

On Random Sections of the Cube*

Y. Lonke[†]

Institute of Mathematics,
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem,
Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Abstract. Let $f(j, k, n)$ denote the expected number of j -faces of a random k -section of the n -cube. A formula for $f(0, k, n)$ is presented, and, for $j \geq 1$, a lower bound for $f(j, k, n)$ is derived, which implies a precise asymptotic formula for $f(n - m, n - l, n)$ when $1 \leq l < m$ are fixed integers and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. Introduction

The principal object in this paper is the expected number of j -dimensional faces (in short, j -faces) of a random k -dimensional central section (in short, k -section) of the n -cube $B_\infty^n = [-1, 1]^n$ in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote this number by $f(j, k, n)$. The normalized rotation invariant measure on the set $G_{n,k}$ of all k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n provides the probabilistic framework.

Section 2 contains a calculation of the expected number of vertices of a random k -section of the n -cube. The result is

$$f(0, k, n) = 2^k \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2k}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2/2} \gamma_{n-k}(t B_\infty^{n-k}) dt, \quad (1)$$

where γ_{n-k} denotes the $(n - k)$ -dimensional Gaussian probability measure.

* This work was supported in part by the US–Israel Binational Science Foundation. This work forms part of a dissertation prepared under the direction of Professor Joram Lindenstrauss, to whom I am greatly indebted for much valuable advice.

[†] Current address: Department of Mathematics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106-7058, USA. jr116@po.cwru.edu.

In Section 3 we derive a lower bound for $f(j, k, n)$ for every $1 \leq j < k < n$. The main result is

$$\frac{f(0, k - j, n)}{f(j, k, n)} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{j(k - j)}{n - k + j} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{j(k - j)}{n - k + j} t^2 / 2\right) \gamma_j(t B_\infty^j) dt.$$

The lower bound for $f(j, k, n)$ derived from this inequality, combined with (1), leads in some cases to asymptotically best possible results. For example, in Section 3 we deduce from it the following asymptotic formula, for fixed integers $1 \leq l < m$:

$$f(n - m, n - l, n) \sim \frac{(2n)^{m-l}}{(m - l)!} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2}$$

The notation $a_n \sim b_n$ means $a_n/b_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Formula (2) can be interpreted as follows: the probability that a random fixed-codimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n intersects a fixed-codimensional face of the n -cube, tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Formula (2) itself follows also from the work of Affentranger and Schneider. (See Remark 1 of Section 3 below.) In [1], they found a formula for the expected number $\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k P))$ of j -faces of an orthogonal projection of an n -polytope P onto a k -dimensional random subspace. Formula (5) of [1] reads as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k P)) = f_j(P) - 2 \sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_j(P)} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1+2s}(P)} \beta(F, G) \gamma(G, P). \tag{3}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_j(P)$ denotes the set of k -faces of P , and $f_j(P) = \text{card } \mathcal{F}_j(P)$. $\beta(F, G)$ denotes the internal angle [8, p. 297], of the face G at its face F , and $\gamma(G, P)$ —the external angle [8, p. 308], of P at its face G . It is shown in [1] that (3) implies that if $0 \leq j < k$ are fixed integers, then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k T^n)) \sim \frac{2^k}{\sqrt{k}} \binom{k}{j + 1} \beta(T^j, T^{k-1}) (\pi \log n)^{(k-1)/2}. \tag{4}$$

Here T^n stands for the regular n -simplex.

In a very recent work [5], Böröczky, Jr., and Henk showed that (3) implies the same asymptotic formula (4) also for $\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k B_1^n))$, where B_1^n is the regular cross-polytope. In addition, they found an asymptotic formula for the internal angles $\beta(T^j, T^{k-1})$, when $k/j^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore if j is fixed, k is much larger than j^2 and n much larger than k , then explicit estimates for $\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k B_1^n))$ are available. See [5] for more details. Explicit asymptotic formulas for $\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k T^n))$ were established independently by Vershik and Sporyshev [10], when j, k are both proportional to n and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A simple duality argument shows that

$$\mathbf{E}(f_j(\Pi_k B_1^n)) = f(k - j - 1, k, n).$$

Choose $j = k - 1$ in (4). Applying the result for B_1^n , one has

$$f(0, k, n) = \mathbf{E}(f_{k-1}(\Pi_k B_1^n)) \sim \frac{2^k}{\sqrt{k}} (\pi \log n)^{(k-1)/2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5}$$

