(x, A) is also denoted by $r_x(A)$ in some other references.) The following definition was initially introduced in [24]:

Definition 2.1. Given a metric space (M, d), a mapping $T : M \to M$ is said to be orbit-nonexpansive (or nonexpansive with respect to orbits) if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le D(x, o_T(y)) \qquad \forall x, y \in M.$$
(2.1)

It is clear that every nonexpansive mapping satisfies (2.1), since $y \in o_T(y)$ for all $y \in M$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that condition (2.1) further implies: $d(T^nx, T^ny) \leq D(x, o_T(y))$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the event that (M, d) is an unbounded metric space, the following disjunctive follows: either all orbits of T are bounded or all orbits of T are unbounded. If a mapping T verifies that all its orbits are unbounded, condition (2.1) trivially holds and no fixed point can be assured as a simple translation in \mathbb{R} shows. We will exhibit later an example that no fixed point can be guaranteed even if all of T's orbits are bounded in an unbounded metric space (see Remark 3.7). Thus, from this moment on we will assume that the metric space (M, d) is bounded.

A. Nicolae proved that every self orbit-nonexpansive operator has a fixed point when it is defined on a bounded complete CAT(0) space (and therefore on a bounded closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space) [24, Theorem 5.1]. In a later paper, Amini-Harandi et al. [1] studied the existence of fixed points for orbit-nonexpansive mappings in the Banach space setting under weak normal structure. In fact, [1, Theorem 2.2] proves that a Banach space X has weak normal structure if and only if for every convex weakly compact subset C and for every $T: C \to C$ orbit-nonexpansive mapping, there exists a fixed point. It should be highlighted that weak normal structure in Banach spaces had previously been characterized by using fixed points for the socalled Jaggi nonexpansive mappings (see [13,14]). Nevertheless the definition of this class of mappings introduced by Jaggi [13] strongly uses the linear structure of the Banach space.

It is clear that nonexpansivity implies uniform continuity. However, being orbit-nonexpanse does not require continuity as the example given in [24, Example 5.2] shows. We next display a further example of an orbitnonexpansive mapping for which it is not possible to find a non-trivial closed T-invariant interval upon which the mapping T is continuous.

Example 2.2. Let $T: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$ be given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{3} \text{ if } x \text{ is irrational,} \\ -\frac{x}{3} \text{ if } x \text{ is rational.} \end{cases}$$

We prove that T is orbit-nonexpansive. Notice that $0 \in \overline{o_T(x)}$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$. Take first $x, y \ge 0$ such that x is irrational and y rational. We split the proof into cases:

Case 1 $0 \le x < y \le 1$. In this case, $D(x, o_T(y)) = \max\left\{y - x, x + \frac{y}{3}\right\}$ and $D(y, o_T(x)) = y$. Moreover,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{3} \le x + \frac{y}{3} \le D(x, o_T(y)) \text{ and}$$
$$d(Ty, Tx) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{3} \le 2\frac{y}{3} \le D(y, o_T(x)).$$

Case 2 $0 \le y < x \le 1$. In this case, $D(x, o_T(y)) = x + \frac{y}{3}$ and $D(y, o_T(x)) = \max\{y, x - y\}$. Moreover,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{3} \le \frac{2x}{3} \le x \le D(x, o_T(y)).$$

Now, if $y \leq \frac{x}{2}$,

$$d(Ty, Tx) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{3} \le x - y \le D(y, o_T(x)),$$

and if $\frac{x}{2} \leq y$,

$$d(Ty, Tx) = \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{3} \le \frac{2y}{3} + \frac{y}{3} = y \le D(y, o_T(x)).$$

By symmetry, we can conclude that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq D(x, o_T(y))$ for all $x, y \in [-1, 1]$ and T is orbit-nonexpansive. Additionally, T fails to be nonexpansive on any T-invariant nontrivial closed interval of [-1, 1], which easily follows from the fact that T is discontinuous everywhere except for the origin that is fixed for T.

Remark 2.3. Notice that every orbit-nonexpansive mapping is continuous at least at the set of fixed points (provided it is not empty). This is due to the inequality $d(Tx, y) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x \in M$ and for $y \in M$ verifying T(y) = y.

Mappings satisfying $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Ty)\}$ for all $x, y \in M$ clearly lie within the scope of Definition 2.1. Another family of mappings that fulfills the orbit-nonexpansivity is the class of mean nonexpansive mappings

introduced in [31] as follows: a mapping $T: M \to M$ is said to be mean nonexpansive if there exist nonnegative constants $a, b \ge 0$ with $a+b \le 1$ and such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le ad(x, y) + bd(x, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ (see [30–32] and references therein).