The last asymptotic formula follows also from (1). In fact, if $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are independent $N(0, 1)$ (that is, with mean 0 and variance 1) Gaussian variables, then $\gamma_m(t B_\infty^m)$ coincides

with the probability of the event $\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |g_i| \leq t\}$. This probabilistic interpretation allows a straightforward evaluation of the asymptotic behavior of the integral in (1), when k is fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Formula (1) also yields information about $f(0, k, n)$ for k not necessarily fixed. For example, if $k = n - 1$, then the integral in (1) can be computed and the result is

$$f(0, n - 1, n) = \frac{2^n n}{\pi} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sim \frac{2^n \sqrt{n}}{\pi}. \tag{6}$$

Particular values of the last formula were computed numerically in Table 2 of [5]. For the expected number of vertices of random sections of fixed codimension, we have the following inequality, which is a consequence of (1):

$$f(0, n - d, n) \geq \binom{n}{d} 2^n \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-d}} \right)^d \quad (d \geq 1).$$

Equality holds for $d = 1$.

To obtain a lower bound for $f(j, k, n)$, it turns out that it is useful to know an estimate for the Gaussian measure of a cone generated by a section of a face of a cube. In Section 3 we find such an estimate, by modifying Ball’s calculation of the maximal volume of a cube-section, based on Brascamp–Lieb’s inequality [2].

Dvoretzky’s theorem on almost Euclidean sections asserts that there exists a function $k(\varepsilon, n) \geq 1$, tending to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, such that if K is an n -dimensional centrally symmetric convex body (that is, a convex compact set in \mathbb{R}^n with nonempty interior, satisfying $K = -K$), and $\varepsilon > 0$, then for each $1 \leq k \leq k(\varepsilon, n)$ there exists a k -dimensional subspace X , and a linear automorphism T of X for which

$$X \cap B_2^n \subset T(X \cap K) \subset (1 + \varepsilon)(X \cap B_2^n), \tag{7}$$

where B_2^n denotes the Euclidean unit ball. The proof of Dvoretzky’s theorem in [6] shows that $k(\varepsilon, n) \geq c\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \log n$, for some absolute constant $c > 0$. That proof determined the best possible dependence of k on n . The dependence of k on ε was improved by Gordon [7], who discovered another proof of Dvoretzky’s theorem with $k(\varepsilon, n) \geq c\varepsilon^2 \log n$. Both proofs are probabilistic; they show that not only do there exist almost Euclidean sections, but actually most sections are such. More precisely, if X is a random subspace whose dimension does not exceed $k(\varepsilon, n)$, then the probability that the section $X \cap K$ is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Euclidean (common terminology for expressing that (7) holds) tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. These facts motivate an investigation of the random f -vector $\{f(j, k, n)\}_{j=0}^{k-1}$, especially since it is well known that every k -dimensional symmetric polytope that has $2n$ facets is affinely equivalent to a k -section of an n -cube.

2. Vertices

Let $G_{n,k}$ denote the set of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . We denote its normalized rotation invariant measure by “Prob.” Recall that this measure is related to the normalized Haar measure H of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ by the equality

$$\text{Prob}\{X \in B\} = H\{g \in O(n): g[e_i]_{i=1}^k \in B\},$$

where B is a Borel subset of $G_{n,k}$ and $[e_i]_{i=1}^k$ is the k -dimensional subspace spanned by the first k unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Fix $X \in G_{n,k}$. For each $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, the set of j -faces of the polytope $X \cap B_\infty^n$ coincides with the set of intersections of $(n - k + j)$ -faces of B_∞^n with X . Every $(n - k + j)$ -face of B_∞^n has the same probability to be intersected. Therefore if one particular $(n - k + j)$ -face F_{n-k+j} is fixed, then the expected number of j -faces of the section $X \cap B_\infty^n$ is equal to

$$2^{k-j} \binom{n}{k-j} \text{Prob}\{X \cap F_{n-k+j} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $C(F_{n-k+j})$ denote the cone generated by F_{n-k+j} :

$$C(F_{n-k+j}) = \bigcup_{x \in F_{n-k+j}} \{tx : t \geq 0\}.$$

Put $C_1(F_{n-k+j}) = C(F_{n-k+j}) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For every subspace X ,

$$X \cap F_{n-k+j} \neq \emptyset \iff (X \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \cap C_1(F_{n-k+j}) \neq \emptyset.$$

For $n = 0, 1, \dots$ we denote by σ_n the normalized rotation-invariant measure on the unit-sphere \mathbb{S}^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The next lemma will prove useful for dealing with intersections of subsets of the sphere with random subspaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let l, m, n be positive integers satisfying $l + m \geq n - 1$. Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{S}^m$ and $B \subset \mathbb{S}^l$ are Borel subsets. Then, for $p = l + m - n + 1$,*

$$\int_{\text{O}(n)} \sigma_p(gB \cap A) dH(g) = \sigma_l(B)\sigma_m(A). \tag{8}$$

To prove the lemma one observes that for fixed A (resp. B) the integral defines an invariant measure on \mathbb{S}^l (resp. \mathbb{S}^m); the conclusion follows from that.

Lemma 2.1 is now applied to $B = X \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, which we denote by \mathbb{S}^{k-1} , and to $A = C_1(F_{n-k+j})$. For $l = k - 1$ and $m = n - k + j$ equality (8) becomes

$$\int_{\text{O}(n)} \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) dH(g) = \sigma_{n-k+j}(A). \tag{9}$$

We are ready to compute the expected number of vertices. The Gaussian measure in \mathbb{R}^m whose density is $(2\pi)^{-m/2} \exp(-\sum_1^m x_i^2/2)$ is denoted by γ_m .

Proposition 2.2. *The expected number of vertices of a random k -dimensional central section of the n -cube is given by the formula*

$$f(0, k, n) = 2^k \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2k}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2/2} \gamma_{n-k}(tB_\infty^{n-k}) dt.$$

Proof. For each $g \in \text{O}(n)$ we have

$$g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap C_1(F_{n-k}) = (\text{span}(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1}) \cap C(F_{n-k})) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

For almost every g the intersection $\text{span}(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1}) \cap C(F_{n-k})$ is either the origin itself, or else a ray emanating from the origin. Therefore the intersection $g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap C_1(F_{n-k})$ is either empty or a singleton, for almost every g . Choose $j = 0$ in (9), with $A = C_1(F_{n-k})$. Since the measure σ_0 is concentrated on two points giving mass $\frac{1}{2}$ to each, we deduce from (9) that

$$\text{Prob}\{X \cap F_{n-k} \neq \emptyset\} = 2\sigma_{n-k}(C_1(F_{n-k})). \tag{10}$$

To compute the right-hand side of (10), consider an $(n - k)$ -dimensional cube of edge-length 1 inside \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} , at a distance \sqrt{k} from the origin, form the cone it generates, and compute the measure of its intersection with the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-k} . Invoking polar coordinates we see that

$$\sigma_{n-k}(C_1(F_{n-k})) = \gamma_{n-k+1}(C(F_{n-k})).$$

By rotational symmetry of the Gaussian measure we may assume that F_{n-k} is specifically the set $\{x: |x_i| \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n - k, x_{n-k+1} = \sqrt{k}\}$. The intersection of the hyperplane $\{x_{n-k+1} = t\}$ with $C(F_{n-k})$ is an $(n - k)$ -dimensional cube of edge-length t/\sqrt{k} . Therefore by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n-k+1}(C(F_{n-k})) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2/2} \gamma_{n-k} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}} B_\infty^{n-k} \right) dt \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2/2} \gamma_{n-k}(t B_\infty^{n-k}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality, together with (10), implies the desired formula. □

The next lemma points out the precise asymptotic behavior of $f(0, k, n)$ when k is fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$, and also that of $f(n - m, n - l, n)$, when l, m are fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$. (To be used in Section 3.)

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of real numbers that has a positive limit α . Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha_n t^2/2} \gamma_n(t B_\infty^n) dt \sim \Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\pi^{\alpha/2} (\log n)^{(\alpha-1)/2}}{\sqrt{2} n^{\alpha_n}}, \tag{11}$$

where Γ is the gamma function.