Since we are also interested in obtaining common fixed points for the action of a group, we recall some standard notions: A set S is a group if there is an inner product, \cdot , defined on it such that it is associative, there is an element $1 \in S$ with $s \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot s = s$ for all $s \in S$, and for every $s \in S$ there is $s^{-1} \in S$ with $s \cdot s^{-1} = s^{-1} \cdot s = 1$. Given a set M and a group S, it is said that S acts over M if every $s \in S$ defines an operator from M into itself and the following two properties are satisfied: the unit element $1 \in S$ defines the identity over M and $(s \cdot t)(x) = s(tx)$ for all $s, t \in S$ and $x \in M$.

Next, we recall some facts about convexity structures in metric spaces.

- **Definition 2.4.** (i) A subset of a metric space (M, d) is said to be *admissible* if it is an intersection of closed balls of M. The family of all admissible sets of M is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(M)$.
 - (ii) A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of M defines a *convexity structure* on M if it contains all closed balls of M and is closed under intersection.
- (iii) A convexity structure on M is said to be *compact* if the intersection of any collection of elements of it is nonempty provided finite intersections of such elements are nonempty.

In fact, it is known that compactness of the family of admissible sets implies completeness of the metric space [9, Proposition 3.2]. It follows from the definition that the family $\mathcal{A}(M)$ of admissible sets is a convexity structure contained in every other convexity structure considered on M.

In the setting of a Banach space endowed with a topology τ for which the closed balls are τ -closed, the family of all convex τ -closed bounded sets forms a convexity structure. Standard examples are Banach spaces endowed with the weak topology, the weak*-topology (in case of a dual Banach space) or the closed in measure topology in case of the Lebesgue space $L_1[0, 1]$. In case that a τ -compact convex subset M is considered for any of the previous topologies, the resulting convexity structure formed by the convex τ -closed subsets of M is also compact. These convexity structures turned out to be essential tools to ensure the existence of fixed points for nonexpansive operators defined on convex subsets which are weakly compact, weakly* compact or compact in measure, respectively (see, for instance, [2, 5, 6] and references therein).

Abstract convexity structures in metric spaces have been extensively studied in the last decades. A complete monograph on related topics was given by Khamsi and Kirk [17], where Chapter 5 is devoted to normal structure in the metric space setting.

Definition 2.5. A metric space (M, d) is said to have *metric* normal structure if for every non-singleton admissible set $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, there exists some $z_A \in A$ such that $D(z_A, A) < \delta(A)$.

Note that the previous definition only involves the closed balls of the metric space (M, d). It says that for every admissible set A with positive diameter, there exists a closed ball containing A, with radius strictly less than the diameter of A and whose center z_A is in A (in the last section we will consider a modified version of the metric normal structure where the center z_A of the ball containing A is allowed to lie outside of A).

When the family of admissible sets $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is replaced in Definition 2.5 by some convexity structure as the ones introduced above for Banach spaces, the concepts of weak normal structure, weak^{*} normal structure or τ -normal structure (when τ is the topology of the convergence in measure in $L_1[0, 1]$) are just displayed. In fact, it was proved in [20] that convex compact in measure subsets of $L_1[0, 1]$ have τ -normal structure. Actually, it is possible to find closed convex bounded subsets of a Banach space failing to have weak normal structure (when the convexity structure is the family of all convex weakly compact subsets) but they still have metric normal structure [8,11].

Strongly connected with Definition 2.5 is the notion of *uniform normal* structure (UNS).

Definition 2.6. A metric space (M, d) is said to have UNS if there exists some $c \in (0, 1)$ such that for every non-singleton admissible set A there exists some $x_A \in A$ with $D(x_A, A) < c \, \delta(A)$.

Remark 2.7. It is known that, in complete metric spaces, uniform normal structure implies compactness of the family of admissible sets $\mathcal{A}(M)$ (see [15] or [17, Theorem 5.4]).

We also recall the definition of a one-local retract of a metric space, which will be useful in the next section to extend a fixed point result obtained for a single mapping to a common fixed point result for a family of commuting operators. More details about one-local retracts can be found in [16], where it is shown that they can be considered as a generalization of nonexpansive retracts, enjoying some structural properties of great interest.

Definition 2.8. A subset D of a metric space (M, d) is a one-local retract of M if, for any family of closed balls centered at D with nonempty intersection, it is the case that the intersection of all of them must have nonempty intersection with D.