Proof. Let $F_n(t) = \text{Prob}\{\max_i |g_i| \leq t\}$, where g_1, \dots, g_n are independent $N(0, 1)$ -Gaussian variables. We have

$$\gamma_n(t B_\infty^n) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-x^2/2} dx \right)^n = F_n(t).$$

For $n > 1$, put

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \sqrt{2 \log n} - \frac{\log(\pi \log n)}{2\sqrt{2 \log n}}.$$

The well known tail approximation

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1 + o(1)}{t} e^{-t^2/2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \tag{12}$$

combined with a simple calculation, implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(a_n x + b_n) = \exp(-e^{-x}), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{13}$$

A change of variables gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha_n t^2/2} \gamma_n(t B_\infty^n) dt &= a_n \int_{-b_n/a_n}^\infty e^{-\alpha_n(a_n x + b_n)^2/2} F_n(a_n x + b_n) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\alpha_n/2} (\log n)^{(\alpha_n-1)/2}}{\sqrt{2} n^{\alpha_n}} e^{-o(1)} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2 o(1)} e^{-\alpha_n x(1-o(1))} F_n(a_n x + b_n) \chi_n(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here χ_n stands for the characteristic function of the interval $[-b_n/a_n, \infty)$. All four terms of the integrand in the last integral are nonnegative for each x . For $x \geq 0$ and sufficiently large n we have $e^{-\alpha_n x(1-o(1))} < e^{-\alpha x/2}$, while the rest of the terms are majorized by 1. For $x < 0$, the tail estimate (12) implies the existence of a constant $c = c(\alpha) > 0$, such that $F_n(a_n x + b_n) < e^{(\alpha+1)x}$ for every x in $(-\log n, -c)$, and sufficiently large n . One more application of (12) shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-b_n/a_n}^{-\log n} e^{-\alpha_n x} F_n(a_n x + b_n) dx = 0$. By (13), the integrand converges pointwise to the function $e^{-\alpha x} \exp(-e^{-x})$; Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem can be applied:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-b_n/a_n}^\infty e^{-x^2 o(1)} e^{-\alpha_n x(1-o(1))} F_n(a_n x + b_n) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\alpha x} \exp(-e^{-x}) dx \\ &= \Gamma(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. □

Taking $\alpha_n \equiv k$ in Lemma 2.3 and bearing in mind Proposition 2.2 re-proves the following result, which was mentioned in the Introduction.

Corollary 2.4. *For fixed k ,*

$$f(0, k, n) \sim \frac{2^k}{\sqrt{k}} (\pi \log n)^{(k-1)/2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We turn now to the case of fixed codimension. The next result is deduced from Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.5. *For $d \geq 1$,*

$$f(0, n - d, n) \geq \binom{n}{d} 2^n \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-d}} \right)^d \quad (d \geq 1).$$

Equality holds for $d = 1$:

$$f(0, n - 1, n) = \frac{2^n n}{\pi} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{n - 1}}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Consider the probability measure $d\mu(t) = 2\sqrt{(k/\pi)}e^{-kt^2} dt$ on the half-line $[0, \infty)$. Put

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Then

$$\gamma_{n-k}(tB_\infty^{n-k}) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^t e^{-x^2/2} dx \right)^{n-k} = \Phi^{n-k} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{2k}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2/2} \gamma_{n-k}(tB_\infty^{n-k}) dt &= \sqrt{\frac{2k}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2/2} \Phi^{n-k} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Phi^{n-k}(t) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \left(\int_0^\infty \Phi(t) d\mu(t) \right)^{n-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Elementary calculation shows that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2} \Phi(t) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

A combination of (15) with Proposition 2.2 gives the desired inequality, after a replacement of k by $n - d$. Observe that, for $k = n - 1$ (that is, $d = 1$), there is equality in the inequality of (15). □

Remarks. 1. For $n = 3$ we get, from (14), $f(0, 2, 3) = (24/\pi) \arctan(1/\sqrt{2}) \approx 4.7$. Therefore a random 2-section of the 3-cube is more likely to be a parallelogram than a hexagon.

2. Bárány and Lovász proved in [3] that (in particular) almost every k -section of the n -cube has at least 2^k vertices. Clearly this is a precise lower bound. For $k = n - 1$, our result shows that the expected value is asymptotically \sqrt{n}/π times the minimal value.

3. The asymptotic behavior of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-kt^2/2} \gamma_{n-k}(tB_\infty^{n-k}) dt$$

for fixed k and $n \rightarrow \infty$ was determined in [5] (following [9]), and was used to prove formula (4) of the Introduction. See also [1]. The asymptotic result is basically a corollary of the classical tail approximation of a single $N(0, 1)$ -Gaussian variable. Our approach to the proof of Lemma 2.3 seems to simplify the analysis.

4. As was indicated in the Introduction, we can choose $\varepsilon = c/\sqrt{\log n}$ for some constant $c > 0$, and then with high probability a random 2-section of the cube is $(1 + c/\sqrt{\log n})$ -Euclidean. It is well known that among all centrally symmetric polygons having $2m$ vertices, the regular $2m$ -gon minimizes the Banach–Mazur distance to the Euclidean disk; the minimal distance is $(\cos(\pi/2m))^{-1}$. Consequently with high probability we have

$$\left(\cos \frac{\pi}{2m}\right)^{-1} < 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log n}}.$$

Hence most 2-sections of the n -cube have at least $C(\log n)^{1/4}$ vertices, for some positive constant C . By Proposition 2.2 (after suitable rearrangement)

$$f(0, 2, n) = 2\sqrt{\pi} \mathbf{E} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |g_i| \right),$$

which is of the order of magnitude of $\sqrt{\log n}$. Summarizing these observations, we conclude: a typical 2-section of the n -cube is $(1 + c/\sqrt{\log n})$ -Euclidean, hence it cannot have too few vertices—it has at least $C(\log n)^{1/4}$ vertices with probability that tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It does not however tend to be a regular polygon, because the expected number of its vertices is too high for that.

3. Other Faces

We now turn to the case $j \geq 1$, and prove the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *For $j \geq 1$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\frac{f(0, k-j, n)}{f(j, k, n)} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{j(k-j)}{n-k+j} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{j(k-j)}{n-k+j} t^2/2\right) \gamma_j(t B_\infty^j) dt.$$

The starting point in the proof of Proposition 3.1 is (9). Again, we choose $A = C_1(F_{n-k+j})$. The random variable $g \rightarrow \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A)$, which is defined on $O(n)$, has values in $[0, 1]$. Hence

$$\int_{O(n)} \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) dH(g) = \int_0^1 H\{g: \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) \geq t\} dt. \quad (16)$$

The integrand is nonincreasing, and

$$H\{g: \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) \geq 0\} = \text{Prob}\{X \cap F_{n-k+j} \neq \emptyset\}, \quad (17)$$

because the event $\{g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) = 0\}$ has Haar measure zero. Therefore, by (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n-k+j}(A) &\leq \text{Prob}\{X \cap F_{n-k+j} \neq \emptyset\} \sup\{t: H\{g: \sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) \geq t\} > 0\} \\ &\leq \text{Prob}\{X \cap F_{n-k+j} \neq \emptyset\} \sup\{\sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A): g \in O(n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$t_{j,k,n} = \sup\{\sigma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) : g \in \mathbf{O}(n)\}.$$

By (9), (15), and (16) we get

$$\text{Prob}\{X \cap F_{n-k+j} \neq \emptyset\} \geq \frac{\sigma_{n-k+j}(A)}{t_{j,k,n}}.$$

Hence, by (10),

$$f(j, k, n) \geq 2^{k-j} \binom{n}{k-j} \frac{\sigma_{n-k+j}(A)}{t_{j,k,n}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}f(0, k-j, n)}{t_{j,k,n}}.$$

We must bound $t_{j,k,n}$ from above. Since A is contained in a half-space, a trivial bound is $t_{j,k,n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. In some cases this bound can be significantly improved. The main lemma in this section is the following.

Lemma 3.2. *If $1 \leq j < k < n$, then*

$$t_{j,k,n} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{j(k-j)}{n-k+j} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{j(k-j)}{n-k+j} t^2/2\right) \gamma_j(t B_\infty^j) dt.$$

The next lemma will be used in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Given a positive number $\tau > 0$, a j -dimensional affine subspace Y of \mathbb{R}^m , and a point $y_0 \in Y$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\gamma_j((Y \cap \tau B_\infty^m) - y_0) \leq \gamma_j\left(\tau \sqrt{\frac{m}{j}} B_\infty^j\right). \tag{18}$$