We finish this section by stating some fixed point theorems that will be extended in what follows:

Theorem 2.9. [16, Theorem 8] Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with metric normal structure and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Then any commuting family of nonexpansive self-mappings on M has a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of common fixed points is a one-local retract of M.

Theorem 2.10. [1, Theorem 2.2] Let M be a convex weakly compact subset of a Banach space X with weak normal structure and $T : M \to M$ an orbit-nonexpansive mapping. Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.11. [24, Theorem 5.1] Let (M, d) be a bounded complete CAT(0) metric space and $T: M \to M$ an orbit-nonexpansive mapping. Then T has a fixed point.

3. Metric Normal Structure and Fixed Points for Orbit-Nonexpansive Mappings

In this section, we will study how the notion of metric normal structure introduced in Definition 2.5 leads us to achieve positive results concerning the existence of fixed points for orbit-nonexpansive mappings. With the purpose of dealing simultaneously with the case of a single mapping and the case of the action by a group, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space and \mathcal{F} a family of selfmappings on M such that

$$d(Tx, Sy) \le \sup\{D(x, o_R(y)) \colon R \in \mathcal{F}\}\$$

for $T, S \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x, y \in M$. Then we say that \mathcal{F} is a family of interlaced orbit-nonexpansive mappings.

It is straightforward that a mapping $T: M \to M$ is orbit-nonexpansive if and only if the singleton family $\mathcal{F} := \{T\}$ satisfies Definition 3.1. Next, we prove a similar result for a group of operators acting over a metric space each of which is orbit-nonexpansive.

Proposition 3.2. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space and let S be a group of self-operators acting on M, each of one is orbit-nonexpansive. Then, the family S is interlaced orbit-nonexpansive.

Proof. Let s, t in S be orbit-nonexpansive mappings. Then,

$$d(tx, sy) = d(tx, tt^{-1}sy) \le D(x, o_t(t^{-1}sy)).$$

But, $t^{-1}s \in \mathcal{S}$, so

$$D(x, o_t(t^{-1}sy)) \le \sup\{D(x, o_r(y)) \colon r \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Therefore, S is a family of interlaced orbit-nonexpansive operators.

Now, we present the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with metric normal structure and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of interlaced orbitnonexpansive self-mappings on M. Then, there exists a common fixed point to all mappings in \mathcal{F} . Moreover, the common fixed point set of \mathcal{F} , $Fix(\mathcal{F})$, is a one-local retract of M.

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ the class of nonempty admissible subsets of M which are T-invariant for every $T \in \mathcal{F}$. This family is nonempty since $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$. Zorn's Lemma and compactness of $\mathcal{A}(M)$ imply that there exists a minimal element with respect to set inclusion in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$. Let such a set be denoted as A_0 . We claim that A_0 is a singleton and so its element is a common fixed point for all mappings in \mathcal{F} .

By contradiction, suppose that $\delta(A_0) > 0$. To simplify the notation, for a subset $C \subset M$ we denote

$$\operatorname{cov}(C) := \bigcap \{ B : B \text{ is a closed ball and } C \subseteq B \}.$$

In other words, cov(C) is the least admissible set containing C.

Since A_0 is T-invariant for every $T \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that

$$\bigcup_{T\in\mathcal{F}} T(A_0) \subseteq A_0.$$

Since A_0 is admissible,

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(\bigcup_{T\in\mathcal{F}}T(A_0)\right)\subseteq A_0.$$

Moreover, for $S \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$S\left(\operatorname{cov}\left(\bigcup_{T\in\mathcal{F}}T(A_0)\right)\right)\subseteq S(A_0)\subseteq\operatorname{cov}\left(\bigcup_{T\in\mathcal{F}}T(A_0)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(\bigcup_{T\in\mathcal{F}}T(A_0)\right)\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(M),$$

and the minimality of A_0 implies that

$$A_0 = \operatorname{cov}\left(\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T(A_0)\right).$$
(3.1)

Now, using the metric normal structure of the space, there exist $a_0 \in A_0$ such that $D(a_0, A_0) < \delta(A_0)$, which implies that $A_0 \subseteq B(a_0, r)$ where $r := D(a_0, A_0)$ and

$$a_0 \in \tilde{A}_0 := A_0 \cap \left(\bigcap_{a \in A_0} B(a, r)\right).$$

Notice that \tilde{A}_0 is a nonempty admissible set strictly contained in A_0 since $\delta(\tilde{A}_0) \leq r < \delta(A_0)$. If we show that \tilde{A}_0 is *T*-invariant for every $T \in \mathcal{F}$, we will have met a contradiction with the minimality of A_0 :

Let $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $A_0 \subseteq B(a_0, r)$, we have that $d(a_0, a) \leq r$ for $a \in A_0$, but, since A_0 is *T*-invariant, we also have that $d(a_0, T^n a) \leq r$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$D(a_0, o_T(a)) \le r$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Now, the interlacing property implies that

$$d(Sa_0, Ta) \le r.$$

for any $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$. In particular, $T(A_0) \subseteq B(Sa_0, r)$ for $T, S \in \mathcal{F}$, and so

$$\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T(A_0) \subseteq B(Sa_0, r).$$

MJOM

From (3.1),

$$A_0 \subseteq B(Sa_0, r),$$

and so

$$Sa_0 \in \tilde{A}_0 = A_0 \cap \left(\bigcap_{a \in A_0} B(a, r)\right)$$

for any $S \in \mathcal{F}$. That is, \tilde{A}_0 is S-invariant for every $S \in \mathcal{F}$ and as a conclusion we deduce that $Fix(\mathcal{F})$, the common fixed point set of all mappings in \mathcal{F} , is nonempty.

To complete the proof, we next show that $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ is a one-local retract of M. Indeed, let $\{B(x_i, r_i) : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed balls with $x_i \in \operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$, for each i, such that $B = \bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$.

We claim that $B \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \neq \emptyset$. In fact, since B is admissible, it turns out that $\mathcal{A}(B)$ inherits compactness and B has metric normal structure. Furthermore, B is T-invariant for any $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Indeed, let $y \in B$, then for each $i \in I$ we have that

$$d(Ty, x_i) = d(Ty, Tx_i) \le \sup\{D(y, o_S(x_i)) \colon S \in \mathcal{F}\} = d(y, x_i) \le r_i.$$

Repeating the first part of this proof for the metric space B, there is some $x \in B$ such that T(x) = x for every $T \in \mathcal{F}$, which shows that $B \cap Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

If we put together Theorem 3.3 with Proposition 3.2, we obtain the next common fixed point result for the action of a group. The result seems to be unknown even for the case of nonexpansivity.

Corollary 3.4. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with metric normal structure such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Let \mathcal{S} be a group action over M formed by orbit-nonexpansive mappings. Then there is $x \in M$ such that s(x) = x for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Remark 3.5. Note that Corollary 3.4 clearly extends the common fixed point results given for onto isometries defined on a convex weakly compact subset of a Banach space with normal structure proved by Brodskii and Milman [3] (see also [12]), and on a bounded hyperconvex metric space stated in [21, Proposition 1.2].

When just a single mapping is considered, we can deduce the following:

Corollary 3.6. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with metric normal structure and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Let $T: M \to M$ be orbit-nonexpansive. Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, the fixed point set of T, Fix(T), is a one-local retract of M.

Remark 3.7. In the approach followed along the proof of Theorem 3.3, the boundedness of the metric space was used to assure that M belongs to the family of admissible sets. We could raise the question whether the boundedness of the metric space could be replaced by the boundedness of the orbits of the mapping T. The following example, due to S. Prus, shows that this is not possible: Let $T : \ell_{\infty} \to \ell_{\infty}$ be given by $T(x) = (1 + \lim \sup_{n} x_n, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots)$. The mapping T is fixed point free, it is an isometry, all its orbits are bounded and the space ℓ_{∞} is hyperconvex, which implies that it has uniform normal structure and then the family of the admissible sets is compact (see for instance [9]).

Following the same arguments as in [16] and by using the fact that the set of all fixed points is a one-local retract of M, we can derive the next common fixed point theorem which is a strict generalization of [16, Theorem 8] (see also [9, Theorem 6.2] for the particular case of hyperconvex metric spaces).

Corollary 3.8. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with metric normal structure such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Then any commutative family $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ of orbit-nonexpansive self-mappings on M has a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of the common fixed points is a one-local retract of M.

Proof. The proof of this corollary follows in the same way as those of Theorems 7 and 8 in [16]. \Box

Corollary 3.8 extends Theorems 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11, since for every bounded complete CAT(0) space the family of admissible sets is therefore compact (see [22] and [17, Theorem 5.4]). Also, the more general class of uniformly convex metric spaces studied in [7] satisfies conditions of Corollary 3.8. We finish this section with some applications to the Banach space setting:

Corollary 3.9. Let M be a convex closed bounded set of a Banach space X satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) X has weak normal structure and M is a weakly compact set,
- (ii) X is a dual space with weak* normal structure and M is a weak* compact set,
- (iii) $X = L_1[0, 1]$ and M is a compact in measure set.