Proof. Let Q denote the orthogonal projection onto $Y - y_0$. As usual, $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are the standard unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^m . Put $u_i = Qe_i/\|Qe_i\|$ if $Qe_i \neq 0$, and $u_i = 0$ otherwise; put $c_i = \|Qe_i\|^2$ and $\alpha_i = \langle y_0, e_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. ($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard scalar product.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y \cap \tau B_\infty^m &= \{y \in Y : |\langle y, e_i \rangle| \leq \tau, \forall i\} \\ &= \{y \in Y : |\langle y - y_0, e_i \rangle + \langle y_0, e_i \rangle| \leq \tau, \forall i\} \\ &= \left\{ y \in Y : \frac{-\alpha_i - \tau}{\sqrt{c_i}} \leq \langle y - y_0, u_i \rangle \leq \frac{-\alpha_i + \tau}{\sqrt{c_i}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(Y \cap \tau B_\infty^m) - y_0 = \left\{ x \in Y - y_0 : \frac{-\alpha_i - \tau}{\sqrt{c_i}} \leq \langle x, u_i \rangle \leq \frac{-\alpha_i + \tau}{\sqrt{c_i}} \right\}.$$

Now we can imitate Ball’s argument from [2] concerning sections of maximal volume. Instead of the Lebesgue measure, we have to consider the Gaussian measure.

In $Y - y_0$, the identity operator can be written as $\sum_1^m c_i u_i \otimes u_i$. In particular,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = j \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \langle x, u_i \rangle^2, \quad \forall x \in Y - y_0.$$

Therefore the Gaussian measure in $Y - y_0$ is equal to

$$(2\pi)^{-j/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \langle x, u_i \rangle^2\right) dx.$$

Let χ_i denote the characteristic function of the interval $[(-\alpha_i - \tau)/\sqrt{c_i}, (-\alpha_i + \tau)/\sqrt{c_i}]$. Then, by the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j((Y \cap \tau B_\infty^m) - y_0) &= (2\pi)^{-j/2} \int_{Y-y_0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \chi_i(\langle x, u_i \rangle) e^{-c_i \langle x, u_i \rangle^2 / 2} \right) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-j/2} \int_{Y-y_0} \prod_{i=1}^m (\chi_i(\langle x, u_i \rangle) e^{-\langle x, u_i \rangle^2 / 2 c_i}) dx \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-j/2} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{(-\alpha_i - \tau)/\sqrt{c_i}}^{(-\alpha_i + \tau)/\sqrt{c_i}} e^{-s^2/2} ds \right)^{c_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The last inequality is a consequence of Brascamp–Lieb’s inequality, which is stated in [2] as follows:

Lemma. *Let $(u_i)_1^m$ be a sequence of unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let $(c_i)_1^m$ be a sequence of positive numbers so that*

$$\sum_1^m c_i u_i \otimes u_i = I_n.$$

For each i , let $f_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be integrable. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(\langle u_i, x \rangle)^{c_i} dx \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i \right)^{c_i}.$$

The i th integral in the product of (19) is not larger than $\int_{-\tau/\sqrt{c_i}}^{\tau/\sqrt{c_i}} e^{-s^2/2} ds$. Hence the last expression in (19) is bounded above by

$$(2\pi)^{-j/2} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(2 \int_0^{\tau/\sqrt{c_i}} e^{-s^2/2} ds \right)^{c_i},$$