Then every commuting family $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ of orbit-nonexpansive self-mappings on M has a common fixed point. Additionally, the set of common fixed points of this family of mappings is a one-local retract of M. Furthermore, if S is a group of orbit-nonexpansive operators defined on M, there is $x \in M$ such that s(x) = x for all $s \in S$.

4. Uniform Relative Normal Structure and Fixed Points for Orbit-Nonexpansive Mappings

P. Soardi defined in [28] a geometric property in Banach spaces related to the normal structure and providing fixed points for nonexpansive operators: the uniform relative normal structure (URNS). This property was useful to cover the case of L^{∞} -spaces and, more generally, AM-spaces, where the standard normal structure or uniform normal structure does not generally work, in particular when complex Banach lattices are considered. The interested reader can find more details in [28]. For the action of groups, the concept of uniform relative normal structure was later used by Lau [23, Theorem 1] to obtain a common fixed point for (onto) isometries defined on a closed convex bounded subset of a Banach space. In [17, Chapter 5], the uniform relative normal structure is defined for the general environment of metric spaces, with only intersections of balls being considered for its definition. In this section, we prove that this metric extension indeed implies the existence of fixed points for orbit-nonexpansive mappings. In fact, we will extend Soardi Theorem in [28], its metric version given in [17, Theorem 5.6] and its corresponding generalization obtained in [8, Section 4] for a single mapping and for the action of groups in the orbit-nonexpansive setting. Notice that some arguments have to be redefined due to the lack of continuity assumptions. We recall the metric definition of URNS that can be found in [17] and the corresponding theorem proved there:

Definition 4.1. A metric space (M, d) is said to have uniform relative normal structure (URNS) if there exists some $c \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every admissible set A with $\delta(A) > 0$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists $z_A \in M$ such that $D(z_A, A) \leq c \,\delta(A)$.

(ii) If $x \in M$ is such that $D(x, A) \leq c \delta(A)$, then $d(x, z_A) \leq c \delta(A)$.

Theorem 4.2. [17, Theorem 5.6], [28] Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with URNS and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Then every nonexpansive mapping $T: M \to M$ has a fixed point.

For a better handling of the uniform relative normal structure, we will use the notation introduced in [8]: For $A \subset M$ and r > 0, let us denote

$$B[A,r] := \bigcap_{x \in A} B(x,r) = \left\{ y \in M : A \subset B(y,r) \right\}.$$

The set B[A, r] is admissible and $y \in B[A, r]$ if and only if $A \subset B(y, r)$ if and only if $D(y, A) \leq r$. Besides, $\delta(A \cap B[A, r]) \leq r$ (although it can be an empty set). Hence, condition (i) in Definition 4.1 can be equivalently written as

$$B[A, c \ \delta(A)] \neq \emptyset.$$

Finally, conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 4.1 can be expressed as a unique statement given by

$$B[A, c \ \delta(A)] \cap B[B[A, c \ \delta(A)], c \ \delta(A)] \neq \emptyset,$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ with $\delta(A) > 0$.

There is a subtle, but important difference between Definition 4.1 and the concepts of metric normal structure and UNS introduced in Sect. 2. Note that UNS can be reformulated by the existence of some $c \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$A \cap B[A, c \ \delta(A)] \neq \emptyset$$

for all admissible set A with positive diameter. The point z_A given in Definition 4.1 belongs to $B[A, c \ \delta(A)]$, but it need not belong to the set A and that is why the extra condition (ii) is required. In particular, if a metric space (M, d) has uniform normal structure, then it has uniform relative normal structure by using the same parameter.

A new property that formally weakens URNS was introduced in [8] and it was used to prove that, for some metric spaces, the original metric can be slightly altered such that the following property is still preserved.

Definition 4.3. [8] A metric space (M, d) is said to have (p, q)-URNS for some p > 0 and $q \in (0, 1)$ if

$$B\left[A,p\;\delta\left(A\right)\right]\cap B\left[B\left[A,p\;\delta\left(A\right)\right],q\;\delta\left(A\right)\right]\neq\emptyset$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ with $\delta(A) > 0$.

Remark 4.4. Observe that when $p = q \in (0, 1)$, Definition 4.3 is just Definition 4.1 for the parameter c := q. Hence, (p, q)-URNS provides a formal extension of URNS. The advantage of considering (p, q)-URNS lies in the fact that, playing with the parameters p and q, it is possible to prove that for some metric spaces, as it is the case of hyperconvex spaces, the (p, q)-URNS is stable when the hyperconvex metric is slightly altered to give place to a second equivalent distance not too far enough from the original one (see [8, Section 4] for a complete exposition of this fact).

We next extend the main theorem in [8, Section 4] to orbit-nonexpansive mappings. The following notation will be needed: Given (M, d) a metric space and \mathcal{F} a family of self-mappings defined on M, the \mathcal{F} -admissible cover of a set $E \subset M$ is defined as:

$$\operatorname{cov}_{\mathcal{F}}(E) = \bigcap \left\{ A \in \mathcal{A}(M) : E \subset A, T(A) \subset A \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

It is immediate to see that $\operatorname{cov}_{\mathcal{F}}(E)$ is admissible, contains E and is T-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Once more, the main theorem of this section will be proved for the case of a general interlaced orbit-nonexpansive family and we will obtain, as particular cases, a fixed point result for a single orbit-nonexpansive mapping and a common fixed point result for the action of a group.

Theorem 4.5. Let (M,d) be a bounded metric space with (p,q)-URNS for some 0 < q < 1 and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of interlaced orbit-nonexpansive self-mappings on M. There exists a common fixed point for all mappings in \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Following similar arguments as in [17] (see also [8]), we pursue to construct a sequence of subsets $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{A}(M)$ which are *T*-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$ and fulfilling the following properties:

- (1) $A_n \subset B[A_{n-1}, p \ \delta(A_{n-1})].$
- (2) $\delta(A_n) \leq q \delta(A_{n-1}).$

Assume that (1) and (2) hold and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $x_n \in A_n$. Hence,

$$d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \le p \ \delta(A_{n-1}) \le pq^n \ \delta(A_0).$$

Therefore, (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in a complete metric space (since $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact [9, Proposition 3.2]). Let $x \in M$ be the limit of (x_n) . We cannot

derive that x is a fixed point from continuity assumptions as in [8, Theorem 4.7].

Fix any $T \in \mathcal{F}$. The *T*-invariance of the sets A_n for all $n \ge 0$ allows us to assure that

$$D(x_n, o_T(x_n)) \le \delta(A_n)$$

and

$$D(x, o_T(x_n)) \le d(x, x_n) + D(x_n, o_T(x_n)) \le d(x, x_n) + \delta(A_n).$$

Using that \mathcal{F} is a family of interlaced nonexpansive self-mappings w.r.t. orbits, for all $S \in \mathcal{F}$ we obtain:

$$d(Sx, Sx_n) \le \sup\{D(x, o_T(x_n)) : T \in \mathcal{F}\} \le d(x, x_n) + \delta(A_n).$$

In conclusion,

$$d(x, Sx) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, Sx_n) + d(Sx, Sx_n)$$

$$\leq d(x, x_n) + \delta(A_n) + d(x, x_n) + \delta(A_n) \rightarrow_n 0,$$

which implies that x is a fixed point of S for all $S \in \mathcal{F}$.

Therefore, it remains to be shown that we can construct a sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of admissible sets verifying (1) and (2). The proof is inspired by the one given in [8].

Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ be minimal *T*-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, $A_0 = \operatorname{cov}(\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T(A_0))$. Denote $\delta_0 := \delta(A_0)$.

Let $x \in M$ such that $A_0 \subset B(x,r)$ for some r > 0. For $y \in A_0$, $o_R(y) \subset A_0$ for all $R \in \mathcal{F}$. Besides, for $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$

$$d(Sy,Tx) \le \sup\{D(x,o_R(y)) : R \in \mathcal{F}\} \le D(x,A_0) \le r,$$

which implies that $S(A_0) \subset B(Tx, r)$ and $A_0 = \operatorname{cov}(\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} S(A_0)) \subset B(Tx, r)$. This concludes that $B[A_0, p \ \delta_0]$ is a *T*-invariant admissible set for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$ (by (p, q)-URNS we can set $r := p \ \delta_0$ in the previous argument).

Define

$$\tilde{A}_0 := \operatorname{cov}_{\mathcal{F}} \left(B[A_0, p \ \delta_0] \cap B\left[B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], q \ \delta_0 \right] \right), \tag{4.1}$$

which is nonempty since (M, d) has (p, q)-URNS.