which is maximized when all the c_i ’s are equal. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j((Y \cap \tau B_\infty^m) - y_0) &\leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\tau\sqrt{m/j}} e^{-s^2/2} ds \right)^j \\ &= \gamma_j\left(\tau \sqrt{\frac{m}{j}} B_\infty^j\right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. For $g \in O(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cap A) &= \gamma_{j+1}(C(F_{n-k+j}) \cap \text{span}(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1})) \\ &= \gamma_{j+1}(C[F_{n-k+j} \cap \text{span}(g\mathbb{S}^{k-1})]). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality is a consequence of the identity $C(F_{n-k+j}) \cap X = C(F_{n-k+j} \cap X)$, which trivially holds for every subspace $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix a subspace $X \in G_{n,k}$ for which the section $X \cap F_{n-k+j}$ is j -dimensional; almost every $X \in G_{n,k}$ has this property. Let C denote the $(j+1)$ -dimensional cone generated by $X \cap F_{n-k+j}$; put $X_0 = \text{span } C$. By M we denote the affine subspace spanned by $X \cap F_{n-k+j}$, and by d its distance from the origin of X . The Gaussian measure of the cone C is computed as follows. Take the unit vector $\xi \in X_0$ which is orthogonal to M , and for which $d\xi \in M$. For $t > 0$, put $W_t = \{x \in X_0: \langle x, \xi \rangle = t\}$. Observe that $C \cap W_t = (t/d)(X \cap F_{n-k+j})$. Let P denote the orthogonal projection from X_0 onto W_0 . By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{j+1}(C) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2/2} \gamma_j(P(C \cap W_t)) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2/2} \gamma_j\left(P \frac{t}{d}(X \cap F_{n-k+j})\right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Our task is to estimate the expression $\gamma_j(P\tau(X \cap F_{n-k+j}))$ for every $\tau > 0$. We need to discuss Gaussian measures in different subspaces. Whenever M is an m -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $q \in M$, let $\mathbb{G}_{M,q}$ denote the measure $(2\pi)^{-m/2} \exp(-\|x-q\|^2/2) dx$. In case M is an m -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $q = 0$ we simply write $\mathbb{G}_{M,0} = \gamma_m$. If T is an isometry of \mathbb{R}^n , then for every Borel subset $S \subset M$ we have

$$\mathbb{G}_{M,q}(S) = \mathbb{G}_{TM,Tq}(TS). \tag{22}$$

We momentarily assume that $\tau = 1$. Let q denote the nearest point of M to the origin of X . Both M and the range of the projection P are j -dimensional affine subspaces of X_0 . We have

$$P(X \cap F_{n-k+j}) = (X \cap F_{n-k+j}) - q,$$

hence, by (22),

$$\mathbb{G}_{M,q}(X \cap F_{n-k+j}) = \gamma_j(P(X \cap F_{n-k+j})). \tag{23}$$

Now let L denote the affine subspace spanned by F_{n-k+j} , whose origin O_L is taken as the center of the face F_{n-k+j} . (So if X passes through the center of F_{n-k+j} , then $q = O_L$.) M is also a j -dimensional affine subspace of L . By (22),

$$\mathbb{G}_{M,q}(X \cap F_{n-k+j}) = \mathbb{G}_{M-(q-O_L),O_L}((X \cap F_{n-k+j}) - (q - O_L)).$$

Applying the same argument for arbitrary $\tau > 0$ we conclude that

$$\gamma_j(P\tau(X \cap F_{n-k+j})) = \mathbb{G}_{\tau M-\tau(q-O_L),\tau O_L}(\tau(X \cap F_{n-k+j}) - \tau(q - O_L)). \tag{24}$$

We may think of τL as \mathbb{R}^{n-k+j} , of τF_{n-k+j} as τB_∞^{n-k+j} , and of $\tau(X \cap F_{n-k+j})$ as an affine j -dimensional section of τB_∞^{n-k+j} . Thus for each $t > 0$ Lemma 3.3 can be

used with $\tau = t/d$ and $m = n - k + j$. By the definition of d , we have $d \geq \sqrt{k - j}$. Combining (18), (21), and (24) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{j+1}(C) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2/2} \gamma_j \left(t \left(\frac{n-k+j}{j(k-j)} \right)^{1/2} B_\infty^j \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{j(k-j)}{n-k+j} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp \left(-\frac{j(k-j)}{n-k+j} t^2/2 \right) \gamma_j(t B_\infty^j) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 and thus of Proposition 3.1 is complete. □

By using the asymptotic formulas of Section 2, namely Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, we can now prove the following result, which shows that the lower bound for $f(j, k, n)$ derived from Proposition 3.1 is, in some cases, asymptotically best possible.