First notice that

$$B[A_0, p \ \delta_0] \cap B[B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], q \ \delta_0] \subset \tilde{A}_0 \subset B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], \tag{4.2}$$

where the last inclusion follows from the fact that $B[A_0, p \ \delta_0]$ is *T*-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Additionally, the above implies that

$$B[B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], q \ \delta_0] \subset B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0].$$

$$(4.3)$$

We next claim that $\delta(\tilde{A}_0) \leq q \ \delta_0$, that is, $\tilde{A}_0 \subset \tilde{A}_0 \cap B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0]$. To prove the claim, from (4.2) and (4.3), it can easily be checked that

$$B[A_0, p \ \delta_0] \cap B[B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], q\delta_0] \subset A_0 \cap B[A_0, q \ \delta_0].$$

If we prove that $\tilde{A}_0 \cap B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0]$ is *T*-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$, the claim immediately follows. Let $T \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$x \in \tilde{A}_0 \cap B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0],$$

which implies that $Tx \in \tilde{A}_0$. We want to prove that $Tx \in B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0]$, which is equivalent to proving $\tilde{A}_0 \subset B(Tx, q \ \delta_0) \cap \tilde{A}_0$. Notice that

$$d(y, Tx) \le q \ \delta_0$$

for all $y \in B[A_0, p \ \delta_0] \cap B[B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], q \ \delta_0]$, by (4.2). Hence,

 $B[A_0, p \ \delta_0] \cap B[B[A_0, p \ \delta_0], q \ \delta_0] \subset B(Tx, q \ \delta_0) \cap \tilde{A}_0.$

The proof is complete after checking that $B(Tx, q \ \delta_0) \cap \tilde{A}_0$ is S invariant for all $S \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $z \in B(Tx, q \ \delta_0) \cap \tilde{A}_0$. In particular, $o_R(z) \subset \tilde{A}_0$ for all $R \in \mathcal{F}$. Additionally, for any $S \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that,

$$d(Tx, Sz) \le \sup\{D(x, o_R(z)) : R \in \mathcal{F}\} \le D(x, \tilde{A}_0) \le q \ \delta_0,$$

since $x \in B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0]$.

The above implies that $B(Tx, q \ \delta_0) \cap \tilde{A}_0$ is S-invariant for all $S \in \mathcal{F}$ and therefore that $\tilde{A}_0 \subset B(Tx, q \ \delta_0) \cap \tilde{A}_0$. In particular, $Tx \in \tilde{A}_0 \cap B[\tilde{A}_0, q \ \delta_0]$ and consequently the claim is proved.

Thus, we have found an admissible subset $\tilde{A}_0 \subset B[A_0, p \ \delta_0]$, which is T-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$ and such that $\operatorname{diam}(\tilde{A}_0) \leq q \ \delta_0$. We proceed by using Zorn's Lemma and find $A_1 \subset \tilde{A}_0$ an admissible set. which is minimal and T-invariant for all $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Following the proof by recursion, we construct the sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$ as wished and so the proof is complete.

Corollary 4.6. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with uniform relative normal structure and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Let $T : M \to M$ be an orbit-nonexpansive mapping. Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 4.7. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space with uniform relative normal structure and such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact. Let \mathcal{S} be a group of orbitnonexpansive self-mappings on M. Then there is some $x \in M$ such that s(x) = x for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

We would like to finish the article with the following remark. The compactness of the family of admissible sets has been assumed along the paper to obtain a minimal invariant set. In many occasions, this assumption is given by the intrinsic conditions of the metric space. For instance, if the metric space is endowed with a topology τ for which the closed balls are τ -closed, the compactness of $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is straightforward whenever M is τ -compact. In particular, this holds for weak compact or weak*-compact domains in Banach spaces. Additionally, as it was mentioned before, the uniform normal structure implies compactness of $\mathcal{A}(M)$ (see [15] or [17, Theorem 5.4]) for complete metric spaces. Whether the compactness of the family of admissible sets can be deduced from the URNS in complete metric spaces is still an open problem. Author contributions All authors wrote and reviewed the manuscript.

Funding Funding for open access publishing: Universidad de Sevilla/CBUA.