Corollary 3.4. *For fixed integers $1 \leq l < m$,*

$$f(n - m, n - l, n) \sim \frac{(2n)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Put $\alpha_n = (m-l)(n-m)/(n-m+l)$. By Proposition 3.1,

$$\frac{f(0, m-l, n)}{f(n-m, n-l, n)} < \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha_n}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha_n t^2/2} \gamma_{n-m}(t B_\infty^{n-m}) dt. \tag{26}$$

Put $b_n = (\log(n-m))^{\alpha_n-1/2}/(n-m)^{\alpha_n}$ and $c_n = (\log n)^{(m-l-1)/2}$. Let d_n denote the right-hand side of (26), from which we get

$$f(n-m, n-l, n) \frac{b_n}{c_n} > \frac{f(0, m-l, n)}{c_n} \frac{b_n}{d_n}.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = (m-l)$, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{d_n} = \frac{1}{\pi^{(m-l-1)/2} \Gamma(m-l) \sqrt{m-l}}.$$

Moreover, by Corollary 2.4,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(0, m-l, n)}{c_n} = \frac{2^{m-l} \pi^{(m-l-1)/2}}{\sqrt{m-l}}.$$

Thus, the sequence $f(n-m, n-l, n)(b_n/c_n)$ is larger than a sequence that tends to $2^{m-l}/(m-l)!$ as n tends to infinity. On the other hand we have $f(n-m, n-l, n) < 2^{m-l} \binom{n}{m-l}$, so

$$f(n-m, n-l, n) \frac{b_n}{c_n} < 2^{m-l} \binom{n}{m-l} \frac{b_n}{c_n},$$

and since $b_n/c_n \sim n^{l-m}$, the right-hand side here tends to $2^{m-l}/(m-l)!$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n-m, n-l, n) \frac{b_n}{c_n} = \frac{2^{m-l}}{(m-l)!}.$$

The required asymptotic formula follows immediately. The proof of Corollary 3.4 is complete. \square

Remarks. 1. The previous corollary implies that the number of $(n - m)$ -faces of a random $(n - l)$ -section of the n -cube tends to concentrate near the value $2^{m-l} \binom{n}{m-l}$, which bounds it from above. So, for example, a typical 1-codimensional section of the n -cube will have $2n - o(n)$ facets as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This result can also be deduced from the identity (3). Indeed, by duality we have $f(n - m, n - l, n) = \mathbf{E}(f_{m-l-1}(\Pi_{n-l}(B_1^n)))$, and replacing T^n by B_1^n in the proof of Theorem 2 in [1] (the details of this replacement appear in [5]; see the proof of Theorem 1.1 there) we get the previous corollary.

2. According to a remark made in [5], the number $f(j, k, n)$ is equal to the expected number of $(k - j - 1)$ -faces of the convex hull of $\pm G_1, \dots, \pm G_n$, where the G_i 's are independent copies of a k -dimensional Gaussian vector. See also [4]. Hence, the results for $f(0, k, n)$ can be interpreted as results for the expected number of facets of the convex hull of $\{\pm G_i\}_1^n$ in \mathbb{R}^k . For example, we can translate the first remark at the end of Section 2 to the following statement:

If three points in the plane are chosen at random, then their symmetric convex hull is more likely to be a parallelogram than a hexagon.

Acknowledgments

I thank Itai Benjamini for getting me interested in the subject of this paper, and for several stimulating discussions. I also thank the referees for their useful comments.

References

1. F. Affentranger and R. Schneider, Random projections of regular simplices, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **7** (1992), 219–226.
2. K. Ball, *Volumes of Sections of Cubes and Related Problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, eds.), vol. 1376, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, pp. 251–260.
3. I. Bárány and L. Lovász, Borsuk's theorem and the number of facets of centrally symmetric polytopes, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **40**(3–4) (1982), 323–329.
4. Y. M. Baryshnikov and R. A. Vitale, Regular simplices and Gaussian samples, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **11**(2) (1994), 141–147.
5. K. Böröczky, Jr., and M. Henk, Random projections of regular polytopes, *Arch. Math.*, to appear.
6. T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss, and V. Milman, The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies, *Acta Math.* **139** (1977), 53–94.
7. Y. Gordon, Some inequalities for Gaussian processes and applications, *Israel J. Math.* **50** (1985), 265–289.
8. B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, Interscience, London, 1967.
9. H. Raynaud, Sur l'enveloppe convexe des nuages de points aleatoires \mathbb{R}^n , I, *J. Appl. Probab.* **7** (1970), 35–48.
10. A. M. Vershik and P. V. Sporyshev, Asymptotic behavior of the number of faces of random polyhedra and the neighborliness problem, *Selecta Math. Soviet.* **11**(2) (1992), 181–201 (English translation).

Received August 1, 1998, and in revised form December 15, 1998.