Declarations

Competing Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Amini-Harandi, A., Fakhar, M., Hajisharifi, H.R.: Weak fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings with respect to orbits in Banach spaces. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 18, 601–607 (2016)
- [2] Betiuk, A., Domínguez Benavides, T., Japón, M.: Existence of fixed points in a class of convex sets. Z. Anal. Anwend. 38, 351–374 (2019)
- [3] Brodskiĭ, M.S., Milman, D.P.: On the center of a convex set (Russian). Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 59, 837–840 (1948)
- [4] Digar, A., Espínola García, R., Kosuru, G.: A characterization of weak proximal normal structure and best proximity pairs. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 116, Paper No. 80, 7 (2022)
- [5] Domínguez Benavides, T., Japón, M.: Fixed point properties and reflexivity in variable Lebesgue spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 280(6), Paper No. 108896, 22 (2021)
- [6] Domínguez Benavides, T., García Falset, J., Japón, M.: The τ-fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3(3–4), 343–362 (1998)
- [7] Espínola García, R., Fernández León, A., Piątek, B.: Fixed points of singleand set-valued mappings in uniformly convex metric spaces with no metric convexity. En: Fixed Point Theory Appl. 1–16 (2010)
- [8] Espínola García, R., Japón, M., Souza, D.: New examples of subsets of c with the FPP and stability of the FPP in hyperconvex spaces. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 23, Paper No. 45, 19 (2021)
- [9] Espínola García, R., Khamsi, A.: Introduction to Hyperconvex Spaces. Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Chapter 13. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2001)

- [10] Gabeleh, M., Moshokoa, S.P.: Study of minimal pairs for relatively nonexpansive mappings with respect to orbits. Optimization 70, 1029–1045 (2021)
- [11] Gallagher, T., Lennard, C., Popescu, R.: Weak compactness is not equivalent to the fixed point property in c. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 431, 471–481 (2015)
- [12] Hu, T., Huan, J.: Weak and weak* topologies and Brodskii–Milman's theorem on hyperspaces. Taiwan. J. Math. 13, 459–466 (2009)
- [13] Jaggi, D.S.: On fixed points of nonexpansive mappings. Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Toronto, Ont.: Contemp. Mat. 21 Amer. MAth. Soc. Providence RI 1983, 147–149 (1982)
- [14] Kassay, G.: A characterization of reflexive Banach spaces with normal structure. Bollettino dell' Unione Matematica Italizna 6, 273–276 (1986)
- [15] Khamsi, M.A.: On metric spaces with uniform normal structure. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 106, 641–644 (1989)
- [16] Khamsi, M.A.: One-local retract and common fixed point for commuting mappings in metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 27, 1307–1313 (1996)
- [17] Khamsi, M.A., Kirk, W.A.: An Introduction to Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theory. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken (2001)
- [18] Kirk, W.A.: A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances. Am. Math. Mon. 72, 1004–1006 (1965)
- [19] Kirk, W., Shahzad, N.: Normal structure and orbital fixed point conditions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 463, 461–476 (2018)
- [20] Lennard, C.: A new convexity property that implies a fixed point property for L_1 . Stud. Math. **100**(2), 95–108 (1991)
- [21] Lang, U.: Injective hulls of certain discrete metric spaces and groups. J. Top. Anal. 5, 297–331 (2013)
- [22] Lang, U., Schroeder, V.: Jung's theorem for Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded above. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 15, 263–275 (1997)
- [23] Lau, A.T.M.: Sets with fixed point property for isometric mappings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 79(3), 388–392 (1980)
- [24] Nicolae, A.: Generalized asymptotic point-wise contractions and nonexpansive mappings involving orbits. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 458–465 (2010)
- [25] Nguyen, L.V.: On fixed points of asymptotically regular mappings. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser 70, 709–719 (2021)
- [26] Popescu, O.: Fixed-point results for convex orbital operators. Demonstratio Mathematica 56, 20220184 (2023)
- [27] Sabar, T.: Relatively nonexpansive mappings in Kohlenbach hyperbolic spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser 72, 525–537 (2023)
- [28] Soardi, P.: Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in certain Banach lattices. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 73, 25–29 (1979)
- [29] Vetro, F.: On approximating curves associated with nonexpansive mappings. Carpathian J. Math. 27(1), 142–147 (2011)
- [30] Wu, C.-X., Zhang, L.-J.: Fixed points for mean nonexpansive mappings. Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica 23, 489–494 (2007)
- [31] Zhang, S.S.: About fixed point theory for mean nonexpansive mapping in Banach spaces. J. Sichuan Univ. 2, 67–78 (1975)
- [32] Zuo, Z.: Fixed-point theorems for mean nonexpansive mappings in banach spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 1–6 (2014)

Rafael Espínola, Maria Japón and Daniel Souza Departamento de Análisis Matemático Universidad de Sevilla Seville Spain e-mail: japon@us.es

Rafael Espínola e-mail: espinola@us.es

Daniel Souza e-mail: dsouzaufrj@gmail.com

Received: January 4, 2023. Revised: February 22, 2023. Accepted: March 1, 2023.